Novel QCD Phenomena at the LHC (II)



Stan Brodsky

SLAC

October 1, 2010

QCD Myths

- Anti-Shadowing is Universal
- ISI and FSI are higher twist effects and universal
- High transverse momentum hadrons arise only from jet fragmentation -- baryon anomaly!
- heavy quarks only from gluon splitting
- renormalization scale cannot be fixed
- QCD condensates are vacuum effects
- Infrared Slavery
- Nuclei are composites of nucleons only
- Real part of DVCS arbitrary

Deep Inelastic Electron-Proton Scattering



Gluonic Bremmstrahlung

DGLAP Evolution

Deep Inelastic Electron-Proton Scattering



Final-State QCD Interaction

Conventional wisdom:
Final-state interactions of struck quark can be neglected

Single-spin asymmetries

Leading Twist Sivers Effect

Hwang, Schmidt, sjb

Collins, Burkardt Ji, Yuan

$$i\ \vec{S}_p \cdot \vec{q} \times \vec{p}_q$$

Pseudo-T-Odd



QCD S- and P-Coulomb Phases --Wilson Line

Light-Front Wavefunction S and P- Waves

Leading-Twist Rescattering Violates pQCD Factorization!

Final-State Interactions Produce Pseudo T-Odd (Sivers Effect)

Hwang, Schmidt, sjb
Collins

$$\mathbf{i}\ \vec{S} \cdot \vec{p}_{jet} \times \vec{q}$$

- Leading-Twist Bjorken Scaling!
- Requires nonzero orbital angular momentum of quark
- Arises from the interference of Final-State QCD Coulomb phases in S- and P-waves;
- Wilson line effect -- gauge independent
- Relate to the quark contribution to the target proton anomalous magnetic moment and final-state QCD phases
- QCD phase at soft scale!
- New window to QCD coupling and running gluon mass in the IR
- QED S and P Coulomb phases infinite -- difference of phases finite!
- Alternate: Retarded and Advanced Gauge: Augmented LFWFs



Pasquini, Xiao, Yuan, sjb
Mulders, Boer Qiu, Sterman



can interfere with



and produce a T-odd effect! (also need $L_z \neq 0$)

HERMES coll., A. Airapetian et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 (2005) 012002.

Sivers asymmetry from HERMES



- First evidence for non-zero Sivers function!
- ⇒ presence of non-zero **quark orbital angular momentum**!
- Positive for **π⁺**...
  Consistent with zero for **π⁻**...

Gamberg: Hermes data compatible with BHS model

Schmidt, Lu: Hermes charge pattern follow quark contributions to anomalous moment

Anomalous effect from Double ISI in Massive Lepton Production

Boer, Hwang, sjb

$\cos 2\phi$ correlation



- Leading Twist, valence quark dominated
- Violates Lam-Tung Relation!
- Not obtained from standard PQCD subprocess analysis
- Normalized to the square of the single spin asymmetry in semi-inclusive DIS
- No polarization required
- Challenge to standard picture of PQCD Factorization

Predict Opposite Sign SSA in DY !



Collins;
Hwang,
Schmidt. sjb

Single Spin Asymmetry In the Drell Yan Process
$\vec{S}_p \cdot \vec{\bar{p}} \times \vec{q}_{\gamma^*}$
Quarks Interact in the Initial State
Interference of Coulomb Phases for S and P states
Produce Single Spin Asymmetry [Siver's Effect]Proportional to the Proton Anomalous Moment and α_s.
Opposite Sign to DIS! No Factorization

Key QCD Experiment

Collins; Hwang, Schmidt. sjb

Measure single-spin asymmetry A_N in Drell-Yan reactions

Leading-twist Bjorken-scaling A_N from S, P-wave initial-state gluonic interactions

Predict: $A_N(DY) = -A_N(DIS)$
Opposite in sign!



$$\bar{p}p_{\uparrow} \to \ell^+\ell^- X$$

$\vec{S} \cdot \vec{q} \times \vec{p}$ correlation



Unpolarized Distribution

Bj Sum Rule

Transversity

Sivers Function

Boer-Mulders Function

T-Odd:
Require ISI or FSI

Boer, Hwang, sjb



DY $\cos 2\phi$ **correlation at leading twist from double ISI**

Product of Boer - Mulders Functions

$$h_1^{\perp}(x_1, \boldsymbol{p}_{\perp}^2) \times \bar{h}_1^{\perp}(x_2, \boldsymbol{k}_{\perp}^2)$$

Drell-Yan angular distribution

Unpolarized DY



Lam – Tung SR : $1 - \lambda = 2\nu$

NLO pQCD : $\lambda \approx 1$ $\mu \approx 0$ $\nu \approx 0$

- Experimentally, a violation of the Lam-Tung sum rule is observed by sizeable cos2Φ moments
- Several model explanations
  - higher twist
  - spin correlation due to non-triva QCD vacuum
  - Non-zero Boer Mulders function

$$\frac{1}{\sigma}\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} = \frac{3}{4\pi}\frac{1}{\lambda+3}\left(1 + \lambda\cos^2\theta + \mu\sin2\theta\cos\phi + \frac{\nu}{2}\sin^2\theta\cos2\phi\right)$$

Experiment: $\nu \simeq 0.6$

B. Seitz

Double Initial-State Interactions
generate anomalous $\cos 2\phi$

Boer, Hwang, sjb

Drell-Yan planar correlations

$$\frac{1}{\sigma}\frac{d\sigma}{d\Omega} \propto \left(1 + \lambda \cos^2\theta + \mu \sin 2\theta \, \cos\phi + \frac{\nu}{2}\sin^2\theta \cos 2\phi\right)$$

PQCD Factorization (Lam Tung): $1 - \lambda - 2\nu = 0$

$$\frac{\nu}{2} \propto h_1^{\perp}(\pi)\, h_1^{\perp}(N)$$



Violates Lam-Tung relation!



