# The performance of a beam-beam monitoring detector (BeBe) for the MPD-NICA experiment

<u>Marco Alberto Ayala Torres</u>, Lucina Gabriela Espinoza Beltrán, Luis Manuel Montaño Zetina, Eduardo Moreno Barbosa, Lucio Rebolledo, Mario Rodríguez Cahuantzi, Cristian Heber Zepeda Fernández, et al.

> September 09, 2022 Based on arxiv: <u>2110.02506</u>

XXXVI Reunión Anual de la División de Partículas y Campos



ayalatorresm@gmail.com

#### Outline

- 1.Multi-Purpose Detector (MPD) and the Beam-Beam monitoring detector (BeBe)
- 2.BeBe cell prototypes
- **3.**BeBe trigger efficiency, centrality and event plane resolution
- 4. Conclusions and prospects

#### 1 MPD experiment and BeBe

#### Nuclotron-based Ion Collider fAcility (NICA) at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research (JINR)



٠

#### Multi-Purpose Detector (MPD)



http://mpd.jinr.ru/wp-content/uploads/2016/04/MPD\_CDR\_en.pdf

#### Multi-Purpose Detector (MPD)

The original proposal contemplated that the FFD, ZDC and BBC systems would provide the L0-trigger for MPD.



#### Beam-Beam monitoring detector (Be-Be)

2 hodoscope detectors, 2m away from the I.P.



#### 2 BeBe cell prototypes

# Intrinsic time resolution (ITR)

We considered three different cell sizes with **1 GeV muons** striking the BeBe cell.

• Scintillator-environment surface was simulated 95% reflective.

• Scintillator-**photosensor** surface: It was simulated with **100% absorption**, in order to avoid double counting of optical photons arriving at the photosensor Event by event we plotted the optical photon arrival time (OPAT) to the EA. Fitting the OPAT distributions with a Landau function, we estimated numerically the mean value. The gaussian distribution constructed with all the MPV extracted from the Landau fits to the OPAT distributions, we estimated the intrinsic time resolution (ITR).



### Intrinsic time resolution (ITR)

The ITR is not constant and it depends on the hit location of the generated particle into the BeBe cell and the location of the SiPM.





### Time resolution measurements



The time resolution can be affected by different factors:

- Plastic Scintillator: Atenuation length, decay constant and rise time
- **Photosensors**: Geometry and photodetection efficiency
- Electronics: Noise level and signal processing



#### BeBe cell prototypes



Two prototypes of the were studied using secondary particles of cosmic rays as a radiation source. The time resolution of each prototype depends on the volume (P2 is bigger than P1) due to internal light losses due to multiple reflections and the reduced amount of light arriving in every photodetector.



The photosensors were coupled to the cells in 4 different ways:



#### Setup experimental



Marco A. Ayala-Torres Performance of BeBe for the MPD



The **photodetection efficiency** (PDE) of the SiPM **increases** as a function of the **overvoltage**. We considered two O.V. values for each SiPM and we observed that by increasing the overvoltage the **time resolution reaches lower values**.



Marco A. Ayala-Torres Performance of BeBe for the MPD

## Intrinsic time resolution

Muons were considered as incident particles to the scintillators' frontal surface area and following the energy spectrum distribution of the cosmic rays on Earth's surface<sup>1</sup>

Comparing the laboratory results against the simulation we can observe an evident difference due to particular features not taken into account in the simulation.

The ITR is independent of the electronics and data acquisition system and it only depends on the geometry of the scintillator, number of photosensors, specie and energy of incident particles.



<sup>1</sup>W. Adam et al., "Performance studies of the CMS Strip Tracker before installation," JINST, vol. 4, p. P06009, 2009.

