## Inert Doublet-Triplet Model with Two Dark Matter Candidates

#### Sheryl Melara, Ricardo Gaitán, Mario Lamprea.

Instituto de Física, UNAM

November 24, 2022





In spite of the Standard Model success to accurately explain most particle phenomena, there is a list of non solved problems that can't be explained within the SM context:

- Neutrino masses origin.
- Baryon asymmetry of the universe.
- Dark Matter existence.
- Gravitational interaction.



There are missing pieces that we are still trying to find!

### STANDARD MODEL OF ELEMENTARY PARTICLES



# Motivation

- Neutrino mass generation through Seesaw I + Seesaw II mechanisms.
- Improve dark matter relic abundance in the Scotogenic model (Ernest Ma, 2006) by adding a second scalar candidate.
- It is possible to study leptogenesis resulting from the out of equilibrium decay of heavy particles.
- It is convenient to study different phenomena BSM within the same model.





Figure: Vera Rubin studied the rotation curves of spiral galaxies.



Figure: Relic density for the Scotogenic model as a function of DM mass.

Sheryl Melara, Ricardo Gaitán, Mario Lamprea. XVIII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields ...

## The Model

We consider an Standard Model extension by adding an  $SU(2)_L$  inert scalar doublet  $\Phi_2$ , a hypercharless inert scalar triplet T and a scalar triplet  $\Delta$  with Y = 1. Additionaly, we extend the fermion sector with three fermion singlets  $N_i$ , which represent the right handed neutrinos. A discrete  $Z_2 \times Z'_2$  symmetry is introduce to guarantee the stability of the two dark matter candidates.

Particle	SU(3)	SU(2)	U(1)	<b>Z</b> <sub>2</sub>	Z <sub>2</sub>
Ni	1	1	0	-1	1
Δ	1	3	1	1	1
Т	1	3	0	1	-1
Φ <sub>2</sub>	1	2	1/2	-1	1

All SM particles are trivially charged under  $Z_2 \times Z'_2$  symmetry.

Model with two DM candidates: Physical Review D 105, 115010 (2022) N. Chakrabarty, R. Roshan, A. Sil



The most general scalar potential invariant under  $Z_2 \times Z'_2$  is:

$$V = \mu_1^2 \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 + \lambda_1 (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1)^2 + \mu_2^2 \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 + \lambda_2 (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2)^2 + \lambda_3 \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 + \lambda_4 \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_5 \left( (\Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_2)^2 + (\Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_1)^2 \right) + \mu_{\Delta}^2 \Delta^{\dagger} \Delta + \lambda_{\Delta} (\Delta^{\dagger} \Delta)^2 + \lambda_6 \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 \Delta^{\dagger} \Delta + \lambda_7 \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 \Delta^{\dagger} \Delta + \mu_{\Phi_1 \Delta} \left( \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Delta \tilde{\Phi}_1 + h.c. \right) + \mu_{\Phi_2 \Delta} \left( \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Delta \tilde{\Phi}_2 + h.c. \right) + \mu_{T}^2 T^{\dagger} T + \lambda_T (T^{\dagger} T)^2 + \lambda_{\Phi_1 T} \Phi_1^{\dagger} \Phi_1 T^{\dagger} T + \lambda_{\Phi_2 T} \Phi_2^{\dagger} \Phi_2 T^{\dagger} T.$$

$$(2)$$

Where  $\tilde{\Phi}_i = i\tau_2 \Phi_i^*$  is the doublet charge conjugate and  $\tau_2$  the second of Pauli matrices.

Relevant parameters:  $\lambda_L = (\lambda_3 + \lambda_4 + \lambda_5)/2$ ,  $\lambda_{\Phi_1T}, \lambda_{\Phi_2T}$  y  $\Delta m$ 

After electroweak symmetry breaking, the neutral component of  $\Phi_1$  acquires a vacuum expectation value (VEV) v.  $\Phi_2$  y T are inert scalars, therefore do not obtain a VEV.

$$\left\langle \Phi_{1}\right\rangle_{0} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ \nu \end{pmatrix} \tag{3}$$

$$\left\langle \Phi_2 \right\rangle_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (4)

$$\langle \Delta \rangle_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ v_T \end{pmatrix}$$
(5)  
$$\langle T \rangle_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(6)

Where v = 246 GeV.

