## The role of the rho(1450) in low energy observables



**Dr. Genaro Toledo** 



Puebla,

Based on hep-ph By Gustavo Ávalos, Antonio Rojas, Marxil Sánchez and GT

### Nov 23, 2022, XVIII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields





# The importance of the rho(1450) state Description of low energy processes Global analysis. From decay modes to cross section data

### Results and Conclusions



# Outline











 $I^{G}(J^{PC}) = 1^{+}(1^{--})$ 

### ρ(1450) MASS

*ρ*(1450) MASS

VALUE (MeV)

DOCUMENT ID

**1465±25 OUR ESTIMATE** This is only an educated guess; the error given is larger than the error on the average of the published values.

Rho(770) excited state

Parameters are not settled PDG





 $\rho$ (1450) DECAY MODES

	Mode	Fraction (
Γ <sub>1</sub>	$\pi\pi$	seen
Γ2	$\pi^+\pi^-$	seen
Г3	$4\pi$	seen
Г <sub>4</sub>	$\omega \pi$	
Γ <sub>5</sub>	$a_1(1260)\pi$	
Γ <sub>6</sub>	$h_1(1170)\pi$	
Γ <sub>7</sub>	$\pi(1300)\pi$	
Γ <sub>8</sub>	ρρ	
Г9	$\rho(\pi\pi)$ S-wave	
Γ <sub>10</sub>	$e^+e^-$	seen
Γ <sub>11</sub>	$\eta \rho$	seen
Γ <sub>12</sub>	$a_2(1320)\pi$	not seen
Γ <sub>13</sub>	ĸŔ	seen
Γ <sub>14</sub>	$K^+K^-$	seen
Γ <sub>15</sub>	$K\overline{K}^{*}(892) + c.c.$	possibly s
Γ <sub>16</sub>	$\pi^0\gamma$	
$\Gamma_{17}$	$\eta \gamma'$	seen
$\Gamma_{18}$	$f_0(500)\gamma$	not seen
Γ <sub>10</sub>	$f_0(980)\gamma$	not seen
	$f_0(1370)\gamma$	not seen
Γ <sub>21</sub>	$f_2(1270)\gamma$	not seen



### The importance ...



Observed in tau decays and e+e--> hadrons cross sections



Contribution to muon g-2, rho MDM from e+e- -> 4 pi, pion form factor, etc



# **Description of low energy processes**

Vector meson dominance approach

 $\mathcal{L} = \sum_{V=\rho,\,\rho'} g_{V\pi\pi} \,\epsilon_{abc} \, V^a_\mu \, \pi^b \, \partial^\mu \, \pi^c + \sum_{V=\rho,\,\rho'} g_{\omega V\pi} \,\delta_{ab} \,\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \,\partial_\mu \,\omega_\nu \,\partial_\lambda \, V^a_\sigma \, \pi^b$ 

 $+ g_{3\pi} \epsilon_{abc} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} \omega_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \pi^{a} \partial_{\lambda} \pi^{b} \partial_{\sigma} \pi^{c} + \sum_{V=\rho,\rho',\omega} \frac{e m_{V}^{2}}{g_{V}} V_{\mu} A^{\mu}.$ 

Consider hadrons as the relevant degrees of freedom at low energies. Couplings are free parameters to be determined from experiment.









### Corresponding amplitudes and decay widths

 $\mathcal{M} = i \, g_{VP_1P_2} \, (p_1 - p_2)^{\mu} \, \eta_{\mu}(q)$ **B**<sub>VPP</sub>

 $\mathcal{M} = -i \frac{e^2}{\alpha} \bar{u}(l_1) \gamma^{\nu} v(l_2) \eta_{\nu}(q)$  $g_V$ 

**g**<sub>v</sub>







(b)

$$\Gamma_{VP_1P_2} = \frac{g_{VP_1P_2}^2 \,\lambda^{3/2}(m_V^2, m_{P_1}^2, m_{P_2}^2)}{48 \,\pi \, m_V^5}$$

$$\Gamma_{V\ell\ell} = \frac{4\pi\,\alpha^2 (2\,m_{L_1}^2 + m_V^2)\,(m_V^2 - 4\,m_{L_1}^2)^{1/2}}{3\,m_V^2\,g_V^2}.$$



Radia (a)

 $V_1(k, \eta)$  $\mathcal{V}^{(q, \epsilon^*)}$ (a)

P

 $\mathcal{M} = i \, g_{V_1 P \gamma} \, \epsilon^{\beta \nu \alpha \mu} \, k_\beta \, q_\alpha \, \eta_\mu \, \epsilon_\nu^*$ **B**<sub>VPV</sub>

