# Flowing behind the horizon

by

### Elena Cáceres University of Texas at Austin

SILAFAE 2024





Holography  $\sim \mathsf{AdS}/\mathsf{CFT}$ 

- Since 1997 [Maldacena ][Gubser,Klebanov, Polyakov, Witten :] useful tool to study some strongly coupled quantum field theories
- Equivalence of two very different theories



- Connection with other areas of physics
- More recently: Connection between quantum gravity and quantum information

Key ingredient: entagnlement entropy  $S_A = \min A$ 

- But we do not know yet
  - How is gravity encoded in the boundary quantum degrees of freedom ?
  - How do we "reconstruct" spacetime -including behind a black hole horizon – just from boundary data?

- We know is that this duality geometrizes field theoretical concepts
  - Examples:
  - $\mathsf{Energy} \leftrightarrow \mathsf{radial}, \, \mathsf{emergent} \, \mathsf{direction}$



Entanglement entropy = Minimal area in the bulk



Many holographic constructs explore behind the horizon

But often, not all the way to the singularity

Here: black hole interior as trans-IR RG flow?

- 1 AdS/CFT, main ideas
- 2 Holography behind the horizon
  - Entanglement and Complexity-Volume are not enough
- 3 Trans-IR flows
  - Monotonicity and the null energy condition
  - Toward the singularity, connection to BKL
- 4 Open questions



AdS/CFT

AdS: Constant negative curvature space



CFT "lives at the boundary"



Gravitational theory maps to non-gravitational one

- Strong/weak coupling duality
- Gauge theory lives in fewer dimensions, *holographic*



Asymptotically AdS geometry  $\Leftrightarrow$  CFT state AdS  $\Leftrightarrow$  vacuum state Black hole formation  $\Leftrightarrow$  thermalization Black hole in AdS  $\Leftrightarrow$  thermal state Radial direction  $\Leftrightarrow$  energy in the boundary (quantum) theory Solving bulk equations of motion  $\Rightarrow$  enough to reconstruct the bulk outside the event horizon

But this method is not able to describe regions behind causal horizons

What holographic constructs explore **inside the black hole**? All the way to the **singularity**?

### Holography behind the horizon

Holography behind the horizon

## Holographic entanglement entropy

#### Entanglement in QM

Density matrix  $\rho$  describes the state of the whole system

Partition the system, construct the reduced density matrix  $\rho_A$  by tracing over degrees of freedom in B,  $\rho_A = \text{Tr}_B \rho$ 



Entanglement entropy

$$S_A = -\text{Tr}\left[\rho_A \log \rho_A\right]$$

Entanglement in Conformal Field Theory

- Non-local object
- Useful in quantum many-body systems
- Plays crucial role in quantum information (cryptography, teleportation .....)
- But
  - difficult to measure
  - difficult to calculate, especially in strongly coupled field theories

#### $AdS/CFT \rightarrow$ entanglement entropy can be described geometrically

Holography behind the horizon

# Holographic Entanglement Entropy





Entanglement in the boundary quantum, theory encodes the geometry of spacetime

$$\mathsf{S}_A = \operatorname{Area}_{min}(\gamma_A)$$

[Ryu, Takayanagi 2007]

#### Evidence

#### Agrees with analytical 2D CFT results [Holzhey-Larsen-Wilczek ][Calabrese, Cardy ]

Holographic proof of strong subadditivity,

 $S(AB) + S(BC) \ge S(ABC) + S(B)$ 

[Headrick, Takayanagi ] and many other properties known from QI  $% \left[ {{{\rm{A}}_{{\rm{B}}}}_{{\rm{A}}}} \right]$ 

Proof –assuming holography [Maldacena, Lewkowycz ]
 Time evolution

# Holographic Complexity

# Other important boundary quantity: circuit or gate complexity

Starting in a reference state  $|\Psi_0\rangle$ , what is the minimmum number of fundamental gates (unitaries that act on 2 qubits) needed to make a target state  $|\Psi_f\rangle$  within accuracy  $\epsilon$ .