Model: Boer,

LHC Experiment

Boer, Hwang, sjb



DY $\cos 2\phi$ **correlation at leading twist from double ISI**

Product of Boer - Mulders Functions

$$h_1^\perp(x_1, \boldsymbol{p}_\perp^2) \times \bar{h}_1^\perp(x_2, \boldsymbol{k}_\perp^2)$$

Measurement of Angular Distributions of Drell-Yan Dimuons in $p + d$ Interaction at 800 GeV/c

(FNAL E866/NuSea Collaboration)



Huge Effect in $\pi W \to \mu^+ \mu^- X$

Negligible Effect $pd \to \mu^+ \mu^- X$

Parameter ν vs. p_T in the Collins-Soper frame for three Drell-Yan measurements. Fits to the data using Eq. 3 and $M_C = 2.4$ GeV/c^2 are also shown.

Important Corrections from Initial and Final State Corrections



Sivers & Collins Odd-T Spin Effects, Co-planarity Correlations



Problem for factorization when both ISI and FSI occur

Factorization is violated in production of high-transverse-momentum particles in hadron-hadron collisions

John Collins, Jian-Wei Qiu . ANL-HEP-PR-07-25, May 2007.

e-Print: **arXiv:0705.2141** [hep-ph]



The exchange of two extra gluons, as in this graph, will tend to give non-factorization in unpolarized cross sections.



$\cos 2\phi$ correlation for quarkonium production at leading twist from double ISI

Enhanced by gluon color charge

DDIS



- In a large fraction ($\sim$ 10–15%) of DIS events, the proton escapes intact, keeping a large fraction of its initial momentum
- This leaves a large *rapidity gap* between the proton and the produced particles
- The t-channel exchange must be *color singlet* $\rightarrow$ a pomeron??

Diffractive Deep Inelastic Lepton-Proton Scattering

Remarkable observation at HERA



10% to 15% of DIS events are diffractive !



Fraction r of events with a large rapidity gap, $\eta_{max} < 1.5$, as a function of Q^2_{DA} for two ranges of x_{DA}. No acceptance corrections have been applied.

M. Derrick et al. [ZEUS Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B 315, 481 (1993)

Diffractive Structure Function F_2^D



Diffractive inclusive cross section

$$\frac{d^3\sigma_{NC}^{diff}}{dx_{I\!P}\, d\beta\, dQ^2} \propto \frac{2\pi\alpha^2}{xQ^4} F_2^{D(3)}(x_{I\!P}, \beta, Q^2)$$

$$F_2^D(x_{I\!P}, \beta, Q^2) = f(x_{I\!P}) \cdot F_2^{I\!P}(\beta, Q^2)$$

extract DPDF and $xg(x)$ from scaling violation

Large kinematic domain $3 < Q^2 < 1600\ \mathrm{GeV}^2$

Precise measurements *sys 5%, stat 5–20 %*



Diffractive Deep Inelastic Scattering

Diffractive DIS $ep \rightarrow epX$ where there is a large rapidity gap and the target nucleon remains intact probes the final state interaction of the scattered quark with the spectator system via gluon exchange.

Diffractive DIS on nuclei $eA \rightarrow e'AX$ and hard diffractive reactions such as $\gamma^* A \rightarrow VA$ can occur coherently leaving the nucleus intact.



Final-State Interaction Produces Diffractive DIS



Quark Rescattering

Hoyer, Marchal, Peigne, Sannino, SJB (BHMPS)

Enberg, Hoyer, Ingelman, SJB

Hwang, Schmidt, SJB

Low-Nussinov model of Pomeron

Hoyer, Marchal, Peigne, Sannino, sjb

QCD Mechanism for Rapidity Gaps

Wilson Line: $\overline{\psi}(y)\int_0^y dx\, e^{iA(x)\cdot dx}\,\psi(0)$



Reproduces lab-frame color dipole approach

Final State Interactions in QCD



Feynman Gauge



Light-Cone Gauge

Result is Gauge Independent

Predict: Reduced DDIS/DIS for Heavy Quarks



Higher Twist Diffraction Fraction

$$\frac{\sigma(DDIS)}{\sigma(DIS)} \simeq \frac{\Lambda^2_{QCD}}{M^2_Q}$$

See also: Bartels et al

Kopeliovitch, Schmidt, sjb

Reproduces lab-frame color dipole approach



Integration over on-shell domain produces phase i

Need Imaginary Phase to Generate Pomeron and DDIS

Need Imaginary Phase to Generate
T-Odd Single-Spin Asymmetry

Physics of FSI not in Wavefunction of Target

Stodolsky
Pumplin, sjb
Gribov

Nuclear Shadowing in QCD



Shadowing depends on understanding leading twist-diffraction in DIS

Nuclear Shadowing not included in nuclear LFWF !

Dynamical effect due to virtual photon interacting in nucleus



M. Hirai, S. Kumano and T. H. Nagai, "Nuclear parton distribution functions and their uncertainties," Phys. Rev. C **70**, 044905 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0404093].



M. Hirai, S. Kumano and T. H. Nagai, "Nuclear parton distribution functions and their uncertainties," Phys. Rev. C **70**, 044905 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0404093].



The one-step and two-step processes in DIS on a nucleus.

Coherence at small Bjorken x_B :
$1/Mx_B = 2\nu/Q^2 \geq L_A$.



If the scattering on nucleon N_1 is via pomeron exchange, the one-step and two-step amplitudes are opposite in phase, thus diminishing the $\bar{q}$ flux reaching N_2.

$\rightarrow$ Shadowing of the DIS nuclear structure functions.

