



# Analysis of the muon anomalous magnetic moment in an $U(1)_d$ model in addition to the Standard Model

**Jesús Andrés González Meneses**  
Mg. Sair Enrique Arquéz Mendoza  
Dr. Jorge Luis Navarro Estrada

November 4th, 2024



## 1. STANDARD MODEL

## 2. $U(1)_d$ MODEL

2.1. Lagrangian associated with the  $U(1)_d$  model

2.2.  $Z'$  boson propagator

2.3. Restrictions on the mass and kinetic mixing term of the  $Z'$  boson

## 3. ANOMALOUS MAGNETIC MOMENT OF THE MUON

## 4. PARTIAL RESULTS

4.1. Contribution of the  $Z'$  boson to the anomalous magnetic moment of the muon at 1 loop


## 5. REFERENCES

The **elementary particles** are the fundamental constituents of all matter, they are considered to be **point-like** and **structureless**. That is, they do not occupy a volume in space. In the **Standard Model** they are characterized in two large groups: **bosons** and **fermions**.

Some of the **most important properties** of leptons are:

Lepton	Mass [ $MeV/c^2$ ]	Mean lifetime [s]
Electron $e^-$	0.511	$\infty$
Electron neutrino $\nu_e$	0	$\infty$
Muon $\mu^-$	105.658	$2.197 \times 10^{-6}$
Muon neutrino $\nu_\mu$	0	$\infty$
Tau $\tau^-$	1777	$(291.0 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-15}$
Tau neutrino $\nu_\tau$	0	$\infty$

**Table 1:** Leptons of the Standard Model.



**Figure 1:** Standard Model of Particle physics.

The **Standard Model** is a local norm theory; therefore, all its interactions are described by **local gauge symmetries**.

The **gauge transformations** are given by unitary matrices belonging to a certain Lie group  $U(N)$  or  $SU(N)$ . Because of this, the transformation matrices can be represented as:


$$U_{\theta}(x) = e^{-i\theta^a(x)T_a}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\theta^a(x)$  are the **parameters of the transformation** and  $T_a$  are the **generators** of the group associated to that representation. Which obey the following Lie algebra:

$$[T_a, T_b] = if_{ab}^c T_c. \quad (2)$$



**Figure 2:** Yang & Mills in 1999.



**Figure 3:** Local gauge transformation.

A clear example of global gauge invariance under the transformation  $\Psi \rightarrow \Psi' = e^{i\alpha^a T_a} \Psi$ , is present in the **Dirac Lagrangian**:

$$\mathcal{L}_D = i\bar{\Psi}\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\Psi - \bar{\Psi}M\Psi. \quad (3)$$

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \\ \vdots \\ \psi_n \end{pmatrix} \quad M = \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & m_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & m_n \end{bmatrix}$$

But for the **local gauge transformation**  $\Psi \rightarrow \Psi' = e^{-iq\theta^a(x)T_a}\Psi$ :

$$\mathcal{L}' = i\bar{\Psi}\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu\Psi + q(\partial_\mu\theta^a)\bar{\Psi}\gamma^\mu T_a\Psi - \bar{\Psi}M\Psi. \quad (4)$$

In order to keep the **Lagrangian invariant** it is necessary to introduce the **covariant derivative**  $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + iqT_a A_\mu^a$ :

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_a^{\mu\nu}F_{\mu\nu}^a + i\bar{\Psi}\gamma^\mu D_\mu\Psi - \bar{\Psi}M\Psi. \quad (5)$$

$$F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + qf_{ab}^c A_\mu^a A_\nu^b$$

The  $U(1)_d$  **model** is a simple extension of the Standard Model by the addition of a new **gauge field** associated with a new **gauge symmetry**. The Lagrangian underlying the addition of the new  $U(1)_d$  symmetry group to the Standard Model is [1]:

$$\mathcal{L}_{SM+U(1)_d} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} - \frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \kappa B^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^Y + \mathcal{L}_{Higgs'} + \dots, \quad (6)$$

$$B^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu V^\nu - \partial^\nu V^\mu. \quad (7)$$

