

First**Muon**ID **Mexico Meeting** DECEMBER **15-16**, 2022

# and the nuonID project

Mùon absorber

**Antonio Ortiz** (CERN, ICN-UNAM)

chambers Vertex Detector

Muon

TOF





#### High-luminosity era of the LHC • LHC programme

High luminosity LHC

High luminosity for ions



Antonio Ortiz (CERN, UNAM)





	LHC Run 4			LHC	LS4	LH	C Ru	n 5	
028	2029	2030	2031	2032	2033	2034	2035	2036	2037
						ALI	CE 3		





# The LHC program and the ALICE upgrade







# ALICE 3

### Novel and innovative detector concept

- Compact and lightweight all-silicon tracker
- Retractable vertex detector
- Particle identification systems
- Large acceptance







# **Status and planning ALICE 3**

- Physics case and detector concept developed in the course of 2020-2021  $\rightarrow$  Letter of Intent
- endorsed by Collaboration Board in January 2022
- LHCC review concluded in March 2022
  - $\rightarrow$  very positive evaluation [LHCC-149]
  - Exciting physics program
  - Detector well matched with physics program and strategically interesting R&D opportunities
- R&D activities have started

#### **Timeline**

2023-25: selection of technologies, small-scale proof of concept prototypes 2026-27: large-scale engineered prototypes **Technical Design Reports** 2028-31: construction and testing 2032: contingency 2033-34: Preparation of cavern and installation of ALICE 3







# MuonID (3 options)





Antonio Ortiz (CERN, UNAM)



# **Organisation (muonID)**

Physics performance + offline MC simulations (detector + physics performance)

Plastic scintillator and WLS fibres

- characterisation of photosensors, machine the bars, chemical reflectors, adhesive, ...
- **RPCs** (eco gases), **MWPC**

Mechanical structure

# Electronics • FEE and DAQ



### Voluntarios: ¿?

# Voluntarios: ;?

### Voluntarios: ¿?

### Voluntarios: ¿?

#### In this workshop we will define responsibilities for the different tasks

muonID workshop (16/12/2022)



7



Antonio Ortiz (CERN, UNAM)

muonID workshop (16/12/2022)

Backup

### MuonID (absorber)

### Absorber: $R_{in} = 2.05 \text{ m}$ , $R_{out} = 2.75 \text{ m}$ , length: 10 m, weight: ~1kt

**↑** X

#### Absorber (iron)

Antonio Ortiz (CERN, UNAM)





![](_page_8_Picture_8.jpeg)

# MuonID (chambers, example with scintillator)

Absorber:  $R_{in} = 2.05 \text{ m}, R_{out} = 2.75 \text{ m},$ length: 10 m, weight: ~1kt

2 layers of muon chambers Scintillator bars equipped with wave-length shifting fibres (width 5 cm, gap between layers 10 cm)

**↑** X

![](_page_9_Picture_3.jpeg)

Antonio Ortiz (CERN, UNAM)

![](_page_9_Picture_7.jpeg)

2\_m

![](_page_9_Picture_18.jpeg)

### **MuonID (chambers)**

![](_page_10_Picture_1.jpeg)

2\_m

![](_page_10_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### We should to cover ~360m<sup>2</sup> of area Readout in both sides of bars: 13440 channels

Antonio Ortiz (CERN, UNAM)

![](_page_10_Picture_6.jpeg)

Muon chambers: □ inner layer (size of chambers 1.1x1.0m<sup>2</sup>) 3520 bars: w=5 cm, t: 1cm, length: 100 cm second layer (size of chambers: 1.15x1.0 m<sup>2</sup>) 3200 bars: w=5 cm, t: 1cm, length: 115 cm

![](_page_10_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_10.jpeg)

### **MuonID (chambers)**

#### We still need to consider the mechanical supports and PCBs which may slightly reduce the size of the active area

![](_page_11_Picture_2.jpeg)

Chy C

# Readout in both sides of bars: 13440 channels

Antonio Ortiz (CERN, UNAM)

![](_page_11_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_7.jpeg)

100 cm

Muon chambers: □ inner layer (size of chambers 1.1x1.0m<sup>2</sup>) 3520 bars: w=5 cm, t: 1cm, length: 100 cm second layer (size of chambers: 1.15x1.0 m<sup>2</sup>) 3200 bars: w=5 cm, t: 1cm, length: 115 cm

![](_page_11_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_10.jpeg)

