

Cosmological Models by Compactification in Generalized Varieties

Cesar Damián, Oscar Loaiza-Brito

XIII Mexican WorkShop on Particles and Fields

October 2011

- Flux compactification provides a dependence of all the moduli on the scalar potential.
- de Sitter Vacua is difficult to obtain.
- Negative curvature terms lift the vacua.
- T-duality invariant superpotential does not require non-perturbative effects.
- Neither exotic objects as NS-NS branes are necessary in order to stabilize the moduli.

- To obtain a de Sitter vacua in a scalar potential by compactification of the Type IIB on a T^6/\mathbb{Z}_2 orientifold.
- Stabilize all the moduli.
- Obtain realistic values for the fluxes in the minimum.

- Under T-duality the NS fields changes according to the Buscher rules;

$$\begin{aligned} G'_{xx} &= \frac{1}{G_{xx}}, & G'_{x\mu} &= -\frac{B_{x\mu}}{G_{xx}} \\ B'_{x\mu} &= \frac{G_{x\mu}}{G_{xx}}, & G'_{\mu\nu} &= G_{\mu\nu} - \frac{G_{x\mu}G_{x\nu} - B_{x\mu}B_{x\nu}}{G_{xx}} \\ B'_{\mu\nu} &= B_{\mu\nu} - \frac{G_{x\mu}B_{x\nu} - B_{x\mu}G_{x\nu}}{G_{xx}} \end{aligned}$$

- For a NS-NS 3-form flux on some three cycle, on a T^6 torus;

$$H_{abc} \rightarrow f_{bc}^a \quad (1)$$

- Applying the Buscher rules, the metric acquires a extra term $(dx^a - f_{bc}^a x^c dx^b)^2$ and the T-dual B-field vanishes.

- Performing another T-duality in the b direction;

$$H_{abc} \rightarrow f_{bc}^a \rightarrow Q_c^{ab} \quad (2)$$

So T-duality invariance leads to additional nongeometric fluxes required so that superpotentials in type IIA and type IIB orientifolds match.

- Compactification on a T^6/\mathbb{Z}_2 .
- Where $T^6 = T^2 \times T^2 \times T^2$ and all the 2-tori are identical.
- The Kähler potential is given by;
$$K = -3\ln(-i(\tau - \bar{\tau})) - 3\ln(-i(U - \bar{U})) - \ln(-i(S - \bar{S})).$$
- And a scalar potential $V = e^K \left(K^{i\bar{j}} D_i W D_{\bar{j}} \bar{W} - 3|W|^2 \right)$.

- Without nongeometric fluxes the superpotential is given by;

$$W = \int G_3 \wedge \Omega = \int F_3 - SH_3 \wedge \Omega = P_1(\tau) + SP_2(\tau)$$

- After the T-duality chain...

$$W = \int (F_3 + SH_3 + Q \cdot U) \wedge \Omega = P_1(\tau) + SP_2(\tau) + UP_3(\tau)$$

Invariant superpotential

- $W = \int (F_3 + SH_3 + Q \cdot U) \wedge \Omega$ (Gerardo Aldazabal et al. JHEP05(2006)070)

Term	IIB Flux	integer Flux
1	\bar{F}_{ijk}	a_0
τ	$\bar{F}_{ij\gamma}$	a_1
τ^2	$\bar{F}_{i\beta\gamma}$	a_2
τ^3	$\bar{F}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$	a_3
S	\bar{H}_{ijk}	b_0
U	$\bar{Q}_k^{\alpha\beta}$	c_0
$S\tau$	$\bar{H}_{\alpha jk}$	b_1
$U\tau$	$\bar{Q}_k^{\alpha j}, \bar{Q}_k^{i\beta}, \bar{Q}_\alpha^{\beta\gamma}$	$\check{c}_1, \hat{c}_1, \tilde{c}_1$
$S\tau^2$	$\bar{H}_{i\beta\gamma}$	b_2
$U\tau^2$	$\bar{Q}_\gamma^{i\beta}, \bar{Q}_\beta^{\gamma i}, \bar{Q}_k^{ij}$	$\check{c}_2, \hat{c}_2, \tilde{c}_2$
$S\tau^3$	$\bar{H}_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$	b_3
$U\tau^3$	\bar{Q}_γ^{ij}	c_3

