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## ABSTRACT

The static properties of baryons are computed in heavy baryon chiral perturbation theory in the large- $N_{c}$ limit, where $N_{c}$ is the number of colors. The analysis is specialized to the baryon axial-vector couplings and magnetic moments. One-loop non-analytic corrections are analyzed in the limit $\Delta \equiv M_{T}-M_{B} \rightarrow 0$ and compared with the current experimental data. An extra comparison with conventional heavy baryon chiral perturbation theory is carried out for $N_{f}=N_{c}=3$.

Both approaches coincide order by order in the expansion
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## Introduction

QCD is an $\operatorname{SU}(3)$ gauge theory of quarks and gluons. Despite the progress achieved in the understanding of the strong interactions with QCD, analytic calculations of the spectrum and properties of hadrons are not possible because the theory is strongly coupled at low energies.

Some methods to extract the low-energy consequences of QCD:
$\triangleright$ Chiral perturbation theory
$\triangleright$ The $1 / N_{c}$ expansion

## Chiral Perturbation Theory

- CHPT exploits the symmetry of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{QCD}}$ under $\mathrm{SU}(3)_{L} \times \mathrm{SU}(3)_{R} \times$ $\mathrm{U}(1)_{V}$ transformations on the flavors $u, d, s$ in the limit $m_{q} \rightarrow 0$.
- Chiral symmetry is spontaneously broken to the vector subgroup $\mathrm{SU}(3) \times \mathrm{U}(1)_{V}$ by the QCD vacuum, resulting in an octet of pseudoscalar Goldstone bosons, the mesons.
- There is an expansion about the chiral limit in powers of $m_{q} / \Lambda_{\chi}$, or, equivalently, in powers of $m_{\Pi}^{2} / \Lambda_{\chi}^{2}$, where $\Lambda_{\chi} \sim 1 \mathrm{GeV}$ is the scale of chiral symmetry breaking and $m_{\Pi}$ is the meson mass.
- Baryons can be incorporated in a systematic way (HBCHPT).


## Large- $N_{c}$ QCD

- The generalization of QCD from $N_{c}=3$ to $N_{c} \gg 3$ colors, known as the large- $N_{c}$ limit, was proposed ${ }^{1}$ to understand the nonperturbative dynamics of hadrons.
- Large- $N_{c} \mathrm{QCD}$ is the $\mathrm{SU}\left(N_{c}\right)$ gauge theory of quarks and gluons, where $N_{C}$ is a parameter of the theory.
- In the large- $N_{c}$ limit the meson sector consists of a spectrum of narrow resonances ${ }^{1}$ and meson-meson scattering amplitudes are suppressed by powers of $1 / \sqrt{N_{c}}$. The baryon sector is more subtle to analyze. ${ }^{2}$
- Physical quantities are considered in this limit, where corrections arise at relative orders $1 / N_{c}, 1 / N_{c}^{2}, \ldots$, the $1 / N_{c}$ expansion.
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## Heavy Baryon Chiral Perturbation Theory

To lowest order in the derivative expansion, $\mathcal{L}_{\text {baryon }}$ is $^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {baryon }}= & i \operatorname{Tr} \bar{B}_{v}(v \cdot \mathcal{D}) B_{v}-i \bar{T}_{v}^{\mu}(v \cdot \mathcal{D}) T_{v \mu}+\Delta \bar{T}_{v}^{\mu} T_{v \mu} \\
& +2 D \operatorname{Tr} \bar{B}_{v} S_{v}^{\mu}\left\{\mathcal{A}_{\mu}, B_{v}\right\}+2 F \operatorname{Tr} \bar{B}_{v} S_{v}^{\mu}\left[\mathcal{A}_{\mu}, B_{v}\right] \\
& +\mathcal{C}\left(\bar{T}_{v}^{\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\mu} B_{v}+\bar{B}_{v} \mathcal{A}_{\mu} T_{v}^{\mu}\right)+2 \mathcal{H} \bar{T}_{v}^{\mu} S_{v}^{\nu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu} T_{v \mu}
\end{aligned}
$$

$B_{v}$ and $T_{a b c}^{\mu}$ are baryon octet and decuplet fields. Octet meson fields enter into $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}$ and $\mathcal{V}_{\mu}$ via

$$
\xi=e^{i \Pi / f}, \quad \Sigma=\xi^{2}=e^{2 i \Pi / f}
$$

where $f \approx 93 \mathrm{MeV}$ is the pion decay constant.
$D, F, \mathcal{C}$, and $\mathcal{H}$ are coupling constants and $\Delta=M_{\Delta}-M_{B}$.
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## Chiral Corrections to the Baryon Axial Vector Current
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Figure 1: One-loop corrections to the baryon axial vector current.