Assuming **spontaneous  $U(1)_d$  symmetry breaking**:

$$\mathcal{L}_{SM+U(1)_d} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} - \frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \kappa B^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^Y + \frac{1}{2} m_V^2 V^\mu V_\mu + \mathcal{L}_{higgs'} + \dots, \quad (8)$$

so as to, the **kinetic Lagrangian** is given by:

$$\mathcal{L}_{Kinetic} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \kappa B^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}^Y. \quad (9)$$



**Note:** A scenario is assumed for a dark photon in which the known quarks and leptons have no  $U(1)_d$  charge.

This Lagrangian can also be obtained by redefining the electromagnetic 4-potential:

$$\begin{aligned} A^\mu &\rightarrow A^\mu - \kappa V^\mu, \\ F^{\mu\nu} &\rightarrow F^{\mu\nu} - \kappa B^{\mu\nu}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Which allows me to find an **effective interaction Lagrangian** of the Model:

$$\mathcal{L}_{int}^{eff} = g_e \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu (A_\mu - \kappa V_\mu) \psi = g_e \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu A_\mu \psi - g_e \kappa \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu V_\mu \psi, \tag{11}$$

**The first term** continues to describe an **fermionic interaction** by Standard Model's photon. Furthermore, **the second term** corresponds to an **effective interaction** between the Standard Model's fermions and the dark photon.

Analyzing the free Lagrangian associated with the  $V^\mu$  field of the model's Lagrangian (equation 12), a **Proca-type Lagrangian** is found:

$$\mathcal{L}_{U(1)_d}^{Proca} = -\frac{1}{4}B_{\mu\nu}B^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}m^2V^\mu V_\mu. \quad (12)$$

Whose plane wave solution associated with its equation of motion is:

$$V_\mu(x) = \int \frac{d^3q}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2E_q}} \sum_{j=1}^3 (\epsilon_\mu^j(q) a_{q,j} e^{-iqx} + \epsilon_\mu^{j*}(q) a_{q,j}^\dagger e^{iqx}). \quad (13)$$

With which, **the Feynman propagator for the dark photon** is obtained:


$$\langle 0 | T \{ V_\mu(y) V_\nu(x) \} | 0 \rangle = \frac{-i}{q^2 - m_V^2 + i\epsilon} \left[ g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{q_\mu q_\nu}{m_V^2} \right]. \quad (14)$$



The **magnetic moment** is an intrinsic property of particles that depends on their **spin**  $\vec{S}$  and their **angular momentum**  $\vec{L}$ , and tells how sensitive these are to an **external magnetic field**  $\vec{B}$ . In the non-relativistic limit, the Dirac equation in the presence of an external magnetic field has the following Hamiltonian:

$$H = \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2m_l} + V(r) + \frac{e}{2m_l} \vec{B} \cdot (\vec{L} + g_l \vec{S}), \quad (15)$$

where  $\vec{S} = \frac{\vec{\sigma}}{2}$ ,  $m$  is the particle's mass and  $g_l$  is its **gyromagnetic factor**.




$$\vec{\mu}_L = \frac{-e}{2m_l} \vec{L} \quad \xrightarrow{\text{analogously}} \quad \vec{\mu} = g_l \frac{-e}{2m_l} \vec{S}$$

The  $g_l$  factor is adimensional.

**Figure 5:** Angular magnetic moment.

For the case in which the process corresponds to the **interaction** between a Dirac fermion and a “**classical**” **electromagnetic field** (Figure 6), the gyromagnetic factor  $g_l$  corresponds exactly to 2.



**Figure 6:** Tree-order interaction between a charged lepton and an electromagnetic field.


For this process with **momentum transfer** corresponding to  $q = p' - p$ , the calculation of the scattering amplitude using the Feynman rules for QED is:

$$-i\mathcal{M}_0^\mu = i\mathcal{Q}_e \bar{u}(p')\gamma^\mu u(p). \quad (16)$$

However, by using the **quantum field theory treatment** new contributions to the gyromagnetic factor  $g_l$  appear. Therefore, the **anomaly** is defined:

$$a_l = \frac{g_l - 2}{2}. \quad (17)$$

These corrections to the  $g_l$  value can be obtained from expanding **the vertex correction function  $\Gamma^\mu(p, p')$** . These contributions correspond to the diagrams in Figure 7.




