XXXVI Annual Reunion Division of Particles and Fields

Vital signs of Supersymmetry

Melina Gómez Bock

September 9, 2022

Outline



Direct search experimental data.

 Supersymmetry, an introduction. pMSSM. hMSSM.

 Indirect SUSY searches from precision Higgs data. Higgs production. Higgs decays.
 DM candidate.

Supersymmetry: a loved theory.

> EW scale is stabilized, with $\lambda_S = |\lambda_f|^2$ then the Λ_{UV}^2 will neatly cancel

for instance see [S.P. Martin 08, a SUSY Premier]

- Unification of gauge couplings.
- Generates DM candidates.
- \blacktriangleright Posisible exotic signals of FV and \mathcal{CP} through SUSY loops
- Solution to hierarchy problem
- ➤ GUT: mSugra

H.Haber (1995), S. Heinemeyer, Stal and Weiglein (2012). Low-energy Supersymmetry:Prospects and Challenges, Djouadi and Quevillon (2013). Higgs mass renormalization, weak scale stability



Figure 1: (a) fermionic and (b) any scalar correction to the Higgs mass

♦ Fermionic contribution to the Higgs mass

$$\Delta m_h^2 = -\frac{|\lambda_f|^2}{8\pi^2} \Lambda_{UV}^2 + \cdots$$
 (1)

Contribution to the Higgs mass from a scalar

$$\Delta m_h^2 = \frac{\lambda_S}{16\pi^2} \left[\Lambda_{UV}^2 - 2m_S^2 \log \frac{\Lambda_{UV}}{m_S} + \cdots \right]$$
(2)

GUTs

Unification of the Coupling Constants in the SM and the minimal MSSM



[Amaldi, de Boer, Fürstenau '92]

Dark Matter



Baryogenesis

Barionic Asymmetry, difference between matter and antimatter quantification.

This early state of the universe stands in stark contrast to what we observe in the universe today. Direct observation shows that the universe around us contains no appreciable primordial antimatter. In addition, the theory of primordial nucleosynthesis allows accurate predictions of the cosmological abundances of all the light elements, H^{3}, He^{4}, He, D, B and ^{7}Li , while requiring only that, defining $n_{b}(\bar{b})$ to be the number density of (anti)-baryons and s to be the entropy density

$$2.6 \times 10^{-10} < \eta = \frac{n_b - n_{\bar{b}}}{s} < 6.2 \times 10^{-10}$$
(3)

Some unexplained experimental signals

- ① Muon anomalous magnetic moment g-2.
- 2 New data for W mass, departing from SM value.
- 3 Neutrinos oscillations \rightarrow massive right neutrinos.
- ④ Still high experimental bounds with respect to SM values:
 - $\blacktriangleright BR^{Exp}(\tau \to \mu \gamma) < 4.4 \times 10^{-8}$
 - > $BR^{Exp}(t \to ch) < 10^{-3};$ $BR^{SM}(t \to ch) \simeq 10^{-14}$
 - > Very tight but still a room for extra CP mixing mesones: Bs, Ds
 - Leptonic non-universaliy in semileptonic meson decays.

Supersymmetry experimental searches.