The renormalized baryon axial vector current is ${ }^{3}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle B_{i}\right| J_{\mu}^{A}\left|B_{j}\right\rangle=[ & \left.\alpha_{i j}-\sum_{\Pi}\left(\bar{\beta}_{i j}^{\Pi}-\bar{\lambda}_{i j}^{\Pi} \alpha_{i j}\right) F\left(m_{\Pi}, 0, \mu\right)+\sum_{\Pi} \gamma_{i j}^{\Pi} I\left(m_{\Pi}, \mu\right)\right] \\
& \times \bar{u}_{B_{i}} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} u_{B_{j}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$\triangleright \alpha_{i j}$ : lowest order result
$\triangleright \bar{\beta}_{i j}^{\Pi}=\beta_{i j}^{\Pi}+\beta^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i j}$ : from Fig. 1(a)
$\triangleright \bar{\lambda}_{i j}^{\Pi}=\lambda_{i j}^{\Pi}+\lambda^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i j}^{\prime}$ : from wave function renormalization, Figs. 1(b,c)
$\triangleright \gamma_{i j}^{\Pi}$ : from Fig. 1(d).
$F\left(m_{\Pi}, \Delta, \mu\right)$ and $I\left(m_{\Pi}, \mu\right)$ are the integrals over the loops.
${ }^{3}$ E. Jenkins and A. V. Manohar, Phys. Lett. B 255, 558 (1991); 259, 353 (1991).

## Important Results

$\triangleright$ The $F / D$ ratios were found to be close to their $\mathrm{SU}(6)$ values, with $F / D \approx 2 / 3$, value predicted by the nonrelativistic quark model.
$\triangleright$ There were large cancellations in the corrections to the baryon axial vector current between one-loop graphs with intermediate spin- $1 / 2$ octet and spin- $3 / 2$ decuplet baryon states:
$\square$ Corrections $\sim 100 \%$ when only octet baryon states are included
$\square$ Corrections $\sim 40 \%$ when both octet and decuplet baryon states are included
Using the $1 / N_{c}$ expansion it can be proved that, for pions, ${ }^{4}$

$$
\frac{F}{D}=\frac{2}{3}+\mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N_{c}^{2}}\right)
$$
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## The $1 / N_{C}$ Expansion of QCD

In the large- $N_{c}$ limit the baryon sector has a contracted spin-flavor symmetry $\mathrm{SU}\left(2 N_{f}\right)$, with $N_{f}$ the number of light-quark flavors.
$\mathrm{SU}\left(2 N_{f}\right)$ decomposes under $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}\left(N_{f}\right)$ into a tower of baryon states with spins $J=\frac{1}{2}, \ldots, \frac{N_{c}}{2}$ in the flavor representation. ${ }^{5}$

Any physical operator $\mathcal{O}^{(m)}$ that scales as $N_{c}^{m}$ may be written as

$$
\mathcal{O}^{(m)}=N_{c}^{m} \sum_{n, \bar{p}, q} c_{n}\left(\frac{J^{i}}{N_{c}}\right)^{p}\left(\frac{T^{a}}{N_{c}}\right)^{q}\left(\frac{G^{j b}}{N_{c}}\right)^{n-p-q}
$$

The $c_{n}$ have power series expansions in $1 / N_{c}$ beginning at order unity.

