X-ray flare characteristics and probability of solar proton events
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Abstract: A relation between solar particle enhancements near Earth and solar flares properties is studied using as a working tool an extensive database of X-ray flares and proton events. This database includes about 63000 flares observed by GOES satellites over the period of 1975-2007 and >1200 proton enhancements. Feasible for usage in real time regime, models of probability of different type proton enhancements are proposed using data on X-ray flare location and their importance.

Introduction

Flares and the processes of acceleration are within one complex of sporadic solar events (together with CME generation, radio bursts, magnetic field dissipation and reconnection). This supposes a connection (if not physical, but at least statistical) between characteristics of the proton events and flares. Belov et al. [1] have shown on the basis of large experimental material that the main properties of proton enhancements near Earth are tightly related with the parameters of associated X-ray flares (first of all, with their power and heliolongitude).

The models allowing prediction of probability and properties of proton enhancements near Earth by the flare and radio burst observations, were actively elaborated in 70-80 of the last century [2-7], and they are used at present, for example, in SEC/NOAA[8]. Already the first versions of these models proved their practical validity. Since that time a considerable volume of data was accumulated and more than 1200 proton enhancement near Earth have been selected [1] that gives a chance to obtain model parameters more correctly and to extend the area of usage for these models.

In this work we use data on the flares together with spacecraft and ground level proton enhancements (GLEs), collected over the period of regular solar soft X-ray observations. The models of probability of the various proton events are constructed and optimized for real time prognosis of proton enhancements.

Data and Methods

This work is performed on the database of X-ray flares and proton enhancements (see [1], where the method of the event selection and identification with flares is described). Data on the soft X-ray (SXR) is obtained onboard GOES satellites. At present this database includes all X-ray flares observed from the end of 1975 to the beginning of 2007 in a region of 1-8 Å wavelengths. Solar proton enhancements were selected by authors from the proton flux measurements (>10 MeV and >100 MeV, IMP-8 and GOES). During this period the 1274 proton enhancements with energy >10 MzB were observed. We are succeeded in identifying 679 of them with solar sources. The first identified event regards to November 1975, the last one – to December 2006. The enhancements associated with solar sources allow us to study different relations and to establish quantitative correlations between flare characteristicss on the Sun and properties of proton events near Earth. These dependencies, in particular, allow a creation of model of the proton event probability.

The model of a probability p_s has been searched as p_s(I_x,ϕ) = f_x(I_x) f_ϕ(ϕ), where f_x(I_x) and f_ϕ(ϕ) – are the functions of importance and longitude of SXR flare. Several versions of these functions
were tested, and the following shape was finally chosen:

\[
p_x(I_x, \phi) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{I_x}{I_0} \gamma \exp \left[ -\left( \frac{\phi - \phi_0}{\sigma_{\phi}} \right)^4 \right] & (I_x < I_0) \\
\exp \left[ -\left( \frac{\phi - \phi_0}{\sigma_{\phi}} \right)^4 \right] & (I_x \geq I_0)
\end{cases}
\]

Parameters \(I_0, \gamma, \phi_0, \sigma_\phi\) were derived using all the flares with importance \(>B5\). The observed probability was equal to 1 if flare was associated with observed proton events, and it was attributed to 0 for all other cases. Calculations were carried out for different kinds of proton enhancements (by fluxes and energy), some properties of which are given in Table 1.

Discussion of the results

Proton flares are mainly powerful flares located inside a definite longitudinal sector centered in the western part visible solar disk. There is wide enough belt of western longitudes where probability of proton flare depends weakly on the longitude, but outside of this zone the probability falls quickly. Thus, remote eastern flares and majority flares on the back western side of the Sun have no chance to be registered at Earth as proton ones. A probability of the flare to be related to the proton enhancement is quickly growing up with the SXR importance increasing, and under definite, sufficiently large peak fluxes \(I_0\) it approaches to the upper limit -100 %. It is clear that the further increase of the flare importance (flare energy release) cannot make the probability more high. A distribution of the GLE probability as function on \(I_x\) and \(\phi\) is shown in Figure 2.

Figure 1: Distributions by importance and by heliolongitude of all SXR flares, flares associated with \(>10\) MeV proton flux \(>10\) pfu and flares associated with GLE.

Figure 1 demonstrates that proton flares are more often presented among the powerful X-ray flares. Going forward to eastern limb the number of proton flares decreases substantially whereas near the western limb there are many such flares. Note that here and further we shall name as “proton” only those flares which are associated with proton enhancement recorded near Earth.

Analysis of all proton SXR flares reveals the common properties of their peak flux (importance) and longitude distributions which are necessary to be accounted under the model elaboration.

Distinction of proton and especially GLE associated flares from usual ones demonstrates Figure 3. Parameters \(I_0, \gamma, \phi_0, \sigma_\phi\) are calculated for the model (2) and presented in Table 1. Usual criteria of the model quality, such as dispersion or correlation coefficient value, are not informative in the case of the probability model. To estimate a model quality we calculated mean observed probabilities of the proton events for the cases when \(p_s > 0.5\) and \(p_s < 0.01\). These values are present in Table 1 (in percentages), and they testify sufficiently successful work of the models for all types of the enhancements.
Figure 3: Heliolongitudinal dependence of the mean importance of all SXR flares, flares associated with proton enhancements of >10 MeV, and flares associated with GLEs.

