Craig D. Roberts cdroberts@anl.gov

Hadron Physics and

Continuum Strong QCD

Physics Division & & Argonne National Laboratory

School of Physics

Peking University

http://www.phy.anl.gov/theory/staff/cdr.htmlm Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... 46 – p. 1/46



First

Contents

Back

Conclusion



Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 2/46





XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... 46 - p. 2/46





XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... 46 - p. 2/46









First

Back

Contents

Conclusion

≶0,01 m DORIS IIIMASYLAB Crystal 1/10.000.000 10⁻⁹m Molecule Synchrotron rediation 1/10 10⁻¹⁰m Atom 1/10.000 10⁻¹⁴m Atomic nucleus 1/10 HERA 10⁻¹⁵m Proton Particle physics 1/1.000 <10⁻¹⁸m Electron. Quark

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 2/46



XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... 46 - p. 2/46

Meta-Physics Scale = Limited only by Theorists Imagination







First

Contents Back

Conclusion



Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 2/46



Back

Contents

First

Conclusion

Fermions – two static properties:

proton electric charge = +1; and magnetic moment, μ_p



First

Back

Conclusion

Contents

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 3/46

- Fermions two static properties:
 proton electric charge = +1; and magnetic moment, μ_p
- Magnetic Moment discovered by Otto Stern and collaborators in 1933; Awarded Nobel Prize in 1943
 - Dirac (1928) pointlike fermion: $\mu_p = rac{e\hbar}{2M}$





First

Contents

Back

Conclusion

- Fermions two static properties:
 proton electric charge = +1; and magnetic moment, μ_p
- Magnetic Moment discovered by Otto Stern and collaborators in 1933; Awarded Nobel Prize in 1943
 - Dirac (1928) pointlike fermion: $\mu_p = rac{e\hbar}{2M}$

Stern (1933) –
$$\mu_p = (1+1.79) rac{e\hbar}{2M}$$

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

Office of Science

Office of Nuclear Physi.

8 Nuclear Matter - Quarks to

Argonne.

Contents

Back

Conclusion

UChicago 🕨

First

- Fermions two static properties:
 proton electric charge = +1; and magnetic moment, μ_p
- Magnetic Moment discovered by Otto Stern and collaborators in 1933; Awarded Nobel Prize in 1943
 - Dirac (1928) pointlike fermion: $\mu_p = rac{e\hbar}{2M}$

Stern (1933) –
$$\mu_p = (1+1.79) rac{e\hbar}{2M}$$

- Big Hint that Proton is not a point particle
- Proton has constituents

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

Office of Science

Office of Nuclear Physi.

8 Nuclear Matter - Quarks to

Argonne.

Back

UChicago 🕨

- These are Quarks and Gluons
 - Quark discovery via $e^- p$ -scattering at SLAC in 1968
 - the elementary quanta of Quantum Chromo-dynamics

XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 3/46

QCD

Action, in terms of local Lagrangian density:

$$S[A^{a}_{\mu},\bar{q},q] = \int d^{4}x \left\{ \frac{1}{4} F^{a}_{\mu\nu}(x) F^{a}_{\mu\nu}(x) + \frac{1}{2\xi} \partial_{\mu}A^{a}_{\mu}(x) \partial_{\nu}A^{a}_{\nu}(x) + \bar{q}(x)[\gamma_{\mu}D_{\mu} + M]q(x) \right\}$$
(1)

Chromomagnetic Field Strength Tensor – $\partial_{\mu}A^{a}_{\nu}(x) - \partial_{\nu}A^{a}_{\mu}(x) + gf^{abc}A^{b}_{\mu}(x)A^{c}_{\nu}(x)$ Covariant Derivative – $D_{\mu} = \partial_{\mu} - ig\frac{\lambda^{a}}{2}A^{a}_{\mu}(x)$ Current-quark Mass matrix: $\begin{pmatrix} m_{u} & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & m_{d} & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & m_{s} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \end{pmatrix}$



UChicago 🕨

First

Argon



Argonne.

Contents

Back

- Understanding JLab Observables means knowing all that this Action predicts.
- Perturbation Theory (asymptotic freedom) is not enough!
 - Bound states are not perturbative
 - Confinement is not perturbative
 - DCSB is not perturbative

Euclidean Metric

- Almost all nonperturbative studies in relativistic quantum field theory employ a Euclidean Metric. (NB. Remember the Wick Rotation?)
- It is possible to view the Euclidean formulation of a quantum field theory as definitive; e.g.,
 - Symanzik, K. (1963) in Local Quantum Theory (Academic, New York) edited by R. Jost.
 - Streater, R.F. and Wightman, A.S. (1980), PCT, Spin and Statistics, and All That (Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass, 3rd edition).
 - Glimm, J. and Jaffee, A. (1981), Quantum Physics. A Functional Point of View (Springer-Verlag, New York).
 - Seiler, E. (1982), Gauge Theories as a Problem of Constructive Quantum Theory and Statistical Mechanics (Springer-Verlag, New York).
 - That decision is crucial when a consideration of nonperturbative effects becomes important. In addition, the discrete lattice formulation in Euclidean space has allowed some progress to be made in attempting to answer existence questions for interacting gauge field theories.
 - A lattice formulation is impossible in Minkowski space the integrand is not non-negative and hence does not provide a probability measure.

Contents

Back



First

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

Office of Nuclear Physic

8 Nuclear Matter - Quarks to

Office of Science

Euclidean Metric:

Transcription Formulae

- To make clear our conventions: for 4-vectors a, b: $a \cdot b := a_{\mu} b_{\nu} \delta_{\mu\nu} := \sum_{i=1}^{4} a_{i} b_{i}$, Hence, a spacelike vector, Q_{μ} , has $Q^{2} > 0$.
- Dirac matrices:
 - Hermitian and defined by the algebra $\{\gamma_{\mu}, \gamma_{\nu}\} = 2 \,\delta_{\mu\nu};$
 - we use $\gamma_5 := -\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3 \gamma_4$, so that $\operatorname{tr} [\gamma_5 \gamma_\mu \gamma_\nu \gamma_\rho \gamma_\sigma] = -4 \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}, \ \varepsilon_{1234} = 1.$
 - The Dirac-like representation of these matrices is:

$$\vec{\gamma} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i\vec{\tau} \\ i\vec{\tau} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \gamma_4 = \begin{pmatrix} \tau^0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\tau^0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2}$$

where the 2×2 Pauli matrices are:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tau^{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tau^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tau^{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$
(3)

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... 46 – p. 6/46



First

Contents Back 0

ck Conclusion

Euclidean Metric: Transcription Formulae

It is possible to derive every equation introduced above assuming certain analytic properties of the integrands. However, the derivations can be sidestepped using the following transcription rules:

Configuration Space

- 1. $\int^{M} d^{4}x^{M} \rightarrow -i \int^{E} d^{4}x^{E}$

 2. $\partial \rightarrow i \gamma^{E} \cdot \partial^{E}$

 3. $A \rightarrow -i \gamma^{E} \cdot A^{E}$

 4. $A_{\mu}B^{\mu} \rightarrow -A^{E} \cdot B^{E}$
- 5. $x^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} \rightarrow x^{E} \cdot \partial^{E}$

U.S. DEPARTMENT

Office of Nuclear Physi.

8 Nuclear Matter - Quarks to

Argonne.

Contents

Back

Conclusion

UChicago 🕨

ERCY

Office of Science

Momentum Space

1.	$\int^{M} d^{4}k^{M} \rightarrow i \int^{E} d^{4}k^{E}$
2.	$k ightarrow -i \gamma^E \cdot k^E$
3.	$A \rightarrow -i\gamma^E \cdot A^E$
4.	$k_{\mu}q^{\mu} \rightarrow -k^E \cdot q^E$
5.	$k_{\mu}x^{\mu} \rightarrow -k^E \cdot x^E$

These rules are valid in perturbation theory; i.e., the correct Minkowski space integral for a given diagram will be obtained by applying these rules to the Euclidean integral: they take account of the change of variables and rotation of the contour. However, for diagrams that represent DSEs which involve dressed *n*-point functions, whose analytic structure is not known *a priori*, the Minkowski space equation obtained using this prescription will have the right appearance but it's solutions may bear no relation to the analytic continuation of the solution of the Euclidean equation. Any such differences will be nonperturbative in origin.





