# Assessing the QGP speed of sound in ultracentral heavy-ion collisions with ALICE **Omar Vazquez** on behalf of the ALICE Collaboration









### XV Latin American Symposium on High Energy Physics November 4 - 8, 2024, Cinvestav, Mexico city











### Heavy ion collisions

#### ALICE, EPJ C84 (2024) 813





Heavy ion collisions are proposed as a means for investigating the EoS of hot matter.

![](_page_1_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_1_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_1_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_1_Picture_10.jpeg)

### The speed of sound $(c_s)$ in the QGP

![](_page_2_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Velocity at which compression waves travel in a fluid.
- First attempt using ALICE heavy-ion data extracted  $c_s^2 = 0.24$  at  $T_{eff} = 222$  MeV.

![](_page_2_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_2_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_2_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_2_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_2_Picture_9.jpeg)

### The speed of sound $(c_s)$ in the QGP

![](_page_3_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Velocity at which compression waves travel in a fluid.
- First attempt using ALICE heavy-ion data extracted  $c_s^2 = 0.24$  at  $T_{eff} = 222$  MeV.

![](_page_3_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_3_Picture_9.jpeg)

### **Ultra-central Pb—Pb collisions (UCCs)**

- The QGP's volume is mostly fixed  $\rightarrow$  constrain impact parameter (b) fluctuations.
- Total entropy (S) can vary significantly  $\rightarrow$  increase of the charged-particle multiplicity ( $N_{ch}$ ).
- Higher entropy  $\rightarrow$  higher temperature (T)  $\rightarrow \langle p_T \rangle$  increases.

$$c_s^2 = \frac{d \ln T}{d \ln s}$$

Experimental determination:  $c_s^2 = -\frac{1}{2}$  $d \ln \langle dN_{ch}/d\eta \rangle$ 

JY Ollitrault, Eur. J. Phys. 29 (2008) 275 FG Garden, Phys. Lett. B 809 (2020) 135749

![](_page_4_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_4_Picture_10.jpeg)

### What drives the rise of $\langle p_T \rangle$ in UCCs?

- Different centrality estimators  $\rightarrow$  different  $< p_T >$ .
- Can have a large effect on the extracted value of speed of sound.

![](_page_5_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### **Results for different centrality estimators**

![](_page_5_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Figure_10.jpeg)

### **ALICE in Run 2**

#### Relevant detectors:

### Time Projection Chamber (15 in figure)

Observable	Label	<b>Centrality estimation</b>	$\langle p_{ m T}  angle$ and $\langle { m d} N_{ m ch}/{ m d} \eta  angle$	Minimum		
$N_{ m ch}$ in TPC	I	$ \eta  \le 0.8$	$ \eta  \le 0.8$	0		
	II	$0.5 \leq  \eta  < 0.8$	$ \eta  \leq 0.3$	0.2		
$E_{\mathrm{T}}$ in TPC		$ \eta  \le 0.8$	$ \eta  \leq 0.8$	0		
	IV	$0.5 \leq  \eta  < 0.8$	$ \eta  \leq 0.3$	0.2		
Inner Tracking System (6 and 7 in figure)						
Observable	l ahel	Centrality estimation	$\langle n_{\rm T} \rangle$ and $\langle dN_{\rm ch}/dn \rangle$	Minimun		
	Laber					
	V	$ \eta  \le 0.8$	$\frac{\langle p_1 \rangle \operatorname{dird} \langle \operatorname{dir} \operatorname{cn} \rangle \operatorname{dir} \eta}{ \eta  \le 0.8}$	0		
V in SPD	V VI	$\begin{aligned}  \eta  &\leq 0.8\\ 0.5 &\leq  \eta  < 0.8 \end{aligned}$	$\begin{aligned}  \eta  &\leq 0.8\\  \eta  &\leq 0.3 \end{aligned}$	0 0.2		
$V_{\mathrm{tracklets}}$ in SPD	V VI VII	$ \eta  \le 0.8$ $0.5 \le  \eta  < 0.8$ $0.3 <  \eta  < 0.6$	$\begin{aligned}  \eta  &\leq 0.8\\  \eta  &\leq 0.3\\  \eta  &\leq 0.3\end{aligned}$	0 0.2 0		
$V_{ m tracklets}$ in SPD	V VI VII VIII	$ert \eta ert \leq 0.8$ $0.5 \leq ert \eta ert < 0.8$ $0.3 < ert \eta ert < 0.6$ $0.7 \leq ert \eta ert < 1$	$\begin{array}{c}  \eta  \le 0.8 \\  \eta  \le 0.3 \\  \eta  \le 0.3 \\  \eta  \le 0.3 \\  \eta  \le 0.3 \end{array}$	0 0.2 0 0.4		