ATLAS Preliminary

Ju	ily 2020													$\sqrt{s} = 13$	3 leV
	Model	S	ignatur	e ∫	<i>L dt</i> [fb ⁻	1]		Mas	ss limit					Reference	
	$\tilde{q}\tilde{q}, \tilde{q} \rightarrow q\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$	0 e, µ	2-6 jets	$E_{T_{1}}^{\text{miss}}$	139	<i>q̃</i> [10× D	egen.]	1			1	1.9	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) \leq 400 \text{ GeV}$	ATLAS-CONF-2019-040	
Inclusive Searches	$\tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g} \rightarrow a \bar{a} \tilde{\chi}_1^0$	mono-jet 0 <i>e</i> , μ	1-3 jets 2-6 jets	E_T^{miss} E_T^{miss}	36.1 139	$\tilde{q} = [1\times, 8)$ \tilde{g}	< Degen.]		0.43	0.71		2.35	$m(\tilde{q})-m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)=5 \text{ GeV}$ $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)=0 \text{ GeV}$	1711.03301 ATLAS-CONF-2019-040	
	00,0 11,1		-	1		ĝ				Forbidde	n	1.15-1.95	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)=1000 \text{ GeV}$	ATLAS-CONF-2019-040	
	$\tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g} \rightarrow q\bar{q}W\tilde{\chi}^0_1$	1 e, µ	2-6 jets	-mice	139	ĝ						2.2	$m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) < 600 \text{ GeV}$	ATLAS-CONF-2020-047	
	$\tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g} \rightarrow q\bar{q}(\ell\ell)\chi_1^0$	ее,µµ 0 е. и	2 jets 7-11 jets	E_T^{miss}	36.1	g z					1.2	1.07	$m(\tilde{g})-m(\chi_1^{\circ})=50 \text{ GeV}$	1805.11381	
	$gg, g \rightarrow qqWZX_1$	SS <i>e</i> , μ	6 jets	L_T	139	g ĝ					1.15	1.97	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1) < 600 \text{ GeV}$ $m(\tilde{g}) - m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0) = 200 \text{ GeV}$	ATLAS-CONF-2020-002 1909.08457	
	$\tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g} \rightarrow t t \tilde{\chi}_1^0$	0-1 <i>e</i> ,μ SS <i>e</i> ,μ	3 <i>b</i> 6 jets	E_T^{miss}	79.8 139	ĩg ĩg					1.25	2.25	m(𝒱̃1)<200 GeV m(ğ)-m(𝐉̃1)=300 GeV	ATLAS-CONF-2018-041 1909.08457	
3 rd gen. squarks direct production	$\tilde{b}_1\tilde{b}_1,\tilde{b}_1{\rightarrow}b\tilde{\chi}_1^0/t\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$		Multiple Multiple		36.1 139	${ar b_1 \ ilde b_1}$	Fe	orbidden	Forbidden	0.9 0.74		$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)=20$	$m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})$ =300 GeV, BR $(b\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})$ =1 0 GeV, $m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm})$ =300 GeV, BR $(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm})$ =1	1708.09266, 1711.03301 1909.08457	
	$\tilde{b}_1 \tilde{b}_1, \tilde{b}_1 \rightarrow b \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow b h \tilde{\chi}_1^0$	0 e, μ 2 τ	6 b 2 b	E_T^{miss} E_T^{miss}	139 139	$egin{array}{c} ilde{b}_1 \ ilde{b}_1 \end{array}$	Forbidden	1		0.13-0.85	0.23-1.35	i ∆n	$h(\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) = 130 \text{ GeV}, m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) = 100 \text{ GeV}$ $\Delta m(\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0}, \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) = 130 \text{ GeV}, m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}) = 0 \text{ GeV}$	1908.03122 ATLAS-CONF-2020-031	
	$\tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}_1^0$	0-1 <i>e</i> , <i>µ</i>	≥ 1 jet	E_T^{miss}	139	Ĩ1					1.25		$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)=1 \text{ GeV}$	ATLAS-CONF-2020-003, 2004.14	.060