First

Contents Back Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 8/46

Gauge Theory: Interactions Mediated by massless vector bosons

Feynman Diagram of Quark—Quark Seattering



Conclusion

Back



Argonne,

UChicago 🕨

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 8/46

Gauge Theory: Interactions Mediated by massless vector bosons

Feynman Diagram of Quark—Quark Seattering

mm

Conclusion

q

Back

Office of Science

ng Nuclear Matter - Quarks to

Argonne,

Contents

UChicago 🕨

First

Argon

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF



Gauge Theory: Interactions Mediated by massless vector bosons

Feynman Diagram of Quark—Quark Seattering q 888888888 U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY Office of Science Office of Nuclear Physics Similar interaction in QED 8 Nuclear Matter - Quarks to UChicago 🕨 Argonne. **Completely** Change the Character of the Theory

(a)(b)

Gluon interactions





First

Back

Contents

Special Feature of QCD – gluon self-interactions

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... 46 - p. 8/46





Contents Back C

Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 9/46



Add three-gluon interaction









Figure 9.2: Summary of the values of $\alpha_s(\mu)$ at the values of μ where they are measured. The lines show the central values and the $\pm 1\sigma$ limits of our average. The figure clearly shows the decrease in $\alpha_s(\mu)$ with increasing μ . The data are, in increasing order of μ , τ width, Υ decays, deep inelastic scattering, e^+e^- event shapes at 22 GeV from the JADE data, shapes at TRISTAN at 58 GeV, Z width, and e^+e^- event shapes at 135 and 189 GeV.

 12π

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD $\ln (Q^2/\Lambda^2)$ XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... 46 – p. 9/46







First

Contents Back Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 10/46



XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... 46 - p. 10/46



XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... 46 – p. 10/46



XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... 46 - p. 10/46





Simple Picture





First

Contents Back Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 11/46

Simple Picture









First

Contents

Conclusion

Simple Picture









First

Contents

Back

Conclusion

Study Structure via Nucleon Form Factors





First

Contents Back Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 12/46
Electron's relativistic electromagnetic current:

$$j_{\mu}(P',P) = ie \,\bar{u}_e(P') \Lambda_{\mu}(Q,P) \,u_e(P), \ Q = P' - P$$
$$= ie \,\bar{u}_e(P') \,\gamma_{\mu}(-1) \,u_e(P)$$







First

Contents Back

Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 12/46

Electron's relativistic electromagnetic current:

$$j_{\mu}(P',P) = ie \,\overline{u}_e(P') \Lambda_{\mu}(Q,P) \, u_e(P), \ Q = P' - P$$
$$= ie \,\overline{u}_e(P') \, \gamma_{\mu}(-1) \, u_e(P)$$

Nucleon's relativistic electromagnetic current:



First

Back

Contents



Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 12/46

Electron's relativistic electromagnetic current:

$$j_{\mu}(P',P) = ie \,\bar{u}_e(P') \Lambda_{\mu}(Q,P) \,u_e(P), \ Q = P' - P$$
$$= ie \,\bar{u}_e(P') \,\gamma_{\mu}(-1) \,u_e(P)$$

Nucleon's relativistic electromagnetic current:

$$J_{\mu}(P',P) = ie \,\bar{u}_p(P') \Lambda_{\mu}(Q,P) \,u_p(P) \,, \ Q = P' - P$$

= $ie \,\bar{u}_p(P') \left(\gamma_{\mu}F_1(Q^2) + \frac{1}{2M} \,\sigma_{\mu\nu} \,Q_{\nu} \,F_2(Q^2)\right) u_p(P)$

 $G_E(Q^2) = F_1(Q^2) - \frac{Q^2}{4M^2} F_2(Q^2), \ G_M(Q^2) = F_1(Q^2) + F_2(Q^2).$

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 12/46





Back

Conclusion

Contents

Argo

Electron's relativistic electromagnetic current:

$$j_{\mu}(P',P) = ie \,\bar{u}_e(P') \Lambda_{\mu}(Q,P) \,u_e(P), \ Q = P' - P$$
$$= ie \,\bar{u}_e(P') \,\gamma_{\mu}(-1) \,u_e(P)$$

Nucleon's relativistic electromagnetic current:

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

Office of Science

Office of Nuclear Physi

8 Nuclear Matter - Quarks

UChicago 🕨

Argor

Argonne.

Contents

Back

Conclusion

$$J_{\mu}(P',P) = ie \,\bar{u}_{p}(P') \,\Lambda_{\mu}(Q,P) \,u_{p}(P) \,, \ Q = P' - P$$
$$= ie \,\bar{u}_{p}(P') \,\left(\gamma_{\mu}F_{1}(Q^{2}) + \frac{1}{2M} \,\sigma_{\mu\nu} \,Q_{\nu} \,F_{2}(Q^{2})\right) u_{p}(P)$$

 $G_E(Q^2) = F_1(Q^2) - \frac{Q^2}{4M^2} F_2(Q^2), \ G_M(Q^2) = F_1(Q^2) + F_2(Q^2).$ Point-particle: $F_2 \equiv \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow G_E \equiv G_M$

A central goal of nuclear physics is to understand the structure and properties of protons and neutrons, and ultimately atomic nuclei, in terms of the quarks and gluons of QCD



First

Back

Contents

0.00000000016 cm

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 13/46

A central goal of nuclear physics is to understand the structure and properties of protons and neutrons, and ultimately atomic nuclei, in terms of the quarks and gluons of QCD

So, what's the problem?





First

Contents Back C

Conclusion



Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 13/46

A central goal of nuclear physics is to understand the structure and properties of protons and neutrons, and ultimately atomic nuclei, in terms of the quarks and gluons of QCD

So, what's the problem?

Confinement

Back

Conclusion

Contents

- No quark ever seen in isolation







A central goal of nuclear physics is to understand the structure and properties of protons and neutrons, and ultimately atomic nuclei, in terms of the quarks and gluons of QCD

So, what's the problem?

- Confinement
 - No quark ever seen in isolation



Nuclear Matter - Quarks

Argonne.

UChicago 🕨

Weightlessness

Conclusion

Back

- 2004 Nobel Prize in Physics: Mass of u - & d-quarks, each just 5 MeV;

- Proton Mass is 940 MeV
- \Rightarrow No Explanation Apparen

0.00000000000016

Meson Spectrum

		LIGHT UNFLAVORED $(S = C \neq B = 0)$			STRANGE ($S = \pm 1, C = B = 0$)		
		$I^G(J^{PC})$		$l^{G}(J^{PC})$	17 - D	I(J ^P)	
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY Office of Science Office of Nuclear Physics	• π^{\pm} • π^{0} 140 MeV • η • $f_{0}(600)$ • $\rho(770)$ 770 • $\omega(782)$ • $\eta'(958)$ • $f_{0}(980)$ • $\delta_{0}(980)$ • $\delta_{1}(980)$ • $\delta_{1}(1235)$ • $\delta_{1}(1260)$ • $f_{2}(1270)$ • $f_{2}(1270)$ • $f_{1}(1285)$	$\begin{array}{c} 1^{0}(J^{PC}) \\ 1^{-}(0^{-}) \\ 1^{-}(0^{-}) \\ 0^{+}(0^{-}+) \\ 0^{+}(0^{+}+) \\ 1^{+}(1^{-}-) \\ 0^{-}(1^{-}-) \\ 0^{+}(0^{+}+) \\ 1^{-}(0^{+}+) \\ 1^{-}(0^{+}+) \\ 0^{-}(1^{-}-) \\ 0^{-}(1^{+}-) \\ 1^{+}(1^{+}-) \\ 1^{+}(1^{+}+) \\ 0^{+}(2^{+}+) \\ 0^{+}(1^{+}+) \end{array}$	• $\pi_2(1670)$ • $\phi(1680)$ • $\rho_3(1690)$ • $\rho(1700)$ $a_2(1700)$ • $f_0(1710)$ $\eta(1760)$ • $\pi(1800)$ $f_2(1810)$ X(1835) • $\phi_3(1850)$ $\eta_2(1870)$ $\rho(1900)$ $f_2(1910)$ • $f_2(1910)$	$P^{0}(J^{*C})$ $1^{-}(2^{-}+)$ $0^{-}(1^{-}-)$ $1^{+}(3^{-}-)$ $1^{+}(1^{-}-)$ $1^{-}(2^{+}+)$ $0^{+}(0^{+}+)$ $0^{+}(0^{-}+)$ $1^{-}(0^{-}+)$ $0^{+}(2^{+}+)$ $2^{?}(2^{-}+)$ $0^{+}(2^{-}+)$ $0^{+}(2^{-}+)$ $1^{+}(1^{-}-)$ $0^{+}(2^{+}+)$ $0^{+}(2^{+}+)$ $0^{+}(2^{+}+)$ $0^{+}(2^{+}+)$	• K^{\pm} • K_{5}^{0} • K_{L}^{0} • K_{L}^{0} • $K_{1}^{*}(800)$ • $K_{1}(1270)$ • $K_{1}(1400)$ • $K_{1}(1400)$ • $K_{2}^{*}(1430)$ • $K_{2}^{*}(1430)$ • $K_{2}(1580)$ • $K(1630)$	$\frac{l(J'')}{1/2(0^{-})}$ $\frac{1/2(0^{-})}{1/2(0^{-})}$ $\frac{1/2(0^{-})}{1/2(0^{+})}$ $\frac{1/2(1^{+})}{1/2(1^{+})}$ $\frac{1/2(1^{+})}{1/2(1^{+})}$ $\frac{1/2(2^{+})}{1/2(2^{+})}$ $\frac{1/2(2^{-})}{1/2(2^{-})}$ $\frac{1/2(2^{-})}{1/2(2^{-})}$	
Argonne _{uc}	 η(1295) π(1300) 	$0^+(0^-+))$ $1^-(0^-+)$	ρ ₃ (1990) • f ₂ (2010)	$1^+(3^-))$ $0^+(2^+)$	 K*(1680) K₂(1770) 	$\frac{1/2(1^{-})}{1/2(1^{-})}$ $\frac{1}{2(2^{-})}$	

