



## Exploring isotropic events in pp collisions using the flattenicity event shape variable or searching for hedgehogs at the LHC

## **Dr. Leonid Serkin**

Departamento de Física de Altas Energías Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares (ICN-UNAM), México

Annual Meeting of the Division of Particles and Fields of the Mexican Physical Society Unidad de Seminarios "Dr. Ignacio Chávez", Mexico City, Mexico, 5 June 2024

## Hedgehogs (erizos) at the LHC?









## Introduction to "hedgehog-like" events

Looking for the presence of events with a very
extended structure of low momentum tracks filling in a uniform way the pseudorapidity-azimuth (η-φ) space.

- First dedicated analysis of highest transverse energy  $(E_T)$  events seen in the UA1 detector at the SppS collider at CERN in proton-antiproton collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 630$  GeV
- Several isotropic events with  $E_T \sim 210$  GeV in UA1 observed (even tested for top quark production), no evidence for non-QCD mechanism for these events.
- Similar unusual events observed in p-pbar collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$  TeV by CDF's Run 1 detector with more than 60 charged particles and  $E_T \sim 320$  GeV
- Called "hedgehog-like" events by C. Quigg
- Taken for granted that in these events with high  $E_T$  perturbative aspects of QCD dominate the event properties: multi-jet events.



<u>UA1 Collaboration, Zeit. für Phys. C,</u> <u>V. 36, p. 33 (1987)</u>



#### Geometry of the final state: event shapes

• Event shape variables: instrumental in classifying the **geometrical and topological configurations** of the final-state particles produced in high-energy collisions at PETRA, ISR, SppS, SLD, LEP, HERA, Tevatron and the LHC.



#### Commonly used event shape variables

• Study the isotropy of the final-state energy distribution by defining the **linearized sphericity tensor of the event**, where the Greek indices denote the x, y, and z components of the momentum of the charged particle *i*. The eigenvalues must satisfy the normalization condition:  $\lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \lambda_3$  and  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 1$ .

$$S^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{\displaystyle\sum_{i} p^{\alpha}_{i} p^{\beta}_{i}}{\displaystyle\sum_{i} |\vec{p}_{i}|^{2}} ,$$

$$A = \frac{3}{2}\lambda_3; \quad S = \frac{3}{2}(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3).$$

• Aplanarity (A) serves as a measure of how planar an event is. A balanced pencil-like event corresponds to A = 0, and an isotropic event corresponds to A = 1/2.

• **Sphericity (S)** quanties the isotropy of an event, representing the degree to which energy and momentum are evenly distributed in all directions. S= 0 denotes a balanced dijet event, and S = 1 for an isotropic event.

## Commonly used event shape variables

• **Centrality**: a measure of how much of the event is contained within the central part of the detector; ranges between 0 and 1, where a pencil-like has C = 0 and a centrally contained event corresponds to C = 1.

$$C = \frac{\sum_{i} p_{T,i}}{\sum_{i} E_{i}},$$

$$T = 1 - \max_{\hat{n}} \frac{\sum_{i} |\vec{p}_{\mathrm{T},i} \cdot \hat{n}|}{\sum_{i} |\vec{p}_{\mathrm{T},i}|},$$

• **Transverse thrust**: a widely used event shape ranging from 0 for a pencil-like topology to 1/3 for a circularly symmetric distribution of particles in the transverse plane.

• Transverse spherocity: infrared and colliner safe event shape that ranges from  $S_0 = 0$  for events with back-to-back multijet final states to  $S_0 = 1$  for isotropic event topologies.

$$S_0 = \frac{\pi^2}{4} \min_{\hat{n}_s} \left( \frac{\sum_i |\vec{p}_{T,i} \times \hat{n}_s|}{\sum_i p_{T_i}} \right)^2.$$

## Characterisation of high-multiplicity events

• Attempts to characterise these high-multiplicity events: use of event shapes, i.e. using transverse sphericity:  $2\lambda_{2}^{xy}$   $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left[ \frac{n^{2}}{n} + \frac{n}{n} \right]$ 

$$S_{\perp} = \frac{2\lambda_2^{xy}}{\lambda_1^{xy} + \lambda_2^{xy}} , \quad S^{xy} = \sum_i \frac{1}{|\vec{p}_{\mathrm{T},i}|^2} \begin{bmatrix} p_{x,i}^2 & p_{x,i} p_{y,i} \\ p_{x,i} p_{y,i} & p_{y,i}^2 \\ p_{x,i} p_{y,i} & p_{y,i}^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

• Both ALICE and ATLAS observed an under-estimation of isotropic events by MC generators at high charged multiplicity ( $N_{ch} \ge 30$ )

 Suggest that a very active underlying event (UE) is needed by the MC event generators in order to explain these high-multiplicity events





## Characterisation of high-multiplicity events

• Attempts to characterise these high-multiplicity events: use of event shapes, i.e. using transverse sphericity:  $2\lambda_{xy}^{xy}$   $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left[n^{2} - n^{2}\right]} = \frac{1}{\left[n^{2} - n^{2}\right]}$ 

$$S_{\perp} = \frac{2\lambda_2^{xy}}{\lambda_1^{xy} + \lambda_2^{xy}} , \quad S^{xy} = \sum_i \frac{1}{|\vec{p}_{\mathrm{T},i}|^2} \begin{bmatrix} p_{x,i}^2 & p_{x,i} p_{y,i} \\ p_{x,i} p_{y,i} & p_{y,i}^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

• Both ALICE and ATLAS observed an under-estimation of isotropic events by MC generators at high charged multiplicity ( $N_{ch} \ge 30$ )

✓ Suggest that a very active underlying event (UE) is needed by the MC event generators in order to explain these high-multiplicity events

• ALICE measurement shows that  $< p_T >$  as a function of  $N_{ch}$  in isotropic events was found to be smaller than that measured in jet-like events, and that for jet-like events, the  $< p_T >$  is over-estimated by PYTHIA 6 and 8 models.