- $W = P_1(\tau) + SP_2(\tau) + UP_3(\tau)$

$$\bar{F}_{[abc}\bar{H}_{def]} = 0 \quad (3)$$

$$\bar{F}_{x[abc}f_{de]}^x - \bar{F}_{[ab}\bar{H}_{cde]} = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$\bar{F}_{xy[abc}Q_d^{xy} - 3\bar{F}_{x[ab}f_{cd]}^x - 2\bar{F}_{[a}\bar{H}_{bcd]} = 0 \quad (5)$$

$$\bar{F}_{xyz[abc]}R^{xyz} - 9\bar{F}_{xy[ab}Q_c^{xy} - 18\bar{F}_{x[a}f_{bc]}^x + 6F^{(0)}\bar{H}_{[abc]} = 0 \quad (6)$$

$$\bar{F}_{xyz[ab]}R^{xyz} + 6\bar{F}_{xy[a}Q_b^{xy} - 6\bar{F}_x f_{[ab]}^x = 0 \quad (7)$$

$$a_0 b_3 - 3 a_1 b_2 + 3 a_2 b_1 - a_3 b_0 = 0 \quad (8)$$

$$a_0 c_3 + a_1(\check{c}_2 + \hat{c}_2 - \tilde{c}_2) - a_2(\check{c}_1 + \hat{c}_1 - \tilde{c}_1) - a_3 c_0 \quad (9)$$

$$\bar{H}_{x[ab} f_{cd]}^x = 0 \quad (10)$$

$$f_{x[b} f_{cd]}^x + \bar{H}_{x[bc} Q_d^{ax]} = 0 \quad (11)$$

$$Q_x^{[ab]} f_{[cd]}^x - 4f_{x[c} Q_d^{b]x]} + \bar{H}_{x[cd]} R^{[ab]x]} = 0 \quad (12)$$

$$Q_x^{[ab} Q_d^{c]x]} + f_{xd}^{[a} R^{bc]x]} = 0 \quad (13)$$

$$Q_x^{[ab} R^{cd]x]} = 0 \quad (14)$$

NS-NS Bianchi identity constraints

$$c_0 b_2 - \tilde{c}_1 b_1 + \hat{c}_1 b_1 - \check{c}_2 b_0 = 0 \quad (15)$$

$$\check{c}_1 b_3 - \hat{c}_2 b_2 + \tilde{c}_2 b_2 - c_3 b_1 = 0 \quad (16)$$

$$c_0 b_3 - \tilde{c}_1 b_2 + \hat{c}_1 b_2 - \check{c}_2 b_1 = 0 \quad (17)$$

$$\check{c}_1 b_2 - \hat{c}_2 b_1 + \tilde{c}_2 b_1 - c_3 b_0 = 0 \quad (18)$$

$$c_0 \tilde{c}_2 - \check{c}_1^2 + \tilde{c}_1 \hat{c}_1 - \hat{c}_2 c_0 = 0 \quad (19)$$

$$c_3 \tilde{c}_1 - \check{c}_2^2 + \tilde{c}_2 \hat{c}_2 - \hat{c}_1 c_3 = 0 \quad (20)$$

$$c_3 c_0 - \check{c}_2 \hat{c}_1 + \tilde{c}_2 \check{c}_1 - \hat{c}_1 \tilde{c}_2 = 0 \quad (21)$$

- SUSY is broken through the complex structure moduli.
- We take the solution of the constraints when $b_2 = 0$, $b_0 = 0$, $b_1 = 0$, $c_0 = 0$, $c_3 = 0$, $\hat{c}_1 = \check{c}_1 = 0$, $\hat{c}_2 = \check{c}_2 = 0$, $a_0 = 0$, $a_1 = (a_2 \tilde{c}_1) / \tilde{c}_2$.