	$\tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow W b \tilde{\chi}_1^0$	1 e,μ 1 τ ι 1 e μ τ	3 jets/1 b	E_T^{miss}	139	<i>ī</i> ₁			0.44-0	0.59	1 10		m($\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$)=400 GeV	ATLAS-CONF-2019-017	
	$i_1i_1, i_1 \rightarrow \tau_1 bv, \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_G$ $\tilde{i}_1\tilde{i}_1, \tilde{i}_1 \rightarrow c\tilde{Y}_1^0 / \tilde{c}_1\tilde{c}_1 \tilde{c}_1 \rightarrow c\tilde{Y}_1^0$	0 e. u	2 jets/10	E_T E_{∞}^{miss}	36.1	\tilde{c}				0.85	1.10		$m(\tilde{x}_1)=0$ GeV	1805.01649	
		0 e, µ	mono-jet	E_T^{miss}	36.1	\tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1			0.46 0.43				$\begin{array}{c} m(\tilde{i}_{1},\tilde{c})\text{-}m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})\text{=}50 \; GeV \\ m(\tilde{i}_{1},\tilde{c})\text{-}m(\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0})\text{=}5 \; GeV \end{array}$	1805.01649 1711.03301	
	$\tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}_2^0, \tilde{\chi}_2^0 \rightarrow Z/h \tilde{\chi}_1^0$	1-2 e, µ	1-4 b	E_T^{miss}	139	\tilde{t}_1				0.06	7-1.18		$m(\tilde{\chi}_2^0)=500 \text{ GeV}$	SUSY-2018-09	
	$\tilde{t}_2 \tilde{t}_2, \tilde{t}_2 \rightarrow \tilde{t}_1 + Z$	3 <i>e</i> , µ	1 <i>b</i>	E_T^{miss}	139	\tilde{t}_2			Forbidden	0.86		m($\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$)=360 GeV, m(\tilde{t}_{1})-m($\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0}$)= 40 GeV	SUSY-2018-09	
	${\tilde \chi}_1^\pm {\tilde \chi}_2^0$ via WZ	3 e, μ ee, μμ	≥ 1 jet	E_T^{miss} E_T^{miss}	139 139	$\begin{array}{c} \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}/\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \\ \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}/\tilde{\chi}_2^0 \end{array}$	0.205			0.64			${f m}(ilde{\chi}^0_1){=}0 \ {f m}(ilde{\chi}^\pm_1){-}{f m}(ilde{\chi}^0_1){=}5 \ {f GeV}$	ATLAS-CONF-2020-015 1911.12606	
	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_1^{\mp}$ via WW	2 e, µ		E_T^{miss}	139	$\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}$			0.42				$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)=0$	1908.08215	
t	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_2^0$ via Wh	0-1 <i>e</i> , <i>µ</i>	$2 b/2 \gamma$	E_T^{miss}	139	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}/\tilde{\chi}_2^0$ F	orbidden			0.74			$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)=70 \text{ GeV}$	2004.10894, 1909.09226	
Iec V	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$ via $\tilde{\ell}_L / \tilde{\nu}$	2 e, µ		E_T^{miss}	139	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}$				1.	0		$m(\tilde{\ell}, \tilde{\nu})=0.5(m(\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm})+m(\tilde{\chi}_1^{0}))$	1908.08215	
GE	$\tilde{\tau}\tilde{\tau}, \tilde{\tau} \rightarrow \tau \chi_1^{\circ}$ $\tilde{\tau} \tilde{\tau} \tilde{\tau} e \tilde{v}^0$	2 T 2 e u	0 iets	E_T^{miss}	139	7 [1], 1]	ξ,L.1	0.16-0.3	0.12-0.39	0.7			$m(\chi_1^{\circ})=0$ $m(\tilde{\chi}_1^{\circ})=0$	1911.06660	
	$\ell_{\mathrm{L,R}}\ell_{\mathrm{L,R}}, \ell \rightarrow \ell \ell_1$	ee,μμ	≥ 1 jet	E_T^{Tmiss}	139	ĩ	0.:	256		0.7			$m(\tilde{\ell})-m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)=10 \text{ GeV}$	1908.08215	
