

PROBING GLUON SATURATION THROUGH DI-HADRON AND TRI-HADRON CORRELATIONS AT A FUTURE ELECTRON ION COLLIDER

MARTIN HENTSCHINSKI

martin.hentschinski@gmail.com

IN COLLABORATION WITH A. AYALA, J. JALILIAN-MARIAN, M.E. TEJEDA YEOMANS,

> XV MEXICAN WORKSHOP ON PARTICLES AND FIELDS (02.-06. NOV. 2015)

TUNCTIONS

ring (DIS) of

$$e^- + p[A] \rightarrow e^- + X = \gamma^* + p \rightarrow X$$
 (up to QED corrections)

eep Inelastic Scattering - σ_{tot} for γ^* +nucleon/-us $\rightarrow X$



Photon virtuality $Q^2 = -q^2$ $y = \frac{q \cdot p}{k \cdot p}$ "inelasticity"

•
$$x_{Bj} = \frac{Q^2}{2p \cdot q}$$
 Parton model: fraction of nucleon momentum carried by struck quark



polarized + neutral charge current

 \rightarrow hadronic tensor \equiv proton structure functions $F_2 \& F_L$

$$\frac{d^2 \sigma_{\gamma^* p \to X}}{dx_{Bj.} dQ^2} = \frac{2\pi\alpha^2}{x_{Bj.} Q^4} \left\{ \left[1 + (1-y)^2 \right] F_2(x_{Bj.}, Q^2) - y^2 F_L(x_{Bj.}, Q^2) \right\}$$

Martin Hentschinski

RIKEN/BNL Lunch Time Talk

The proton at high energies: saturation

theory considerations:



- effective finite size 1/Q of partons at finite Q^2
- at some $x \ll 1$, partons 'overlap' = recominbation effects
- turning it around: system is characterized by <u>saturation</u> <u>scale</u> Q_s
- grows with energy $Q_s \sim x^{-\Delta}$, $\Delta > 0$ & can reach in principle perturbative values $Q_s > 1 \text{GeV}$



PROPAGATORS IN THE PRESENCE OF A STRONG BACKGROUND FIELD





$$\sigma_{L,T}^{\gamma^*A}(x,Q^2) = 2\sum_f \int d^2 \boldsymbol{b} d^2 \boldsymbol{r} \int_0 dz \left| \psi_{L,T}^{(f)}(r,z;Q^2) \right|^2 \mathcal{N}(x,\boldsymbol{r},\boldsymbol{b})$$

- DIS cross-section as convolution of photon wave function and dipole density
- color dipole follows non-linear JIMWLK or BK evolution equation in ln(1/x)



- fixing initial conditions through fit allows description of combined HERA data, but also (dilute!) DGLAP describes data
- saturation at the edge $Q_s \sim 1-2GeV^2$



[Albacete, Armesto, Milhano, Quiroga, Salgado, EPJ C71 (2011) 1705]

PHENOMENOLOGY IN COLLISIONS WITH HEAVY NUCLEI



A COLLIDER TO SEARCH FOR A DEFINITE ANSWER:

THE ELECTRON ION COLLIDER PROJECT

the world's first eA collider: will allow to probe heavy nuclei at small x (using 16GeV electrons on 100GeV/u ions)





Brookhaven National Laboratory: supplement RHIC with Electron Recovery Linac (eRHIC) Jefferson Lab: supplement CEBAF with hadron accelerator (MEIC)

2015: ENDORSED BY NUCLEAR SCIENCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE (NSAC) AS HIGHEST PRIORITY FOR NEW FACILITY CONSTRUCTION IN US NUCLEAR SCIENCE LONG RANGE PLAN

AN EIC OBSERVABLE TO SEARCH FOR SATURATION EFFECTS: DI-HADRON DE-CORRELATION IN DIS



measure azimuthal angle of dihadron final state

collinear factorization (dilute pQCD): gluon kT peaked at kT=0 - expect dihadrons back-to-back

Saturation (CGC): gluon kT peaked at saturation scale - expect de-correlated di-hadrons