# Masses of the physical scalars.

After EWSB the masses of the physical scalars are:

$$\begin{split} m_{H_{1}^{\pm}}^{2} &= \mu_{1}^{2} + \lambda_{1}v^{2} + \lambda_{6}v_{T}^{2} \\ m_{H_{2}^{\pm}}^{2} &= \mu_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{3}v^{2} + \lambda_{7}v_{T}^{2} \\ m_{\Delta^{\pm}}^{2} &= \mu_{\Delta}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{6}v^{2} + 2\lambda_{\Delta}v_{T}^{2} \\ m_{T^{\pm}}^{2} &= \mu_{t}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{\Phi_{1}T}v^{2} \\ m_{H_{1}^{0}}^{2} &= \mu_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{3} + \lambda_{4} + \lambda_{5}\right)v^{2} + \mu_{\Phi_{2}\Delta}v_{T} + \lambda_{7}v_{T}^{2} \\ m_{H_{2,3}^{2}}^{2} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(a + b \pm \sqrt{(a - b)^{2} + 4c^{2}}\right) \\ m_{T^{0}}^{2} &= \mu_{t}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{\Phi_{1}T}v^{2} \\ m_{A_{1}^{0}}^{2} &= \mu_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{3} + \lambda_{4} - \lambda_{5}\right)v^{2} - \mu_{\Phi_{2}\Delta}v_{T} + \lambda_{7}v_{T}^{2} \end{split}$$

#### Masses of the physical scalars.

$$egin{aligned} m_{A_{2,3}^0}^2 &= rac{1}{2} \left( d + f \pm \sqrt{(d-f)^2 + 4g^2} 
ight) \ m_{A_4^0}^2 &= \mu_t^2 + rac{1}{2} \lambda_{\Phi_1 T} v^2 \ m_{\Delta^{\pm\pm}}^2 &= 2 \mu_\Delta^2 + \lambda_6 v^2 + 4 \lambda_\Delta v_T^2 \end{aligned}$$

Where:  $a = 2\lambda_1 v^2$ ,  $b = 2\mu_{\Delta}^2 + \lambda_6 v^2 + 12\lambda_{\Delta} v_T^2$ ,  $c = \mu_{\Phi_1 \Delta} v$ ,  $d = -2\mu_{\Phi_1 \Delta} v_T$ ,  $f = 2\mu_{\Delta}^2 + \lambda_6 v^2 + 12\lambda_{\Delta} v_T^2$  and  $g = \mu_{\Phi_1 \Delta} v$ .

The Seesaw type II contribution to the neutrino mass generation is:

$$m_{\nu} = 2Y_{\Delta}v_T,$$

with a choice  $v_T \sim 1 eV$ , the matrix  $Y_{\Delta}$  must be adjusted to produce the neutrino mass scale.

The dark matter candidates are the lightest particles charged under  $Z_2$  and  $Z'_2$ , therefore  $H_0$  and  $T_0$  are our DM candidates.

# Perturbativity, positivity and unitarity constraints

To ensure the scalar potential remains bounded from below we impose the positivy conditions:

$$\lambda_1 > 0, \quad \lambda_2 > 0, \quad \lambda_\Delta > 0, \quad \lambda_T > 0.$$

$$\lambda_{3} + \lambda_{4} - |\lambda_{5}| + \sqrt{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}} > 0, \qquad \lambda_{3} + \sqrt{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{2}} > 0, \qquad \lambda_{6} + \sqrt{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{4}} > 0$$
(7)

$$\lambda_{7} + \sqrt{\lambda_{2}\lambda_{\Delta}} > 0, \qquad \lambda_{\Phi_{1}T} + \sqrt{\lambda_{1}\lambda_{T}} > 0 \qquad \lambda_{\Phi_{2}T} + \sqrt{\lambda_{2}\lambda_{T}} > 0$$