#### 4 BeBe trigger efficiency, centrality and event plane resolution

### Fast Forward Detector (FFD)



TDR FFD (2019): http://mpd.jinr.ru/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/FFD-TDR-Aug-2019.pdf The main requirements for the FFD are:

- Fast and <u>effective triggering</u> <u>events of Au + Au</u> collisions in the center of the MPD setup.
- The detector must be able to see each beam crossing (the dead time must be less of 75 ns).
- Generation of the <u>start pulse t0</u> <u>for the TOF detector with time</u> <u>resolution  $\sigma_{t0}$  < 50ps</u> (it corresponds to time-of-flight resolution of <100 ps).
- The uncertainty of determination of z-position for Au+Au collision is <2 cm.</li>

# BeBe: Trigger capabilities



#### Trigger flags

- **BBR/L:** if the *Z* coordinate of the BeBe hit is positive/negative and the time of flight of the first BeBe hit is within the time window defined by  $\Delta \tau$ .
- **BBR AND BBL:** logical AND of the coincidence of BBR and BBL.
- **BBR OR BBL:** logical OR of BBR and BBL.



# Trigger efficiencies of BeBe

For **p+p** collisions the trigger efficiency given either by BBR or BBL is of the order of **58% if a vertex smearing** is assumed (with) in the simulation. Our results suggest that both trigger efficiencies increase up to **73% when no smearing** on the vertex simulation is considered.

The **BBR OR BBL** trigger efficiencie is **95.6% for p+p collisions at √***s***=9GeV.** 

The estimation of the trigger efficiencies of BeBe detector seems to be independent of the Monte Carlo generator used. Impact parameter b∈ 0-15.9 fm. UrQMD, Simulated detectors: Mbb, FFD, and BeBe:

p+p @ √s 9GeV y 11GeV

Bi+Bi @ √sNN=9GeV

Au+Au @  $\sqrt{sNN=11GeV}$ 

Process	BBR	BBL	BBRandBBL	BBRorBBL	Vertex smearing
p+p, 9 GeV	58.063%	57.86%	20.26%	95.66%	Yes
p+p, 9 GeV	72.85%	72.79%	50.12%	95.52%	No
p+p, 11 GeV	59.84%	59.87%	23.41%	95.52%	Yes
p+p, 11 GeV	74.31%	74.42%	52.7%	96.03%	No
Bi+Bi, 9 GeV	94.07%	94.07%	89.88%	98.26%	Yes
Bi+Bi, 9 GeV	100%	100%	100%	100%	No
Au+Au, 11 GeV	100%	100%	100%	100%	Yes
Au+Au, 11 GeV	100%	100%	100%	100%	No

LAQGSM, Simulated detectors Mbb, BeBe, FHCAl, and FFD: Au+Au @ √sNN=11.5GeV

Process	BBR	BBL	BBRandBBL	BBRorBBL
AuAu@11.5GeV	97.7%	97.6%	95.4%	99.9%

# Zero degree calorimeter (ZDC) or FHCal





Detector for <u>event centrality and reaction plane</u> measurements with <u>potential for event triggering</u>  $2 < |\eta| < 5$ .

The FHCal must have both appropriate **energy resolution** and modular structure with high enough **transverse granularity** to measure the **event-byevent centroid of the spectator distribution**. The main requirements to the FHCal performance are:

- Spectators detection in the energy range 1-6
   GeV.
- Operation at the trigger rates up to 6 kHz.
- **Reaction plane determination** using particles produced at forward rapidity with accuracy close.
- Collision centrality determination using particles produced at forward rapidity with impact parameter resolution between 5-10% for (mid-)central collisions.

#### TDR FHCal (2018):

http://mpd.jinr.ru/wp-content/uploads/2018/05/MPD\_TDR\_FHCal\_28\_05\_2018.pdf

#### Centrality and Event plane resolution of BeBe



# Centrality resolution of BeBe



The largest hit multiplicity is found in the two most inner BeBe rings. With the proposed geometry for BeBe detector, we observe that it is **not a good option** to employ all the five rings of BeBe detector, **UrQMD prediction**. This behavior is in **contrast** with the prediction given by **LAQGSM model where the BeBe hits distribution exhibits a nice curve that can be adjusted by a Glauber-like function**. For **UrQMD**, this situation improves if we only take into account the hit multiplicity of the three outer rings of BeBe.