Argonne First

Contents

Back Conclusion Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD

XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... 46 - p. 14/46





Contents Back Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 15/46

proton = three constituent quarks











First

Contents Back

Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 15/46

- proton = three constituent quarks
- $\, \bullet \, M_{\rm proton} \approx 1 \, {\rm GeV}$





First

Contents Back

Conclusion

proton = three constituent quarks

•
$$M_{
m proton} pprox 1\,{
m GeV}$$

• guess
$$M_{
m constituent-quark}pprox {1\,{
m GeV}\over 3}pprox 350\,{
m MeV}$$





- proton = three constituent quarks

• guess $M_{
m constituent-quark}pprox {1\,{
m GeV}\over 3}pprox 350\,{
m MeV}$

pion =

constituent quark + constituent antiquark



color

anticolo

pion



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF





First



- proton = three constituent quarks
- $M_{
 m proton} pprox 1 \, {
 m GeV}$

• guess $M_{
m constituent-quark} pprox rac{1\,{
m GeV}}{3} pprox 350\,{
m MeV}$

pion = constituent quark + constituent antiquark

$$ho$$
 guess $M_{
m pion}pprox 2 imes rac{M_{
m proton}}{3}pprox 700\,{
m MeV}$

Office of Nuclear Physics

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF



First

Contents

Back

Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 15/46



- proton = three constituent quarks
- $M_{\rm proton} \approx 1 \, {
 m GeV}$

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

Office of Science

Office of Nuclear Physics

"8 Nuclear Matter - Quarks to

Argonne.

Contents

Back

Conclusion

UChicago 🕨

First

Argor

- guess $M_{
 m constituent-quark} pprox rac{1\,{
 m GeV}}{3} pprox 350\,{
 m MeV}$
 - pion = constituent quark + constituent antiquark
- ${\scriptstyle
 m {\scriptstyle I}}$ guess $M_{
 m pion}pprox 2 imes rac{M_{
 m proton}}{3}pprox 700\,{
 m MeV}$



- proton = three constituent quarks
- $M_{\rm proton} \approx 1 \, {
 m GeV}$

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

Office of Science

Office of Nuclear Physica

8 Nuclear Matter - Quarks

Argonne.

Contents

Back

Conclusion

UChicago 🕨

First

Argor

- ${ { { \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} } \hspace{-.1cm} } \hspace{-.1cm} { \hspace{-.1cm} } \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} } \hspace{-.1cm} { \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} } \hspace{-.1cm} { \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} } \hspace{-.1cm} { \hspace{-.1cm} } \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} } \hspace{-.1cm} { \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} } \hspace{-.1cm} { \hspace{-.1cm} } \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} } \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} } \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} { \hspace{-.1cm} } \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} } \hspace{-.1cm} { \hspace{-.1cm} } \hspace{-.1cm} } \hspace{-.1cm} } \hspace{-.1cm} } \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} } \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-.1cm} } \hspace{-.1cm} } \hspace{-.1cm} } \hspace{-.1cm} \hspace{-1cm} \hspace$
 - pion = constituent quark + constituent antiquark
- guess $M_{
 m pion}pprox 2 imes rac{M_{
 m proton}}{3}pprox 700\,{
 m MeV}$
- Another meson: $\dots \quad M_{\rho} = 770 \, \text{MeV} \, \dots \, \text{No Surprises Here}$



- proton = three constituent quarks
- $M_{\rm proton} pprox 1 \, {
 m GeV}$
- guess $M_{
 m constituent-quark} pprox rac{1\,{
 m GeV}}{3} pprox 350\,{
 m MeV}$
 - pion = constituent quark + constituent antiquark
- guess $M_{
 m pion}pprox 2 imes rac{M_{
 m proton}}{3}pprox 700\,{
 m MeV}$
- Office of Science

8 Nuclear Matter - Quarks

Argonne.

Contents

Back

Conclusion

UChicago 🕨

First

Argor

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

WRONG $M_{pion} = 140 \, {
m MeV}$ What is "wrong" with the pion?

Closer look at Spectrum



•
$$\frac{m_{\rho}^2}{m_{\pi}^2} = 30$$
 • $\frac{m_{a_1}^2}{m_{\sigma}^2} = 4.4$



Back

Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 16/46

Closer look at Spectrum

Features of the Spectrum:

•
$$\frac{m_{\rho}^2}{m_{\pi}^2} = 30$$
 • $\frac{m_{a_1}^2}{m_{\sigma}^2} = 4.4$
• $\frac{m_{\pi'}^2}{m_{\pi}^2} = 86$ • $\frac{m_{\rho'}^2}{m_{\rho}^2} = 3.5$

? Hyperfine Splitting

? Excitation Energy







First

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 16/46

Closer look at Spectrum

Features of the Spectrum:

$$\frac{m_{\rho}^2}{m_{\pi}^2} = 30 \qquad \bullet \frac{m_{a_1}^2}{m_{\sigma}^2} = 4.4$$
$$\frac{m_{\pi'}^2}{m_{\pi}^2} = 86 \qquad \bullet \frac{m_{\rho'}^2}{m_{\rho}^2} = 3.5$$
$$\frac{m_N}{m_{\pi}} \approx 7 \qquad \bullet \frac{m_N}{m_{\rho}} = \frac{5}{4} \approx \frac{3}{2}$$

? Hyperfine Splitting

? Excitation Energy

? Quark Counting

Office of Science Office of Nuclear Physics

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

UChicago ► Argonne_{uc}



First

Back

Contents

Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 16/46

- Goldstone Mode and Bound state





First

Contents Back Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 17/46

- Goldstone Mode and Bound state

How does one make an almost massless particle from two massive constituent-quarks?







First

Contents Back

9

Conclusio

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 17/46

- Goldstone Mode and Bound state

- How does one make an almost massless particle from two massive constituent-quarks?
- Not Allowed to do it by fine-tuning a potential

Must exhibit $m_\pi^2 \propto m_q$

Current Algebra ... 1968







First Contents Back Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 17/46

- Goldstone Mode and Bound state

- How does one make an almost massless particle from two massive constituent-quarks?
- Not Allowed to do it by fine-tuning a potential
 Must exhibit $m_{\pi}^2 \propto m_q$

Current Algebra ... 1968

The correct understanding of pion observables; e.g. mass, decay constant and form factors, requires an approach to contain a

Office of Nuclear Physics

UChicago 🕨

Argonne.

Contents

Back

Conclusion

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

ERGY

- well-defined and valid chiral limit;
- and an accurate realisation of dynamical chiral symmetry breaking.

- Goldstone Mode and Bound state

- How does one make an almost massless particle from two massive constituent-quarks?
- Not Allowed to do it by fine-tuning a potential
 Must exhibit $m_{\pi}^2 \propto m_q$

Current Algebra ... 1968

Highly Nontrivial

Conclusion

The correct understanding of pion observables; e.g. mass, decay constant and form factors, requires an approach to contain a

Office of Nuclear Physics

Nuclear Matter - Quarks t

Argonne

Contents

Back

UChicago 🕨

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

- well-defined and valid chiral limit;
- and an accurate realisation of dynamical chiral symmetry breaking.







First Contents Back Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 18/46

- Minimal requirements
 - detailed understanding of connection between
 Current-quark and Constituent-quark masses;
 - and systematic, symmetry preserving means of realising this connection in bound-states.



First

Back

Conclusion

Contents

- Minimal requirements
 - detailed understanding of connection between
 Current-quark and Constituent-quark masses;
 - and systematic, symmetry preserving means of realising this connection in bound-states.
- Means ... must calculate hadron wave functions
 Can't be done using perturbation theory





Contents

Back

Conclusion

First

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 18/46

Minimal requirements

U.S. DEPARTMENT O

Office of Nuclear Physi

8 Nuclear Matter - Quarks t

Argonne.