# **Timing requirements (preliminary ideas)**

Typical time resolution of the detector (scintillator+WLS+SiPM) is of a few ns

Time information can be provided by the average of the times measured at both ends of the bars

We are interested in events in which at least one bar (two channels) is activated in each layer of the muonID (keep in mind that we would have ~13500 channels). Using the centers of the fired bars a tracklet can be reconstructed

readout time of ~100 ns

![](_page_12_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_11.jpeg)

# Particle fluence in the muonID region

#### Primary particles which were not filtered by the absorber Secondary particles can be produced in the absorber

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_10.jpeg)

# **Rejection factors (just due to absorber)**

Only primary particles which reach the muonID region are considered, rejection factors between 50-100% are seen

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_8.jpeg)

# **Tracklets from hits (ideal case)**

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_5.jpeg)

2 m

linear extrapolation of the track from a hit position before entering the absorber: (Xextrapol, Yextrapol, Zextrapol)

The stion, (Xhit2, Yhit2, Zhit2) hit position, (Xhit1, Yhit1, Zhit1)

The simulations include the absorber, here it is not shown for better visibility of the chambers. For the same reason the spacing between bars was exaggerated

![](_page_15_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_9.jpeg)

## **Tracklets from hits (ideal case)**

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_5.jpeg)

linear extrapolation of the track from a hit position before entering the absorber: (Xextrapol, Yextrapol, Zextrapol)

Wosition, (Xhit2, Yhit2, Zhit2) hit position, (Xhit1, Yhit1, Zhit1)

The simulations include the absorber, here it is not shown for better visibility of the chambers. For the same reason the spacing between bars was exaggerated

![](_page_16_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

Antonio Ortiz (CERN, UNAM)

muonID workshop (16/12/2022)

Backup

# Muon chamber (baseline option)

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_6.jpeg)

## **Spatial resolution**

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_8.jpeg)

### **Spatial resolution**

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_8.jpeg)

### **Tracklets reconstructed in muonID**

We only need to know which bars were fired

![](_page_21_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_10.jpeg)

20

# **Geant4 simulations**

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

Antonio Ortiz (CERN, UNAM)

![](_page_22_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_8.jpeg)

### **Charmonius states**

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 3.1: The experimentally observed charmonium states. The states labelled X, the nature of which is unknown, are not thought to be conventional charmonium states. Figure from Ref. [3].

![](_page_23_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_7.jpeg)

### **Example: SiPM**

#### MPPC (Multi-Pixel Photon Counter)

#### Selection guide

Type no.	Pixel pitch (µm)	Effective photosensitive area (mm)	Number of pixels	Package	Fill factor (%)
S13360-1325CS		12 12	2669	Ceramic	
S13360-1325PE		1.5 × 1.5	1.5 X 1.5 2000		
S13360-3025CS	25	20,420	14400	Ceramic	47
S13360-3025PE	25	3.0 × 3.0	14400	Surface mount type	47
S13360-6025CS		60,460	F7600	Ceramic	
S13360-6025PE		0.0 × 0.0	57600	Surface mount type	
S13360-1350CS		1212	667	Ceramic	
S13360-1350PE	50	1.5 × 1.5		Surface mount type	
S13360-3050CS		50 2020	2600	Ceramic	74
S13360-3050PE	50	3.0 × 3.0	3600	Surface mount type	/4
S13360-6050CS		6.0	14400	Ceramic	
S13360-6050PE		6.0 × 6.0	14400	Surface mount type	
S13360-1375CS		1212	205	Ceramic	
S13360-1375PE		1.3 × 1.3	285	Surface mount type	
S13360-3075CS	75	2020	1600	Ceramic	00
S13360-3075PE	/5	3.0 × 3.0	1600	Surface mount type	82
S13360-6075CS		6060	6400	Ceramic	
S13360-6075PE		0.0 × 0.0	0400	Surface mount type	

![](_page_24_Picture_6.jpeg)

#### S13360 series

![](_page_24_Picture_9.jpeg)

# Example: scintillator plastic, optical fibre

Material	Light output w.r.t. anthracene (%)	λ at max. emission (nm)	Decay constant (ns)	Rise time (ns)	Bulk light attenuation length (cm)	Refractive index	H/C ratio	Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )
BC-404	68	408	1.8	0.7	160	1.58	1.107	1.023
BC-420	64	391	1.5	0.5	110	1.58	1.102	1.023

	λ at max abs. (nm)	Abs. Coeff. x10 <sup>4</sup> (cm <sup>2</sup> /g)	+at max emiss. (nm)	Quantum Efficiency (%)	Index of refraction	Decay time (ns)
NOL 38	382	11.6	431, 458	88		0.95
EJ 280	427		490	86	1.58	8.5
EJ 282	390		481	93	1.58	1.9
EJ 286	355		425	92	1.58	1.2

![](_page_25_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure 5: Temperature evolution of vector and axial-vector spectral functions (non-linear realization) [132].