[^3]A QCD operator transforming according to a given $\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \operatorname{SU}\left(N_{f}\right)$ representation can be expanded as ${ }^{6}$

$$
\mathcal{O}_{\mathrm{QCD}}=\sum_{n} c_{n} \frac{1}{N_{c}^{n-1}} \mathcal{O}^{(n)}
$$

The spin-flavor generators $J^{i}, T^{a}$, and $G^{i a}$ of $\operatorname{SU}\left(2 N_{f}\right)$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^{i} & =q^{\dagger}\left(\frac{\sigma^{i}}{2} \otimes I\right) q, & (1,1) \\
T^{a} & =q^{\dagger}\left(I \otimes \frac{\lambda^{a}}{2}\right) q, & (0, \mathrm{adj}) \\
G^{i a} & =q^{\dagger}\left(\frac{\sigma^{i}}{2} \otimes \frac{\lambda^{a}}{2}\right) q, & (1, \mathrm{adj})
\end{aligned}
$$

They satisfy a Lie algebra.

[^4]- Baryon axial vector current operator

$$
A^{k c}=a_{1} G^{k c}+b_{2} \frac{1}{N_{c}} \mathcal{D}_{2}^{k c}+b_{3} \frac{1}{N_{c}^{2}} \mathcal{D}_{3}^{k c}+c_{3} \frac{1}{N_{c}^{2}} \mathcal{O}_{3}^{k c}+\ldots
$$

$c=1+i 2$ for $\Delta S=0$ transitions and $c=4+i 5$ for $|\Delta S|=1$ transitions.

- Baryon magnetic moment operator

$$
M^{k c}=m_{1} G^{k c}+m_{2} \frac{1}{N_{c}} \mathcal{D}_{2}^{k c}+m_{3} \frac{1}{N_{c}^{2}} \mathcal{D}_{3}^{k c}+m_{4} \frac{1}{N_{c}^{2}} \mathcal{O}_{3}^{k c}+\ldots
$$

The magnetic moments are proportional to the quark charge matrix $\mathcal{Q}=\operatorname{diag}(2 / 3,-1 / 3,-1 / 3)$, so they can be separated into isovector and isoscalar components, $M^{k 3}$ and $M^{k 8}$, respectively. We thus define the baryon magnetic moment operator as

$$
M^{k}=M^{k Q} \equiv M^{k 3}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} M^{k 8}
$$

In the chiral limit $m_{q} \rightarrow 0$

- Mesons become massless Goldstone boson states
- There is an expansion about the chiral limit in powers of $m_{q} / \Lambda_{\chi}$

In the large- $N_{c}$ limit

- The nucleon and $\Delta$ become degenerate, $M_{\Delta}-M_{N} \propto 1 / N_{c} \rightarrow 0$ and form a single irreducible representation of the contracted spinflavor symmetry of baryons
- There is an expansion in powers of $1 / N_{c}$ about this limit

Goal: Consider a combined expansion in $m_{q} / \Lambda_{\chi}$ and $1 / N_{c}$ about the double limit $m_{q} \rightarrow 0$ and $N_{c} \rightarrow \infty$.

In the chiral limit $m_{q} \rightarrow 0$ with $\Delta$ held fixed,

$$
F\left(m_{\Pi}, \Delta, \mu\right)=F_{0}+\left(\frac{m_{\Pi}}{\Delta}\right) F_{1}+\left(\frac{m_{\Pi}}{\Delta}\right)^{2} F_{2}+\ldots
$$

In the $1 / N_{c} \rightarrow 0$ limit with $m_{\Pi}$ held fixed

$$
F\left(m_{\Pi}, \Delta, \mu\right)=\bar{F}_{0}+\left(\frac{\Delta}{m_{\Pi}}\right) \bar{F}_{1}+\left(\frac{\Delta}{m_{\Pi}}\right)^{2} \bar{F}_{2}+\ldots
$$

The difference between the two expansions is referred to as the noncommutativity of the chiral and large- $N_{c}$ limits. ${ }^{7}$

Conditions for HBCHPT to be valid:

$$
m_{\Pi} \ll \Lambda_{\chi} \quad \text { and } \quad \Delta \ll \Lambda_{\chi}
$$

$m_{\Pi} / \Delta$ is not constrained $\left(m_{\Pi} / \Delta \sim 0.5\right)$.
${ }^{\text {rT. D. Cohen, Phys. Lett. B359, } 23 \text { (1995). }}$