Contents

Back

Conclusion

UChicago 🕨

ERCY

Office of Science

- detailed understanding of connection between
 Current-quark and Constituent-quark masses;
- and systematic, symmetry preserving means of realising this connection in bound-states.
- Means ... must calculate hadron wave functions
 Can't be done using perturbation theory
 - Why problematic? Isn't same true in quantum mechanics?

- Minimal requirements
 - detailed understanding of connection between
 Current-quark and Constituent-quark masses;
 - and systematic, symmetry preserving means of realising this connection in bound-states.
- Means ... must calculate hadron wave functions
 Can't be done using perturbation theory
 - Why problematic? Isn't same true in quantum mechanics?
- Differences!

Conclusion

U.S. DEPARTMENT O

Office of Nuclear Physic

8 Nuclear Matter - Quarks to

Argonne.

Contents

Back

UChicago 🕨

ERGY

Office of Science

What's the Problem? Relativistic QFT!

- Minimal requirements
 - detailed understanding of connection between
 Current-quark and Constituent-quark masses;
 - and systematic, symmetry preserving means of realising this connection in bound-states.
- Differences!



UChicago 🕨

Argonne.

Contents

Back

Conclusion

 Here relativistic effects are crucial – virtual particles, quintessence of Relativistic Quantum Field Theory – must be included

What's the Problem? Relativistic QFT!

- Minimal requirements
 - detailed understanding of connection between
 Current-quark and Constituent-quark masses;
 - and systematic, symmetry preserving means of realising this connection in bound-states.
- Differences!



8 Nuclear Matter - Quarks

Argonne.

Contents

Back

Conclusion

UChicago 🕨

- Here relativistic effects are crucial virtual particles, quintessence of Relativistic Quantum Field Theory – must be included
- Interaction between quarks the Interquark "Potential" unknown throughout > 98% of a hadron's volume

Intranucleon Interaction







First Contents Back Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 19/46

Intranucleon Interaction



Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 19/46





First

Contents Back Co

Conclusion

Intranucleon Interaction



XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... 46 - p. 19/46
What is the Intranucleon Interaction?

The question must be rigorously defined, and the answer mapped out using experiment and theory.

ERRA

Back



Office of Scie



Nuclear Matter - Qua



Contents

First

98% of the volume

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 19/46



QCD's Challenges







First

Contents Back C

Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 20/46





 No matter how hard one strikes the proton, one cannot liberate an individual quark or gluon



THANKYOU FOR NOT



First

Back

Contents





- No matter how hard one strikes the proton, one cannot liberate an individual quark or gluon
- Dynamical Chiral Symmetry Breaking
 - Very unnatural pattern of bound state masses
 - e.g., Lagrangian (pQCD) quark mass is small but ...
 no degeneracy between $J^{P=+}$ and $J^{P=-}$



First

Contents

Back

Conclusion

THANKYOU FOR NOT ENQUIRING





- No matter how hard one strikes the proton, one cannot liberate an individual quark or gluon
- Dynamical Chiral Symmetry Breaking
 - Very unnatural pattern of bound state masses
 - e.g., Lagrangian (pQCD) quark mass is small but . . .
 no degeneracy between $J^{P=+}$ and $J^{P=-}$



Argonne.

Contents

Back

Conclusion

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

THANKYOU FOR NOT ENQUIRING

> Neither of these phenomena is apparent in QCD's Lagrangian yet they are the dominant determining characteristics of real-world QCD.



Understand Emergent Phenomena

Quark and Gluon Confinement

FOR NOT ENQUIRING

U.S. DEPARTMENT O

Office of Nuclear Phys

Nuclear Matter - Quarks

Argonne.

Back

Conclusion

UChicago 🕨

Office of Science

- No matter how hard one strikes the proton, one cannot liberate an individual quark or gluon /
- Dynamical Chiral Symmetry Breaking
 - Very unnatural pattern of bound state masses
 - e.g., Lagrangian (pQCD) quark mass is small but ... no degeneracy between $J^{P=+}$ and $J^{P=-}$
- Neither of these phenomena is apparent in QCD's Lagrangian yet they are the dominant determining characteristics of real-world QCD.



Conclusion

Contents

Back

First

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... 46 - p. 21/46

Absent DCSB: $m_{\pi} = m_{\rho} \Rightarrow$ repulsive and attractive forces in nucleon-nucleon interaction both have SAME range and there is No intermediate range attraction!



First

Back

Conclusion

Contents

Absent DCSB: $m_{\pi} = m_{\rho} \Rightarrow$ repulsive and attractive forces in nucleon-nucleon interaction both have SAME range and there is No intermediate range attraction! Under these circumstances,



Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 21/46

Absent DCSB: $m_{\pi} = m_{\rho} \Rightarrow$ repulsive and attractive forces in nucleon-nucleon interaction both have SAME range and there is No intermediate range attraction! Under these circumstances,

• What is the range: $rac{1}{2\,m_q}\sim 20\,{
m fm}~{
m or}~rac{1}{2\,M_Q}\sim rac{1}{3}\,{
m fm}?$





Contents

First

Back

Absent DCSB: $m_{\pi} = m_{\rho} \Rightarrow$ repulsive and attractive forces in nucleon-nucleon interaction both have SAME range and there is No intermediate range attraction! Under these circumstances,

• What is the range: $\frac{1}{2 m_q} \sim 20 \, {\rm fm \ or} \ \frac{1}{2 M_Q} \sim \frac{1}{3} \, {\rm fm}?$





• Is ${}^{12}C$ stable?

Absent DCSB: $m_{\pi} = m_{\rho} \Rightarrow$ repulsive and attractive forces in nucleon-nucleon interaction both have SAME range and there is No intermediate range attraction! Under these circumstances,

• What is the range: $\frac{1}{2 m_q} \sim 20 \text{ fm or } \frac{1}{2 M_Q} \sim \frac{1}{3} \text{ fm}?$

Is ${}^{12}C$ stable?

Conclusion

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

Office of Science

Office of Nuclear Physi.

8 Nuclear Matter - Quarks

Argonne.

Contents

Back

UChicago 🕨

Argoi

• Probably not, if range range $\sim \frac{1}{2 M_Q}$

Absent DCSB: $m_{\pi} = m_{\rho} \Rightarrow$ repulsive and attractive forces in nucleon-nucleon interaction both have SAME range and there is No intermediate range attraction! Under these circumstances,

How does the binding energy of deuterium change?





Contents

First

Back

Absent DCSB: $m_{\pi} = m_{\rho} \Rightarrow$ repulsive and attractive forces in nucleon-nucleon interaction both have SAME range and there is No intermediate range attraction! Under these circumstances,

- How does the binding energy of deuterium change?
- How does the neutron lifetime change?



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF



Absent DCSB: $m_{\pi} = m_{\rho} \Rightarrow$ repulsive and attractive forces in nucleon-nucleon interaction both have SAME range and there is No intermediate range attraction! Under these circumstances,

- How does the binding energy of deuterium change?
- How does the neutron lifetime change?
 - How does $m_u m_d$ relate to $M_U M_D$?





First

Argonne.

Contents

Back

Absent DCSB: $m_{\pi} = m_{\rho} \Rightarrow$ repulsive and attractive forces in nucleon-nucleon interaction both have SAME range and there is No intermediate range attraction! Under these circumstances,

How does the binding energy of deuterium change?



UChicago 🕨

Argonne.

Contents

Back

- How does the neutron lifetime change?
 - How does $m_u m_d$ relate to $M_U M_D$?
 - Can one guarantee $M_n > M_p$?

Absent DCSB: $m_{\pi} = m_{\rho} \Rightarrow$ repulsive and attractive forces in nucleon-nucleon interaction both have SAME range and there is No intermediate range attraction! Under these circumstances,

How does the binding energy of deuterium change?







Contents

Back

- How does the neutron lifetime change?
 - How does $m_u m_d$ relate to $M_U M_D$?
 - Can one guarantee $M_n > M_p$?
- How do such changes affect Big Bang Nucleosynthesis?

Absent DCSB: $m_{\pi} = m_{\rho} \Rightarrow$ repulsive and attractive forces in nucleon-nucleon interaction both have SAME range and there is No intermediate range attraction! Under these circumstances,

How does the binding energy of deuterium change?





UChicago 🕨

Argonne

Contents

Back

Conclusion



• Can one guarantee $M_n > M_p$?

Is a unique long-range interaction between light-quarks responsible for all this or are there an uncountable infinity of qualitatively equivalent interactions?