**Figure 7:** Expansion of the vertex correction function  $\Gamma^\mu(p, p')$ . **(a)** Total contribution. **(b)** Tree-order contribution. **(c)** 1-loop contribution.

so as to, the total contribution will be the superposition of all contributions of all possible processes:

$$-i\mathcal{M}^\mu = i\mathcal{G}_e [\bar{u}(p') \Gamma^\mu(p, p') u(p)] \quad (18)$$

Thus, for a process of specific ***n*-order** one has:

$$-i\mathcal{M}_n^\mu = i\mathcal{G}_e [\bar{u}(p') \Gamma^{\mu(n)}(p, p') u(p)] \quad (19)$$



**Figure 8:** Expansion of the correction to the vertex  $\Gamma^{\mu(n)}(p, p')$  of  $n$ -order.

**Ward's identity:** If  $\mathcal{M}(q) = \epsilon_\mu(q)\mathcal{M}^\mu(q)$  is the amplitude of some QED process involving an external photon of momentum  $q$ , then:

$$q_\mu \mathcal{M}^\mu(q) = 0.$$

**Gordon's decomposition:** For any solution  $u(p)$  of the massive Dirac equation, it is satisfied that:

$$\bar{u}(p') \gamma^\mu u(p) = \bar{u}(p') \left[ \frac{p'^\mu + p^\mu}{2m} + \frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu} q_\nu}{2m} \right] u(p).$$

Considering **Ward's identity** and **Gordon's decomposition**, the **most general vertex correction function** associated with this process is:

$$\Gamma^\mu(p, p') = F_1(q^2)\gamma^\mu + iF_2(q^2)\frac{q_\nu\sigma^{\mu\nu}}{2m_l}, \quad (20)$$

where  $F_1(q^2)$  and  $F_2(q^2)$  are called **form factors**. A sum of contributions for each  $n$  can be associated with the form factors:

$$\Gamma^\mu(p, p') = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Gamma^{\mu(n)}(p, p') = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ F_1^{(n)}(q^2)\gamma^\mu + iF_2^{(n)}(q^2)\frac{q_\nu\sigma^{\mu\nu}}{2m_l} \right]. \quad (21)$$

Using the **Born approximation** for an **electrostatic potential** it is found that the first form factor  $F_1^{(n)}$  corresponds to a modification of the **electric charge** and must fulfill that  $F_1^{(0)}(q^2 = 0) = 1$ , therefore,  $F_1^{(n)}(q^2 = 0) = 0$  for any  $n \geq 1$ .

On the other hand, using the **Born approximation** only for a **magnetostatic potential**, we obtain that:


$$\vec{\mu} = \frac{-e}{m_l} [F_1(0) + F_2(0)] \vec{S}, \quad (22)$$

$$\Rightarrow g_l = 2[F_1(0) + F_2(0)] = 2 + 2F_2(0). \quad (23)$$


So, for the value of the **anomalous magnetic moment** of a charged lepton we have:

$$a_l = F_2(0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_2^{(n)}(0). \quad (24)$$

- g-2 Collaboration:**



- White Paper:** Theoretical calculation of the muon anomalous magnetic moment by performing a perturbative expansion.
- BMW:** Calculation of the contribution of the hadronic polarization of the leading-order vacuum.
- CMD-3:** Experimental measurement of the cross section of the  $e^- + e^+ \rightarrow \pi^+ + \pi^-$  process at energies of 1.2 GeV at the VEPP-2000 electron-positron collider.




**Figure 9:** Experimental and theoretical predictions for muon g-2.

Source: BMW Collaboration(2024).



The **Feynman diagram** associated with the **1-loop contribution** of the effective interaction between  $Z'$  boson and muon is:



**Figure 10:** 1-loop contribution with the  $Z'$  boson for the anomaly.

**Note:** The vertexes in the process will correspond to  $i\mathcal{L}_{int}$ .

Factor	Contribución
QED vertex	$i g_e \gamma^\mu$
Effective vertex	$-i \kappa g_e \gamma^\mu$
Muon propagator	$\frac{i(\gamma^\mu k_\mu + m_\mu)}{k^2 - m_\mu^2 + i\epsilon}$
$Z'$ boson propagator	$\frac{-i g_{\mu\nu}}{q^2 - m_V^2 + i\epsilon}$