PRECISION EXPERIMENTS REQUIRE THEORY PRECISION

current studies: LO accuracy + Sudakov resummation of soft logarithms

expect also (large?) collinear logs + scale setting uncertainties

→ higher order correction can lead to large effects



[Zheng,Aschenauer, Lee, Xiao, PRD89 (2014)7, 074037]

Sevolution of dipole etc. densities & higher [Balitsky, Chirilli; PRD 88 (2013) 111501, PRD 77 (2008) 014019]; [Kovner, Lublinsky, Mulian; PRD 89 (2014) 6, 061704] correlators know up to NLO

[Iancu, Madrigal, Mueller, Soyez, Triantafyllopoulos; PLB 744 (2015) 293]

- **M**instabilities get addressed
- photon wave function: only inclusive (on the level of correlation functions)

[Balitsky, Chirilli; PRD 87 (2013) 1, 014013], [Beuf; PRD 85 (2012) 034039]

PRECISION EXPERIMENTS REQUIRE THEORY PRECISION

current studies: LO accuracy + Sudakov resummation of soft logarithms

expect also (large?) collinear logs + scale setting uncertainties

→ higher order correction can lead to large effects



[Zheng,Aschenauer, Lee, Xiao, PRD89 (2014)7, 074037]

our project: calculate

(NEW: NLO from momentum space)

- A. tri-hadron production at LO (new observable!) expect more stringent tests of CGC through more complex final state
- B. di-hadron production at NLO (3 partons a subset!) reduce uncertainties + possibly identify overlap region between collinear factorisation and saturation physics

1 EXTRA HADRON CAN CAUSE A LOT OF WORK!

di-hadrons at LO: paper & pencil calculation e.g.[Gelis, Jalilian-Marian, PRD67, 074019 (2003)]







each line & each final state splits into
two terms (free + interaction)
→ real NLO: 16 diagrams (amp. level)
→ virtual NLO: 32 diagrams (amp. level)

on X-sec. level: up to 16 Gamma matrices in a single Dirac trace \rightarrow 15! = 1307674368000 individual terms (not all non-zero though)

- necessary to achieve (potential) cancelations of diagrams BEFORE evaluation
- require automatization of calculation (= use of Computer algebra codes)

REDUCE # OF DIAGRAMS

CONFIGURATION SPACE: CUTS AT X⁻=0

- diagrams to configuration space → momentum delta function as integral at each vertex + four momentum integral at each internal internal line
- Feynman propagator in configuration space

line separating positive & negative light-cone time

 s-channel kinematics [k⁻=p₁⁻ +p₂⁻ + ..., all positive] → only s-channel type cuts possible (~vertical cuts)







CONFIGURATION SPACE CAN HELP

• recall: $\xrightarrow{p} \propto \delta(p^- - q^-)$ not altered through interaction

i.e. minus momentum flow

recall: interaction placed at slice z⁻=0

$$A^{+,a}(z^{-},\boldsymbol{z}) = \alpha^{a}(\boldsymbol{z})\delta(z^{-})$$

→ interaction must be always placed at a $z^-=0$ cut of the diagram. Note: this applies equally to configuration and momentum space

evaluates already a large fraction of diagrams (~50%) to zero



forbidden configurations: cannot be accommodated by vertical (schannel type) cut

CAN WE DO BETTER? MORE CONSTRAINTS

consider complete configuration space propagator (free + interacting part)

$$S_F(x,y) = \int \frac{d^d p}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{d^d q}{(2\pi)^d} e^{-ipx} \left[\tilde{S}_F^{(0)}(p)(2\pi)^d \delta^{(d)}(p-q) + \tilde{S}_F^{(0)}(p)\tau_F(p,q)\tilde{S}_F^{(0)}(q) \right] e^{iqy}$$

obtain free propagation for

- x⁻,y⁻<0 ("before interaction")
- x⁻,y⁻>0 ("after interaction")

propagator proportional to complete Wilson line V (fermion) or U (gluon) if we cross cut at light-cone time 0