- For these conditions the following minima are obtained

Fluxes	$Re\tau$	$Re(S)Im(U) + Im(S)Re(U)$	V_{min}
$\tilde{c}_1 = b_3 = 0$	0	$-\frac{3a_2 Im(S)}{\tilde{c}_2}$	$\frac{(3a_3 Im(\tau) - 4\tilde{c}_2 Im(U))^2}{128 Im(S)^3 Im(\tau) Im(U)^3}$
$\tilde{c}_1 = a_2 = 0$	0	0	$\frac{(3a_3 Im(\tau) - 4\tilde{c}_2 Im(U))^2}{128 Im(S) Im(\tau) Im(U)^3}$
$\tilde{c}_1 = a_3 = 0$	0	$-\frac{3a_2 Im(S)}{\tilde{c}_2}$	$\frac{\tilde{c}_2^2}{(8Im(S)Im(\tau)Im(U))}$
$a_2 = a_3 = 0$	$\frac{\tilde{c}_1}{2\tilde{c}_2}$	0	$\frac{\tilde{c}_2^2}{(8Im(S)Im(\tau)Im(U))}$
$b_3 = a_3 = 0$	$\frac{\tilde{c}_1}{2\tilde{c}_2}$	$-\frac{3a_2 Im(S)}{\tilde{c}_2}$	$\frac{9a_2^2 + \tilde{c}_2^2 Im(U)^2}{8Im(S)Im(\tau)Im(U)^3}$
$b_3 = a_2 = 0$	$\frac{\tilde{c}_1}{2\tilde{c}_2}$	$\frac{3a_3 \tilde{c}_1 Im(S)}{2\tilde{c}_2}$	Ec. (22)
$\tilde{c}_1 = b_3 = a_3 = 0$	0	$-\frac{3a_2 Im(S)}{\tilde{c}_2}$	$\frac{\tilde{c}_2^2}{(8Im(S)Im(\tau)Im(U))}$
$a_2 = b_3 = a_3 = 0$	$\frac{\tilde{c}_1}{2\tilde{c}_2}$	0	$\frac{\tilde{c}_2^2}{(8Im(S)Im(\tau)Im(U))}$
$\tilde{c}_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$	0	0	$\frac{\tilde{c}_2^2}{(8Im(S)Im(\tau)Im(U))}$
$\tilde{c}_1 = b_3 = a_2 = 0$	0	0	Ec.(15)
$\tilde{c}_1 = b_3 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$	0	0	$\frac{\tilde{c}_2^2}{(8Im(S)Im(\tau)Im(U))}$

- For the fluxes assumed to be $b_3 = 0$, $a_2 = 0$. We obtain the following scalar potential;

$$V_{min} = \frac{[3a_3(\tilde{c}_1^2 - 4\tilde{c}_2^2 \text{Im}(\tau)^2) + 16\tilde{c}_2^3 \text{Im}(\tau) \text{Im}(U)]^2}{2048\tilde{c}_2^4 \text{Im}(S) \text{Im}(\tau)^3 \text{Im}(U)^3} \quad (23)$$

- From the $b_3 = a_2 = 0$ solution;

$$\operatorname{Re}(\tau) = \frac{\tilde{c}_1}{2\tilde{c}_2}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(S) = \frac{3a_3\tilde{c}_1 \operatorname{Im}(S)}{2\tilde{c}_2^2 \operatorname{Im}(U)} - \frac{\operatorname{Im}(S)\operatorname{Re}(U)}{\operatorname{Im}(U)}$$

This implies that $\operatorname{Re}(U) < \frac{3\tilde{c}_1 a_3}{2\tilde{c}_2^2}$

- The nongeometric fluxes yields to de Sitter potentials.
- Only three of the six moduli are stabilized, the remaining moduli play the role of the Polonyi fields.
- When two of the nongeometric fluxes are turned on, the real parts of the moduli may obtain realistic values.
- As a further work, a solution for these moduli based in the Randall and Thomas propose is considered.
- A detailed analysis of these solutions are left to a forthcoming work.

Questions