# Centrality resolution of BeBe

(mj)q

To compute the centrality resolution we computed the difference between the centrality given by the number of hits in the BeBe detector (*cent BeBe* ) with respect to the generated centrality (*centMC*):

 $cent = cent_{MC} - cent_{BeBe}$ The width of a Gaussian fit of *cent* distribution will give us the centrality resolution with respect to the centrality of the collision.

The centrality determination given by BeBe detector **is fully complementary** to the one that can be reached with the **FHCAL** especially for central collisions where the FHCAL detector may lose resolution.



# Conclusions and prospects

- The proposed BeBe detector is two plastic scintillator array stations located at  $\pm 2$  meters from the MPD interaction point. The proposed geometry of BeBe detector is a plastic scintillator disk segmented in 80 cells per hodoscope. For BeBe purposes, we wanted to develop this study in order to give a good trigger signal for the whole detector system (MPD). As a first approach, the time resolution of an individual BeBe cell ranges from 0.47 and 1.39 ns depending on the number of photosensors attached to the cell.
- The simulated geometry for BeBe detector proposal shows a good performance in triggering, event plane, and centrality determination. Our results suggest that at NICA energies the BeBe detector can be used for NICA beam monitoring in p+p and heavy-ion collisions with excellent trigger efficiencies for both systems (at least 95% using the trigger condition BBR OR BBL.). The maximum event plane resolution of BeBe is 43% for an impact parameter range between 6 and 11 fm. For centrality determination, BeBe is a complementary detector to the FHCAL for central collisions. The BeBe detector could provide valuable information in heavy-ion collisions at NICA energies with the MPD.

#### Conception of the BeBe readout electronics

The development of the proposed data acquisition system for BeBe detector is a work in progress to be reported elsewhere. We hope this study can serve as a reference for future scopes of it.



Thank you!

#### Supprot from CONACyT grants A1-S-13525 and A1-S-23238 is acknoledged

#### Event plane resolution of BeBe

Determination of the **reaction plane** for flow studies provides physics insight into the **early stages of the reaction.** 

The information provided by **BeBe** can be used to **study the anisotropic flow of particles produced in heavy-ion collisions** which is typically quantified by the coefficients in the Fourier decomposition of **the azimuthal angular particle distribution.**  $\Psi n$  is the reaction plane angle corresponding to the *n*th-order harmonic.

Profiting from the high granularity of the BeBe, we can resolve the event plane angle.

$$\Psi_n^{BB} = \frac{1}{n} \tan^{-1} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m w_i \sin(n\varphi_i) / \sum_{i=1}^m w_i \cos(n\varphi_i) \right]$$

wi is the multiplicity measured in the *i*-th cell, *m* is the total number of BeBe cells and  $\varphi i$  is the *i*th-cell's azimuthal angle measured from the center of the hodoscope to the cell centroid.

10<sup>6</sup> Bi+Bi collision events at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ =9 GeV The **multiplicity per cell**, *wi*, was estimated at hit-level and the **event plane resolution with the BeBe** detector for *n* = 1 as:

$$\left\langle \cos\left(n \times (\Psi_n^{BB} - \Psi_n^{MC})\right) \right\rangle$$
,



#### Nuclotron-based Ion Collider fAcility (NICA) at Joint Institute for Nuclear Research (JINR)



NICA: Study of the QCD medium at extreme baryon densities





### Baryonic Matter at Nuclotron (BM@N)



Barrel Detector (BD)
40 plastic scintillator strips (BD418)

 $150 \times 7 \times 7 \text{ mm}^3$ 

SiPM: SensL Micro
FC-60035-SMT (active area 6 × 6 mm2)



#### Inner systems



-125 < z < 125 cm

Barrel detector for MPD 16 strips with 20 plastic scintillators (BC400) Requirement  $\sigma_t$ < 30 ps ( $\sigma_z$ ~1cm)





Measured  $\sigma_t$  is one order of magnitud higher

# Beam-Beam monitoring detector (Be-Be)





#### 2 Hodoscopes 80 cells (BC404, 22.5°) distributed in 5 rings



MAAT, L. Espinoza et al, "Performance of BeBe, a proposed dedicated beam-beam monitoring detector for the MPD-NICA experiment at JINR" [arXiv-2110.02506]