	$\tilde{H}\tilde{H},\tilde{H}{ ightarrow}h\tilde{G}/Z\tilde{G}$	0 e, μ 4 e, μ	$\geq 3 b$ 0 jets	E_T^{miss} E_T^{miss}	36.1 139	Η̈́ Η̈́	0.13-0.23	1	0.5	0.29-0.88			$\begin{array}{l} BR(\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \to h\tilde{G}) = 1 \\ BR(\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \to Z\tilde{G}) = 1 \end{array}$	1806.04030 ATLAS-CONF-2020-040	
lived	$\operatorname{Direct} \tilde{\chi}_1^* \tilde{\chi}_1^-$ prod., long-lived $\tilde{\chi}_1^\pm$	Disapp. trk	1 jet	$E_T^{\rm miss}$	36.1		5		0.46				Pure Wino Pure higgsino	1712.02118 ATL-PHYS-PUB-2017-019	
arti	Stable \tilde{g} R-hadron		Multiple		36.1	ĝ						2.0		1902.01636,1808.04095	
P	Metastable \tilde{g} R-hadron, $\tilde{g} \rightarrow qq \tilde{\chi}_1^0$		Multiple		36.1	$\tilde{g} = [\tau(\tilde{g}) =$	=10 ns, 0.2 ns]					2.05 2.4	$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)=100 \text{ GeV}$	1710.04901,1808.04095	
	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \tilde{\chi}_1^{\mp} / \tilde{\chi}_1^0$, $\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \rightarrow Z \ell \rightarrow \ell \ell \ell$	3 e,μ			139	$\tilde{X}_{1}^{\mp}/\tilde{X}_{1}^{0}$ [E	$BR(Z\tau)=1, BR(Z)$	e)=1]		0.625 1.	.05		Pure Wino	ATLAS-CONF-2020-009	
	$LFV pp \to \tilde{\nu}_{\tau} + X, \tilde{\nu}_{\tau} \to e\mu/e\tau/\mu\tau$	$e\mu, e\tau, \mu\tau$			3.2	ν _τ						1.9	$\lambda'_{311}=0.11, \lambda_{132/133/233}=0.07$	1607.08079	
RPV	$\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{\pm}\tilde{\chi}_{1}^{+}/\tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \rightarrow WW/Z\ell\ell\ell\ell\nu\nu$	4 <i>e</i> , μ	0 jets	E_T^{miss}	36.1	$\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}/\tilde{\chi}_2^0 = [\lambda]$	$_{i33} \neq 0, \lambda_{12k} \neq 0$)]		0.82	1.33		$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)=100 \text{ GeV}$	1804.03602	
	$\tilde{g}\tilde{g}, \tilde{g} \rightarrow qq\chi_1^\circ, \chi_1^\circ \rightarrow qqq$	4	-5 large- <i>k</i> je Multiple	ets	36.1 36.1	$ \begin{array}{c} \tilde{g} & [m(\mathcal{X}_1)] \\ \tilde{g} & [\mathcal{X}_{112}'' = 2 \end{array} $	=200 GeV, 1100 2e-4, 2e-5]) GeV]		1.	.05	1.9 2.0	m($\tilde{\chi}_1^0$)=200 GeV, bino-like	1804.03568 ATLAS-CONF-2018-003	
	$\tilde{t}\tilde{t}, \tilde{t} \rightarrow t \tilde{\chi}_1^0, \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \rightarrow t b s$		Multiple		36.1	$\tilde{t} = [\lambda_{323}'' = 2$	e-4, 1e-2]		0.5	55 1.	.05		$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^0)$ =200 GeV, bino-like	ATLAS-CONF-2018-003	
	$\tilde{t}\tilde{t}, \tilde{t} \rightarrow b\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}, \tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm} \rightarrow bbs$		$\geq 4b$		139	ĩ			Forbidden	0.95			$m(\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm})$ =500 GeV	ATLAS-CONF-2020-016	
	$t_1 t_1, t_1 \rightarrow bs$ $\tilde{t}_1 \tilde{t}_1, \tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow a\ell$	2	2 jets + 2 b	,	36.7	$t_1 [qq, b]$	1]		0.42	0.61	0.4.1	45	BD/7 - La (La)> 000/	1710.07171	
	$\iota_1\iota_1, \iota_1 \rightarrow q\iota$	2 e,μ 1 μ	2 Ø DV		136	\tilde{t}_1 [1e-10	$<\lambda'_{23k}$ <1e-8, 3	8e-10< λ'_{23k}	<3e-9]	1.	0.4-1.	1.6	$BR(\tilde{t}_1 \rightarrow q\mu) = 100\%, \cos\theta_i = 1$	2003.11956	
											1			J	
*Only	a selection of the available ma	ss limits on i	new state	s or	1	0^{-1}					1		Mass scale [TeV]		