Gauge Theories with Massless Fermions have

CHIRAL SYMMETRY



Contents Back Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 22/46

• Helicity $\lambda \propto J \cdot p$

- Projection of Spin onto Direction of Motion
- For massless particles, helicity is a Lorentz invariant Spin Observable.

• $\lambda = \pm$ (\parallel or anti- \parallel to p_{μ})





Contents

First

Back

Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 22/46

• Chirality Operator: γ_5

• Chiral Transformation $q(x) \rightarrow e^{i\gamma_5\theta} q(x)$





First

Contents Back Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 22/46

- Chirality Operator: γ_5
 - Chiral Transformation $q(x) \rightarrow e^{i\gamma_5\theta} q(x)$
 - Chiral Rotation $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$

 - Hence, a theory invariant under chiral transformations can only contain interactions that are insensitive to a particle's helicity.



First

Contents

Back

- Chirality Operator: γ_5
 - Chiral Transformation $q(x) \rightarrow e^{i\gamma_5\theta} q(x)$
 - Chiral Rotation $\theta = \frac{\pi}{4}$
 - Composite Particles: $J^{P=+} \leftrightarrow J^{P=-}$
 - Equivalent to "Parity Conjugation" Operation



First

Contents

Back

- A Prediction of Chiral Symmetry
 - Degeneracy between Parity Partners $N(\frac{1}{2}^+, 938) = N(\frac{1}{2}^-, 1535),$ $\pi(0^-, 140) = \sigma(0^+, 600),$ $\rho(1^-, 770) = a_1(1^+, 1260)$

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY Office of Science Office of Nuclear Physics

UChicago 🕨

First

Argor

Argonne.

Contents

Back

- Doesn't Look too good Predictions *not* Valid – Violations *too* Large.
- Appears to suggest quarks are Very Heavy

- A Prediction of Chiral Symmetry
 - Degeneracy between Parity Partners $N(\frac{1}{2}^+, 938) = N(\frac{1}{2}^-, 1535),$ $\pi(0^-, 140) = \sigma(0^+, 600),$ $\rho(1^-, 770) = a_1(1^+, 1260)$

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY Office of Science

UChicago 🕨

First

Argor

Argonne.

Contents

Back

Conclusion

- Doesn't Look too good Predictions *not* Valid – Violations *too* Large.
- Appears to suggest quarks are Very Heavy

How can pion mass be so small

If quarks are so heavy?!

Propagators

Extraordinary Effects in QCD Tied to
 Properties of *Dressed*-Quark and -Gluon Propagators

```
Quark

S_f(x-y) \equiv \langle q_f(x) \bar{q}_f(y) \rangle \frac{D_{\mu\nu}(x-y)}{D_{\mu\nu}(x-y)} \equiv \langle A_{\mu}(x) A_{\nu}(y) \rangle
```

Describe in-Medium Propagation Characteristics of Elementary Particles







Contents

First

Propagators



 γ propagating in a Dense e⁻ Gas

Acquires a Debye Mass
 $m_{
m D}^2 \propto k_F^2$: $\frac{1}{Q^2}
ightarrow \frac{1}{Q^2 + m_{
m D}^2}$

• γ develops an Effective-mass







First

Contents Back Co

Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 23/46

Propagators



 γ propagating in a Dense e⁻ Gas

• Acquires a Debye Mass $m_{
m D}^2 \propto k_F^2$: $rac{1}{Q^2} o rac{1}{Q^2+m_{
m D}^2}$

• γ develops an Effective-mass

Quark and Gluon Propagators:

Momentum Dependent Effective Masses

The Effect of this is Observable in QCD

Modified in a similar way -

• Leads to Screening of the Interaction: $r \propto \frac{1}{m_D}$

Constraints to State Constraints to State

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF





Contents

Back

Conclusion

First

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 23/46

Explicit Chiral Symmetry Breaking





First

Contents Back Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 24/46



Explicit Chiral Symmetry Breaking

Chiral Symmetry

Can be discussed in terms of Quark Propagator

• Free Quark Propagator $S_0(p) = rac{-i\gamma \cdot p + m}{n^2 + m^2}$





Contents

First



Explicit Chiral Symmetry Breaking

Chiral Symmetry

Can be discussed in terms of Quark Propagator

• Free Quark Propagator $S_0(p) = rac{-i\gamma \cdot p + m}{p^2 + m^2}$

Chiral Transformation

$$\mathbf{S}_{0}(p) \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{i\gamma_{5}\theta} S_{0}(p) \mathrm{e}^{i\gamma_{5}\theta}$$
$$= \frac{-i\gamma \cdot p}{p^{2} + m^{2}} + \mathrm{e}^{2i\gamma_{5}\theta} \frac{m}{p^{2} + m^{2}}$$

• Symmetry Violation $\propto m$

• $\mathbf{m} = 0: S_0(p) \to S_0(p)$



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF



First

Contents

Back Conclusion



Can be discussed in terms of Quark Propagator

• Free Quark Propagator $S_0(p) = rac{-i\gamma \cdot p + m}{p^2 + m^2}$

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Quark Condensate} \\ \langle \bar{q}q \rangle_{\mu} \equiv \int_{\mu}^{\Lambda} \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \operatorname{tr}\left[S(p)\right] \propto \int_{\mu}^{\Lambda} \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} \, \frac{m}{p^2 + m^2} \end{array}$$

A Measure of the Chiral Symmetry Violating Term

Back

Conclusion

Contents

Argor

First

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF



Can be discussed in terms of Quark Propagator

• Free Quark Propagator $S_0(p) = rac{-i\gamma \cdot p + m}{p^2 + m^2}$

Quark Condensate

$$\langle \bar{q}q \rangle_{\mu} \equiv \int_{\mu}^{\Lambda} \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \operatorname{tr} \left[S(p)\right] \propto \int_{\mu}^{\Lambda} \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{m}{p^2 + m^2}$$

- A Measure of the Chiral Symmetry Violating Term
- Perturbative QCD: Vanishes if m = 0



Back

Conclusion

Contents

Argor

First

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

Dynamical Symmetry Breaking





First

Contents Back Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 25/46

Dynamical Symmetry Breaking

 $V(x,y) = (\sigma^2 + \pi^2 - 1)^2$

Hamiltonian: T + V, is Rotationally Invariant



First

Back

Conclusion

Contents

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 25/46

Dynamical Symmetry Breaking

 $V(x,y) = (\sigma^2 + \pi^2 - 1)^2$

Hamiltonian: T + V, is Rotationally Invariant





First

Back

Conclusion

Contents

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 25/46


 $V(x,y) = (\sigma^2 + \pi^2 - 1)^2$

Hamiltonian: T + V, is Rotationally Invariant



Back

Contents

First

UNSTABLE



 $V(x,y) = (\sigma^2 + \pi^2 - 1)^2$

Hamiltonian: T + V, is Rotationally Invariant



Argon

First

Back

Contents

But not invariant under rotations

 $V(x, y) = (\sigma^2 + \pi^2 - 1)^2$

Hamiltonian: T + V, is Rotationally Invariant Symmetry of Ground State \neq Symmetry of Hamiltonian



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

8 Nuclear Matter - Quarks to

Argonne.

Contents

Back

Conclusion

UChicago 🕨

First

Argor

- All Positions have Same (Minimum) Energy
- But not invariant under rotations





Contents Back C

Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 26/46

Confinement:

NO quarks or gluons have ever reached a detector alone



Back Contents First

Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... 46 - p. 26/46

- Confinement:
 NO quarks or gluons have ever reached a detector alone
- Chirality = Projection of spin onto direction of motion Quarks are either left- or right-handed



First

Back

Conclusion

Contents

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 26/46

- Confinement:
 NO quarks or gluons have ever reached a detector alone
- Chirality = Projection of spin onto direction of motion Quarks are either left- or right-handed
- Chiral Symmetry:

To classical **QCD** interactions,

left- and right-handed quarks are IDENTICAL



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF





Contents

First

Back Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... 46 – p. 26/46

- Confinement:
 NO quarks or gluons have ever reached a detector alone
- Chirality = Projection of spin onto direction of motion Quarks are either left- or right-handed
- Chiral Symmetry:

To classical QCD interactions,

left- and right-handed quarks are IDENTICAL

Office of Science office of Nuclear Physics

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF





Contents

Back

Conclusion

Challenge – Connect Dynamical Symmetry Breaking and Confinement Start with Massless Quarks and through Interactions Alone, Generate Massive Quarks

- Confinement:
 NO quarks or gluons have ever reached a detector alone
- Chirality = Projection of spin onto direction of motion Quarks are either left- or right-handed
- Chiral Symmetry:

Back

Conclusion

Contents

To classical QCD interactions,

left- and right-handed quarks are IDENTICAL

Office of Science Office of Nuclear Physics

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF



First

Challenge – Connect Dynamical Symmetry Breaking and Confinement Start with Massless Quarks and through Interactions Alone, Generate Massive Quarks Mass from Nothing

> Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 26/46

Plainly, nonperturbative method is necessary.