Defining property of complexity: linear growth



#### **Complexity = Volume (CV):** maximal codimension-1 bulk

slice [Susskind 2014] [Susskind, Stanford 2014]

 $C \sim Vol_{\max}(B)$ 



 Other complexities: complexity-action (CA), CV 2.0, complexity=anything [Brown, Roberts, Susskind, Swingle, Zhao 2016][Couch, Fischler, Nguyen 2016][Belin, Myers, Ruan, Sarosi, Speranza 2021]

#### However,

- There are extremal surface barriers [Engelhardt, Wall 2013]
- Extremal surfaces do not probe past these barriers
- ⇒ it is not possible to reconstruct the entire bulk, to reach the singularity, using extremal surfaces (entanglement, CV)



### **Trans-IR Flows**

Trans-IR Flows

## **Motivation**

- RG flow: Interpolation between theories at different energy scales
  - Goes from UV fixed point to IR fixed point
- View as a curve in the space of couplings parameterized by energy



Holography:

- Radial direction ↔ energy
- Holographic RG flow UV-IR: from boundary to horizon
- Extensive literature on holographic RG flows of vacuum states, seminal papers:
  - [Freedman, S. S. Gubser, K. Pilch, Warner 1999]
  - [Myers, Sinha 2010–11]

- RG flows in black hole backgrounds ? [Frenkel, Hartnoll, Kruthoff, Shi 2021]
- Black holes are dual to a thermal state
- "RG" flow into the black hole? Trans-IR

## **Holographic RG Flow**

In AdS/CFT, to trigger an RG flow we need to deform the boundary CFT *i.e.* add matter

• Example: Scalar field  $\phi$  is dual to operator  $\mathcal O$ 

$$\int d^{d+1} X \sqrt{|g|} \left[ \nabla_{\mu} \phi \nabla^{\mu} \phi + V(\phi) \right] \longleftrightarrow \int d^{d} x \, \phi_{0} \mathcal{O}$$
(3.1)

Relevant deformations trigger RG flows
 Flow is encoded by classical bulk dynamics
 [Balasubramanian, Kraus 1999] [de Boer, Verlinde, Verlinde 2000]

## **RG Flows of the Vacuum**

#### Start with domain wall ansatz with flat slicing

$$ds^{2} = e^{2A(\rho)} \left( -dt^{2} + d\vec{x}^{2} \right) + d\rho^{2}, \quad ((t, \vec{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, \ \rho \ge 0)$$

Get  $\operatorname{AdS}_{d+1}$  with curvature  $\ell$  when  $A(\rho) = \rho/\ell$ 

Trace anomaly coefficient is [Freedman et. al ][Myers et. al. ]

$$a_{\rm UV} = \frac{\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)} \left(\frac{\ell}{\ell_P}\right)^{d-1} = \frac{\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)\ell_P^{d-1}} \left[\frac{1}{A'(\rho)}\right]^{d-1}$$

Identify RHS as holographic *a*-function *a*(*ρ*)
 For general warp factor *e*<sup>A(ρ)</sup> and Einstein gravity, can prove monotonicity if NEC is obeyed

## **Trans-IR Flows as Black Hole Interiors**

- AdS/CFT: energy scale is the bulk radial extra dimension
- black holes
  - RG flow of some UV thermal state (bdry.) to IR (horizon)
  - In the interior the radial coordinate becomes timelike
    - $\implies$  trans-IR [Frenkel, Hartnoll, Kruthoff, Shi 2020]



- $\blacksquare$  Trans-IR flow: analytic continuation to imaginary  $\Lambda$
- Analytically continuation to study the black hole interior is not a new idea: Maldacena, Hubeny, etc.
- Can we define an a-function that is monotonic in the interior? [EC, Kundu,Patra, Shashi 2022][EC, Kundu,Patra, Shashi 2022]
   [EC, Shashi 2022] [EC, Sashi, Sun 2023] [EC, Castillo, Landsteiner, Salazar-Landea 2023] [EC, Patra, Pedraza 2023]

#### More questions

- What happens to the degrees of freedom at a trans-IR endpoint?
  - Symmetric backgrounds: we lose all degrees of freedom at the singularity. Anisotropic backgrounds: unclear
- 2 Connection to Belinski–Khalatnikov–Lifshitz (BKL) behavior close to the singularity?

- Holographic RG flows of thermal states
- The trans-IR explores the interior of a black hole geometry
- Start with "blackened" ansatz

$$ds^{2} = e^{2A(\rho)} \left( -f(\rho)^{2} dt^{2} + d\vec{x}^{2} \right) + d\rho^{2},$$

• Exterior is  $(t, \vec{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\rho \ge 0$ , with horizon at  $\rho = 0$ 

- The Null Energy condition allows us to define an *a* function even for less symmetric backgrounds [EC, Shashi ][EC, Castillo, Landsteiner, Salazar-Landea ]
- And we can prove that this *a* function is also monotonic in the interior