**Figure 11:** Propagators and vertexes for the process.

$$-i g_e \bar{u}(p') \Gamma^{\mu(1)}(p, p') u(p) = \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \bar{u}(p') (-i g_e \kappa \gamma^\alpha) \frac{i(\not{k}' + m_\mu)}{k'^2 - m_\mu^2 + i\epsilon} (i g_e \gamma^\mu) \frac{i(\not{k} + m_\mu)}{k^2 - m_\mu^2 + i\epsilon} (-i g_e \kappa \gamma^\sigma) u(p) \frac{-i g_{\alpha\sigma}}{(k-p)^2 - m_V^2 + i\epsilon}. \quad (25)$$

Using the properties of the **gamma matrices**:

$$\gamma^\alpha \gamma^\mu \gamma_\alpha = -2\gamma^\mu,$$

$$\gamma^\alpha \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu \gamma_\alpha = 4g^{\mu\nu},$$

$$\gamma^\alpha \gamma^\nu \gamma^\mu \gamma^\sigma \gamma_\alpha = -2\gamma^\sigma \gamma^\mu \gamma^\nu.$$

Therefore:

$$\bar{u}(p') \Gamma^{\mu(1)}(p, p') u(p) = 2i g_e^2 \kappa^2 \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \bar{u}(p') \frac{\not{k} \gamma^\mu \not{k}' - 2m_\mu(k'^\mu + k^\mu) + m_\mu^2 \gamma^\mu}{(k'^2 - m_\mu^2 + i\epsilon)(k^2 - m_\mu^2 + i\epsilon)[(k-p)^2 - m_V^2 + i\epsilon]} u(p). \quad (26)$$

**The Feynman Parameters:** They are a tool that allows us to evaluate loop integrals in quantum field theory in an easier way.

$$\frac{1}{A_1 A_2 \cdots A_n} = \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 \cdots dx_n \delta\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i - 1\right) \frac{(n-1)!}{[A_1 x_1 + A_2 x_2 + \cdots + A_n x_n]^n}. \quad (27)$$

For  $n = 3$ :

$$\frac{1}{A_1 A_2 A_3} = \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \delta(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - 1) \frac{2}{[A_1 x_1 + A_2 x_2 + A_3 x_3]^3}. \quad (28)$$

In this case:

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= k'^2 - m_\mu^2 + i\epsilon \\ A_2 &= k^2 - m_\mu^2 + i\epsilon \\ A_3 &= (k - p)^2 - m_V^2 + i\epsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Furthermore, considering **4-momentum conservation** at the vertex ( $k' = k + q$ ) and the **Dirac delta condition** ( $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 1$ ), we have:

$$4ig_e^2 \kappa^2 \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \bar{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{p}') \frac{\delta(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - 1) [\not{k} \gamma^\mu \not{k}' - 2m_\mu(k'^\mu + k^\mu) + m_\mu^2 \gamma^\mu]}{[k^2 + 2k(x_1 q - x_3 p) + x_1 q^2 + x_3 p^2 - m_\mu^2(x_1 + x_2) - m_V^2 x_3 + i\epsilon]^3} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{p}). \quad (30)$$

Developing the denominator:

$$4ig_e^2 \kappa^2 \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \bar{\mathbf{u}}(\mathbf{p}') \frac{\delta(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - 1) [\not{k} \gamma^\mu \not{k}' - 2m_\mu(k'^\mu + k^\mu) + m_\mu^2 \gamma^\mu]}{[(k + x_1 q - x_3 p)^2 - m_\mu^2(1 - x_3)^2 - m_V^2 x_3 + x_1 x_2 q^2 + i\epsilon]^3} \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{p}). \quad (31)$$

And, defining the following parameters:

$$l = k + x_1 q - x_3 p,$$

$$\Delta = m_\mu^2(1 - x_3)^2 + m_V^2 x_3 - x_1 x_2 q^2.$$

First, the numerator must be written in terms of the new variable  $l$ :

$$N = \not{k} \gamma^\mu \not{k}' - 2m_\mu (k'^\mu + k^\mu) + m_\mu^2 \gamma^\mu. \quad (32)$$