Observed HERA DDIS produces nuclear shadowing



Shadowing depends on leading-twist DDIS

Integration over on-shell domain produces phase i

Need Imaginary Phase to Generate Pomeron

Need Imaginary Phase to Generate T-Odd Single-Spin Asymmetry

Physics of FSI not in Wavefunction of Target

Antishadowing (Reggeon exchange) is not universal!

Schmidt, Yang, sjb



The one-step and two-step processes in DIS on a nucleus.

Coherence at small Bjorken x_B : $1/Mx_B = 2\nu/Q^2 \geq L_A$.

Reggeon

If the scattering on nucleon N_1 is via ~~pomeron~~ exchange, the one-step and two-step amplitudes are ~~opposite~~ in phase, thus ~~diminishing~~ the $\bar{q}$ flux reaching N_2. *increasing*

→ ***Anti-*** Shadowing of the DIS nuclear structure functions.



Non-singlet Reggeon Exchange

Kuti-Weisskopf behavior

Origin of Regge Behavior of Deep Inelastic Structure Functions

$$F_{2p}(x) - F_{2n}(x) \propto x^{1/2}$$

Antiquark interacts with target nucleus at energy $\widehat{s} \propto \frac{1}{x_{bj}}$



Regge contribution: $\sigma_{\bar{q}N} \sim \widehat{s}^{\alpha_R - 1}$

Nonsinglet Kuti-Weisskoff $F_{2p} - F_{2n} \propto \sqrt{x}_{bj}$ at small x_{bj}.

Landshoff, Polkinghorne, Short

Close, Gunion, sjb

Schmidt, Yang, Lu, sjb

Shadowing of $\sigma_{\bar{q}M}$ produces shadowing of nuclear structure function.

Reggeon Exchange

Phase of two-step amplitude relative to one step:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1-i) \times i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(i+1)$$

Constructive Interference

Depends on quark flavor!

Thus antishadowing is not universal

Different for couplings of $\gamma^*, Z^0, W^\pm$

Critical test: Tagged Drell-Yan



The one-step and two-step processes in DIS on a nucleus.

Coherence at small Bjorken x_B :
$1/Mx_B = 2\nu/Q^2 \geq L_A$.

Reggeon

If the scattering on nucleon N_1 is via ~~pomeron~~ exchange, the one-step and two-step amplitudes are ~~opposite~~ in phase, thus ~~diminishing~~ the $\bar{q}$ flux reaching N_2. *increasing*

→ *Anti-* Shadowing of the DIS nuclear structure functions.

$$Q^2 = 5 \text{ GeV}^2$$



Scheinbein, Yu, Keppel, Morfin, Olness, Owens



Schmidt, Yang; sjb

Nuclear Antishadowing not universal !

Shadowing and Antishadowing of DIS Structure Functions



S. J. Brodsky, I. Schmidt and J. J. Yang, "Nuclear Antishadowing in Neutrino Deep Inelastic Scattering," Phys. Rev. D 70, 116003 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0409279].

Modifies NuTeV extraction of $\sin^2\theta_W$

Test in flavor-tagged lepton-nucleus collisions



Predicted nuclear shadowing and and antishadowing at $Q^2 = 1\ \mathrm{GeV}^2$

S. J. Brodsky, I. Schmidt and J. J. Yang,
"Nuclear Antishadowing in
Neutrino Deep Inelastic Scattering,"
Phys. Rev. D 70, 116003 (2004)
[arXiv:hep-ph/0409279].

Shadowing and Antishadowing in Lepton-Nucleus Scattering

- Shadowing: Destructive Interference
of Two-Step and One-Step Processes
Pomeron Exchange

- Antishadowing: Constructive Interference
of Two-Step and One-Step Processes!
Reggeon and Odderon Exchange

- Antishadowing is Not Universal!
Electromagnetic and weak currents:
different nuclear effects !

Jian-Jun Yang
Ivan Schmidt
Hung Jung Lu
sjb

Can explain NuTeV result

$$Q^2 = 5 \text{ GeV}^2$$



Scheinbein, Yu, Keppel, Morfin, Olness, Owens

LHC p-A Collisions

Leading-Twist Contribution to Hadron Production on Nuclei



$$\frac{d\sigma}{d^3p/E}(pA \to \pi X) = A^\alpha(x_2)\frac{d\sigma}{d^3p/E}(pN \to \pi X)$$

Anti-shadowing is quark specific

Physics of Rescattering

- Sivers Asymmetry and Diffractive DIS: New Insights into Final State Interactions in QCD
- Origin of Hard Pomeron
- Structure Functions not Probability Distributions!

  Not square of LFWFs
- T-odd SSAs, Shadowing, Antishadowing
- Diffractive dijets/ trijets, doubly diffractive Higgs
- Novel Effects: Color Transparency, Color Opaqueness, Intrinsic Charm, Odderon

Static	Dynamic
Square of Target LFWFs	Modified by Rescattering: ISI & FSI
No Wilson Line	Contains Wilson Line, Phases
Probability Distributions	No Probabilistic Interpretation
Process-Independent	Process-Dependent - From Collision
T-even Observables	T-Odd (Sivers, Boer-Mulders, etc.)
No Shadowing, Anti-Shadowing	Shadowing, Anti-Shadowing, Saturation
Sum Rules: Momentum and J^z	Sum Rules Not Proven
DGLAP Evolution; mod. at large x	DGLAP Evolution
No Diffractive DIS	Hard Pomeron and Odderon Diffractive DIS

Hwang, Schmidt, sjb,

Mulders, Boer

Qiu, Sterman

Collins, Qiu

Pasquini, Xiao, Yuan, sjb



$$\left| \Psi_n(x_i, \vec{k}_{\perp i}, \lambda_i) \right|^2$$



Formation of Relativistic Anti-Hydrogen

Measured at CERN-LEAR and FermiLab

Munger, Schmidt, sjb



$$b_\perp \le \frac{1}{m_{red}\alpha}$$

$$y_{\bar{p}} \simeq y_{e^+}$$

Coalescence of off-shell co-moving positron and antiproton

Wavefunction maximal at small impact separation and equal rapidity

"Hadronization" at the Amplitude Level

Hadronization at the Amplitude Level



Event amplitude generator

Construct helicity amplitude using Light-Front Perturbation theory; coalesce quarks via LFWFs