First

Contents Back Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 27/46

- Plainly, nonperturbative method is necessary.
- However, is there an answer to the question?
 - Possible to obtain or even sensible to ask for a quantum mechanical description of light-quark systems in a relativistic quantum gauge field theory, wherein *virtual particles* play an essential role?



First

Back

Conclusion

Contents

- Plainly, nonperturbative method is necessary.
- However, is there an answer to the question?
 - Possible to obtain or even sensible to ask for a quantum mechanical description of light-quark systems in a relativistic quantum gauge field theory, wherein *virtual particles* play an essential role?



First

No, it's not.

Back

Conclusion

Contents

- Plainly, nonperturbative method is necessary.
- However, is there an answer to the question?
 - Possible to obtain or even sensible to ask for a quantum mechanical description of light-quark systems in a relativistic quantum gauge field theory, wherein *virtual particles* play an essential role?



Argonne.

Contents

Back

Conclusion

No, it's not. True understanding of the hadron spectrum and decays requires the *ab initio* nonperturbative solution of a fully-fledged relativistic quantum field theory

- Plainly, nonperturbative method is necessary.
- However, is there an answer to the question?
 - Possible to obtain or even sensible to ask for a quantum mechanical description of light-quark systems in a relativistic quantum gauge field theory, wherein *virtual particles* play an essential role?



No, it's not. True understanding of the hadron spectrum and decays requires the *ab initio* nonperturbative solution of a fully-fledged relativistic quantum field theory

NB. Hadron Physics Milestone, 2012: Measure the UChicago 🕨 electromagnetic excitations of low-lying hadrons and their Argonne.

Back

Conclusion

Contents



Model QCD





First Contents Back Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 28/46

Traditional approach to strong force problem







Contents Back C

Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 28/46

Traditional approach to strong force problem





Traditional approach to strong force problem

Model QCD





Lattice QCD





First

Contents Back Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 29/46





Back Conclusion



Contents

First

Back

Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... 46 - p. 29/46



Back

Contents

First

 \sim 500 people worldwide.

$\begin{array}{c} \text{Collaborations} \\ \sim 20 \text{ people} \end{array}$

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 29/46







First Contents Back Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 30/46

1994 ... "As computer technology continues to improve, lattice gauge theory [LGT] will become an increasingly useful means of studying hadronic physics through investigations of discretised quantum chromodynamics [QCD]....."



First

Back

Conclusion

Contents

1994 ... "However, it is equally important to develop other complementary nonperturbative methods based on continuum descriptions. In particular, with the advent of new accelerators such as CEBAF and RHIC, there is a need for the development of approximation techniques and models which bridge the gap between short-distance, perturbative QCD and the extensive amount of low- and intermediate-energy phenomenology in a single covariant



UChicago 🕨

Argonne.

Contents

Back

Conclusion

U.S. DEPARTMENT O

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 30/46

1994 ... "Cross-fertilisation between LGT studies and continuum techniques provides a particularly useful means of developing a detailed understanding of nonperturbative QCD."



1994 ... "Cross-fertilisation between LGT studies and continuum techniques provides a particularly useful means of developing a detailed understanding of nonperturbative QCD."

C. D. Roberts and A. G. Williams, "Dyson-Schwinger equations and their application to hadronic physics," Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 33, 477 (1994) [arXiv:hep-ph/9403224].



Back

Conclusion

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

- 1994 ... "Cross-fertilisation between LGT studies and continuum techniques provides a particularly useful means of developing a detailed understanding of nonperturbative QCD."
- C. D. Roberts and A. G. Williams, "Dyson-Schwinger equations and their application to hadronic physics," Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.
 33, 477 (1994) [arXiv:hep-ph/9403224].



Back

Conclusion

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF



Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 30/46

A Compromise?

Dyson-Schwinger Equations

Dyson (1949) & Schwinger (1951) ... One can derive a system of coupled integral equations relating the Green functions for the theory to each other.





First

Back

Contents

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 30/46

A Compromise?

Dyson-Schwinger Equations

Dyson (1949) & Schwinger (1951) ... One can derive a system of coupled integral equations relating the Green functions for the theory to each other.





Back

Conclusion

These are nonperturbative equivalents in quantum field theory to the Lagrange equations of motion.

A Compromise?

Dyson-Schwinger Equations

Dyson (1949) & Schwinger (1951) ... One can derive a system of coupled integral equations relating the Green functions for the theory to each other.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERCES Office of Science Office of Nuclear Physics Choome Nuclear Physics Choome Nuclear Physics Choome Nuclear Physics

Back

Conclusion

Contents

- These are nonperturbative equivalents in quantum field theory to the Lagrange equations of motion.
- Essential in simplifying the general proof of renormalisability of gauge field theories.

Euler-Lagrange equations for quantum field theory

Well suited to Relativistic Quantum Field Theory





First

Contents Back Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 31/46

Euler-Lagrange equations for quantum field theory

- Well suited to Relativistic Quantum Field Theory
- Simplest level: Generating Tool for Perturbation Theory Materially Reduces Model Dependence





First

Euler-Lagrange equations for quantum field theory

- Well suited to Relativistic Quantum Field Theory
- Simplest level: Generating Tool for Perturbation Theory Materially Reduces Model Dependence
- NonPerturbative, Continuum approach to QCD



First

Contents

Back

Conclusion

Euler-Lagrange equations for quantum field theory

- Well suited to Relativistic Quantum Field Theory
- Simplest level: Generating Tool for Perturbation Theory Materially Reduces Model Dependence
- NonPerturbative, Continuum approach to QCD
 - Hadrons as Composites of Quarks and Gluons
 - Qualitative and Quantitative Importance of:
 - Dynamical Chiral Symmetry Breaking
 - Generation of fermion mass from nothing
 - Quark & Gluon Confinement

U.S. DEPARTMENT O

Office of Nuclear Physi

8 Nuclear Matter - Quarks to

Argonne.

Contents

Back

Conclusion

UChicago 🕨

First

ERGY

Office of Science

- Coloured objects not detected, not detectable?
Dyson-Schwinger Equations

Euler-Lagrange equations for quantum field theory

- Well suited to Relativistic Quantum Field Theory
- Simplest level: Generating Tool for Perturbation Theory Materially Reduces Model Dependence
- NonPerturbative, Continuum approach to QCD
 - Hadrons as Composites of Quarks and Gluons
 - Qualitative and Quantitative Importance of:
 - · Dynamical Chiral Symmetry Breaking
 - Generation of fermion mass from nothing
 - Quark & Gluon Confinement
 - Coloured objects not detected, not detectable?
 - Understanding \Rightarrow InfraRed behaviour of $lpha_s(Q^2)$



U.S. DEPARTMENT O



Argonne.

Contents

Back

Conclusion

UChicago 🕨

Dyson-Schwinger Equations

Euler-Lagrange equations for quantum field theory

- Well suited to Relativistic Quantum Field Theory
- Simplest level: Generating Tool for Perturbation Theory Materially Reduces Model Dependence
- NonPerturbative, Continuum approach to QCD
 - Hadrons as Composites of Quarks and Gluons
 - Qualitative and Quantitative Importance of:
 - · Dynamical Chiral Symmetry Breaking
 - Generation of fermion mass from nothing
 - Quark & Gluon Confinement
 - Coloured objects not detected, not detectable?
 - Understanding \Rightarrow InfraRed behaviour of $lpha_s(Q^2)$
- UChicago ► Argonne_{uc}

Contents

Back

Conclusion

8 Nuclear Matter - Quarks

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

Office of Nuclear Physics

ERGY

Office of Science

Method yields Schwinger Functions \equiv Propagators

Cross-Sections built from Schwinger Functions

Dressed-quark Propagator





First

Contents Back C

Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 32/46

Dressed-quark Propagator

 $S(p) = \frac{Z(p^2)}{i\gamma \cdot p + M(p^2)}$







First

Contents Back

Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 32/46

Dressed-quark Propagator

$$S(p) = \frac{Z(p^2)}{i\gamma \cdot p + M(p^2)}$$

>-----= S dressed-quark propagator Gap Equation $S(p) = \frac{1}{i\gamma \cdot p A(p^2) + B(p^2)}$



Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... 46 - p. 32/46

Dressed-quark Propagator

$$S(p) = \frac{Z(p^2)}{i\gamma \cdot p + M(p^2)}$$

dressed-quark propagato

$$\frac{1}{I(p^2)}$$
propagator
$$S(p) = \frac{1}{i\gamma \cdot p A(p^2) + B(p^2)}$$



Back

Contents

Argon

First

Weak Coupling Expansion Reproduces Every Diagram in Perturbation Theory

Dressed-quark Propagator

$$S(p) = \frac{Z(p^2)}{i\gamma \cdot p + M(p^2)}$$

dressed-quark propagato

$$\frac{1}{I(p^2)}$$
propagator
$$S(p) = \frac{1}{i\gamma \cdot p A(p^2) + B(p^2)}$$



Weak Coupling Expansion Reproduces Every Diagram in Perturbation Theory

But in Perturbation Theory



Back

Conclusion

8 Nuclear Matter - Quarks to

$$B(p^2) = m \left(1 - rac{lpha}{\pi} \ln \left[rac{p^2}{m^2}
ight] + \ldots
ight) \stackrel{m o 0}{ o} 0$$

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 32/46

ere

Dressed-quark Propagator

$$S(p) = \frac{Z(p^2)}{i\gamma \cdot p + M(p^2)}$$

dressed-quark propagator

$$S(p) = \frac{1}{i\gamma \cdot p A(p^2) + B(p^2)}$$

1

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY Office of Science







Back

Conclusion

 $B(p^2) = m \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \ln \left[\frac{p^2}{m^2} \right] + \dots \right) \begin{pmatrix} m \to 0 \\ \to 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 32/46

Nambu–Jona-Lasinio Model

Recall the Gap Equation:

$$S^{-1}(p) = i\gamma \cdot p A(p^{2}) + B(p^{2}) = i\gamma \cdot p + m + \int^{\Lambda} \frac{d^{4}\ell}{(2\pi)^{4}} g^{2} D_{\mu\nu}(p-\ell) \gamma_{\mu} \frac{\lambda^{a}}{2} \frac{1}{i\gamma \cdot \ell A(\ell^{2}) + B(\ell^{2})} \Gamma^{a}_{\nu}(\ell,p)$$
(4)

• NJL:
$$\Gamma^a_\mu(k,p)_{\rm bare} = \gamma_\mu \, \frac{\lambda^a}{2};$$

$$g^2 D_{\mu\nu}(p-\ell) \to \delta_{\mu\nu} \, \frac{1}{m_G^2} \, \theta(\Lambda^2 - \ell^2)$$
 (5)



UChicago 🕨

First

Argon

Argonne,

Contents

- Model is not renormalisable
 - \Rightarrow regularisation parameter (Λ) plays a dynamical role.
- NJL Gap Equation

Conclusion

Back

$$i\gamma \cdot p A(p^2) + B(p^2) = i\gamma \cdot p + m + \frac{4}{3} \frac{1}{m_G^2} \int \frac{d^4\ell}{(2\pi)^4} \,\theta(\Lambda^2 - \ell^2) \,\gamma_\mu \,\frac{-i\gamma \cdot \ell A(\ell^2) + B(\ell^2)}{\ell^2 A^2(\ell^2) + B^2(\ell^2)} \,\gamma_\mu$$

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD (6) XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... 46 – p. 33/46

Solving NJL Gap Equation

• Multiply Eq. (6) by $(-i\gamma \cdot p)$; trace over Dirac indices:

$$p^{2} A(p^{2}) = p^{2} + \frac{8}{3} \frac{1}{m_{G}^{2}} \int \frac{d^{4}\ell}{(2\pi)^{4}} \,\theta(\Lambda^{2} - \ell^{2}) \, p \cdot \ell \, \frac{A(\ell^{2})}{\ell^{2} A^{2}(\ell^{2}) + B^{2}(\ell^{2})} \tag{7}$$

Angular integral vanishes, therefore

$$A(p^2) \equiv 1.$$
(8)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERCES Office of Science Office of Nuclear Physics Children Physics Showne Nuclear Matter - Quarks to Stars



First

Back

Contents

This owes to the fact that NJL model is defined by four-fermion contact interaction in configuration space, entails momentum-independence of interaction in momentum space.

Tracing over Dirac indices; use Eq. (8):

$$B(p^2) = m + \frac{16}{3} \frac{1}{m_G^2} \int \frac{d^4\ell}{(2\pi)^4} \,\theta(\Lambda^2 - \ell^2) \,\frac{B(\ell^2)}{\ell^2 + B^2(\ell^2)} \,, \tag{9}$$

Integral is p²-independent.
 Therefore B(p²) = constant = M is the only solution.

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 34/46

NJL Mass Gap

Evaluate integrals; Eq. (9) becomes

$$M = m + M \frac{1}{3\pi^2} \frac{1}{m_G^2} \mathcal{C}(M^2, \Lambda^2), \qquad (10)$$

$$\mathcal{C}(M^2, \Lambda^2) = \Lambda^2 - M^2 \ln 1 + \Lambda^2 / M^2 \quad . \tag{11}$$

• Λ defines model's mass-scale. Henceforth set $\Lambda = 1$. Then all other dimensioned quantities are given in units of this scale, in which case the gap equation can be written $M = m + M \frac{1}{1 - 2} \frac{1}{2} C(M^2, 1).$ (12)

$$M = m + M \frac{1}{3\pi^2} \frac{1}{m_G^2} \mathcal{C}(M^2, 1).$$
(12)



UChicago 🕨

First

Argoi

Argonne.

Contents



Suppose $M \neq 0$

Conclusion

Back

• Solved iff
$$1 = \frac{1}{3\pi^2} \frac{1}{m_G^2} C(M^2, 1)$$

Chiral limit: m = 0, $M = M \frac{1}{3\pi^2} \frac{1}{m_C^2} C(M^2, 1)$

NJL Dynamical Mass

• Can one satisfy
$$1 = \frac{1}{3\pi^2} \frac{1}{m_G^2} C(M^2, 1)$$
?

- $C(M^2, 1) = 1 M^2 \ln 1 + 1/M^2$
 - Monotonically decreasing function of M
 - Maximum value at M = 0: C(0, 1) = 1.

Consequently $\exists M \neq 0$ solution iff $\left| \frac{1}{3\pi^2} \frac{1}{m_G^2} > 1 \right|$

• Typical scale for hadron physics $\Lambda \sim 1 \text{ GeV}$.

•
$$M \neq 0$$
 solution iff $m_G^2 < \frac{\Lambda^2}{3\pi^2} \simeq (0.2 \, GeV)^2$

Office of Nuclear Physics

Office of Science

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF



8 Nuclear Matter - Quarks



Contents

Back

Conclusion

First

- Interaction Strength is proportional to $\frac{1}{m_G^2}$
- When interaction is strong enough, one can start with no mass but end up with a massive quark.

NJL Dynamical Mass

• Can one satisfy
$$1 = \frac{1}{3\pi^2} \frac{1}{m_G^2} C(M^2, 1)$$
?

- $C(M^2, 1) = 1 M^2 \ln 1 + 1/M^2$
 - Monotonically decreasing function of M
 - Maximum value at M = 0: C(0, 1) = 1.

Consequently $\exists M \neq 0$ solution iff $\left| \frac{1}{3\pi^2} \frac{1}{m_G^2} > 1 \right|$

• Typical scale for hadron physics $\Lambda \sim 1 \text{ GeV}$.

•
$$M \neq 0$$
 solution iff $m_G^2 < \frac{\Lambda^2}{3\pi^2} \simeq (0.2 \, GeV)^2$

Office of Science

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF





Contents

Back

First

- Interaction Strength is proportional to $\frac{1}{m_G^2}$
 - When interaction is strong enough, one can start with no mass but end up with a massive quark.

Dynamical Chiral Symmetry Breaking

NJL Dynamical Mass



XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... 46 - p. 37/46

Confinement – no free-particle-like quarks





First

Contents Back Conclusion

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 38/46

- Confinement no free-particle-like quarks
- Fully-dressed NJL propagator

$$S(p)^{\rm NJL} = \frac{1}{i\gamma \cdot p[A(p^2) = 1] + [B(p^2) = M]} = \frac{-i\gamma \cdot p + M}{p^2 + M^2} \quad (15)$$



- Confinement no free-particle-like quarks
- Fully-dressed NJL propagator

$$S(p)^{\rm NJL} = \frac{1}{i\gamma \cdot p[A(p^2) = 1] + [B(p^2) = M]} = \frac{-i\gamma \cdot p + M}{p^2 + M^2} \quad (17)$$

This is merely a free-particle-like propagator with a shifted mass:

 $p^2 + M^2 = 0 \Rightarrow$ Minkowski-space mass = M. (18)



Back

Conclusion

- Confinement no free-particle-like quarks
- Fully-dressed NJL propagator

$$S(p)^{\rm NJL} = \frac{1}{i\gamma \cdot p[A(p^2) = 1] + [B(p^2) = M]} = \frac{-i\gamma \cdot p + M}{p^2 + M^2} \quad (19)$$

This is merely a free-particle-like propagator with a shifted mass:

 $p^2 + M^2 = 0 \Rightarrow$ Minkowski-space mass = M. (20)



First

Back

Conclusion

Contents

U.S. DEPARTMENT

Hence, while NJL Model certainly contains DCSB, it does not exhibit confinement.