## Chiral Lagrangian for Baryons in the $1 / N_{c}$ Expansion

$\mathcal{L}_{\text {baryon }}=$

$$
i \mathcal{D}^{0}-\mathcal{M}_{\text {hyperfine }}+\operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathcal{A}^{k} \lambda^{c}\right) A^{k c}+\frac{1}{N_{c}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(\mathcal{A}^{k} \frac{2 I}{\sqrt{6}}\right) A^{k}+\ldots,
$$

with ${ }^{8}$
$\xi(x)=e^{i \Pi(x) / f}, \quad \Pi(x)=\frac{\pi^{a}(x) \lambda^{a}}{2}+\frac{\eta^{\prime}(x) I}{\sqrt{6}}, \quad(a=1, \ldots, 8)$
For $N_{C}=3$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{M}_{\text {hyperfine }}=m_{2} \frac{1}{N_{c}} J^{2} \\
A^{k c}=a_{1} G^{k c}+b_{2} \frac{1}{N_{c}} J^{k} T^{c}+b_{3} \frac{1}{N_{c}^{2}} \mathcal{D}_{3}^{k c}+c_{3} \frac{1}{N_{c}^{2}} \mathcal{O}_{3}^{k c}
\end{gathered}
$$
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## Renormalization of the Baryon Axial Vector Current

One-loop wave function renormalization graph:


$$
\begin{aligned}
i G_{B}= & \sum_{j, k, b, B_{I}} \frac{i^{2}}{f^{2}}\left[A^{k b}\right]_{B B_{I}}\left[A^{j b}\right]_{B_{I} B} \\
& \times \int \frac{d^{4} k}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \overline{\left(k^{2}-m_{b}^{2}\right)\left[(k+p) \cdot v-\left(\mathbf{k}_{I}-M\right)+i \epsilon\right]},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $b=1, \ldots, 9$ or $\pi, K, \eta, \eta^{\prime}$ labels the intermediate meson.

## Vertex Correction



$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\delta A^{i a}\right]_{B^{\prime} B}^{\text {vertex }}=\sum_{j, k, b, B_{1}, B_{2}}^{\sum}-\frac{i}{f^{2}}\left[A^{k b}\right]_{B^{\prime} B_{2}}\left[A^{i a}\right]_{B_{2} B_{1}}\left[A^{j b}\right]_{B_{1} B} \int \frac{d^{4} k}{(2 \pi)^{4}}} \\
& \times \frac{\left(\mathbf{k}^{k}\right)\left(-\mathbf{k}^{j}\right)}{\left(k^{2}-m_{b}^{2}\right)\left(k \cdot v-\Delta_{M_{1} M}+i \epsilon\right)\left((k-q) \cdot v-\Delta_{M_{2} M}+i \epsilon\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

$q \cdot v=0$ and $q \cdot v=M-M^{\prime}$ for octet-octet and decuplet-decuplet matrix elements, respectively.

## Total Correction From Figs. $1(\mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{c}): \Delta / m_{\Pi} \rightarrow 0$ Limit

$$
\delta A^{k c}=\frac{1}{2}\left[A^{i a},\left[A^{i b}, A^{k c}\right]\right] \Pi^{a b}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Pi^{a b}= & \frac{1}{8}[3 F(\pi)+4 F(K)+F(\eta)] \delta^{a b} \\
& +\frac{2 \sqrt{3}}{5}\left[\frac{3}{2} F(\pi)-F(K)-\frac{1}{2} F(\eta)\right] d^{a b 8} \\
& +\left[\frac{1}{3} F(\pi)-\frac{4}{3} F(K)+F(\eta)\right]\left(\delta^{a 8} \delta^{b 8}-\frac{1}{8} \delta^{a b}-\frac{3}{5} d^{a b 8} d^{888}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
F\left(m_{b}, 0, \mu\right)=-\frac{1}{16 \pi^{2} f^{2}} m_{b}^{2}\left(\frac{11}{3}+\ln \frac{m_{b}^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\right)
$$

## Large- $N_{C}$ Cancellations

For baryons with spins of order unity

$$
T^{a} \sim N_{c}, \quad G^{i a} \sim N_{c}, \quad J^{i} \sim 1
$$

Naively

$$
\left[A^{j a},\left[A^{j b}, A^{k c}\right]\right] \sim \mathcal{O}\left(N_{c}^{3}\right)
$$

But from large- $N_{c}$ consistency conditions and analytic calculations

$$
\left[A^{j a},\left[A^{j b}, A^{k c}\right]\right] \sim \mathcal{O}\left(N_{c}\right)
$$

There are large- $N_{c}$ cancellations provided one sums over all baryon states in a complete multiplet of the large- $N_{c} \mathrm{SU}(6)$ spin-flavor symmetry, i.e., over both the octet and the decuplet, and uses axial coupling ratios given by the large- $N_{c}$ symmetry.