We also have the unitarity constraints:

$$|\lambda_3 \pm \lambda_4| \leq 8\pi$$
,  $|\lambda_3 \pm \lambda_5| \leq 8\pi$ ,  $|\lambda_3 + 2\lambda_4 \pm \lambda_5| \leq 8\pi$ 

$$|\lambda_{\tau}| \le 24\pi, \quad |\lambda_{\Delta}| \le 24\pi, \quad |\lambda_{\Phi_2 \tau}| \le 8\pi, \quad |\lambda_{\Phi_1 \tau}| \le 8\pi$$
(8)

$$\left|\lambda_1+\lambda_2\pm\sqrt{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^2+\lambda_4^2}
ight|\leq 8\pi, \qquad \left|\lambda_1+\lambda_2\pm\sqrt{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)^2+\lambda_5^2}
ight|\leq 8\pi.$$



Figura 1: Dark matter density for  $H^0$  and  $T^0$ , where  $MT^0 > MH^0$ ,  $\Delta m = MH^{\pm} - MH^0 = 1 \text{ GeV}$  and  $\lambda_L = 0.01$ . Dashed line shows the Planck limit for the total relic density:  $\Omega_{DM}h^2 = 0.120 \pm 0.001$ . To compute the dark matter density we use computer tools such as SARAH and MicrOmegas.

$$\Omega h_{Total}^2 = \Omega h_{H^0}^2 + \Omega h_{T^0}^2$$



Figure: Upper limits for the spin independent DM-nucleon cross section. Credit: Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2022, 083C01 (2022).

## Results: Spin Independent cross section



Figures show the spin independent DM-nucleons scattering cross sections for  $H^0$  and  $T^0$  respectively. The different dashed lines represent the upper limits from direct detection experiments.

## Points allowed by relic density

Table II shows sample points allowed by theoretical constraints and total relic density. Points are also allowed by direct detection experiments limits.

MH0	MT0	$\Omega_{H_0} h^2$	$\Omega_{T_0} h^2$	$\Omega h^2$	$\sigma_{H^0}(pb)$	$\sigma_{T^0}(pb)$
430	1510	0.0733	0.0377	0.111	$1.811 \times 10^{-12}$	$2.075 \times 10^{-12}$
450	1490	0.0799	0.0369	0.117	$1.655 \times 10^{-12}$	$2.134 \times 10^{-12}$
460	1480	0.0832	0.0366	0.120	$1.520 \times 10^{-12}$	$2.194 \times 10^{-12}$

 $\Delta m = 1 \text{GeV}, \lambda \Phi 2 \text{T} = 1.0, \lambda L = 0.01$ Constraints on the electroweak 450 parameters S, T and U have 400 been considered. 350 MH0(GeV) 300 250  $S = -0.01 \pm 0.07$ 200  $T = 0.04 \pm 0.06$ 150  $II = 0.01 \pm 0.11$ 1500 1600 1700 1800 MT0(GeV)

Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. 2022, 083C01 (2022)

Figure: Figure shows points allowed by total relic density limit observed by Planck in the MH0 - MT0 plane.

- Relic density for each candidate is underabundant, but considering the contribution of both candidates to the total relic abundance allows to recover some desert regions.
- The mass splitting is very important, a very large  $\Delta m \sim 100 GeV$  between the components of the scalar triplet makes de relic density very small.
- For an appropriate choice of the relevant parameters, we obtain the observed relic abundance and the DM-nucleon cross section within experimental limits.
- Different phenomena beyond the SM can be explained within a single model by proposing the appropriate SM extensions.
- In addition to dark matter phenomenology, we aim to study baryogenesis via leptogenesis of the out of equilibrium decay of heavy neutrinos and the active scalar triplet.

"In a spiral galaxy, the ratio of dark-to-light matter is about a factor of ten. That's probably a good number for the ratio of our ignorance-to-knowledge. We're out of kindergarten, but only in about third grade."

- Vera Rubin



# Thank you for your attention!