Hadronization at the Amplitude Level



Construct helicity amplitude using Light-Front Perturbation theory; coalesce quarks via LFWFs

Features of LF T-Matrix Formalism
"Event Amplitude Generator"

- Same principle as antihydrogen production: off-shell coalescence
- coalescence to hadron favored at equal rapidity, small transverse momenta
- leading heavy hadron production: D and B mesons produced at large z
- hadron helicity conservation if hadron LFWF has $L^z = 0$
- Baryon AdS/QCD LFWF has aligned and anti-aligned quark spin



Hadronization at the Amplitude Level



Higher Fock State Coalescence $|uuds\bar{s}>$

Asymmetric Hadronization ! $D_{s\to p}(z) \neq D_{s\to \bar{p}}(z)$

B-Q Ma, sjb



- Quarks and Gluons:
  Fundamental constituents of hadrons and nuclei
- *Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD)*
- New Insights from higher space-time dimensions: *AdS/QCD*
- *Light-Front Holography*
- *Hadronization at the Amplitude Level*
- *Light Front Wavefunctions:* analogous to the Schrodinger wavefunctions of atomic physics



$$\Psi_n(x_i, \vec{k}_{\perp i}, \lambda_i)$$



Each element of flash photograph illuminated at same LF time

$$\tau = t + z/c$$

Evolve in LF time

$$P^- = i\frac{d}{d\tau}$$

Eigenstate -- independent of τ

HELEN BRADLEY - PHOTOGRAPHY

Light-Front Wavefunctions: rigorous representation of composite systems in quantum field theory

Fixed $\tau = t + z/c$

$$x = \frac{k^+}{P^+} = \frac{k^0 + k^3}{P^0 + P^3}$$



$$\Psi_n(x_i, \vec{k}_{\perp i}, \lambda_i)$$

$\sum_i^n x_i = 1$

$\sum_i^n \vec{k}_{\perp i} = \vec{0}_\perp$

Invariant under boosts! Independent of P^μ

Angular Momentum on the Light-Front

$$J^z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} s_i^z + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} l_j^z.$$

Conserved
LF Fock state by Fock State

$$l_j^z = -\mathrm{i}\left(k_j^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial k_j^2} - k_j^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial k_j^1}\right)$$

n-1 orbital angular momenta

Nonzero Anomalous Moment -->Nonzero orbital angular momentum

Light-Front Wavefunctions

Dirac's Front Form: Fixed $\tau = t + z/c$

$$\psi(x, k_\perp)$$

$$x_i = \frac{k_i^+}{P^+}$$

Invariant under boosts. Independent of P^μ

$$\mathrm{H}_{LF}^{QCD} |\psi> = M^2 |\psi>$$

Direct connection to QCD Lagrangian

Remarkable new insights from AdS/CFT, the duality between conformal field theory and Anti-de Sitter Space

Goal: an analytic first approximation to QCD

- **As Simple as Schrödinger Theory in Atomic Physics**
- **Relativistic, Frame-Independent, Color-Confining**
- **QCD Coupling at all scales**
- **Hadron Spectroscopy**
- **Light-Front Wavefunctions**
- **Form Factors, Hadronic Observables, Constituent Counting Rules**
- **Insight into QCD Condensates**
- **Systematically improvable**

de Teramond, Deur, Shrock, Roberts, Tandy

Applications of AdS/CFT to QCD



Changes in physical length scale mapped to evolution in the 5th dimension z

in collaboration with Guy de Teramond

$\phi(z)$



- *Light-Front Holography*



$$\Psi_n(x_i, \vec{k}_{\perp i}, \lambda_i)$$



- *Light Front Wavefunctions:*

  Schrödinger Wavefunctions of Hadron Physics

String Theory

↓

AdS/CFT

Mapping of Poincare' and Conformal SO(4,2) symmetries of 3+1 space to AdS5 space

Goal: First Approximant to QCD

Counting rules for Hard Exclusive Scattering
Regge Trajectories

QCD at the Amplitude Level

↓

AdS/QCD

Conformal behavior at short distances
+ Confinement at large distance

↓

Semi-Classical QCD / Wave Equations

Holography

↓

Boost Invariant 3+1 Light-Front Wave Equations

J =0,1,1/2,3/2 plus L

Integrable!

↓

Hadron Spectra, Wavefunctions, Dynamics

$LF(3+1) \qquad \qquad AdS_5$

$$\psi(x, \vec{b}_\perp) \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad \phi(z)$$

$$\zeta = \sqrt{x(1-x)\vec{b}_\perp^{\,2}} \quad \longleftrightarrow \quad z$$



$$\psi(x,\zeta) = \sqrt{x(1-x)}\,\zeta^{-1/2}\phi(\zeta)$$

Light-Front Holography: Unique mapping derived from equality of LF and AdS formula for current matrix elements

Light-Front Holography: Map AdS/CFT to 3+1 LF Theory

Relativistic LF radial equation

Frame Independent

$$\left[-\frac{d^2}{d\zeta^2} + \frac{1-4L^2}{4\zeta^2} + U(\zeta)\right]\phi(\zeta) = \mathcal{M}^2\phi(\zeta)$$

$$\zeta^2 = x(1-x)\mathbf{b}_\perp^2.$$



$$U(\zeta) = \kappa^4\zeta^2$$

soft wall confining potential:

G. de Teramond, sjb

$$H_{QED}$$

QED atoms: positronium and muonium

$$(H_0 + H_{int})\ |\Psi>= E\ |\Psi>$$

Coupled Fock states

$$[-\frac{\Delta^2}{2m_{\rm red}} + V_{\rm eff}(\vec{S},\vec{r})]\ \psi(\vec{r}) = E\ \psi(\vec{r})$$

Effective two-particle equation

Includes Lamb Shift, quantum corrections

$$[-\frac{1}{2m_{\rm red}}\frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{2m_{\rm red}}\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} + V_{\rm eff}(r,S,\ell)]\ \psi(r) = E\ \psi(r)$$

Spherical Basis r, θ, ϕ

$$V_{eff} \to V_C(r) = -\frac{\alpha}{r}$$

Coulomb potential

Bohr Spectrum

Semiclassical first approximation to QED

$$H^{LF}_{QCD}$$

QCD Meson Spectrum

$$(H^0_{LF} + H^I_{LF})|\Psi >= M^2|\Psi >$$

Coupled Fock states

$$[\frac{\vec{k}^2_\perp + m^2}{x(1-x)} + V^{LF}_{\text{eff}}]\ \psi_{LF}(x, \vec{k}_\perp) = M^2\ \psi_{LF}(x, \vec{k}_\perp)$$

Effective two-particle equation

$$\zeta^2 = x(1-x)b^2_\perp$$

$$[-\frac{d^2}{d\zeta^2} + \frac{-1+4L^2}{\zeta^2} + U(\zeta, S, L)]\ \psi_{LF}(\zeta) = M^2\ \psi_{LF}(\zeta)$$

Azimuthal Basis ζ, ϕ

$$U(\zeta, S, L) = \kappa^2\zeta^2 + \kappa^2(L + S - 1/2)$$

Confining AdS/QCD potential

Semiclassical first approximation to QCD



Fig: Orbital and radial AdS modes in the soft wall model for κ = 0.6 GeV .

Soft Wall Model



Light meson orbital (a) and radial (b) spectrum for $\kappa = 0.6$ GeV.

Soft-wall model

- Effective LF Schrödinger wave equation

$$\left[-\frac{d^2}{dz^2}-\frac{1-4L^2}{4z^2}+\kappa^4 z^2+2\kappa^2(L+S-1)\right]\phi_S(z)=\mathcal{M}^2\phi_S(z)$$

with eigenvalues $\mathcal{M}^2=2\kappa^2(2n+2L+S)$.

Same slope in n and L

- Compare with Nambu string result (rotating flux tube): $M_n^2(L)=2\pi\sigma\left(n+L+1/2\right)$.



Vector mesons orbital (a) and radial (b) spectrum for $\kappa=0.54$ GeV.

Kirchbach: Conformal symmetry

- Glueballs in the bottom-up approach: (HW) Boschi-Filho, Braga and Carrion (2005); (SW) Colangelo, De Facio, Jugeau and Nicotri(2007).

Spacelike pion form factor from AdS/CFT



Data Compilation
Baldini, Kloe and Volmer

Soft Wall: Harmonic Oscillator Confinement

Hard Wall: Truncated Space Confinement

One parameter - set by pion decay constant

de Teramond, sjb
See also: Radyushkin

Non-Conformal Extension of Algebraic Structure (Soft Wall Model)

- We write the Dirac equation

$$(\alpha\Pi(\zeta) - \mathcal{M})\,\psi(\zeta) = 0,$$

in terms of the matrix-valued operator Π

$$\nu = L + 1$$

$$\Pi_\nu(\zeta) = -i\left(\frac{d}{d\zeta} - \frac{\nu + \frac{1}{2}}{\zeta}\gamma_5 - \kappa^2\zeta\gamma_5\right),$$

and its adjoint $\Pi^\dagger$, with commutation relations

$$\left[\Pi_\nu(\zeta), \Pi_\nu^\dagger(\zeta)\right] = \left(\frac{2\nu+1}{\zeta^2} - 2\kappa^2\right)\gamma_5.$$

- Solutions to the Dirac equation

$$\psi_+(\zeta) \sim z^{\frac{1}{2}+\nu} e^{-\kappa^2\zeta^2/2} L_n^\nu(\kappa^2\zeta^2),$$
$$\psi_-(\zeta) \sim z^{\frac{3}{2}+\nu} e^{-\kappa^2\zeta^2/2} L_n^{\nu+1}(\kappa^2\zeta^2).$$

- Eigenvalues

$$\mathcal{M}^2 = 4\kappa^2(n + \nu + 1).$$

Kirchbach: Conformal symmetry

- Baryon: twist-dimension $3 + L \quad (\nu = L + 1)$

$$\mathcal{O}_{3+L} = \psi D_{\{\ell_1} \ldots D_{\ell_q} \psi D_{\ell_{q+1}} \ldots D_{\ell_m\}} \psi, \qquad L = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \ell_i.$$

$$\mathcal{M}^2 = 4\kappa^2(n + L + 1).$$



Proton Regge Trajectory $\quad \kappa = 0.49$GeV

- **Δ spectrum identical to Forkel and Klempt, Phys. Lett. B 679, 77 (2009)**

$4\kappa^2$ for $\Delta n = 1$
$4\kappa^2$ for $\Delta L = 1$
$2\kappa^2$ for $\Delta S = 1$



Parent and daughter **56** Regge trajectories for the N and Δ baryon families for $\kappa = 0.5$ GeV



E. Klempt *et al.*: Δ^* resonances, quark models, chiral symmetry and AdS/QCD

H. Forkel, M. Beyer and T. Frederico, JHEP **0707** (2007) 077.
H. Forkel, M. Beyer and T. Frederico, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E **16** (2007) 2794.