For the **first term**:

$$\not{k} \gamma^\mu \not{k}' = l \gamma^\mu l + l [\gamma^\mu x_3 p + \gamma^\mu (1 - x_1) q] + [x_3 p + x_1 q] \gamma^\mu l + [x_3 p + x_1 q] \gamma^\mu x_3 p + [x_3 p + x_1 q] \gamma^\mu (1 - x_1) q.$$

For the **second term**:

$$-2m_\mu (k'^\mu + k^\mu) = -4m_\mu l^\mu - 2m_\mu [(1 - 2x_1) q^\mu + 2x_3 p^\mu]. \quad (34)$$

Then:

$$N = \not{l} \gamma^\mu \not{l} + \not{l} [\gamma^\mu x_3 \not{p} + \gamma^\mu (1 - x_1) \not{q}] + [x_3 \not{p} + x_1 \not{q}] \gamma^\mu \not{l} + [x_3 \not{p} + x_1 \not{q}] \gamma^\mu x_3 \not{p} + [x_3 \not{p} + x_1 \not{q}] \gamma^\mu (1 - x_1) \not{q} - 4m_\mu l^\mu - 2m_\mu [(1 - 2x_1) q^\mu + 2x_3 p^\mu] + m_\mu^2 \gamma^\mu. \quad (35)$$

Now, considering the following identities:

$$\int \frac{d^4 l}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{l^\mu}{(l^2 - \Delta + i\epsilon)^3} = 0, \quad \int \frac{d^4 l}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{l^\mu l^\nu}{(l^2 - \Delta + i\epsilon)^3} = \int \frac{d^4 l}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\frac{1}{4} g^{\mu\nu} l^2}{(l^2 - \Delta + i\epsilon)^3}.$$

The **general expression** can be simplified to:

$$\bar{u}(p')\Gamma^{\mu(1)}(p,p')u(p) = 4ig_e^2\kappa^2 \int \frac{d^4l}{(2\pi)^4} \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \bar{u}(p') \frac{\delta(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - 1)}{[l^2 - \Delta + i\epsilon]^3} \\ \times \left\{ \left[ -\frac{l^2}{2} + m_\mu^2(1 - x_3^2 - 2x_3) + (1 - x_2)(1 - x_1)q^\mu \right] \gamma^\mu + x_3 m_\mu(x_3 - 1)(p^\mu + p'^\mu) \right\} u(p). \quad (36)$$

Or, with the **Gordon's decomposition**:

$$\bar{u}(p')\Gamma^{\mu(1)}(p,p')u(p) = 4ig_e^2\kappa^2 \int \frac{d^4l}{(2\pi)^4} \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \bar{u}(p') \frac{\delta(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - 1)}{[l^2 - \Delta + i\epsilon]^3} \\ \times \left\{ \left[ -\frac{l^2}{2} + m_\mu^2(1 - x_3^2 - 2x_3) + (1 - x_2)(1 - x_1)q^\mu \right] \gamma^\mu - 2x_3 m_\mu^2(x_3 - 1) \frac{i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_\nu}{2m_\mu} \right\} u(p). \quad (37)$$

Comparing expression (37) with the expression of the vertex correction function (20):

$$F_2^{(1)}(q^2) = 4ig_e^2\kappa^2 \int \frac{d^4l}{(2\pi)^4} \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \delta(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - 1) \frac{2x_3 m_\mu^2(1 - x_3)}{[l^2 - \Delta + i\epsilon]^3}. \quad (38)$$

**Note:**  $\Gamma^{\mu(1)}(p,p') = F_1^{(1)}(q^2)\gamma^\mu + iF_2^{(1)}(q^2)\frac{q_\nu\sigma^{\mu\nu}}{2m_\mu}. \quad (20)$

**Wick's rotation:** This procedure involves a complex rotation in the time component of the momentum  $l$  ( $l^0 \rightarrow il_E^0$ ), changing the space-time metric from Lorentzian to Euclidean signature. This makes it easier to integrate:

$$\int \frac{d^4 l}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{1}{[l^2 - \Delta + i\epsilon]^n} = \frac{i(-1)^n}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{1}{(n-1)(n-2)} \frac{1}{\Delta^{n-2}}.$$