ATLAS SUSY Searches* - 95% CL Lower Limits

*Only a selection of the available mass limits on new states or phenomena is shown. Many of the limits are based on simplified models, c.f. refs. for the assumptions made.

Supersymmetry

Although present LHC studies set stringent bounds on masses of new particles, to the extend where supersymmetry (SUSY) appears now to be less "natural" than initially thought, it remains among the best benchmarks for new physics searches.

Arbey, Battaglia, Djouadi, Mahmoudi, Muhlleitner and Spira (2021)

Supermultiplete quiral

Supermultiplete vectorial



Supersymmetry



 Standard particles
 SUSY particles

 U
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0
 0

Figure 2: Almost half of the Supersymmetry spectrum already found.

 \sim Susy most be broken introducing *Soft Susy Lagrangian*.

Super potential of the MSSM

All the fields have the canonical kinetic Lagrangian with the usual D_{μ} and field strengths $F_{\mu\nu}$.

Interactions \rightsquigarrow SUSY and Gauge invariance

The only *freedom* that one has is the choice of the *superpotential* W

[A .DJOUADI, The Anatomy of ElectroWeak Symmetry Breaking Tome II: The Higgs bosons in the Minimal Supersymmetric Model]

$$W_{MSSM} = \frac{1}{2}M^{ij}\phi_i\phi_j + \frac{1}{6}y^{ijk}\phi_i\phi_j\phi_k \tag{4}$$

where $\phi~$ are the quiral super fields.

By renormalization only bilinear and trilinear terms are permited

[see for instance S.P. Martin 07]

Supersymmetry field structure for fermions

Supermultiplets:

Each of the fermion is accompanied by a complex scalar \rightarrow chiral superfield. Supermultiplete quiral $\sim \qquad fermions \ and \ sfermions$



Higgsinos and Higgs

Figure 3: Quiral supermultipletes: equal fermionic and bosonic d.o.f.

Supersymmetry field structure for gauge bosons

Supermultiplets:

Each of the gauge boson is accompanied by a weyl doublet \rightarrow *vectorial superfield*.



Figure 4: Vector supermultipletes: equals bosonic and fermionic d.o.f.

Once SUSY is broken we need to add no-dynamical fields components F and D terms, in order to conserved the fermionic-bosonic degrees of freedom.

$SUSY \rightarrow Soft-terms \ of MSSM$

As SUSY es broken we add mass terms to the Lagrangian that break the symmetry Soft SUSY Lagrangian:

Kuroda 99

$$\mathcal{L}_{soft}^{MSSM} = \mathcal{L}_{gauginogluino}^{mass} - \mathcal{L}_{sfermion}^{mass} - \mathcal{L}_{Higgs} - \mathcal{L}_{trilinear}$$
(5) with

$$-\mathcal{L}_{gauginogluino}^{mass} = \frac{1}{2} \left[M_1 \tilde{B} \tilde{B} + M_2 \sum_{a=1}^{3} \tilde{W}^a \tilde{W}_a + M_3 \sum_{a=1}^{8} \tilde{G}^a \tilde{G}_a + h.c. \right]$$
(6)
$$-\mathcal{L}_{sfermion}^{mass} = \sum m_{\tilde{C}}^2 \tilde{Q}_i^{\dagger} \tilde{Q}_i + m_{\tilde{t}}^2 \tilde{L}_i^{\dagger} \tilde{L}_i + m_{\tilde{t}i}^2 |\tilde{u}_{Bi}| + m_{\tilde{t}i}^2 |\tilde{d}_{Bi}|^2 + m_{\tilde{t}i}^2 |\tilde{l}_{Bi}|^2$$
(7)