Observable	Label	Centrality estimation	$\langle p_{ m T}  angle$ and $\langle { m d} N_{ m ch} / { m d} \eta  angle$
$N_{ m ch}$ in VZERO	IX	$-3.7 < \eta < -1.7$ and $2.8 < \eta < 5.1$	$ \eta  \leq 0.8$

### ZDC (18 in figure)

Estimate the mean number of participating nucleons (<*N*<sub>part</sub>>)

![](_page_6_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_11.jpeg)

### **Data-driven extraction of** <**N**<sub>part</sub>> **for UCCs**

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $\langle N_{part} \rangle$  v.s. centrality: indirect measure of the interaction region radius. A = 208,  $\langle E_N \rangle$  ( $\langle E_P \rangle$ ) is the mean neutrons(protons) energy in the ZDC,  $\alpha_N$  and  $\alpha_P$  are acceptance corrections, and  $E_A=2.51$  TeV. ALICE-PUBLIC-2020-001

![](_page_7_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Normalized p<sub>T</sub> spectra ratios

- Multiplicity-based centrality estimators: enhances yield at  $p_T \sim 3$  GeV/c (radial flow bump).
- $E_T$ -based centrality estimator: enhances yield for  $p_T > 1$  GeV/c.

![](_page_8_Figure_3.jpeg)

 $(\mathrm{d}^2 N/\langle \mathrm{d} N_{\mathrm{ch}}/\mathrm{d}\eta\rangle\mathrm{d}\eta\mathrm{d}p_{\mathrm{T}})^{\mathrm{Centrality\ percentile}}$ Normalized ratio =

![](_page_8_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Normalized p<sub>T</sub> spectra ratios

- Multiplicity-based centrality estimators: enhances yield at  $p_T \sim 3$  GeV/c (radial flow bump).
- $E_T$ -based centrality estimator: enhances yield for  $p_T > 1$  GeV/c.

![](_page_9_Figure_3.jpeg)

Normalized ratio =

![](_page_9_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_6.jpeg)

# **Extracting the squared speed of sound,** $c_s^2$

Primary observable: 
$$\langle p_{\rm T} \rangle / \langle p_{\rm T} \rangle^{0-5\%}$$
 versus  $\langle dN_{\rm ch}$   
 $\langle p_{\rm T} \rangle / \langle p_{\rm T} \rangle^{0-5\%} = \left[ \frac{N_{\rm ch}^*}{f(N_{\rm ch}^*, N_{\rm ch,knee}^*, \sigma_0)} \right]^{c_s^2}$ 

Where 
$$N_{\rm ch}^* = \langle dN/d\eta \rangle / \langle dN/d\eta \rangle^{0-5\%}$$

Below the knee  $\langle p_{\rm T}\rangle/\langle p_{\rm T}\rangle^{0-5\%}=1$ 

![](_page_10_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_6.jpeg)

 $/d\eta\rangle/\langle dN_{\rm ch}/d\eta\rangle^{0-5\%}$  correlation

### Gaussian distribution of the number of emitted particles for a fixed impact parameter

![](_page_10_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_10.jpeg)

## **Estimating the** $N^*_{ch,knee}$ and $\sigma_0$

- the number of emitted particles for a fixed impact parameter.
- $N^*_{ch, knee}$ : average charged-particle multiplicity in collisions at b = 0.

SJ Das, PRC 97, 014905 (2018) ALICE-PUBLIC-2024-002

![](_page_11_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_5.jpeg)

• Model the event fraction distribution with a convolution of Gaussian distributions, each describing

![](_page_11_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_10.jpeg)

# Extracting the squared speed of sound, $c_s^2$

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

# Extracting the squared speed of sound, $c_s^2$

![](_page_13_Figure_1.jpeg)

# **Extracting the squared Speed of sound,** $c_s^2 = 0.181_{0.00266}^{0.01431}$ (stat)

- Extraction of  $c_s^2$ depends on the centrality estimation.
- Speed of sound also decreases with N<sub>ch</sub> centrality estimator
   when η gap placed.