Other Applications of Light-Front Holography

- Light baryon spectrum
- Light meson spectrum
- Nucleon form-factors: space-like region
- Pion form-factors: space and time-like regions
- Gravitational form factors of composite hadronss
- n-parton holographic mapping
- Heavy flavor mesons





hep-th/0501022

hep-ph/0602252

arXiv:0707.3859

arXiv:0802.0514

arXiv:0804.0452

Space-Like Dirac Proton Form Factor

- Consider the spin non-flip form factors

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_+(Q^2) &= g_+ \int d\zeta \, J(Q,\zeta) |\psi_+(\zeta)|^2, \\
F_-(Q^2) &= g_- \int d\zeta \, J(Q,\zeta) |\psi_-(\zeta)|^2,
\end{aligned}
$$

where the effective charges g_+ and g_- are determined from the spin-flavor structure of the theory.

- Choose the struck quark to have $S^z = +1/2$. The two AdS solutions $\psi_+(\zeta)$ and $\psi_-(\zeta)$ correspond to nucleons with $J^z = +1/2$ and $-1/2$.

- For $SU(6)$ spin-flavor symmetry

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_1^p(Q^2) &= \int d\zeta \, J(Q,\zeta) |\psi_+(\zeta)|^2, \\
F_1^n(Q^2) &= -\frac{1}{3} \int d\zeta \, J(Q,\zeta) \left[|\psi_+(\zeta)|^2 - |\psi_-(\zeta)|^2 \right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F_1^p(0) = 1, \; F_1^n(0) = 0$.

- Scaling behavior for large Q^2: $Q^4 F_1^p(Q^2) \rightarrow \text{constant}$ $\boxed{\text{Proton } \tau = 3}$



SW model predictions for $\kappa = 0.424$ GeV. Data analysis from: M. Diehl *et al.* Eur. Phys. J. C **39**, 1 (2005).

- Scaling behavior for large Q^2: $Q^4 F_1^n(Q^2) \rightarrow \text{constant}$ $\boxed{\text{Neutron } \tau = 3}$



SW model predictions for $\kappa = 0.424$ GeV. Data analysis from M. Diehl *et al.* Eur. Phys. J. C **39**, 1 (2005).

Spacelike Pauli Form Factor

Preliminary

From overlap of $L = 1$ and $L = 0$ LFWFs



Prediction from AdS/CFT: Meson LFWF



Soft Wall Model

de Teramond, sjb

$\phi_M(x, Q_0) \propto \sqrt{x(1-x)}$

Increases PQCD prediction for $F_\pi(Q^2)$ by 16/9

Second Moment of Pion Distribution Amplitude

$$< \xi^2 >= \int_{-1}^{1} d\xi \; \xi^2 \phi(\xi)$$

$$\xi = 1 - 2x$$

$$< \xi^2 >_\pi = 1/5 = 0.20 \qquad \phi_{asympt} \propto x(1-x)$$

$$< \xi^2 >_\pi = 1/4 = 0.25 \qquad \phi_{AdS/QCD} \propto \sqrt{x(1-x)}$$

Lattice (I) $< \xi^2 >_\pi = 0.28 \pm 0.03$ Donnellan et al.

Lattice (II) $< \xi^2 >_\pi = 0.269 \pm 0.039$ Braun et al.

Chiral Features of Soft-Wall AdS/QCD Model

- **Boost Invariant**
- **Trivial LF vacuum.**
- **Massless Pion**
- **Hadron Eigenstates have LF Fock components of different L^z**
- **Proton: equal probability** $S^z = +1/2, L^z = 0; S^z = -1/2, L^z = +1$
- **Self-Dual Massive Eigenstates: Proton is its own chiral partner.**
- **Label State by minimum L as in Atomic Physics**
- **Minimum L dominates at short distances**
- **AdS/QCD Dictionary: Match to Interpolating Operator Twist at z --> 0**

QCD and the LF Hadron Wavefunctions



Features of Soft-Wall AdS/QCD

- Single-variable frame-independent radial Schrodinger equation
- Massless pion (m_q =0)
- Regge Trajectories: universal slope in n and L
- Valid for all integer J & S.
- Dimensional Counting Rules for Hard Exclusive Processes
- Phenomenology: Space-like and Time-like Form Factors
- LF Holography: LFWFs; broad distribution amplitude
- No large Nc limit required
- Add quark masses to LF kinetic energy
- Systematically improvable -- diagonalize H_{LF} on AdS basis

Result: Soft-Wall LFWF for massive constituents

$$\psi(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) = \frac{4\pi c}{\kappa\sqrt{x(1-x)}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{x(1-x)} + \frac{m_1^2}{x} + \frac{m_2^2}{1-x}\right)}$$

LF WF in impact space: soft-wall model with massive quarks

$$\psi(x,\mathbf{b}_\perp) = \frac{c\,\kappa}{\sqrt{\pi}}\sqrt{x(1-x)}\, e^{-\frac{1}{2}\kappa^2 x(1-x)\mathbf{b}_\perp^2 - \frac{1}{2\kappa^2}\left[\frac{m_1^2}{x} + \frac{m_2^2}{1-x}\right]}$$

$$z \to \zeta \to \chi$$

$$\chi^2 = b^2 x(1-x) + \frac{1}{\kappa^4}\left[\frac{m_1^2}{x} + \frac{m_2^2}{1-x}\right]$$