## Characterisation of high-multiplicity events

• Attempts to characterise these high-multiplicity events: use of event shapes, i.e. using transverse sphericity:  $2\lambda_{xy}^{xy}$   $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{[n^2 - n_{n=1}^{2}]} \frac{1}{[n^2 - n_{n=1}^{2}]}$ 

$$S_{\perp} = \frac{2\lambda_2^{xy}}{\lambda_1^{xy} + \lambda_2^{xy}} , \quad S^{xy} = \sum_i \frac{1}{|\vec{p}_{\mathrm{T},i}|^2} \begin{bmatrix} p_{x,i}^2 & p_{x,i} p_{y,i} \\ p_{x,i} p_{y,i} & p_{y,i}^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

• Both ALICE and ATLAS observed an under-estimation of isotropic events by MC generators at high charged multiplicity ( $N_{ch} \ge 30$ )

 Suggest that a very active underlying event (UE) is needed by the MC event generators in order to explain these high-multiplicity events

• ALICE measurement shows that  $<p_T>$  as a function of N<sub>ch</sub> in isotropic events was found to be smaller than that measured in jet-like events, and that for jet-like events, the  $<p_T>$  is over-estimated by PYTHIA 6 and 8 models.

• Recently, a new event shape parameter, flattenicity, was proposed [<u>A. Ortiz</u>, <u>G. Paic, Rev. Mex. Fis. Suppl. 3 (2022) 4, 040911</u>] that allows one to identify and characterise high-multiplicity events with a quasi-isotropic distribution in a wide pseudorapidity range in proton-proton collisions.

• MC event generators are able to model "hedgehog" events, which opens the possibility to study their properties and find a potential way to experimentally trigger these events.

• The idea: find out how uniform the  $p_T$  of tracks is distributed in a given event!



#### Calculating flattenicity

11

• Build 10 x 12 grid in  $(\eta - \phi)$  space:



## Calculating flattenicity

• Build 10 x 12 grid in  $(\eta - \phi)$  space:



- Event-by-event, the relative standard deviation of the  $p_{\rm T}^{\rm cell}$  distribution is obtained.
- Events with isotropic distribution of particles ("hedgehogs") are expected to have  $\rho = 1$ .

$$\rho = 1 - \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{i=120} \left( p_{\rm T}^{\rm cell,i} - \langle p_{\rm T}^{\rm cells} \rangle \right)^2 / N_{\rm cell}^2}}{\langle p_{\rm T}^{\rm cells} \rangle},$$

#### Benchmark hedgehog-like structures



13

 $\rho = 0.98$ 



## Flattenicity using different MC generators

• Two sets of inelastic events, including non-diffractive and diffractive components, were simulated using **Pythia 8.309**: ATLAS A14 tune and CMS CUETP8M1 tune.

• Herwig 7.2.0 with SoftTune based on the MMHT2014 LO PDF

• Recently released EPOS 4.0.0 framework using authors tune

 First, revise the performance of these MC models in reproducing the 13 TeV pp collision data.



## Flattenicity using different MC generators

• Two sets of inelastic events, including non-diffractive and diffractive components, were simulated using **Pythia 8.309**: ATLAS A14 tune and CMS CUETP8M1 tune.

15

- Herwig 7.2.0 with SoftTune based on the MMHT2014 LO PDF
- Recently released EPOS 4.0.0 framework using authors tune
- Then, compare transverse spherocity and flattenicity for the 4 MC settings



• To demonstrate flattenicity's value in identifying isotropic events and its complementary information about the global shape of an event, we study its correlation with other event shape variables.

16

• Overall, there is clear indication that while flattenicity is related to other event shape variables, it **provides distinct and complementary** info of the isotropy of events



• Classify the **top 10%** of events in the distributions of flattenicity, transverse spherocity, and transverse thrust for each multiplicity class as **isotropic events**.

 Our observation is that for the highest charged-particle multiplicity class, only 3.1% of events meet all the three criteria: clearly indicates that flattenicity selects a different subset of events as compared to widely used S<sub>0</sub> and T.



#### Conclusions

18

Hedgehog events have never been seriously studied in pp collisions at the LHC.
These events are "rare" – but as rare as a top-quark–pair production!

• Flattenicity - the new event structure parameter - allows one to identify the hedgehog events and observe the evolution of events from jetty to hedgehog type.

• When compared to other event shape variables widely used in the literature, such as transverse spherocity, we found that flattenicity is able to **identify** a subset of isotropic events with **hedgehog-like structures**.

• Our results will be submitted to a journal asap, while experimental measurements using flattenicity are on their way!

• Next steps: analyze hedgehog events in data, so stay tuned!



# Muchas gracias por su atención!

9