 $\mathcal{M} = i \, g_{P\gamma\gamma} \, \epsilon^{\alpha\mu\beta\nu} \, q_{1\beta} \, q_{2\alpha} \, \epsilon^*_{1\mu} \, \epsilon^*_{2\nu}$ 





V2 Υ (q, ε\*) e checays tive



Corresponding amplitudes and decay widths

$$\Gamma_{V_1 P \gamma} = g_{V_1 P \gamma}^2 \left[ \frac{(m_{V_1}^2 - m_P^2)^3}{96 \, \pi \, m_P^3} \right]$$

$$\Gamma_{P\gamma\gamma} = \left[\frac{g_{P\gamma\gamma}^2 m_P^3}{32 \pi}\right].$$

V,

(b)

 $\gamma(q, \varepsilon_2^*)$ 



# **Couplings from individual decays**

Process	Coupling
$\rho^0(770) \to \pi^+ \pi^-$	$5.944 \pm 0.018$
$\rho^+(770) \to \pi^+\pi^0$	$5.978 \pm 0.048$
Weighted Average	$5.953 \pm 0.017$



Process	$g_{\rho\omega\pi} \; (\text{GeV}^{-1})$
$\omega(782) \to \pi^0 \gamma$	$11.489 {\pm} 0.039$
$\rho^0(770) \to \pi^0 \gamma$	$14.224 \pm 2.227$
$\rho^+(770) \to \pi^+ \gamma$	$12.358 \pm 1.806$
$\pi^0 \to \gamma \gamma$ (	$15.631 \pm 1.6121$



### Omega -> 3pi rho(770), rho(1450), contact rho(1450) + contact contributions needed to describe the process













## Omega -> 3 pi decay width

### The amplitude $\mathcal{M}_{\omega \to 3\pi} = i \epsilon_{\mu}$

 $\mathcal{A}(m_{\omega}^2) = 6 g_{3\pi} + 2 g_{\omega\rho\pi} g_{\rho\pi\pi}$  $+ 2 g_{\omega\rho'\pi} g_{\rho'\pi\pi}$ 

$$s_{ij} = p_i + p_j,$$

Decay width as a function of all the couplings involved  $\Gamma_{\omega 3\pi} = A_1 g_{3\pi}^2 + A_2 g_{\omega \rho \pi}^2 g_{\rho \pi \pi}^2 + A_3 g_{3\pi} g_{\omega \rho \pi} g_{\rho \pi \pi} + A_4 g_{\omega \rho' \pi}^2 g_{\rho' \pi \pi}^2 + A_5 g_{\omega \rho' \pi} g_{3\pi} g_{\rho' \pi \pi} + A_6 g_{\omega \rho' \pi} g_{\omega \rho \pi} g_{\rho \pi \pi} g_{\rho' \pi \pi},$ 

The Ai coefficients are computed at the omega mass energy





 $\mathcal{M}_{\omega\to 3\pi} = i \,\epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} \,\eta^{\mu} \,p_1^{\ \alpha} \,p_2^{\ \beta} \,p_3^{\ \gamma} \,\mathcal{A}(m_{\omega}^2),$ 

$$(D_{\rho^{0}}[s_{12}] + D_{\rho^{+}}[s_{13}] + D_{\rho^{-}}[s_{23}])$$
  
$$(D_{\rho'}[s_{12}] + D_{\rho'}[s_{13}] + D_{\rho'}[s_{23}]),$$

$$D_V[p] = 1/(p^2 - m_V^2 + i \, m_V \, \Gamma_V)$$



## e+e- -> omega -> 3 pi

 $\mathcal{M}_{e^+ e^- \to 3\pi} =$ Amplitude

Cross section as a function of all the couplings involved

$$\sigma(e^+e^- \to \omega \to 3\pi) = \frac{1}{g_\omega^2} \left( B_1 g_{3\pi}^2 + B_2 \right)$$

 $+B_5 g_{\omega\rho'\pi} g_{3\pi} g_{\rho'\pi\pi} + B_6 g_{\omega\rho'\pi} g_{\omega\rho\pi} g_{\rho\pi\pi} g_{\rho'\pi\pi} \Big),$ 

The Bi coefficients are computed at each energy data of the experimental cross section



Similar to the previous contribution but adding the omega production process from e+e-

$$\frac{e}{q^2} \frac{m_{\omega}^2}{g_{\omega}} D_{\omega}(q) \mathcal{A}(q^2) \epsilon_{\mu\alpha\beta\gamma} p_1^{\alpha} p_2^{\beta} p_3^{\gamma} l^{\mu}$$