For example, in 13 of 18 events where calculated GLE probability was >0.5, the ground level proton enhancements were really observed. And of 31173 events with $p_s<0.01$ the GLEs were recorded only in 5 cases. We can judge about accordance of simulated and experimental probabilities of proton events by Figures 4 and 5. The obtained models are approximately equally effective to all types of enhancements. However, the parameters of these models strongly differ. One can see that probability for large enhancements depends stronger on the SXR flare importance than that for the small ones. Index for the smallest enhancements is $\gamma=0.9$ and it is about 2 for the largest events. By similar way (from X2.4 to \(\approx X9\)) changes critical peak flux of the SXR flare $I_0$, which is sufficient to provide the 100% probability of the small and large proton enhancements after ideally located flares. In a whole, the weaker proton enhancements the wider longitudinal range of associated flares (parameter $\sigma_{\phi}$ varies from 63º for large proton events and GLEs up to \(\approx 100º\) for bigger part of other types of enhancements).

Table 1. Characteristics of proton enhancements and associated solar flares.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$E_p$, MeV</th>
<th>$I_{PC}$, pfu</th>
<th>$N$</th>
<th>$N_I$</th>
<th>$I_XM$</th>
<th>$\phi_m$, º</th>
<th>$\gamma$</th>
<th>$I_0$</th>
<th>$\phi_0_\alpha$</th>
<th>$\sigma_{\phi_\alpha}$</th>
<th>$p_s&gt;0.5$</th>
<th>$p_s&lt;0.01$</th>
<th>$p_{SI_\alpha}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$&gt;10$</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1274</td>
<td>679</td>
<td>X1.5</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.91±0.10</td>
<td>2.4±0.7</td>
<td>35±12</td>
<td>82±12</td>
<td>72.3</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>45.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&gt;10$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>X2.1</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.93±0.10</td>
<td>5.3±1.0</td>
<td>30±12</td>
<td>97±13</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>21.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&gt;10$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>X3.3</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.06±0.12</td>
<td>8.0±1.3</td>
<td>34±12</td>
<td>101±13</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>11.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&gt;10$</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>X4.7</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1.41±0.18</td>
<td>7.8±0.9</td>
<td>42±8</td>
<td>87±8</td>
<td>71.9</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>5.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&gt;100$</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>399</td>
<td>X2.2</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>0.88±0.10</td>
<td>6.4±1.3</td>
<td>35±14</td>
<td>103±14</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>19.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&gt;100$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>X4.8</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1.30±0.16</td>
<td>9.3±1.3</td>
<td>43±14</td>
<td>99±12</td>
<td>78.6</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>5.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$&gt;100$</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>X5.6</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>2.00±0.33</td>
<td>8.8±0.7</td>
<td>54±5</td>
<td>63±5</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLE</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>X5.4</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.02±0.30</td>
<td>8.8±0.6</td>
<td>54±5</td>
<td>63±5</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Explanations to table: $E_p$ - kinetic proton energy; $I_{PC}$ - minimum threshold of the proton flux; $N$ and $N_I$ – number of all and flare associated proton events; $I_XM$ – mean importance of the X-ray flares, estimated for the events within E85-W85 longitude range; $\phi_m$ – is taken as mean longitude of the flares, associated with GLE and large SPEs, and as median longitude for all other cases.

Figure 4: Correlation between simulated and observed GLE probabilities. Points mark flares associated (upper line) and not associated (lower line) with GLE. Diamonds are averaged experimental probabilities corresponded to different ranges of $p_s$.

Figure 3: Heliolongitudinal dependence of the mean importance of all SXR flares, flares associated with proton enhancements of >10 MeV, and flares associated with GLEs.
ence of calculated probability on energy threshold and magnitude of the proton enhancement more clearly, we added in Table 1 a probability $p_1$, calculated for definite flare of importance $X_1$ and longitude $W_45$. For such flares model forecasts weak proton enhancements (above the background for >10 MeV) almost in a half of events. At the same time the most outstanding enhancements (large and GLE) are expected for the same flares only in one case from 80.

![Figure 5: SXR flare distribution (light points) by flare importance and by heliolongitude. Dark points of larger size represent the flares, associated with GLEs. Contour curves are depicted for equal $p$, inside contour corresponds to probability of 50%, outer one – to 1%.

Conclusion

The model of probability for different proton event subsets is created on the basis of all data on X-ray flares and proton enhancements. Model is suitable both for a short time forecasting from X-ray observations, and for a more long time forecasting in combination with flare forecast. At the same time we do not consider supposed model as the best or the final one. We tried only several of possible dependencies, and probably, a longitudinal dependence may be improved. The model seems to be improved with additional information about initial phase of X-ray flare. It may be useful also to replace or supplement X-ray power by the characteristics of solar radio bursts, which are more directly related to acceleration processes than X-rays.

It is clear that probability model should be supplemented by estimations of maximum proton flux and its time delay respectively to the solar event. It may be realized using the same data and similar approach to their processing, obtaining in result a possibility of more detailed and complete forecasting of proton events.
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