# Null Energy Condition (NEC)

$$T^{\mu
u}k_{\mu}k_{\nu} > 0$$
  
Key idea: if NEC along  $k^{\mu} = \frac{e^{-A(\rho)}}{f(\rho)}\partial_{t}^{\mu} + \partial_{\rho}^{\mu}$  can be written as  
 $\mathcal{C}(\rho)\frac{d}{d\rho}\left[\tilde{a}(\rho)\right] - \mathcal{K}(\rho)^{2} \ge 0,$ 

where  $\mathcal{C}(\rho)$  is positive outside the horizon

$$\implies \qquad \frac{d}{d\rho} \left[ \tilde{a}(\rho) \right] \ge \frac{\mathcal{K}(\rho)^2}{\mathcal{C}(\rho)} \ge 0.$$

 $\implies \tilde{a}(\rho)$  is a candidate a-function.

### The $a_T$ -Function

In the the case of a metric

$$ds^{2} = e^{2A(\rho)} \left( -f(\rho)^{2} dt^{2} + d\vec{x}^{2} \right) + d\rho^{2},$$

#### we obtain

$$a_T(\rho) = \frac{\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)\ell_P^{d-1}} \left[\frac{f(\rho)}{A'(\rho)}\right]^{d-1}$$

Trans-IR Flows

# The *a<sub>T</sub>*-Function (cont.)

We can prove that

Stationary at horizon:

$$\left. \frac{da_T}{d\rho} \right|_{\rm hor} = 0$$

Monotonicity condition:

$$\mathsf{UV} \to \mathsf{IR} : \frac{da_T}{d\rho} \ge 0$$
  
Trans-IR :  $\frac{da_T}{d\kappa} \le 0$ 

Trans-IR Flows

#### **Example: Free Kasner Flows**

Example: Free Kasner Flows

## **Einstein + Free Scalar Theory**

Einstein gravity + scalar  

$$I = \int d^{d+1}x \sqrt{-g} \left( R + d(d-1) - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla^{\alpha} \phi \nabla_{\alpha} \phi + m^2 \phi^2) \right)$$

 $\phi = \phi(r)$  dual to relevant operator  $\mathcal{O}$  with dimension  $\Delta$ ,

$$I_{\mathcal{O}} = \int d^d x \, \phi_0 \, \mathcal{O}$$

Schwarzschild-like metric ansatz with horizon  $r = r_h$ 

$$ds^{2} = \frac{1}{r^{2}} \left[ -F(r)e^{-\chi(r)}dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{F(r)} + d\vec{x}^{2} \right], \quad (r \in \mathbb{R})$$

Exterior:  $r \le r_h$  ( $F \ge 0$ ); Bdry at r = 0Interior:  $r \ge r_h$  ( $F \le 0$ )

## **Solving for Black Hole Solutions**

Equations of motion with this ansatz:

$$\phi'' + \left(\frac{F'}{F} - \frac{d-1}{r} - \frac{\chi'}{2}\right)\phi' + \frac{\Delta(d-\Delta)}{r^2F}\phi = 0 \quad (4.1)$$
  
$$\chi' - \frac{2F'}{F} - \frac{\Delta(d-\Delta)\phi^2}{(d-1)rF} - \frac{2d}{rF} + \frac{2d}{r} = 0 \quad (4.2)$$
  
$$\chi' - \frac{r}{d-1}(\phi')^2 = 0, \quad (4.3)$$

Solve numerically for  $\{F, \chi, \phi\}$ 

- Solutions labeled by "strength" of deformation measured by the dimensionless parameter  $\phi_0/T^{d-\Delta}$ 

 $a_T$ 

 Can use coordinate transformation from blackened domain wall ansatz to Schwarzschild-like ansatz to write

$$a_T(r) = \frac{\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right)\ell_P^{d-1}} e^{-(d-1)\chi(r)/2}$$
(4.4)

 Can go further and obtain the evolution of a<sub>T</sub> along the full flow:

$$\frac{da_T}{dE} = \begin{cases} \frac{da_T}{d\rho} = -r\sqrt{F(r)}\frac{da_T}{dr}, & \text{if } r \le r_h \\ \frac{da_T}{d\kappa} = r\sqrt{|F(r)|}\frac{da_T}{dr}, & \text{if } r \ge r_h \end{cases}$$
(4.5)