![](_page_26_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_3.jpeg)

# Selected physics cases: exotic hadrons

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

SCHEMATIC MODEL OF BARYONS AND MESONS \*

M. GELL-MANN California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California

Received 4 January 1964

anti-triplet as anti-quarks q. Baryons can now be constructed from quarks by using the combinations (qqq), (qqqqq), etc., while mesons are made out of  $(q\bar{q})$ ,  $(qq\bar{q}\bar{q})$ , etc. It is assuming that the lowes AN SU.,

G. Zweig CERN - Geneva

In general, we would expect that baryons are built not only from the product of three aces, AAA, but also from AAAAA, AAAAAAA, etc., where A denotes an anti-ace. Similarly, mesons could be formed from AA, AAAA etc. For the low mass mesons and baryons we will assume the simplest possibilities, AA and AAA, that is, "deuces and treys".

#### The first heavy quark exotic: X(3872)

![](_page_28_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_15.jpeg)

#### Multiquark hadrons are called exotics:

- "tetraquarks": qqqq 0
- "pentaquarks": qqqqq 0

![](_page_28_Figure_20.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_21.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_4.jpeg)

### **Contributions to ALICE**

- Run 1 and 2: ACORDE and V0 (scintillation detectors)
- Run 3: new FV0 and FDD detectors (scintillator detectors), TPC upgrade
- Data analysis / MC simulations

single MIP time resolution of  $\approx 200 \text{ ps}$ 

![](_page_31_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_10.jpeg)

absorption (*blue*) spectrum of NOL-38 wavelength shifter are als shown

![](_page_31_Picture_13.jpeg)

### Fibres

The light produced by the particle interaction has to be collected, re-emmited, and transported to the photodetectors efficiently by WLS fibres

Companies: Saint-Gobain and Kuraray factories • Multiclad fibres with long attenuation length (~2-3 m). Tests with other fibres smaller attenuation lengths

	Туре		Luminescence		Absorption	Attonuction	Characteristics	
Туре		Color	Spectra	Peaks (nm)	Peak (nm)	length <sup>2</sup> (m)		
Y-7 (100)		Green		490	439	>2.8	Blue to green shifter	
Y-8 (100)		Green		511	455	>3.0	Blue to green shifter	
Y-11 (200)	)	Green	Refer to	476	430	>3.5	Blue to green shifter (u K-27 formulation) High luminescence High attenuation length	

![](_page_32_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_11.jpeg)

# **Existing studies (FNAL-NICADD)**

#### Typical time resolution, ~1 ns

 
 Table 1.
 Prototypes of extruded scintillator bars from NICADD manufacturer. All the bars
were instrumented with fibres Kuraray WLS Y11(200) S-type except the S2 bar that has been instrumented with fibres from the Saint Gobain company (BCF92). The fibres in the L1, L2 and L4 bars were read out at both ends. The fibres in the S1, S2, S5 and S8 bars were read out only at one end. The main parameters of the photosensors are shown in Table 3.

	Bar dimensions	number of fibres/bar	fibre diameter	SiPM model
	$(h \times w \times l) mm^3$		[mm]	(AdvanSiD company)
L1	$(10 \times 45 \times 3000) \text{ mm}^3$	1 fibre in 1 groove	2	ASD-NUV3S-P
L2	$(20 \times 40 \times 3000) \text{ mm}^3$	1 fibre in 1 groove	2	ASD-NUV3S-P
L4	$(20 \times 40 \times 3000) \text{ mm}^3$	1 fibre in 1 groove	1.2	ASD-NUV1S-P
S1	$(10 \times 45 \times 250) \text{ mm}^3$	2 fibres in 1 groove	1.2	ASD-NUV3S-P
S2	$(10 \times 45 \times 250) \text{ mm}^3$	2 fibres in 1 groove	1.2	ASD-NUV3S-P
S5	$(20 \times 40 \times 250) \text{ mm}^3$	2 fibres in 1 groove	1.2	ASD-NUV3S-P
<b>S</b> 8	$(20 \times 40 \times 250) \text{ mm}^3$	1 fibre in 1 hole	2	ASD-NUV3S-P

![](_page_33_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_8.jpeg)

L1 bar time resolution using only SiPM L(R), red circles(blue squares) and both Figure 14. SiPMs (green triangles).