> no direct translation to momentum space

adding free propagation & interaction → mixing of different mom. space diagrams

but strong constraints on the structure of the full result

CONFIGURATION SPACE PREDICTS WHICH OPERATORS HAVE NON-ZERO COEFFICIENTS



momentum space: necessary coefficients from only 4 (instead of 16) diagrams

(cancelation of all other contributions verified by explicit calculations)



virtual corrections: similar result,

necessary coefficients from 8 (instead of 32) diagrams

LOOP INTEGRALS

something slightly strange:

LOOP INTEGRALS ALSO FOR REAL CORRECTIONS

technical reason:

- momentum space amplitudes obtained from field correlators during LSZ reduction procedure
- integration over coordinates at vertices yields delta functions which evaluate momentum integrals trivially
- here: coordinate dependence of background field → delta functions absent



intuitive picture:

background field = t-channel gluons interacting with the target → naturally provide a loop which is factorized & (partially) absorbed into the projectile in the high energy limit

for the rest of the talk: focus on real corrections/3 partons

a 1-loop and a 2-loop topology



new complication: exponentials/Fourier factors

conventional: e.g. k_1^+ integration by taking residues, then transverse integrals particular for 2 loop case: complicated transverse integrals

developed a new technique

- * complete exponential factors to 4 d
- ★ evaluate integral using "standard" momentum space techniques

A 1-LOOP EXPAMPLE:

$$I(p_1, p_2) = \int \frac{d^d k_1}{i\pi^{d/2}} \frac{1}{[k_1^2][(l-k_1)^2]} e^{ix_t(\cdot k_{1,t}-p_{1,t})} e^{-iy_t \cdot (k_{1,t}+p_{2,t})} (2\pi)^2 \delta(p_1^- - k_1^-) \delta(l^- - k_1^- - p_2^-)$$

start with integral which contains

- delta functions
- transverse exponential factors

$$I(p_1, p_2) = 2\pi\delta(l^- - p_1^- - p_2^-)e^{-iy_t \cdot (p_{1,t} + p_{2,t})} \int dr^+ \int dr^-\delta(r^+) \int \frac{d^d k_1}{i\pi^{d/2}} \frac{1}{[k_1^2][(l-k_1)^2]} e^{ir \cdot k_1}$$

- introduce relative coordinate r=x-y
- represent delta function by integral
- introduce dummy integral over r⁺

→ obtain 4 (d) dimensional integral next step:

Schwinger- $/\alpha$ -parameters

$$\left(\frac{i}{k^2 - m^2 + i0}\right)^{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^\infty d\alpha \, \alpha^{\lambda - 1} e^{i\alpha(k^2 - m^2 + i0)}$$

Schwinger-/ a-parameters

complete square in exponent, Wick rotation, Gauss integration, etc. reconstruct delta function to evaluate (some) integrals over α -parameters

to facilitate these steps for 2, 3 loops (virtual!): "developed" Mathematica package ARepCGC; implements necessary text-book methods [V. Smirnov, Springer 2006]

INTEGRALS FOR REAL CORRECTIONS

• 1-loop: in terms of modified Bessel function

$$I(p_1, p_2) = 8\pi^2 \delta(l^- - p_1^- - p_2^-) \frac{e^{-ix_t \cdot p_{1,t}}}{l^-} e^{-iy_t \cdot p_{2,t}} K_0\left(\sqrt{\alpha(1-\alpha)Q^2(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y})^2}\right), \quad \alpha = p_1^-/l^-$$

• 2-loop: one remaining integration (at first)

 $\int \frac{d^4k_1}{(2\pi)^4} \int \frac{d^4k_3}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{(2\pi)^3 \delta(k_1^- - k_3^- - p_1^-) \delta(l^- - k_1^- - p_2^-) \delta(k_3^- - p_3^-)}{[k_1^2][(l - k_1)^2][(k_1 - k_3)^2][k_3^2]} e^{ix_t \cdot (k_{1,t} - k_{3,t} - p_{1,t})} e^{iy_t \cdot (l_t - k_{1,t} - p_{2,t})} e^{iz_t \cdot (k_{3,t} - p_{3,t})}$

$$\propto e^{-ix_t \cdot p_{1,t}} e^{-iy_t \cdot p_{2,t}} e^{-iz_t \cdot p_{3,t}} \int_0^{\rho_3^{\max}} \frac{d\rho_3}{\rho_3} K_0 \left[\sqrt{\frac{\rho_1 (1-\rho_1) Q^2 ((\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y})^2 + \rho_3 (\boldsymbol{x}(1-\xi) - \boldsymbol{y} + \xi \boldsymbol{z})^2)}{\rho_3}} \right]$$