# $a_T$ (cont.)

Set d = 3,  $\Delta = 2$  and plot  $a_T$ ,  $da_T/dE$  for various deformations



## Close to the singularity; Kasner Flows

 Near-singularity  $(r \to \infty)$  geometry is a Kasner(-like) universe

$$ds^{2} \sim -d\tau^{2} + \tau^{2p_{t}}dt^{2} + \tau^{2p_{x}}d\vec{x}^{2}, \quad \phi \sim -\sqrt{2}p_{\phi}\log\tau$$
$$p_{t} + (d-1)p_{x} = 1, \quad p_{\phi}^{2} + p_{t}^{2} + (d-1)p_{x}^{2} = 1$$

 Thus, call the geometries Kasner flows [Frenkel, Hartnoll, Kruthoff, Shi 2020] [Caceres, Kundu, Patra, Shashi 2021]

## **Connecting singularity and boundary**

Recall that the boundary deformation deformation is  $I_{\mathcal{O}} = \int d^d x \, \phi_0 \, \mathcal{O}$ 



• As we approach singularity  $(r \to \infty)$  we have  $a_T \to 0$ 

$$a_T(r) \sim r^{-(d-1)^2 q^2/2}$$

where q is a function of  $p_t$  and d  $\implies$  Lose all d.o.f. at trans-IR endpoint. Complexity? [Caputa, Das, Das 2021]

- Study near-singularity backreacting geometry in greater generality
  - Can use Belinskii-Khalatnikov-Lifshitz (BKL) approximation
  - Can also examine numerical solutions to theories with more matter [Hartnoll, Horowitz, Kruthoff, Santos 2021]

## **Anisotropic Flows**

Anisotropic Flows

# Is the monotonic trans IR a-function an artifact of having too much symmetry?

- Non-isotropic RG flows [D.Giataganas, U. Gürsoy, J.F. Pedraza 2018][C.S. Chu, D. Giataganas 2020]
- NEC
- Trans-IR non-isotropic flows

Consider a background that breaks the rotational symmetry of the constant- $\rho$  slices,

$$ds^{2} = e^{2A(\rho)} \left[ -f(\rho)^{2} dt^{2} + e^{2\mathcal{X}(\rho)} d\vec{x}_{1}^{2} + d\vec{x}_{2}^{2} \right] + d\rho^{2}$$

Asymptotically  ${\cal A}dS$ 

$$A(\rho) \sim \frac{\rho}{\ell} \ (\rho \to \infty), \ \lim_{\rho \to \infty} \mathcal{X}(\rho) = 0, \ \lim_{\rho \to \infty} f(\rho) = 1.$$

Key observation: NEC along  $k^\mu=\frac{e^{-A(\rho)}}{f(\rho)}\partial_t^\mu+\partial_\rho^\mu$  can be written as

$$C(\rho) \frac{d}{d\rho} [\tilde{a}(\rho)] - \mathcal{K}(\rho)^2 \ge 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{C}(\rho)$  is manifestly positive outside the horizon.

$$\frac{d}{d\rho} \left[ \tilde{a}(\rho) \right] \ge \frac{\mathcal{K}(\rho)^2}{\mathcal{C}(\rho)} \ge 0.$$

 $\implies \tilde{a}(\rho)$  is a candidate a-function.

d+1 dimensional space,  $d_1+d_2=d-1$ 

$$ds^{2} = e^{2A(\rho)} \left[ -f(\rho)^{2} dt^{2} + e^{2\mathcal{X}(\rho)} d\vec{x}_{1}^{2} + d\vec{x}_{2}^{2} \right] + d\rho^{2}$$

we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(\rho) &= \frac{1}{(d-1)f(\rho)} \left[ d_1 \left( A'(\rho) + \mathcal{X}'(\rho) \right) + d_2 A'(\rho) \right]^2 e^{d_1 \mathcal{X}(\rho)/(d-1)}, \\ \mathcal{K}(\rho) &= \sqrt{\frac{d_1 d_2}{d-1}} \mathcal{X}'(\rho). \end{aligned}$$

#### and,

$$a(\rho) \sim e^{-d_1 \mathcal{X}(\rho)} \left[ \frac{(d-1)f(\rho)}{d_1 \left( A'(\rho) + \mathcal{X}'(\rho) \right) + d_2 A'(\rho)} \right]^{d-1}$$

- Asymptotes to the appropriate UV trace anomaly  $\ell^{d-1}$
- Monotonicity outside the horizon 
   NEC
- Monotonicity inside the horizon is more involved  $\checkmark$

Example: 
$$I_{\text{EYM}} = \int d^5 x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2\ell_{\text{P}}^3} \left( R + 12 \right) - \frac{1}{4\hat{g}^2} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{a\mu\nu} \right]$$

$$ds^{2} = e^{2A(\rho)} \left[ -f(\rho)^{2} dt^{2} + e^{2\mathcal{X}(\rho)} dx^{2} + dy^{2} + dz^{2} \right] + d\rho^{2}.$$

 $d_1 = 1$  and  $d_2 = 2$ The *a*-function is

$$a_T^{(1)}(\rho) \sim e^{-\mathcal{X}(\rho)} \left[ \frac{f(\rho)}{A'(\rho) + \frac{1}{3}\mathcal{X}'(\rho)} \right]^3.$$

Solve EOMs numerically,





### **Conclusions**

Conclusions

Trans-IR flows seem rather abstract in typical QFT.