## Some Explicit Calculations

- Singlet contribution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[G^{i a},\left[G^{i a}, J^{k} T^{c}\right]\right]+\left[G^{i a},\left[J^{i} T^{a}, G^{k c}\right]\right]+\left[J^{i} T^{a},\left[G^{i a}, G^{k c}\right]\right]=} \\
& -\frac{2}{N_{f}}\left(N_{c}+N_{f}\right) G^{k c}+\left(\frac{9}{4} N_{f}-\frac{1}{N_{f}}+2\right) J^{k} T^{c} \\
& \sim \mathcal{O}\left(N_{c}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Octet contribution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d^{a b 8}\left[G^{i a},\left[G^{i b}, G^{k c}\right]\right]=\left(\frac{3}{8} N_{f}-\frac{2}{N_{f}}\right) d^{c 8 e} G^{k e}+\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{2}{N_{f}^{2}}\right) \delta^{c 8} J^{k} \\
& \sim \mathcal{O}\left(N_{c}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- 27 contribution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[G^{i 8},\left[G^{i 8}, G^{k c}\right]\right]=\frac{1}{4}\left(-f^{c 8 d} f^{d 8 e}+2 d^{c 8 d} d^{d 8 e}\right) G^{k e}+\frac{1}{N_{f}} \delta^{c 8} G^{k 8}} \\
& +\frac{1}{2 N_{f}} d^{c 88} J^{k} \\
& \sim \mathcal{O}\left(N_{c}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta A^{k c}=\frac{1}{2}\left[A^{j a},\left[A^{j b}, A^{k c}\right]\right] \Pi^{a b} \sim \mathcal{O}\left(N_{c}^{0}\right) \\
\delta A^{k c} \sim \frac{1}{N_{c}} \times g_{A}
\end{gathered}
$$

with $g_{A} \sim \mathcal{O}\left(N_{c}\right)$ and $f \sim \mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{N_{c}}\right)$.

Correction From Fig. 1(d)

$$
\delta A^{k c}=-\frac{1}{2}\left[T^{a}\left[T^{b}, A^{k c}\right]\right] \Pi^{a b}
$$

where $\Pi^{a b}$ is now a function of $I\left(m_{\Pi}\right)$.

- Singlet piece

$$
\left[T^{a},\left[T^{a}, A^{k c}\right]\right]=N_{f} A^{k c} \sim \mathcal{O}\left(N_{c}\right)
$$

- Octet piece

$$
d^{a b 8}\left[T^{a},\left[T^{b}, A^{k c}\right]\right]=\frac{N_{f}}{2} d^{c 8 e} A^{k e} \sim \mathcal{O}\left(N_{c}\right)
$$

- 27 piece

$$
\left[T^{8},\left[T^{8}, A^{k c}\right]\right]=f^{c 8 d} f^{8 d e} A^{k e} \sim \mathcal{O}\left(N_{c}\right)
$$

Thus

$$
\delta A^{k c} \sim \frac{1}{N_{c}} \times g_{A}
$$

## Comparison Between the two Approaches

In the limit $\Delta / m_{\Pi}=0$

$$
\delta A_{\mathrm{deg}}^{k c}=\frac{1}{2}\left[A^{j a},\left[A^{j b}, A^{k c}\right]\right] \Pi_{(F)}^{a b}-\frac{1}{2}\left[T^{a}\left[T^{b}, A^{k c}\right]\right] \Pi_{(I)}^{a b}
$$

so the renormalized current is

$$
A_{\mathrm{ren}}^{k c}=A^{k c}+\delta A_{\mathrm{deg}}^{k c}
$$

The matrix elements of the space components of the axial vector current between baryon states are

$$
\left\langle B_{j}\right| \bar{\psi} \gamma^{k} \gamma_{5} T^{c} \psi\left|B_{i}\right\rangle=\left[A_{\mathrm{ren}}^{k c}\right]_{B_{j} B_{i}}
$$

$B_{i}$ and $B_{j}$ are baryons in the lowest-lying irreducible representation of contracted $\mathrm{SU}(6)$ spin-flavor symmetry.