 $\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\rho}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\rho}_{3}^{\max}$ in terms of external momenta

 2-loop integral: evaluated into infinite sum over Bessel functions; numerics: keeping integral might be most stable
 tensor integrals from differentiation w.r.t. external coordinates inclusive: obtain (unexpected) endpoint contributions

FROM GAMMA MATRICES TO CROSS-SECTIONS

FORM EVALUATES DIRAC TRACES

- possible to express elements of Dirac trace to two general tensor integrals
- Evaluation using FORM [Vermaseren, math-ph/0010025]
- result lengthy, but in principle usable (~23 pages)
- currently working on further simplification through reduction of tensor integrals (work in progress)

A1squared =

+ qminus * (DENn(k)*dot(p,k)*IntR1(nminus,nminus,nminus,1,1,1,p)* IntR1c(muc1,muc1,nminus,1,1,1,p) + DENn(k)*dot(p,k)*IntR1(nminus, nminus,nminus,1,1,1,p)*IntR1c(muc2,muc2,nminus,1,1,1,p) - DENn(k)* dot(p,k)*IntR1(nminus,mu2,nminus,1,1,1,p)*IntR1c(nminus,mu2,nminus,1, 1,1,p) - DENn(k)*dot(p,k)*IntR1(nminus,muc2,nminus,1,1,1,p)*IntR1c(nminus,muc2,nminus,1,1,1,p) - DENn(k)*dot(p,k)*IntR1(mu1,nminus, nminus,1,1,1,p)*IntR1c(mu1,nminus,nminus,1,1,1,p) + DENn(k)*dot(p,k)* IntR1(mu1,mu1,nminus,1,1,1,p)*IntR1c(nminus,nminus,nminus,1,1,1,p) + DENn(k)*dot(p,k)*IntR1(mu2,mu2,nminus,1,1,1,p)*IntR1c(nminus,nminus, nminus,1,1,1,p) - DENn(k)*dot(p,k)*IntR1(muc1,nminus,nminus,1,1,1,p)* IntR1c(muc1,nminus,nminus,1,1,1,p) - IntR1(nminus,nminus,p,1,1,1,p)* IntR1c(muc1,muc1,nminus,1,1,1,p) + IntR1(nminus,nminus,p,1,1,1,p)*IntR1c(muc2,muc2,nminus,1,1,1,p) + IntR1(nminus,mu2,p,1,1,1,p)* IntR1c(nminus,mu2,nminus,1,1,1,p) - IntR1(nminus,muc2,p,1,1,1,p)* IntR1c(nminus,muc2,nminus,1,1,1,p) + IntR1(mu1,p,mu1,1,1,1,p)*IntR1c(nminus,nminus,nminus,1,1,1,p) - IntR1(mu1,nminus,p,1,1,1,p)*IntR1c(mu1,nminus,nminus,1,1,1,p) - IntR1(mu1,nminus,mu1,1,1,1,p)*IntR1c(p, nminus, nminus, 1, 1, 1, p) + IntR1(mu1, mu1, p, 1, 1, 1, p)*IntR1c(nminus, nminus,nminus,1,1,1,p) - IntR1(mu2,mu2,p,1,1,1,p)*IntR1c(nminus, nminus,nminus,1,1,1,p) - IntR1(mu3,p,mu3,1,1,1,p)*IntR1c(nminus, nminus,nminus,1,1,1,p) + IntR1(mu3,nminus,mu3,1,1,1,p)*IntR1c(p, nminus,nminus,1,1,1,p) + IntR1(muc1,nminus,p,1,1,1,p)*IntR1c(muc1, nminus,nminus,1,1,1,p))