 $g_{\omega\rho\pi}^2 g_{\rho\pi\pi}^2 g_{\rho\pi\pi}^2 + B_3 g_{3\pi} g_{\omega\rho\pi} g_{\rho\pi\pi} + B_4 g_{\omega\rho'\pi}^2 g_{\rho'\pi\pi}^2$ 







$$C_{\rho^{0}} = \left(\frac{g_{\omega\rho\pi}}{g_{\rho}}\right)^{2} m_{\rho^{0}}^{2} D_{\rho^{0}}(q),$$



## e+e- -> 2pi gamma

$$C_{\rho'} = \frac{g_{\omega\rho'\pi} \, g_{\omega\rho\pi}}{g_{\rho} \, g_{\rho'}} \, m_{\rho'}^2 \, D_{\rho'}(q),$$

Cross section as a function of all the couplings involved

$$\frac{g_{\omega\rho'\pi}}{g_{\rho}^{(p_{2})}} \sum_{e^{+(k_{2})}}^{2} + \left(\frac{g_{\omega\rho\pi}^{3}}{g_{\rho}^{3}}\frac{g_{\omega\rho'\pi}}{g_{\rho'}}\right)_{\pi^{0}(p_{2})} \cos(\theta) C_{3} - Sin(\theta) C_{4}.$$

$$\frac{g_{\omega\rho'\pi}}{g_{\rho}^{3}} \cos(\theta) C_{4}.$$

$$\frac{g_{\omega\rho'\pi}}{g_{\rho}^{3}} \cos(\theta) C_{4}.$$

$$\frac{g_{\omega\rho'\pi}}{g_{\rho}^{3}} \cos(\theta) C_{4}.$$

$$\frac{g_{\omega}}{g_{\rho}^{3}} \cos(\theta) C_{4}.$$

$$\frac{g_{\omega}}{g_{\omega}^{3}} \cos(\theta) C_{4}.$$



## Global analysis. From decay modes to cross section data

We minimize the function

considering the couplings as free parameters, for the following data:

 $\chi^2(\theta) =$ 

(a) 10 decay modes:  $\rho \rightarrow \pi \pi$  $\rho \rightarrow \pi \gamma$ 11 decay modes: (a) +  $\omega \rightarrow 3\pi$ 

 $e^+e^- \to \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$ SND (00), (13), (16), CMD2 (b)11 decay modes + SND, BABAR, CMD2, BES 3  $e^+e^- \rightarrow 3\pi$ 

(c)11 decay modes +



$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(y_i - \mu(x_i; \theta))^2}{E_i^2}$$



## 10 decay modes (4 parameters)

Parameter	Central value	Error
$g_{ ho\pi\pi}$	5.9485	0.0536
$g_ ho$	4.9619	0.0661
$g_\omega$	17.038	0.603
$g_{\omega\rho\pi} \; ({\rm GeV^{-1}})$	11.575	0.438





**Correlation matrix** 





Parameter	Central value	Error
$g_{ ho\pi\pi}$	5.9484	0.0668
$g_ ho$	4.9618	0.0819
$g_\omega$	16.907	0.6625
$g_{\omega\rho\pi} \; ({\rm GeV^{-1}})$	11.486	0.4951
$g_{ ho'\pi\pi}$	4.5103	1.0371
$g_{\omega\rho'\pi} \;({\rm GeV^{-1}})$	3.1363	1.7702
$g_{3\pi} ({\rm GeV^{-3}})$	-53.612	6.8932
$g_{ ho'}$	12.472	1.2437
$\theta$ (in $\pi$ units)	0.8697	0.0452



**11 decay modes +**  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\gamma$ 

	$g_{\rho\pi\pi}$	$g_ ho$	$g_\omega$	$g_{\omega ho\pi}$	$g_{ ho'\pi\pi}$	$g_{\omega ho'\pi}$	$g_{3\pi}$	$g_{ ho'}$	$\theta$	
$g_{\rho\pi\pi}$	1	0.028	-0.038	-0.024	-0.108	0.176	0.093	-0.323	0.002	-
$g_{ ho}$	0.028	1	0.042	0.418	-0.189	-0.289	0.486	0.519	0.012	-
$g_\omega$	-0.038	0.042	1	0.925	-0.845	0.722	-0.114	-0.701	-0.008	-
$g_{\omega ho\pi}$	-0.024	0.418	0.925	1	-0.84	0.546	0.082	-0.439	-0.003	-
$g_{\rho'\pi\pi}$	0.108	-0.189	-0.845	-0.84	1	-0.827	0.294	0.538	-0.328	-
g <sub>ωρ' π</sub>	0.176	-0.289	0.722	0.546	-0.827	1	-0.632	-0.735	0.366	-
$g_{3\pi}$	0.093	0.486	-0.114	0.082	0.294	-0.632	1	0.291	-0.498	-
$g_{\rho'}$	-0.323	0.519	-0.701	-0.439	0.538	-0.735	0.291	1	0.179	-
θ	0.002	0.012	-0.008	-0.003	-0.328	0.366	-0.498	0.179	1	-