 $\mathcal{L}_{sfermion} = \sum_{i=gen} m_{\tilde{Q}_i} Q_i Q_i + m_{\tilde{L}_i} L_i L_i + m_{\tilde{u}i} |u_{Ri}| + m_{\tilde{d}i} |d_{Ri}|^2 + m_{\tilde{l}i} |l_{Ri}|^2 \quad (1)$

$$-\mathcal{L}_{Higgs} = m_1^2 H_1^{\dagger} H_1 + m_2^2 H_2^{\dagger} H_2 + \mu B (H_2 \cdot H_1 + h.c.)$$
(8)

$$-\mathcal{L}_{trilinear} = \sum_{i,j=gen} \left[A^{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\boldsymbol{ij}} \tilde{Q}_{\boldsymbol{i}} H_2 \tilde{u}^*_{\boldsymbol{R}\boldsymbol{j}} + A^{\boldsymbol{u}}_{\boldsymbol{ij}} \tilde{Q}_{\boldsymbol{i}} H_1 \tilde{d}^*_{\boldsymbol{R}\boldsymbol{j}} + A^{\boldsymbol{l}}_{\boldsymbol{ij}} \tilde{L}_{\boldsymbol{i}} H_1 \tilde{l}^*_{\boldsymbol{R}\boldsymbol{j}} \right]$$
(9)

... or constraining the MSSM parameters

➤ mSUGRA → cMSSM (most common) → gravitational SUSY breaking in a hidden sector. → soft SUSY breaking parameters obey a set of universal boundary conditions at the GUT scale.

➤ AMSB → Anomaly Mediated SUSY breaking in a hidden sector, trasmited by the super-Weyl anomaly ~ soft SUSY breaking parameters related to the scale dependance of gauge and matter kinetic functions.

➤ GMSB → SUSY breaking is mediated by SM gauge interactions. → soft SUSY breaking parameters arise from one-loop or two-loops diagrams

Supersymmetry models.

In addition there are other SUSY models which may fit the current LHC data

- ► NUHM1 [Buchmueller et. al. 11]
- ► NMSSM [Benbrik et. al. 12]
- Split SUSY [Arkani-Hamed and S. Dimopoulos 05]
- ► High-scale SUSY [L.J. Hall and Y. Nomura 10]

··· we may even review H. Baer and J. List 13, *Post LHC8 SUSY benchmark* points for ILC physics

Reducing MSSM parameters

At tree level, the MSSM parameters could be reduced to only 2: m_A and $\tan \beta$, CMSSM.

Once we calculate one-loop radiative corrections we most set values to other parameters.

Most scenarios were built in order to have the least free parameters. **phenomenological MSSM, pMSSM**

- ① CP-conserving (no extra source)
- 2 no FCNC
- 3 $m_{\tilde{f}1} \approx m_{\tilde{f}2}$ to accomplish $K^0 \bar{K}^0$ mixing

phenomenological, pMSSM

22 input parameters:

The current way to analize a supersymmetric model is to fixed tha Higgs mass to the experimental value, and all of the correction adjust from there, calling this parametrization as hMSSM



 $m_h = 125 \,\, \mathrm{GeV}$

Higgs sector of the MSSM

Two complex SU(2) Higgs doublets:

$$H_1 = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi_1 - i\chi_1) \\ -\phi_1^- \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_2^+ \\ v_2 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi_2 + i\chi_2) \end{pmatrix}$$

Physical Higgs particle spectrum :

 ϕ_i , $CP = 1 \rightarrow$ two scalar fields: h^0, H^0 , χ_i , $CP = -1 \rightarrow$ one pseudoscalar field: A^0 .

and

$$\phi^{\pm}$$
, \rightarrow two charged fields: H^{\pm}

SSB: Assuming the scalar fields to develop nonzero vacuum expectation values that break $SU(2)_L$

$$\langle H_1 \rangle = v_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \langle H_2 \rangle = v_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (10)

Defining: $\tan \beta = \frac{v_2}{v_1}$ and $v = (v_1^2 + v_2^2)^{1/2} \approx 173 \ GeV.$

MSSM Higgs masses

At tree level we have the Higgs masses are given by only two prameters. Considering the minimal set of soft-SUSY breaking parameters, supersymmetry leads to only true independent parameters $\tan \beta$ and M_A . The relations within MSSM parameters imposse, at tree level, a strong hierarchical structure on mass spectrum: $M_h < M_Z$, $M_A < M_H$ and $M_W < M_{H^{\pm}}$, which is broken by radiative corrections [Djouadi08].