+ pminus*qminus * (- DENn(k)*IntR1(k,nminus,nminus,1,1,1,p)*IntR1c(muc3,nminus,muc3,1,1,1,p) + DENn(k)*IntR1(k,nminus,mu3,1,1,1,p)* IntR1c(mu3,nminus,nminus,1,1,1,p) - DENn(k)*IntR1(k,mu3,mu3,1,1,1,p)* IntR1c(nminus,nminus,1,1,1,p) + DENn(k)*IntR1(k,muc3,nminus,1, 1,1,p)*IntR1c(nminus,nminus,muc3,1,1,1,p) + DENn(k)*IntR1(nminus,k, nminus,1,1,1,p)*IntR1c(nminus,muc3,muc3,1,1,1,p) - DENn(k)*IntR1(nminus,k,mu3,1,1,1,p)*IntR1c(nminus,mu3,nminus,1,1,1,p) + DENn(k)* IntR1(nminus,nminus,k,1,1,1,p)*IntR1c(muc1,muc1,nminus,1,1,1,p) -DENn(k)*IntR1(nminus,nminus,nminus,1,1,1,p)*IntR1c(k,muc3,muc3,1,1,1, p) + DENn(k)*IntR1(nminus,nminus,nminus,1,1,1,p)*IntR1c(muc2,muc2,k,1) ,1,1,p) + DENn(k)*IntR1(nminus,nminus,nminus,1,1,1,p)*IntR1c(muc3,k, muc3,1,1,1,p) + DENn(k)*IntR1(nminus,nminus,mu3,1,1,1,p)*IntR1c(k,mu3 ,nminus,1,1,1,p) - DENn(k)*IntR1(nminus,nminus,mu3,1,1,1,p)*IntR1c(mu3,k,nminus,1,1,1,p) - DENn(k)*IntR1(nminus,mu2,k,1,1,1,p)*IntR1c(nminus,mu2,nminus,1,1,1,p) + DENn(k)*IntR1(nminus,mu3,mu3,1,1,1,p)* IntR1c(nminus,k,nminus,1,1,1,p) - DENn(k)*IntR1(nminus,muc2,nminus,1, 1,1,p)*IntR1c(nminus,muc2,k,1,1,1,p) - DENn(k)*IntR1(nminus,muc3, nminus,1,1,1,p)*IntR1c(nminus,k,muc3,1,1,1,p) - DENn(k)*IntR1(mu1, nminus,nminus,1,1,1,p)*IntR1c(mu1,nminus,k,1,1,1,p) + DENn(k)*IntR1(

- precision experiments (future EIC) require theory precision we're working on it
- developed techniques (reduction, integrals) might have been available before, but never been exploited in a systematic way for this kind of calculation
- proof of concept for NLO momentum space calculation advantage: benefit from standard techniques for higher orders in QCD (important: soft- and collinear singularities,)
- the results provides not only a (hopefully) important contribution to future EIC studies, but the developed techniques should also allow to evaluate NLO correction for saturation/CGC observables in e.g. pA at RHIC/LHC
- A result of few lines can explode, if extended to extra final state or nextto-leading order - requires a systematic approach

DANKESCHÖN!

Electron-nucleus/-on scattering

knowldege of scattering enery + nucleon mass + measure scattered electron \rightarrow control kinematics



Photon virtuality $Q^2 = -q^2$

Resolution $\lambda \sim \frac{1}{Q}$

Mass of system X $W = (p+q)^2$ $= M_N^2 + 2p \cdot q - Q^2$

Bjorken
$$\boldsymbol{x} = \frac{Q^2}{2p \cdot q}$$

Inelasticity $y = \frac{2p \cdot q}{2p \cdot k}$

nelasticity
$$y = \frac{2p}{2p}$$

PDF'S, COLLINEAR FACTORISATION AND ALL THAT

• collinear factorisation = factorisation in the limit of infinite virtuality Q:

proton structure functions = convolution of

$$F_2(x,Q^2) = \sum_{k=q,g} \hat{C}_{2,k} \otimes \hat{f}_k$$

parton distribution functions (pdfs): = probability to find parton (quark, gluon) which carries the fraction x of the proton momentum (nonperturbative→ from fits to data)

and **coefficents** $C_{2q} = 1 + \alpha_s C_{2q}^{(1)} + \dots, C_{2g} = \alpha_s C_{2g}^{(1)} + \dots$... (calculated in perturbation theory)

exact theory statement up to terms suppressed by 1/Q!

