



# WHAT IS A T1?

Federico Carminati The Grid of the Americas February 8-11, 2011





- LHC is a proton-proton and heavy ion collider
- Proton-proton center-of-mass energy  $\sqrt{s_{pp}} = 14 \text{ TeV}$
- Started Sept 2009





- LHC is a proton-proton and heavy ion collider
- Proton-proton center-of-mass energy  $\sqrt{s_{pp}} = 14 \text{ TeV}$
- Started Sept 2009





- LHC is a proton-proton and heavy ion collider
- Proton-proton center-of-mass energy  $\sqrt{s_{pp}} = 14 \text{ TeV}$
- Started Sept 2009









# THE GRID – IT ALL STARTEDWITH MONARC

The computing models are remarkably similar

T0: First reco, data storage

- T1: Subsequent recos, MC reco, ordered analysis
- T2: MC, Chaotic analysis
- T3: End user analysis

#### ALICE does not have (or admit having...) T3s









- The idea of using the Grid for HEP was launched at CHEP2001 at Padova
- The Grid has been one of the greatest successes of LHC
- At the first MONARCH meetings we had no idea of how we could bring different sites to work together
- Now it is an everyday reality, and it is quickly becoming "simply" a physicist's working tool



26

Imperial College London

GridPP





GRID-based analysis in June-July 2010: >1000 different users, ~ 11 million analysis jobs processed

### THE MONARC MODEL

 The Monarc model was designed at the end of the last century based on a "rigid" distribution of tasks between centres of different size and role



## THE ROLE OF THE T1 IN MONARC

- The T1 has an important role as
  - Data custody
  - Data serving to a number of "dependent" T2-3 centres
  - Support and consultancy for "dependent" T2-3 centres
- Most of the LHC Grid has been build this way























### THE ALICE ANALYSIS FACILITIES

- Proof-enabled, Gridaware parallel computing platform
- Used for early discovery physics, calibration
- "Victim of its own success" has doubled twice in the last year at CERN, 480 cores in few days



### **T1 OBLIGATIONS**

### (WLCG MOU)

Service	Maximum delay in responding to operational problems			Average availability measured on an annual basis	
	Service interruption	Degradation of the capacity of the service by more than 50%	Degradation of the capacity of the service by more than 20%	During accelerator operation	At all other times
Acceptance of data from the Tier-0 Centre during accelerator operation	12 hours	12 hours	24 hours	99%	n/a
Networking service to the Tier-0 Centre during accelerator operation	12 hours	24 hours	48 hours	98%	n/a
Data-intensive analysis services, including networking to Tier-0, Tier-1 Centres outwith accelerator operation	24 hours	48 hours	48 hours	n/a	98%
All other services – prime service hours <sup>6</sup>	2 hour	2 hour	4 hours	98%	98%
All other services – outwith prime service hours	24 hours	48 hours	48 hours	97%	97%

### THE GRID – DATA TRANSFER

Data transfer has been especially successful

Out of CERN has peaked above 1GB

Transfer between centres also very good







- The network is probably the best surprise here
- Still the least oversubscribed resource we have



At present, institutes in Europe typically have a  $\sim 1$  Mb/s access to CERN. In some places the available bandwidth is aiready as high as 622 Mb/s. We expect Gb/s networks to be available by the beginning of LHC operation. This assumes an increase of a factor of  $\sim 100$ , which is typical of the improvements in the technology over a ten-year period. However, current price trends would imply that achieving this performance would require an increase in network funding.

#### CERN/LHCC/94 43

15 December 1994

#### **Technical Proposal**

#### for a General-Purpose pp Experiment

at the

Large Hadron Collider at CERN

### DATA IS STILL THE PROBLEM

- Data placement is the main problem, particularly for analysis
  - "predictive" data placement (ATLAS & CMS) or
    "opportunistic" (ALICE need single catalogue)
  - Data distribution "per se" works very well
- With "infinite" disk space the two are equivalent
- If we distributed data
  "generously", deleting them
  is a real trouble
- Increasing the disk more difficult than increasing CPU
- Quotas & monitoring more difficult for data than CPU

#### Data Distribution for Analysis

- Data transferred from Tier-1's
  - 49 Tier-2 sites received data
  - > 5 PB transferred in last 120 days
  - average rate 562 MB/s
  - max rate 1407 MB/s
- Data transferred between Tier-2's
  - 41 Tier-2 sites received data
  - > 2.5 PB transferred in last 120 days
  - average rate 254 MB/s
  - max rate 853 MB /s
  - full mesh approach
  - Data distribution re-balances itself
  - Datasets produced at Tier-2's can be distributed to others







11, 31, 52, 52 and 12, 31, 54, 54, 55, 55, 55 13, 12, 54, 54, 55, 55 14, 12, 54, 54, 55 14, 14, 54, 54 14, 55, 55, 55 14, 55, 55, 55 14, 55, 55, 55 14, 55	UP3, PLASS OF3, PLASS OF3, PLASS OF3, Chem OF3, Che	UTLUS, M. Foreis TLUS, M. UTLUS, M. UTLUS, M. UTLUS, M. Solar, SALP UTLUS, M. Solar, SALP UTLUS, M. Solar, SALP	C1,03,504-ratio C12,04,0454 C12,04,0454 C12,04,0454 C12,04,0454 C12,04,0454 C12,04,045 C12,04,045 C12,04,045 C12,04,045 C12,04,045	T2,50,70010 T2,70,500,600 T2,70,500,600 T2,70,70,500 T2,70,70,000 T2,70,70,000 T2,70,900 T2,70,900 T2,70,900 T2,70,900
TO TH METAL				

new \$15,00,000, Marriage 17,25 Mills, Applant 254,50 Mills, Carried, 54 Mills

ICHEP - July 2010

## DESTITUTION OF THE MONARC

 Given the good performance of the network and the issues with data placement, the Monarc model is evolving from Grid to Cloud

## DESTITUTION OF THE MONARC

 Given the good performance of the network and the issues with data placement, the Monarc model is evolving from Grid to Cloud



## DESTITUTION OF THE MONARC

 Given the good performance of the network and the issues with data placement, the Monarc model is evolving from Grid to Cloud



# A CLOUD OVER THE GRID

- T0-1-2-3 hierarchy tends to be softened by userdriven data placement and transfer
  - T1 and T2 are becoming equivalent in the network (OPNng)
- No longer disk space but network bandwidth will scale with #users and #data

### SO WHY A T1?

- Running a T1 means tackle important challenges of providing reliable and continual service to the worldwide community
- Being well maintained and highly available centres, the T1s are at the forefront of the current evolution of the Grid toward the Cloud and beyond
- Running at T1 is being at the edge of this evolution, in an ideal position to observe and influence it

# WHAT ABOUT THE LOCAL COMMUNITY

- In the ALICE model resources are not dedicated
  - All jobs run in all the centre
- In this sense a T1 is a "contribution" to the whole community
  - However the proximity of highly experienced and skilled professionals is an important advantage for the local community
  - The establishment of an AAF system supported by a T1 infrastructure will be a big advantage for the local community

# WHAT ABOUT RESOURCES

- A T1 is not about resources, it is about competence and service
  - It is more about people than machines
- However a T1 service makes sense only beyond a certain level
  - We expect a T1 to have few thousands cores
- And our experience is that 4 FTE / 1000 cores is a reasonable order of magnitude
- Network provisioning will be of course of paramount importance

### CONCLUSIONS

- We are at the beginning of a nice adventure, and I ALICE is looking forward to work with you
- Commitment and development of human potential are key to the success
- So good luck and let's go!