The CP - even Higgs mass matrix

$$\mathcal{M} = M_Z^2 \begin{pmatrix} c_\beta^2 & -s_\beta c_\beta \\ -s_\beta c_\beta & s_\beta^2 \end{pmatrix} + M_A^2 \begin{pmatrix} s_\beta^2 & -s_\beta c_\beta \\ -s_\beta c_\beta & c_\beta^2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \Delta M_{11}^2 & \Delta M_{12}^2 \\ \Delta M_{21}^2 & \Delta M_{22}^2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(11)

In supersymmetry, radiative correctons are crutial. In the leading one-loop aproximation, te maximal value for Higgs boson is given by

$$M_h^2 \to M_Z^2 \cos^2 2\beta + \Delta M_{22}^2 \sin^2 \beta$$

Ligth Higgs mass as a fixed parameter: hMSSM

In order to accomplish for the previous simple relation of Higgs mass, the following choice of SUSY parameters:

```
[M. Carena (2013)]
```

① A decoupling regime with heavy A states: $m_A \sim \mathcal{O}(TeV)$

- 2 $\tan \beta \gtrsim 10$ to maximize tree-level contributions.
- ⁽³⁾ Heavy stops squarks, *i.e.* large SUSY mass scale M_S , to enhance logaritmic contributions.
- 4 Maximal mixing scenario for trilinear stop couplings: $X_t = \sqrt{6}M_S$, maximizing stop loops.

Higgs boson production

Once the Higgs mass is determined, the SM Higgs properties are fixed. Any contribution from a extended Higgs sector may shift the couplings, and hence its production and decay rates.

Arbey, Battaglia, Djouadi, Mahmoudi, Muhlleitner and Spira (2021)

Bounds on Higgs production be precise proved by HL-LHC.

process	\sqrt{S}	σ^{tot}
$gg \rightarrow h$	14 TeV	$\sigma_{ggh}^{tot} \approx 50 pb$
$qq \to hqq$	14 TeV	$\sigma_{VBF}^{tot} \approx 4pb$
$qq \to hV$	14 TeV	$\sigma_{hV}^{tot} \approx 2.5 pb$
$pp \to t\bar{t}h$	14 TeV	$\sigma_{tth}^{tot} \approx 0.6 pb$
$gg \to hh$	14 TeV	$\sigma^{tot}_{gghh} \approx 50 fb$



Higgs boson decay rates

Analyze the production and decay rates using the defined coupling modifiers

$$\kappa_X = \frac{g_{hXX}^{MSSM}}{g_{hXX}^{SM}}$$

process	BR
$h \to b\overline{b}$	over 60%
$h \to W * W \to l l \nu \nu$	20%
$h \to Z * Z \to llll$	2.5%
$h \to \tau \tau$	$\approx 5\%$
$h \to gg$	8%
$h \to c\bar{c}$	3%
$h \to \gamma \gamma$	2×10^{-3}
$h \to \mu \bar{\mu}$	2×10^{-4}



Beyond SM, SUSY Higgs decays, invisible.

LEP experimental Data has already set bounds for some susy particles:

$$m_{\tilde{l}} > 100 GeV > \frac{1}{2}M_h$$

$$\begin{split} m_{\tilde{\chi}_{1,2}^{\pm}} &> 100 GeV > \frac{1}{2} M_h \\ m_{\tilde{\chi}_{2,3}^{0}} &> 100 GeV > \frac{1}{2} M_h \end{split}$$

> $h \rightarrow \tilde{q}\tilde{q}$ already kinematically excluded, $m_{\tilde{q}} >> \frac{1}{2}M_h$

> $h \to \tilde{g}\tilde{g}$ already kinematically excluded, $m_{\tilde{g}} >> \frac{1}{2}M_h$

Beyond SM, SUSY Higgs decays, invisible.