- Naturally emerge in holographic RG framework as black hole interiors
- We can define an a-function that is monotonic along the flows, even in anisotropic backgrounds
- We can correlate behavior at the boundary with deformation at the singularity

# **Remaining Mysteries**

Broad question: trans-IR flow in the quantum side

 Better understanding more black hole interiors/near-singularity geometries with more complicated matter profiles (BKL analysis using cosmological billiards [Damour, Henneaux, Nicolai 2003]).

*a<sub>T</sub>* close to the singularity? Losing degrees of freedom?
 Many more questions...

# Gracias!

Conclusions

#### Example: p-wave superfluid

(4+1)-dimensional Einstein-Yang-Mills theory with SU(2) gauge symmetry whose bulk action is (setting  $\ell = 1$ )

$$I_{\rm EYM} = \int d^5 x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2\ell_{\rm P}^3} \left( R + 12 \right) - \frac{1}{4\hat{g}^2} F^a_{\mu\nu} F^{a\mu\nu} \right]$$

a=1,2,3 runs over the generators of the (three-dimensional representation of the) SU(2) gauge group

$$ds^{2} = -N(r)\sigma(r)^{2}dt^{2} + \frac{1}{N(r)}dr^{2} + r^{2}h(r)^{-4}dx^{2} + r^{2}h(r)^{2}(dy^{2} + dz^{2})$$
$$\mathcal{A} = \phi(r)\tau^{3}dt + w(r)\tau^{1}dx,$$

Solve EOMs numerically

Note: To prove monotonicity in the interior it is easier to change to Schawrzchild -like coordinates,

$$ds^{2} = \frac{1}{r^{2}} \left[ -F(r)e^{-\chi(r)}dt^{2} + \frac{dr^{2}}{F(r)} + d\vec{x}^{2} \right],$$
$$e^{2A(\rho)} = \frac{1}{r^{2}}, \quad f(\rho)^{2} = F(r)e^{-\chi(r)}, \quad \frac{dr}{d\rho} = -r\sqrt{F(r)}.$$

- Assume gapless system for now (Λ<sub>IR</sub> = 0)
- Trans-IR flow accessed by analytically continuing to imaginary Λ



## **Trans-IR Flows as Black Hole Interiors**

- Energy scale is the bulk radial extra dimension
- RG flows: UV at the boundary, IR at the horizon
- Q: Black holes?
  - RG flow of some UV thermal state
  - Radial coordinate becomes timelike trans-IR! [Frenkel, Hartnoll, Kruthoff, Shi 2020]





# **Counting Degrees of Freedom**

- Count degrees of freedom along flow with a monotonically decreasing function of energy
- Zamolodchikov c-theorem (2d)
  - Evaluates to central charge at fixed points
- Cardy *a*-theorem (2n-d)
  - Evaluates to trace anomaly coefficient at fixed points



- To probe trans-IR, need to use a black hole geometry
- Start with "blackened" domain wall ansatz with warped flat slicing

$$ds^{2} = e^{2A(\rho)} \left( -f(\rho)^{2} dt^{2} + d\vec{x}^{2} \right) + d\rho^{2}, \ (f(\rho) = f_{1}\rho + O(\rho^{3}))$$

• Exterior is  $(t, \vec{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\rho \ge 0$ , with horizon at  $\rho = 0$ 

Interior accessed via analytic continuation

$$\boxed{\rho = i\kappa}, \ t = t_I - \mathsf{sgn}(t_I) \frac{i\gamma}{2T}, \ \left(\kappa \ge 0, \ \gamma \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

• Get  $AdS_{d+1}$ -Schwarzschild with curvature  $\ell$  when

$$e^{A(\rho)} = \frac{2}{d} \cosh\left(\frac{d\rho}{2\ell}\right)^{2/d}, \quad f(\rho) = \tanh\left(\frac{d\rho}{2\ell}\right)$$