For this case ( $n = 3$ ):



$$\begin{aligned} F_2^{(1)}(q^2) &= 4g_e^2 \kappa^2 \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \delta(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - 1) \frac{2x_3 m_\mu^2 (1 - x_3)}{2(4\pi)^2 \Delta} \quad (39) \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \times \kappa^2 \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \delta(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - 1) \frac{x_3 m_\mu^2 (1 - x_3)}{m_\mu^2 (1 - x_3)^2 + m_V^2 x_3 - x_1 x_2 q^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Evaluating  $F_2^{(1)}(q^2 = 0) = a_\mu^V$ :

$$\begin{aligned} a_\mu^V &= \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \times \kappa^2 \int_0^1 dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 \delta(x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - 1) \frac{x_3 m_\mu^2 (1 - x_3)}{m_\mu^2 (1 - x_3)^2 + m_V^2 x_3} \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \times \kappa^2 \int_0^1 dx_3 \int_0^{1-x_3} dx_1 \frac{x_3 m_\mu^2 (1 - x_3)}{m_\mu^2 (1 - x_3)^2 + m_V^2 x_3}. \quad (40) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow a_{\mu}^V(m_V, \kappa^2) = \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \kappa^2 \int_0^1 dx_3 \frac{2x_3 m_{\mu}^2 (1-x_3)^2}{m_{\mu}^2 (1-x_3)^2 + m_V^2 x_3}$$

The  $\kappa^2$  factor in the contribution expression affects the **order of magnitude** of  $a_{\mu}^V$ .



**Figure 12:**  $Z'$  boson contribution for the anomaly.

The  $a_{\mu}^V$  value is inversely proportional to  **$Z'$  boson mass** ( $m_V$ ).

Upper limits  $\kappa \sim \mathcal{O}(10^{-2} - 10^{-3})$  [4]


$m_V \gtrsim 100 \text{ MeV}$  [5]




This result will be compared with the theoretical predictions of **WP**, **CMD-3** and **BMW'24**.

$$a_{\mu}^{Exp} = 116\,592\,055(24) \times 10^{-11}$$

Collaboration	$a_{\mu}^{SM}$	$a_{\mu}^{NP} = a_{\mu}^{Exp} - a_{\mu}^{SM}$
White Paper	$116\,591\,810(43) \times 10^{-11}$	$245(49) \times 10^{-11}$
CMD-3	$116\,592\,006(49) \times 10^{-11}$	$49(55) \times 10^{-11}$
BMW'24	$116\,592\,019(38) \times 10^{-11}$	$36(45) \times 10^{-11}$



**Figure 13:** Comparison between the  $Z'$  boson contribution for the anomaly and theoretical predictions.





—  $a_\mu^{NP}(WP)$   
—  $\kappa^2 \sim 10^{-6}$   
—  $\kappa^2 \sim 10^{-4}$

- For  $100 \text{ MeV} < m_V < 550 \text{ MeV}$  and  $10^{-6} \lesssim \kappa^2 \lesssim 10^{-4}$  there is agreement with the **WP** prediction.

- For  $\kappa^2 \lesssim 10^{-6}$  the discrepancy presented by **WP** cannot be explained.

- For  $m_V \gtrsim 100 \text{ MeV}$  and  $\kappa^2 \lesssim 10^{-6}$  there is agreement with the predictions of **CMD-3** and **BMW'24**. However, their contribution to g-2 is very small ( $a_\mu^V \lesssim 2.5 \times 10^{-10}$ ).



1. Pospelov, Maxim. "Secluded  $U(1)$  below the weak scale." *Physical Review D* 80.9 (2009): 095002.
2. Aguillard, D. P., et al. "Measurement of the Positive Muon Anomalous Magnetic Moment to 0.20 ppm." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.06230* (2023).
3. Aoyama, Tatsumi, et al. "The anomalous magnetic moment of the muon in the Standard Model." *Physics reports* 887 (2020): 1-166.
4. Lees, J. P., et al. "Search for invisible decays of a dark photon produced in  $e^+e^-$  collisions at BaBar." *Physical review letters* 119.13 (2017): 131804.
5. Banerjee, Dipanwita, et al. "Search for invisible decays of sub-GeV dark photons in missing-energy events at the CERN SPS." *Physical review letters* 118.1 (2017): 011802.



**#SOMOSUA**