• essential for pQCD predictions and pQCD success story in \mathbf{y}^* p, pp,

THE PROTON AT SMALL X: THE HERA LEGACY

HERA@DESY (1992-2007): at the first time DIS on a proton at a Collider → access to small x region [large c.o.m. energy at fixed resolution Q]

important HERA result:

proton at small x dominated by gluons and seaquarks (qqbar pairs from gluon)

powerlike rise of gluon distribution at small x

BUT: rise cannot continue forever (probability distribution!)



DONEC QUIS NUNC



MOMENTUM VS. CONFIGURATION SPACE

| | conventional pQCD (make use of know techniques) | inclusion of finite masses (charm mass!) | intuition: interaction at t=0 with Lorentz contracted target |
|---------------------|---|--|--|
| momentum space | well explored | complication, but doable | lose intuitive picture at first -> large # of cancelations |
| configuration space | poorly explored | very difficult | many diagrams automatically zero |

our approach:

work in momentum space, but exploit relation to configuration space to set a large fraction of all diagrams to zero

THE LC-TIME SLICE X =0: 'CUTS' THROUGH DIAGRAMS

Determine Fourier transform of "background field vertex" for propagator $\int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ip \cdot x} \tilde{\Delta}_F(p) \tau(p,q) \tilde{\Delta}_F(q) e^{iq \cdot y} \text{ and final state } \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4} \tau(p,q) \tilde{\Delta}_F(q) e^{iq \cdot y}$

Find light-cone time constraints $y^- > 0 > x^-$ for $p^- > 0$ and $x^- > 0 > y^-$ for $p^- < 0$ reason: conservation of light-cone momentum at vertex τ

important consequence: interaction for each diagram only allowed along a certain time-slice =cut of diagrams



THE LC-TIME SLICE X =0: 'CUTS' THROUGH DIAGRAMS

applies also to virtual diagrams: organized into 'cut' configurations

Note: different cuts can contain the same diagram





EVALUATING THE LORENTZ- AND DIRAC STRUCTURE

A. Dirac trace through 2 most general structures, closely related to loop integraexpressls

$$I_1^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3}(p_1, p_2) = \int \frac{d^d k_1}{i\pi^{d/2}} \frac{k_1^{\mu_1}(l-k_1)^{\mu_2} p_1^{\mu_3}}{[k_1^2][(l-k_1)^2][p_1^2]} e^{ix_t \cdot (k_{1,t}-p_{1,t})} e^{iy_t \cdot (-k_1,t-p_{2,t})}$$
$$(2\pi)^2 \delta(p_1^- - k_1^-) \delta(l^- - k_1^- - p_2^-)$$

$$\begin{split} I_{R_2}^{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4}(p_1,p_2,p_3) = \\ \int \frac{d^4k_1}{(2\pi)^4} \int \frac{d^4k_3}{(2\pi)^{\overline{4}}} \frac{k_1^{\mu_1}(l-k_1)^{\mu_2}(k_1-k_3)^{\mu_3}k_3^{\mu_4}}{[k_1^2-m^2][(l-k_1)^2-m^2][(k_1-k_3)^2-m^2][k_3^2]} \\ e^{ix_t \cdot (k_{1,t}-k_{3,t}-p_{1,t})} e^{iy_t \cdot (l_t-k_{1,t}-p_{2,t})} e^{iz_t \cdot (k_{3,t}-p_{3,t})} \\ (2\pi)^3 \delta(k_1^--k_3^--p_1^-) \delta(l^--k_1^--p_2^-) \delta(k_3^--p_3^-) \end{split}$$