In the not constrained MSSM, soft susy breaking parameters are not related M_1, M_2, M_3 and $m_{\tilde{g}} \approx M_3 \ge 1 T eV$, the following invisible susy Higgs decays may occur

>
$$h \to \tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{\chi}_1^0$$
 kinematically allowed, as $\tilde{\chi}_1^0$ is the LSP.

> $h \to \tilde{\nu}\tilde{\nu}$ kinematically allowed, if $m_{\tilde{\nu}} < m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^{\pm}}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0}$

D-terms increase $m_{\tilde{l}}$ and decrease $m_{\tilde{\nu}}$

MSSM sfermion mass matrix

The sfermion mass matrix can be written as blocks of 3×3

$$\tilde{M}_{\tilde{f}}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} M_{LL}^2 & M_{LR}^2 \\ M_{LR}^{2\dagger} & M_{RR}^2 \end{pmatrix}$$
(12)

The elements of this matrix decomposed on the different terms of the MSSM Lagrangian are given by,

$$\tilde{M}_{f}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{f,LL}^{2} + F_{f,LL} + D_{f,LL} & m_{f,LR}^{2} + F_{f,LR} \\ (m_{f,LR}^{2} + F_{f,LR})^{\dagger} & m_{f,RR}^{2} + F_{f,RR} + D_{f,RR} \end{pmatrix}$$
(13)

For charged leptons, we will have

$$\mathbf{M}_{\tilde{l}}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{slL}^{2} + m_{l}^{2} + M_{Z}^{2} \cos 2\beta (I_{3}^{l} - Q_{l}s_{w}^{2}) & m_{l} X_{l} \\ m_{l} X_{l} & m_{slR}^{2} + m_{l}^{2} + M_{Z}^{2} \cos 2\beta Q_{l}s_{w}^{2} \end{pmatrix},$$
(14)

with $X_l = A_l - \mu an eta$, and we assume $m_{sfR}^2 \simeq m_{sfL}^2 \simeq ilde{m}_0^2$

Neutralino mass matrix

Neutralinos are a mixing of the neutral higgsinos $(\tilde{H}_1^0, \tilde{H}_2^0)$ and gauginos gauginos neutros $(\tilde{B}^0, \tilde{W}^0)$, which have spin 1/2.

In the interaction basis, the neutralino mass matrix is given as

$$M_N = \begin{pmatrix} M_1 & 0 & -M_Z \sin \theta_W \cos \beta & M_Z \sin \theta_W \sin \beta \\ * & M_2 & M_Z \cos \theta_W \cos \beta & -M_Z \cos \theta_W \sin \beta \\ * & * & 0 & -\mu \\ * & * & * & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Diagonalizing the neutralino symmetric mass matrix M_N with Θ_N :

$$\Theta_N M_N \Theta_N^T = \text{diag}(m_{\tilde{\chi}_1^0}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_2^0}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_3^0}, m_{\tilde{\chi}_4^0})$$
(15)

[Haber,hep-ph/9306207]

Neutralinos mass eigenstates

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\chi}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{\chi}_{2}^{0} \\ \tilde{\chi}_{3}^{0} \\ \tilde{\chi}_{4}^{0} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \eta_{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \eta_{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \eta_{4} \end{pmatrix} (\Theta_{N}) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{B}^{0} \\ \tilde{W}^{0} \\ \tilde{H}_{1}^{0} \\ \tilde{H}_{2}^{0} \end{pmatrix}$$

where we use η_i in order to change the phase for when negative eigenvalue.

Supersymmetry experimental searches for neutralinos.



Supersymmetry experimental searches for neutralinos.





thank you!