The correction within HBCHPT to the axial current can be decomposed into flavor singlet, octet and $\mathbf{2 7}$ contributions in terms of flavor singlet, octet, and 27 linear combinations of $F\left(m_{\Pi}\right)$ and $I\left(m_{\Pi}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle B_{j}\right| J_{\mu}^{A}\left|B_{i}\right\rangle= & {\left[\alpha_{B_{j} B_{i}}+b_{\mathbf{1}}^{B_{j} B_{i}} F_{\mathbf{1}}+b_{\mathbf{8}}^{B_{j} B_{i}} F_{\mathbf{8}}+b_{\mathbf{2}}^{B_{j} B_{i}} F_{\mathbf{2 7}}\right.} \\
& \left.+c_{\mathbf{1}}^{B_{j} B_{i}} I_{\mathbf{1}}+c_{\mathbf{8}}^{B_{j} B_{i}} I_{\mathbf{8}}+c_{\mathbf{2 7}}^{B_{j} B_{i}} I_{\mathbf{2 7}}\right] \bar{u}_{B_{j}} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} u_{B_{i}},
\end{aligned}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b_{\mathbf{1}}^{B_{j} B_{i}}=-\left(a_{B_{j} B_{i}}^{\pi}+a_{B_{j} B_{i}}^{K}+a_{B_{j} B_{i}}^{\eta}\right), \\
& a_{B_{j} B_{i}}^{\Pi}=\bar{\beta}_{B_{j} B_{i}}^{\Pi}-\bar{\lambda}_{B_{j} B_{i}}^{\Pi} \alpha_{B_{j} B_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
F_{\mathbf{1}}=\frac{1}{8}[3 F(\pi)+4 F(K)+F(\eta)]
$$

with similar expressions for the remaining coefficients. ${ }^{9}$

[^6]For $N_{C}=3$, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the contributions of $\left[A_{\text {ren }}^{k c}\right]_{B_{j} B_{i}}$ and $\left\langle B_{j}\right| J_{\mu}^{A}\left|B_{i}\right\rangle$.

For Figs. 1(a,b,c) one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\frac{1}{2}\left[A^{i a},\left[A^{i a}, A^{k c}\right]\right]\right]_{B_{j} B_{i}}=b_{\mathbf{1}}^{B_{j} B_{i}},} \\
& {\left[\frac{1}{2} d^{a b 8}\left[A^{i a},\left[A^{i b}, A^{k c}\right]\right]\right]_{B_{j} B_{i}}=b_{\mathbf{8}}^{B_{j} B_{i}},} \\
& {\left[\frac{1}{2}\left[A^{i 8},\left[A^{i 8}, A^{k c}\right]\right]\right]_{B_{j} B_{i}}=b_{\mathbf{2 7}}^{B_{j} B_{i}},}
\end{aligned}
$$

and similar expressions occur for Fig. 1(d)

For example, for the process $n \rightarrow p e \bar{\nu}_{e}$ the singlet piece is

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[\frac{1}{2}\left[A^{i a},\left[A^{i a}, A^{k c}\right]\right]\right]_{p n}=\frac{115}{144} a_{1}^{3}+\frac{7}{48} a_{1}^{2} b_{2}+\frac{19}{48} a_{1} b_{2}^{2}-\frac{31}{432} a_{1}^{2} b_{3}} \\
-\frac{11}{12} a_{1}^{2} c_{3}+\frac{7}{144} b_{2}^{2}+\frac{169}{216} a_{1} b_{2} b_{3}-\frac{37}{36} a_{1} b_{2} c_{3}+\ldots, \tag{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

whereas from HBCHPT

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\mathbf{1}}^{p n}=-2(F+D)^{3}-\frac{2}{9}(F+D)^{2} \mathcal{C}^{2}-\frac{50}{81} \mathcal{H} \mathcal{C}^{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (1) and (2) are found to be the same under