### **Correlation matrix**







**11 decay modes +**  $e^+e^- \rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0$ 

 $\sigma(e^+ e^- -> 2 \pi \gamma)$  (nb)



Parameter	Central value	Error
$g_{ ho\pi\pi}$	5.9486	0.0755
$g_ ho$	4.9622	0.0928
$g_\omega$	16.652	0.4726
$g_{\omega\rho\pi} \; (\text{GeV}^{-1})$	11.314	0.383
$g_{ ho'\pi\pi}$	5.4999	1.0597
$g_{\omega\rho'\pi} (\text{GeV}^{-1})$	3.4774	0.96262
$g_{3\pi} \; ({\rm GeV}^{-3})$	-54.338	6.6739
$g_{ ho'}$	12.918	1.1907
$\theta$ (in $\pi$ units)	0.8715	0.0512



**11 decay modes +**  $e^+e^- \rightarrow 3\pi$ 

	$g_{ ho\pi\pi}$	$g_ ho$	$g_\omega$	$g_{\omega ho\pi}$	$g_{ ho'\pi\pi}$	$g_{\omega ho'\pi}$	$z g_{3\pi}$	$g_{ ho'}$	$\theta$	
$g_{\rho\pi\pi}$	1	0	0.001	0.001	0	0	0.349	0	0	-
$g_{ ho}$	0	1	-0.004	0.546	0	-0.002	0.512	0	0	-
$g_{\omega}$	0.001	-0.004	1	0.835	0	0.412	0.38	-0.001	-0.004	-
$g_{\omega ho\pi}$	0.001	0.546	0.835	1	0	0.345	0.6	-0.001	-0.004	-
$g_{\rho'\pi\pi}$	0	0	0	0	1	0	-0.014	0	0	-
g <sub>ωρ' π</sub>	0	-0.002	0.412	0.345	0	1	-0.188	0.156	-0.242	-
$g_{3\pi}$	0.349	0.512	0.38	0.6	-0.014	-0.188	1	-0.065	0.098	-
$g_{\rho'}$	0	0	-0.001	-0.001	0	0.156	-0.065	1	0.001	-
θ	0	0	-0.004	-0.004	0	-0.242	0.098	0.001	1	-

**Correlation matrix** 

G. Toledo, MWPF22



## 11 decay modes +





 $-3\pi$  $e^+e^-$ 

 $\sigma(e^+ e^- -> 3 \pi)$  (nb)



## **Couplings behavior for individual data**





### X axis labels





The 
$$e^+ e^- \to \pi$$

### Amplitude

 $G_{\rho} = \frac{g_{\omega\rho\pi}}{g_{\rho}} m_{\rho^0}^2 D_{\rho^0}(q),$  $G_{\rho'} = \frac{g_{\omega\rho'\pi}}{g_{\rho'}} m_{\rho'}^2 D_{\rho'}(q).$ 





### $\omega \to 4\pi \text{ cross section}$

Using the previous results, NO fit to these data.

See poster by Antonio Rojas for more details

 $\mathcal{M}_{e^+e^- \to 4\pi} = \frac{e}{r^2} \left( G_{\rho} + e^{i\theta} G_{\rho'} \right) D_{\omega} (q - p_4) \mathcal{A} \left( (q - p_4)^2 \right) \epsilon_{\sigma\alpha\eta\beta} \epsilon_{\mu\gamma\chi\sigma} q^{\gamma} p_1^{\alpha} p_2^{\eta} p_3^{\beta} p_4^{\chi} l^{\mu}$ 



# Conclusions

We performed a global analysis of a set of decay modes and cross sections in the context of the vector meson dominance model.

In a first step we determined the parameters of the model involving the light mesons, from 10 decay modes which are insensitive to the  $\varrho'$ . Then, we considered the  $\omega \rightarrow 3\pi$  decay, and exhibit the need of the  $\varrho'$  and a contact term as prescribed by the WZW anomaly.

further restrict the  $\varrho'$  parameters validity region.

As an application, we computed the e+e-  $\rightarrow 4\pi$  cross section for the so-called omega channel, measured by BABAR and find a good description of the data considering the parameters found. As a byproduct, the coupling  $g_{0}\omega\pi = 11.314 \pm 0.383$  GeV-1 is found to be consistent with all the relevant observables.



In a second step, we incorporated the data from the  $e^+e^- \rightarrow 3\pi$  cross section (as measured by SND, CMD2, BABAR and BES III), and then the e+e-  $\rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 \gamma$  data (as measured by SND and CDM2) to







# THANK YOU