J/ψ

LFWF peaks at

$$x_i = \frac{m_{\perp i}}{\sum_j^n m_{\perp j}}$$

where

$$m_{\perp i} = \sqrt{m^2 + k_\perp^2}$$

minimum of LF energy denominator

$\kappa = 0.375$ GeV

$\psi_{J/\psi}(x, b)$



$m_a = m_b = 1.25$ GeV

$|\pi^+>=|u\bar{d}>$

$m_u = 2\ MeV$
$m_d = 5\ MeV$





$|K^+>=|u\bar{s}>$

$m_s = 95\ MeV$

$|D^+>=|c\bar{d}>$

$m_c = 1.25\ GeV$





$|\eta_c>=|c\bar{c}>$

$|B^+>=|u\bar{b}>$

$m_b = 4.2\ GeV$





$|\eta_b>=|b\bar{b}>$

$\kappa = 375\ MeV$

Hadronization at the Amplitude Level



DGLAP Breakdown
Color Transparency
Rescattering

Event amplitude generator

Construct helicity amplitude using Light-Front Perturbation theory; coalesce quarks via LFWFs

Hadronization at the Amplitude Level



Baryon Production

Construct helicity amplitude using Light-Front Perturbation theory; coalesce quarks via LFWFs

Hadronization at the Amplitude Level



Event amplitude generator

AdS/QCD Hard Wall Confinement:

Capture if $\zeta^2 = x(1-x)b_\perp^2 > \frac{1}{\Lambda^2_{QCD}}$

i.e.,

$\mathcal{M}^2 = \frac{k_\perp^2}{x(1-x)} < \Lambda^2_{QCD}$

Hadronization at the Amplitude Level



Higher Fock State Coalescence $|uuds\bar{s}>$

Asymmetric Hadronization ! $D_{s\to p}(z) \neq D_{s\to\bar{p}}(z)$

B-Q Ma, sjb

Physics of Rescattering

- Sivers Asymmetry and Diffractive DIS: New Insights into Final State Interactions in QCD
- Origin of Hard Pomeron, DDIS
- Structure Functions not Probability Distributions! *Not square of LFWFs*
- T-odd SSAs, Shadowing, Antishadowing
- Diffractive dijets/ trijets, doubly diffractive Higgs
- Novel Effects: Color Transparency, Color Opaqueness, Intrinsic Charm, Odderon

Applications of Nonperturbative Running Coupling from AdS/QCD

- Sivers Effect in SIDIS, Drell-Yan
- Double Boer-Mulders Effect in DY
- Diffractive DIS
- Heavy Quark Production at Threshold

All involve gluon exchange at small momentum transfer

Deur, Korsch, et al.



Running Coupling from Light-Front Holography and AdS/QCD

Analytic, defined at all scales, IR Fixed Point



Deur, de Teramond, sjb

$$\beta^{AdS}(Q^2) = \frac{d}{d\log Q^2}\alpha_s^{AdS}(Q^2) = \frac{\pi Q^2}{4\kappa^2}e^{-Q^2/4\kappa^2}$$



Deur, de Teramond, sjb

An analytic first approximation to QCD
AdS/QCD + Light-Front Holography

- **As Simple as Schrödinger Theory in Atomic Physics**
- **LF radial variable ζ conjugate to invariant mass squared**
- **Relativistic, Frame-Independent, Color-Confining**
- **QCD Coupling at all scales: Essential for Gauge Link phenomena**
- **Hadron Spectroscopy and Dynamics from one parameter** κ
- **Wave Functions, Form Factors, Hadronic Observables, Constituent Counting Rules**
- **Insight into QCD Condensates: Zero cosmological constant!**
- **Systematically improvable with DLCQ Methods**

Features of AdS/QCD LF Holography

- **Based on Conformal Scaling of Infrared QCD Fixed Point**
- **Conformal template: Use isometries of AdS_5**
- **Interpolating operator of hadrons based on twist, superfield dimensions**
- **Finite Nc = 3: Baryons built on 3 quarks -- Large Nc limit not required**
- **Break Conformal symmetry with dilaton**
- **Dilaton introduces confinement -- positive exponent**
- **Origin of Linear and HO potentials: Stochastic arguments (Glazek); General 'classical' potential for Dirac Equation (Hoyer)**
- **Effective Charge from AdS/QCD at all scales**
- **Conformal Dimensional Counting Rules for Hard Exclusive Processes**

"One of the gravest puzzles of theoretical physics"

DARK ENERGY AND
THE COSMOLOGICAL CONSTANT PARADOX

A. ZEE
Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA
Kavil Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of California,
Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA
zee@kitp.ucsb.edu

$$(\Omega_\Lambda)_{QCD} \sim 10^{45}$$

$$\Omega_\Lambda = 0.76(expt)$$

$$(\Omega_\Lambda)_{EW} \sim 10^{56}$$

QCD Problem Solved if Quark and Gluon condensates reside within hadrons, not vacuum!

R. Shrock, sjb

arXiv:0905.1151 [hep- th], Proc. Nat'l. Acad. Sci., (in press);
``Condensates in Quantum Chromodynamics and the Cosmological Constant"

PHYSICAL REVIEW D VOLUME 9, NUMBER 2 15 JANUARY 1974

Chiral magnetism (or magnetohadrochironics)

Aharon Casher and Leonard Susskind
Tel Aviv University Ramat Aviv, Tel-Aviv, Israel
(Received 20 March 1973)

I. INTRODUCTION

The spontaneous breakdown of chiral symmetry in hadron dynamics is generally studied as a vacuum phenomenon.[1] Because of an instability of the chirally invariant vacuum, the real vacuum is "aligned" into a chirally asymmetric configuration.