![](_page_33_Figure_10.jpeg)

L4 bar time resolution using only SiPM L(R), red circles(blue squares) and both Figure 16. SiPMs (green triangles).

![](_page_33_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_15.jpeg)

# **Existing studies (FNAL-NICADD)**

#### Typical time resolution, ~1 ns

 
 Table 1.
 Prototypes of extruded scintillator bars from NICADD manufacturer. All the bars
were instrumented with fibres Kuraray WLS Y11(200) S-type except the S2 bar that has been instrumented with fibres from the Saint Gobain company (BCF92). The fibres in the L1, L2 and L4 bars were read out at both ends. The fibres in the S1, S2, S5 and S8 bars were read out only at one end. The main parameters of the photosensors are shown in Table 3.

ſ		Bar dimensions	number of fibres/bar	fibre diameter	SiPM model
		$(h \times w \times l) mm^3$		[mm]	(AdvanSiD company)
	L1	$(10 \times 45 \times 3000) \text{ mm}^3$	1 fibre in 1 groove	2	ASD-NUV3S-P
	L2	$(20 \times 40 \times 3000) \text{ mm}^3$	1 fibre in 1 groove	2	ASD-NUV3S-P
	L4	$(20 \times 40 \times 3000) \text{ mm}^3$	1 fibre in 1 groove	1.2	ASD-NUV1S-P
	S1	$(10 \times 45 \times 250) \text{ mm}^3$	2 fibres in 1 groove	1.2	ASD-NUV3S-P
	S2	$(10 \times 45 \times 250) \text{ mm}^3$	2 fibres in 1 groove	1.2	ASD-NUV3S-P
	S5	$(20 \times 40 \times 250) \text{ mm}^3$	2 fibres in 1 groove	1.2	ASD-NUV3S-P
	<b>S</b> 8	$(20 \times 40 \times 250) \text{ mm}^3$	1 fibre in 1 hole	2	ASD-NUV3S-P

With this option, the estimated cost can be reduced to 0.2 MCHF. But different scintillator plastics will be tested (BC-408, )

Component	Comment	Cost (MCHF)
Absorber	non-magnetic steel (3CHF / kg * 1100 t), support	5.0
Scintillators	2 * 175 m <sup>2</sup> extruded scintillators with WLS	0.6
Readout	SiPMs + FEE (10 k channels)	0.2
Power	LV PSUs	0.2
Mechanics	4 * 18 modules * 5000 / module	0.5
Services		0.5
Total		7.0

Table 7: Estimated core cost of the muon identifier

#### Antonio Ortiz (CERN, UNAM)

![](_page_34_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_11.jpeg)

L1 bar time resolution using only SiPM L(R), red circles(blue squares) and both Figure 14. SiPMs (green triangles).

![](_page_34_Figure_13.jpeg)

**Figure 16**. L4 bar time resolution using only SiPM L(R), red circles(blue squares) and both SiPMs (green triangles).

![](_page_34_Figure_16.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_18.jpeg)

### ALICE 3

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_5.jpeg)

CMOS sensors with gain layer

![](_page_35_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_15.jpeg)

### **Charmonium states**

#### Charmonium production as probe of Q Measuring quarkonia down to zero p<sub>T</sub>

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_12.jpeg)

### **Multi-charm baryons**

strangeness tracking

![](_page_37_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_8.jpeg)

### Low cost extruded scintillator

Low cost (~45 USD/kg), if equipped with WLS fibre ->good optical response Fermilab extrusion facility (FNAL-NICADD) Produced scintillators for MINOS/ SciBar/INGRID/P0D/ECAL/WAGASCI

May need to produce/test new die

We need ~ 4 t of scintillator (0.17 MCHF)

	Bar dimensions (h x w x l)
L1	(1.0X4.5X300) cm <sup>3</sup>
L2	(2.0X4.0X300) cm <sup>3</sup>

https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/abstract/document/1462328

![](_page_38_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_13.jpeg)