Centrali

-1.7

UNIVERSITY OF

η

-3.7

T>

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

### **Extracting the squared speed of sound,** $c_s^2 = 0.181_{0.00266}^{0.01431}$ (syst) 1.005 104, $c_s^2 = 0.181_{0.00266}^{0.00266}$ (syst) 1.005 104, $c_s^2 = 0.181_{0.0026}^{0.00266}$ (syst) 1.005 104, c\_s^2 = 0.181\_{0.0026 0.00344 (stat)

- Extraction of  $c_s^2$ depends on the centrality estimation.
- Speed of sound also decreases with N<sub>ch</sub> centrality estimator

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_7.jpeg)

# **Extracted** $c_s^2$ v.s. pseudorapidity gap

- A clear picture emerges  $\rightarrow$  Extracted speed of sound higher for  $E_{T}$  compared to  $N_{ch}$  centrality estimator with fixed eta gap for ALICE.

![](_page_16_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_7.jpeg)

### Conclusions

- equation of state.
- The  $\langle p_T \rangle / \langle p_T \rangle^{0-5\%}$  versus  $\langle dN_{ch}/d\eta \rangle / \langle dN_{ch}/d\eta \rangle^{0-5\%}$  correlation depends on the definition of centrality.
- Experimental confirmation of Trajectum model prediction.
- The extraction of  $c_s^2$  is not trivial  $\rightarrow$  biases are significant.
  - based estimators  $\rightarrow$  short and long-range  $< p_T > < p_T >$  correlations.
- Call for a reevaluation of how the  $c_s^2$  can be extracted from heavy-ion data.

![](_page_17_Picture_7.jpeg)

• ALICE observes an increase of  $< p_T >$  with  $< dN_{ch}/d\eta >$  in UCCs  $\rightarrow$  new opportunity to investigate QGP

• The extracted  $c_{c}^{2}$  using  $E_{T}$ -based centrality estimators is larger compared to that using the  $N_{ch}$ -

![](_page_17_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_16.jpeg)

# Backup

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_2.jpeg)

### **Ultra-central Pb—Pb collisions (UCCs)**

- The QGP's volume is mostly fixed  $\rightarrow$  constrain impact parameter fluctuations.
- Total entropy (S) can vary significantly  $\rightarrow$  increase of the charged-particle multiplicity ( $N_{ch}$ ).

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_5.jpeg)

coordinates of Pb-Pb collisions @ 5.02 TeV

![](_page_19_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_10.jpeg)

# $c_s^2$ from the EoS compared to the extracted values

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### arXiv:2403.06052v2

![](_page_20_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_5.jpeg)

## Measuring the $\langle p_T \rangle$ and $\langle dN/d\eta \rangle$ in UCCs

- Pb–Pb data at  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 5.02$  TeV.
- Use high multiplicity and high transverse energy events to select UCCs.
- Measure the  $p_T$  spectra in narrow percentile bins.
- Correct the spectra by tracking inefficiency and secondary particle contamination.

- Extrapolation to  $p_{T}=0$
- By fitting the spectra in 0.15<  $p_T$  < 1.5 GeV/c with a Boltzmann-Gibbs Blast-Wave model. • Measure  $\langle p_T \rangle$  and  $\langle dN/d\eta \rangle$  in the  $p_T$  interval between 0 and 10 GeV/c.
- The fraction of extrapolated yields is about 9%.

![](_page_21_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_11.jpeg)

### **Forward-backward <pt> correlations**

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

ALI-PREL-119780

![](_page_22_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_5.jpeg)

### Dependence of $\langle b \rangle$ on the centrality estimator

Trajectum simulations; the average impact parameter ( $\langle b \rangle$ ) decreases slowly for ultra-central collisions (<0.01%).

The centrality selector based on  $N_{ch}$  without  $p_T$  bias does best at selecting ultra-central collisions because *<b>* is both, constant and lowest.

![](_page_23_Figure_3.jpeg)

**Omar Vazquez** 

![](_page_23_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_5.jpeg)

**16**