Munczek-Nemirovsky Model

Munczek, H.J. and Nemirovsky, A.M. (1983), "The Ground State $q\bar{q}$ Mass Spectrum In QCD," *Phys. Rev.* D 28, 181.

•
$$\Gamma^a_{\mu}(k,p)_{\text{bare}} = \gamma_{\mu} \, \frac{\lambda^a}{2};$$

$$g^2 D_{\mu\nu}(k) \to (2\pi)^4 \, G \, \delta^4(k) \left[\delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{k_\mu k_\nu}{k^2} \right]$$
 (21)

Here G defines the model's mass-scale.

- δ -function in momentum space cf. NJL, which has δ -function in configuration space.
- Gap equation

Conclusion

Back

Contents

$$i\gamma \cdot p A(p^2) + B(p^2) = i\gamma \cdot p + m + G \gamma_\mu \frac{-i\gamma \cdot p A(p^2) + B(p^2)}{p^2 A^2(p^2) + B^2(p^2)} \gamma_\mu$$
(22)



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF



First

MN Model's Gap Equation

The gap equation yields the following two coupled equations (set the mass-scale G = 1):

$$A(p^{2}) = 1 + 2 \frac{A(p^{2})}{p^{2}A^{2}(p^{2}) + B^{2}(p^{2})}$$
(23)
$$B(p^{2}) = m + 4 \frac{B(p^{2})}{p^{2}A^{2}(p^{2}) + B^{2}(p^{2})},$$
(24)

Consider the chiral limit equation for
$$B(p^2)$$
:
Coffice of Science
 $e^{of Nuclear Physics}$
Wetcher Matter - Quarks with the chiral limit equation for $B(p^2)$:
 $B(p^2) = 4 \frac{B(p^2)}{p^2 A^2(p^2) + B^2(p^2)}$.
Obviously, $B \equiv 0$ is a solution.
Is there another?

Offi

Argor

First

Back

Conclusion

Contents

(25)

DCSB in MN Model

The existence of a $B \neq 0$ solution; i.e., a solution that dynamically breaks chiral symmetry, requires (in units of G)

$$p^2 A^2(p^2) + B^2(p^2) = 4.$$
 (26)



which in turn entails

$$B(p^2) = 2^p \ \overline{1 - p^2} \,. \tag{28}$$





First



Contents

Back

Physical requirement: quark self energy is real on the spacelike domain \Rightarrow complete chiral-limit solution –

$$A(p^{2}) = \begin{cases} 2; & p^{2} \leq 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \sqrt{1 + 8/p^{2}} \right); & p^{2} > 1 \end{cases}$$
(29)
$$B(p^{2}) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{1 - p^{2}}; & p^{2} \leq 1 \\ 0; & p^{2} > 1. \end{cases}$$
(30)

NB. Dressed-quark self-energy is momentum dependent, as is the case in QCD.

Craig Roberts: Hadron Physics and Continuum Strong QCD XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... **46** – p. 41/46

Confinement in MN Model

- Solution is continuous and defined for all p^2 , even $p^2 < 0$; namely, timelike momenta.
- Examine the propagator's denominator:

$$p^2 A^2(p^2) + B^2(p^2) > 0, \ \forall p^2.$$
 (31)

This is positive definite ... there are *no zeros*



Argonne.

Contents

Back

Conclusion

- This is nothing like a free-particle propagator. It can be interpreted as describing a confined degree-of-freedom
- Note that, in addition there is no critical coupling: the nontrivial solution exists so long as $\mathbf{G} > 0$.
- Conjecture: All confining theories exhibit DCSB.
 - NJL model demonstrates that converse is not true.

In the chirally asymmetric case the gap equation yields

$$A(p^2) = \frac{2B(p^2)}{m+B(p^2)},$$
(32)

$$B(p^2) = m + \frac{4 \left[m + B(p^2)\right]^2}{B(p^2)([m + B(p^2)]^2 + 4p^2)}.$$
 (33)

- Second is a quartic equation for $B(p^2)$.
- Can be solved algebraically with four solutions, available in a closed form.
- Only one has the correct $p^2 \to \infty$ limit: $B(p^2) \to m$.
- NB. The equations and their solutions always have a smooth $m \rightarrow 0$ limit, a result owing to the persistence of the DCSB solution.



Contents

Back

Conclusion

U.S. DEPARTMENT O

MN Dynamical Mass



XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... 46 - p. 44/46

Real World Alternatives



XII Mexican Workshop on Particles and Fields: Mini-courses, 4-8 Nov. 2009... 46 - p. 45/46



Confinement and Dynamical Chiral Symmetry Breaking are Key **Emergent Phenomena in QCD**



NATIONAL LABORATOR

Contents

First

Back

Conclusion

- Confinement and Dynamical Chiral Symmetry Breaking are Key Emergent Phenomena in QCD
- Understanding requires Nonperturbative Solution of Fully-Fledged Relativistic Quantum Field Theory



First

Back

Contents

- Confinement and Dynamical Chiral Symmetry Breaking are Key Emergent Phenomena in QCD
- Understanding requires Nonperturbative Solution of Fully-Fledged Relativistic Quantum Field Theory
 - Mathematics and Physics still far from being able to accomplish that





First

Back

Contents

Confinement and Dynamical Chiral Symmetry Breaking are Key Emergent Phenomena in QCD



Confinement and DCSB are expressed in QCD's propagators and vertices





First

Back

Conclusion

Contents

Confinement and Dynamical Chiral Symmetry Breaking are Key Emergent Phenomena in QCD



- Confinement and DCSB are expressed in QCD's propagators and vertices
 - Nonperturbative modifications should have observable consequences





Contents

First

Back

Conclusion

Confinement and Dynamical Chiral Symmetry Breaking are Key Emergent Phenomena in QCD



- Confinement and DCSB are expressed in QCD's propagators and vertices
- Dyson-Schwinger Equations are a useful analytical and numerical tool for nonperturbative study of relativistic quantum field theory



First

Contents

Back

Conclusion

Confinement and Dynamical Chiral Symmetry Breaking are Key Emergent Phenomena in QCD



Confinement and DCSB are expressed in QCD's propagators and vertices





U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

Office of Science

Office of Nuclear Physi.

8 Nuclear Matter - Quarks t

Argonne.

Contents

Back

Conclusion

UChicago 🕨

First

Confinement and Dynamical Chiral Symmetry Breaking are Key Emergent Phenomena in QCD



Confinement and DCSB are expressed in QCD's propagators and vertices





• DCSB \Rightarrow Confinement

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

Office of Science

Office of Nuclear Physi.

8 Nuclear Matter - Quarks t

Argonne.

Contents

Back

Conclusion

UChicago 🕨

First

Confinement and Dynamical Chiral Symmetry Breaking are Key Emergent Phenomena in QCD



Confinement and DCSB are expressed in QCD's propagators and vertices





• DCSB \Rightarrow Confinement

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

Office of Science

Office of Nuclear Physi

8 Nuclear Matter - Quarks t

Argonne.

Contents

Back

Conclusion

UChicago 🕨

Simple models (MN) can exhibit Confinement



Confinement and Dynamical Chiral Symmetry Breaking are Key Emergent Phenomena in QCD



Confinement and DCSB are expressed in QCD's propagators and vertices





• DCSB \Rightarrow Confinement

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

Office of Science

Office of Nuclear Physi

8 Nuclear Matter - Quarks to

Argonne.

Contents

Back

Conclusion

UChicago 🕨

- Simple models (MN) can exhibit Confinement
 - Confinement \Rightarrow DCSB

Confinement and Dynamical Chiral Symmetry Breaking are Key Emergent Phenomena in QCD



Confinement and DCSB are expressed in QCD's propagators and vertices





• DCSB \Rightarrow Confinement

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF

Office of Science

Office of Nuclear Physi

8 Nuclear Matter - Quarks to

Argonne.

Contents

UChicago 🕨

First

- Simple models (MN) can exhibit Confinement
 - Confinement \Rightarrow DCSB
 - What's the story in QCD?

Conclusion

Back