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
D=\frac{1}{2} a_{1}+\frac{1}{6} b_{3}, & \mathcal{C}=-a_{1}-\frac{1}{2} c_{3}, \\
F=\frac{1}{3} a_{1}+\frac{1}{6} b_{2}+\frac{1}{9} b_{3}, & \mathcal{H}=-\frac{3}{2} a_{1}-\frac{3}{2} b_{2}-\frac{5}{2} b_{3} .
\end{array}
$$

Both approaches yield the same results order by order

## Some Numerical Values

Including corrections to order $\mathcal{O}\left(1 / N_{c}^{2}\right)$ to $g_{A}$ one has ${ }^{10}$

Table 1: Values of $g_{A}$ for various semileptonic processes.

| Process | Total value | Tree level | Singlet piece | Octet piece | $\mathbf{2 7}$ piece |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $n \rightarrow p e^{-} \bar{\nu}_{e}$ | 1.272 | 1.031 | 0.279 | -0.040 | 0.002 |
| $\Sigma^{+} \rightarrow \Lambda e^{+} \nu_{e}$ | 0.653 | 0.542 | 0.168 | -0.057 | 0.000 |
| $\Sigma^{-} \rightarrow \Lambda e^{-} \bar{\nu}_{e}$ | 0.624 | 0.542 | 0.113 | -0.031 | -0.000 |
| $\Lambda \rightarrow p e^{-} \bar{\nu}_{e}$ | -0.904 | -0.720 | -0.134 | -0.055 | 0.005 |
| $\Sigma^{-} \rightarrow n e^{-} \bar{\nu}_{e}$ | 0.375 | 0.298 | 0.080 | -0.002 | -0.001 |
| $\Xi^{-} \rightarrow \Lambda e^{-} \bar{\nu}_{e}$ | 0.139 | 0.178 | -0.034 | -0.004 | -0.001 |
| $\Xi^{-} \rightarrow \Sigma^{0} e^{-} \bar{\nu}_{e}$ | 0.869 | 0.729 | 0.128 | 0.014 | -0.002 |
| $\Xi^{0} \rightarrow \Sigma^{+} e^{-} \bar{\nu}_{e}$ | 1.312 | 1.031 | 0.246 | 0.041 | -0.006 |

Calculation of higher-order corrections is rather involved.
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## Work in Progress: Full Dependence on $\Delta / m_{\Pi}$

Expanding the function $F(m, \Delta, \mu)$ in a power series yields,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta A^{k c}= & \frac{1}{2}\left[A^{j a},\left[A^{j b}, A^{k c}\right]\right] \Pi_{(1)}^{a b}-\frac{1}{2}\left\{A^{j a},\left[A^{k c},\left[\mathcal{M}, A^{j b}\right]\right]\right\} \Pi_{(2)}^{a b} \\
& +\frac{1}{6}\left(\left[A^{j a},\left[\left[\mathcal{M},\left[\mathcal{M}, A^{j b}\right]\right], A^{k c}\right]\right]\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2}\left[\left[\mathcal{M}, A^{j a}\right],\left[\left[\mathcal{M}, A^{j b}\right], A^{k c}\right]\right]\right) \Pi_{(3)}^{a b}+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

where the tensor $\Pi_{(n)}^{a b}$ is written in terms of

$$
F^{(n)}\left(m_{\Pi}, \Delta, \mu\right) \equiv \frac{\partial^{n} F\left(m_{\Pi}, \Delta, \mu\right)}{\partial \Delta^{n}}
$$

We expect to get a more stable fit by improving the convergence of the series.

## Conclusions

- An alternative approach to write one-loop corrections in heavy baryon chiral perturbation theory has been proposed, including the functional dependence in $\Delta \equiv M_{\Delta}-M_{N}$.
- There are large cancellations in loops containing intermediate octet and decuplet baryon states.
- These cancellations arise naturally in this approach and not as a numerical cancellation at the end of the calculation.
- The one-loop correction is very sensitive to the deviations of the axial coupling ratios from their $\mathrm{SU}(6)$ values.
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