On the other hand an approach to quantum field theory exists in which the properties of the vacuum state are not relevant. This is the parton or constituent approach formulated in the infinite-momentum frame.[2] A number of investigations have indicated that in this frame the vacuum may be regarded as the structureless Fock-space vacuum. Hadrons may be described as nonrelativistic collections of constituents (partons). In this framework the spontaneous symmetry breakdown must be attributed to the properties of the hadron's wave function and not to the vacuum.[3]

Light-Front Formalism

Simple physical argument for "in-hadron" condensate

Roberts, Shrock, Tandy, sjb

Gribov pairs



B-Meson

Use Dyson-Schwinger Equation for bound-state quark propagator: find confined condensate

$$< B|\bar{q}q|B > \text{ not } < 0|\bar{q}q|0 >$$

Bethe-Salpeter Analysis

$$f_H P^\mu = Z_2 \int^\Lambda \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4}\,\frac{1}{2}\left[T_H \gamma_5 \gamma^\mu \mathcal{S}(\frac{1}{2}P+q))\Gamma_H(q;P)\mathcal{S}(\frac{1}{2}P-q))\right]$$

Maris, Roberts, Tandy

f_H Meson Decay Constant
T_H flavor projection operator,
$Z_2(\Lambda)$, $Z_4(\Lambda)$ renormalization constants
$S(p)$ dressed quark propagator
$\Gamma_H(q;P) = F.T.\langle H|\psi(x_a)\bar{\psi}(x_b)|0\rangle$
Bethe-Salpeter bound-state vertex amplitude.



$$i\rho_\zeta^H \equiv \frac{-\langle q\bar{q}\rangle_\zeta^H}{f_H} = Z_4 \int^\Lambda \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4}\,\frac{1}{2}\left[T_H \gamma_5 \mathcal{S}(\frac{1}{2}P+q))\Gamma_H(q;P)\mathcal{S}(\frac{1}{2}P-q))\right]$$

In-Hadron Condensate!

$$f_H m_H^2 = -\rho_\zeta^H \mathcal{M}_H \qquad \mathcal{M}_H = \sum_{q\in H} m_q$$

$$m_\pi^2 \propto (m_q + m_{\bar{q}})/f_\pi$$ *GMOR*

Higher Light-Front Fock State of Pion Simulates DCSB



$$f_\pi P^+ =< 0|\bar{q}\gamma^5\gamma^+ q|\pi >$$

Instantaneous quark propagator contribution
to ρ_π derived from higher Fock state



$$i\rho_\pi =< 0|\bar{q}\gamma^5 q|\pi >$$



Higher Fock state acts
like mass insertion

Roberts, Tandy, Shrock, sjb

PHYSICAL REVIEW C **82**, 022201(R) (2010)

New perspectives on the quark condensate

Stanley J. Brodsky,[1,2] Craig D. Roberts,[3,4] Robert Shrock,[5] and Peter C. Tandy[6]
[1] *SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94309, USA*
[2] *Centre for Particle Physics Phenomenology: CP^3-Origins, University of Southern Denmark, Odense 5230 M, Denmark*
[3] *Physics Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA*
[4] *Department of Physics, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China*
[5] *C.N. Yang Institute for Theoretical Physics, Stony Brook University, Stony Brook, New York 11794, USA*
[6] *Center for Nuclear Research, Department of Physics, Kent State University, Kent, Ohio 44242, USA*
(Received 25 May 2010; published 18 August 2010)

We show that the chiral-limit vacuum quark condensate is qualitatively equivalent to the pseudoscalar meson leptonic decay constant in the sense that they are both obtained as the chiral-limit value of well-defined gauge-invariant hadron-to-vacuum transition amplitudes that possess a spectral representation in terms of the current-quark mass. Thus, whereas it might sometimes be convenient to imagine otherwise, neither is essentially a constant mass-scale that fills all spacetime. This means, in particular, that the quark condensate can be understood as a property of hadrons themselves, which is expressed, for example, in their Bethe-Salpeter or light-front wave functions.

Determinations of the vacuum Gluon Condensate

$$< 0|\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}G^2|0 > [\mathrm{GeV}^4]$$

-0.005 ± 0.003 from τ decay. Davier et al.

$+0.006 \pm 0.012$ from τ decay. Geshkenbein, Ioffe, Zyablyuk

$+0.009 \pm 0.007$ from charmonium sum rules

Ioffe, Zyablyuk



Consistent with zero vacuum condensate

Quark and Gluon condensates reside within hadrons, not vacuum

Casher and Susskind   Maris, Roberts, Tandy   Shrock and sjb

- **Bound-State Dyson Schwinger Equations**
- **AdS/QCD**
- **Analogous to finite size superconductor**
- **Implications for cosmological constant -- Eliminates 45 orders of magnitude conflict**

R. Shrock, sjb

ArXiv:0905.1151

"One of the gravest puzzles of theoretical physics"

DARK ENERGY AND
THE COSMOLOGICAL CONSTANT PARADOX

A. ZEE

Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA
Kavil Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of California,
Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA
zee@kitp.ucsb.edu

$$(\Omega_\Lambda)_{QCD} \sim 10^{45}$$

$$\Omega_\Lambda = 0.76(expt)$$

$$(\Omega_\Lambda)_{EW} \sim 10^{56}$$

QCD Problem Solved if Quark and Gluon condensates reside within hadrons, not vacuum!

R. Shrock, sjb

arXiv:0905.1151 [hep- th], Proc. Nat'l. Acad. Sci., (in press);
``Condensates in Quantum Chromodynamics and the Cosmological Constant."

- **Color Confinement: Maximum Wavelength of Quark and Gluons**
- **Conformal symmetry of QCD coupling in IR**
- **Conformal Template (BLM, CSR, BFKL scale)**
- **Motivation for AdS/QCD**
- **QCD Condensates inside of hadronic LFWFs**
- **Technicolor: confined condensates inside of technihadrons -- alternative to Higgs**
- **Simple physical solution to cosmological constant conflict with Standard Model**

Roberts, Shrock, Tandy, and sjb

QCD Myths

- Anti-Shadowing is Universal
- ISI and FSI are higher twist effects and universal
- High transverse momentum hadrons arise only from jet fragmentation -- baryon anomaly!
- heavy quarks only from gluon splitting
- renormalization scale cannot be fixed
- QCD condensates are vacuum effects
- Infrared Slavery
- Nuclei are composites of nucleons only
- Real part of DVCS arbitrary