# Fermionic dark matter in a LeftRight model will mirror fermions 

Mario Lamprea (him/his)
FES Cuautitlán UNAM

In collab. with R. Gaitan, J. Montes, M. Arroyo-Ureña, T.Valencia Perez [Rev.Mex.Fis.Stppl. 3 (2022) 2, 020725]

XVII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields
Nooember 24th, Puebla

## The

## Standard
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## Experimental search for the SM



## Some open questions on the SM

* Hierarchy problem
$\because$ Neutrino masses
\% (Particle) Dark Matter
\% CP and $P$ problem in the SM
* Particle - antiparticle asymmetry
\% Observed deviations from SM (e.g. LUV, muon $g-2, M_{W}$ )


## Left-Right symmetry

$\because V-A$ structure of the weak interaction


$$
\begin{gathered}
j^{\mu} \propto \bar{u}_{v_{e}}\left(\gamma^{\mu}-\gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{5}\right) u_{e} \\
\mathbf{V}-\mathbf{A}
\end{gathered}
$$

\% Enlarging the SM gauge structure by
$\because \mathrm{V}+\mathrm{A}$ interaction mediated by gauge vector boson $W_{R}$ heavy enough.

## Mirror fermions

$\because$ Doubling the fermion content of the SM with opposite chirality


## Particle content

|  | Field | $S U(3)_{C} \otimes S U(2)_{L} \otimes S U(2)_{R} \otimes U(1)_{Y^{\prime}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\ell_{i L}$ | $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1},-1)$ |
|  | $\nu_{i R}$ | $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, 0)$ |
| Qeptons | $e_{i R}$ | $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1},-2)$ |
|  | $\hat{\nu}_{i L}$ | $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, 0)$ |
|  | $\hat{e}_{i L}$ | $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1},-2)$ |
|  | $\hat{l}_{i R}$ | $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2},-1)$ |
|  | $u_{i R}$ | $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, 4 / 3)$ |
| Scarks | $d_{i R}$ | $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, 2 / 3)$ |
|  | $\hat{u}_{i L}$ | $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, 4 / 3)$ |
|  | $\hat{d}_{i L}$ | $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, 2 / 3)$ |
|  | $q_{i L}^{o}$ | $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}, 1 / 3)$ |
|  | $\hat{q}_{i R}$ | $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, 1 / 3)$ |
|  | $\Phi$ | $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1},-1)$ |
|  | $\hat{\Phi}$ | $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2},-1)$ |

## Particle content

|  | Field | $S U(3)_{C} \otimes S U(2)_{L} \otimes S U(2)_{R} \otimes U(1)_{Y^{\prime}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SM | $\frac{\begin{array}{l} \ell_{i L} \\ \nu_{i R} \\ e_{i R} \end{array}}{\hat{\nu}_{i L}} \begin{aligned} & \hat{e}_{i L} \\ & \hat{l}_{i R} \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{c}(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1},-1) \\ (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, 0) \\ (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1},-2)\end{array}\right)$ |
| fermions | $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} u_{i R} \\ d_{i R} \\ \hat{u}_{i L} \end{array} \\ & d_{i L} \\ & q_{i L}^{o} \\ & \hat{q}_{i R} \end{aligned}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{l}(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, 4 / 3) \\ (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, 2 / 3) \\ (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, 4 / 3)\end{array}\right)$ |
| Scalars | $\begin{aligned} & \Phi \\ & \hat{\Phi} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1},-1) \\ & (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2},-1) \end{aligned}$ |

## Particle content



## Particle content

| Field | $S U(3)_{C} \otimes S U(2)_{L} \otimes S U(2)_{R} \otimes U(1)_{Y^{\prime}}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\ell_{i L}$ | $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1},-1)$ |  |
| $\nu_{i R}$ | $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, 0)$ |  |
| $e_{i R}$ | $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{- 2 )}$ |  |
| $\hat{\nu}_{i L}$ | $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{0})$ |  |
| $\hat{e}_{i L}$ | $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1},-2)$ |  |
| $\hat{l}_{i R}$ | $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2},-1)$ |  |
| $u_{i R}$ | $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, 4 / 3)$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ charge assigned |
| $d_{i R}$ | $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, 2 / 3)$ | to mirror neutrinos |
| $\hat{u}_{i L}$ | $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, 4 / 3)$ |  |
| $\hat{d}_{i L}$ | $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, 2 / 3)$ |  |
| $q_{i L}^{o}$ | $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}, 1 / 3)$ | heavy higgs |
| $\hat{q}_{i R}$ | $(\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, 1 / 3)$ |  |
| $\Phi$ | $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1},-1)$ |  |
| $\dot{\Phi}$ | $(\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2},-1)$ |  |

# Symmetry breaking scheme 

$S U(3)_{C} \times S U(2)_{L} \times S U(2)_{R} \times U(1)_{Y} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$

$$
\downarrow \quad\left\langle\phi_{2}\right\rangle=v_{2}
$$

$S U(3)_{C} \times S U(2)_{L} \times U(1)_{Y} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$

$$
\frac{1}{v} \quad\left\langle\phi_{1}\right\rangle=v_{1}
$$

$S U(3)_{C} \times U(1)_{Q} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$

## Neutrino masses

Neutrinos mass terms

* Left-handed neutrino masses generated via type-1 seesaw mechanism
$\left(\begin{array}{ll}\bar{\Psi}_{\nu L}, & \bar{\Psi}^{c}{ }_{\nu L}\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}M_{L} & M_{D} \\ M_{D} & M_{R}\end{array}\right)\binom{\Psi_{\nu R}}{\Psi_{\nu R}^{c}}$
with

$$
M_{\nu}^{l i g h t}=\frac{v^{2} y^{2}}{2 m} S D
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{L}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \sigma_{i j} \\
\frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \sigma_{i j}^{T} & \hat{\chi}_{i j}
\end{array}\right) \\
& M_{R}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\chi_{i j} & \frac{\hat{v}}{\sqrt{2}} \hat{\sigma}_{i j} \\
\frac{\hat{v}}{\sqrt{2}} \hat{\sigma}_{i j}^{T} & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& M_{D}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda_{i j} & 0 \\
h_{i j} & \frac{\hat{v}}{\sqrt{2}} \hat{\lambda}_{i j}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



## DM Phenomenology

\% The $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ stabilises the $\operatorname{DM}(\chi)$, which is a $\nu_{R} \& N_{L}$ mixing.
\% We have performed a parameter region scan consistent with



+ Scalar potential copositivity constraints
$\downarrow$ LH neutrino masses $m_{\nu} \sim 1 \mathrm{eV}$
* DM direct detection constrains

$\uparrow$ Higgs invisible decay $(\Gamma(h \rightarrow$ inv $)<20 \%)$
- Previous collider data (small mixings)


## DM Relic density




## DM Direct Detection



Indirect detection


## Summary and final remarks

* We have showed a minimal Left-Right model with mirror fermions which is able to induce small neutrino masses and having a viable DM candidate.
* We still have to explore the scenario where matter-antimatter asymmetry could be generated by the decay of heavy mirror neutrinos ( $N_{R}$ ).
*Further constraint the allowed parameter region of the model with the inclusion of LFV processes \& collider data (e.g. $N_{2,3} \rightarrow N_{1} \gamma$ ).

Thank you for your attention.

