# Results from T2 k and the current landscape of neutrino oscillation



National Autonomous University of Mexico Sep 1st 2021 Kendall Mahn Michigan State University for the T2K collaboration

## Outline

Why are neutrinos interesting to study? Why is neutrino oscillation important?

> Recent results from the Tokaito-Kamioka (T2K) neutrino oscillation experiment

What is the future of accelerator-based oscillation experiments?

## Disclaimer

- I speak (too) fast in English... sorry...
- Please! ask me to repeat or slow down
- It is OK to raise your hand or interrupt with a question

#### Feedback? Comments? <u>mahn@msu.edu</u>

## Outline

Why are neutrinos interesting to study? Why is neutrino oscillation important?

• Three flavors of neutrinos (v) ... and antineutrinos ( $\overline{v}$ )



- \* Three flavors of neutrinos (v) ... and antineutrinos (  $\overline{v}$  )
- Interact via the weak force



- Three flavors of neutrinos (v) ... and antineutrinos (  $\overline{v}$  )
- Interact via the weak force



- \* Three flavors of neutrinos (v) ... and antineutrinos (  $\overline{v}$  )
- Interact via the weak force
- Abundant













- \* Three flavors of neutrinos (v) ... and antineutrinos (  $\overline{v}$  )
- Interact via the weak force
- Abundant



- \* Three flavors of neutrinos (v) ... and antineutrinos (  $\overline{v}$  )
- Interact via the weak force
- Abundant
- Massive





Credit: wikicommons

## Neutrino mass is very small compared to other leptons

We know neutrinos have mass due to neutrino oscillation (2015 Nobel Prize)

#### What is neutrino oscillation?

### What is neutrino oscillation?

This is a purely quantum mechanical effect where the mass eigenstates  $(v_1, v_2, v_3)$  are superpositions of the flavor eigenstates  $(v_e, v_\mu, v_\tau)$ 

## What is neutrino oscillation?

This is a purely quantum mechanical effect where the mass eigenstates (v<sub>1</sub>, v<sub>2</sub>, v<sub>3</sub>) are superpositions of the flavor eigenstates (v<sub>e</sub>, v<sub>µ</sub>, v<sub>τ</sub>)



If I reached in a jar of  $v_2$  without looking, I would have about a 1/3 chance to eat:

a green jelly bean ( $v_e$  / lime)

or a yellow jelly bean ( $v_{\mu}$  / lemon)

or a blue jelly bean ( $v_{\tau}$  / berry)

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ \nu_\mu \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) & \sin(\theta) \\ -\sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \end{pmatrix}$$



$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu_e \\ \nu_\mu \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) & \sin(\theta) \\ -\sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_1 \\ \nu_2 \end{pmatrix}$$



$$\left|\nu_{\mu}(t)\right\rangle = -\sin \theta \ e^{-iE_{1}t} \left|\nu_{1}\right\rangle + \cos \theta \ e^{-iE_{2}t} \left|\nu_{2}\right\rangle$$



$$P_{\mu e} = \langle \nu_e | \nu_{\mu}(t) \rangle = \sin^2(2\theta) \sin^2(1.27\Delta m_{ij}^2 L/E)$$
Credit: wikipedia
$$v_e$$

$$v_{\mu}$$

 $\left|\nu_{\mu}(t)\right\rangle = -\sin \theta \ e^{-iE_{1}t} \left|\nu_{1}\right\rangle + \cos \theta \ e^{-iE_{2}t} \left|\nu_{2}\right\rangle$ 

$$P_{\mu e} = \langle \nu_e | \nu_\mu(t) \rangle = \sin^2(2\theta) \, \sin^2(1.27\Delta m_{ij}^2 L/E)$$

Credit: wikipedia

#### Experimental setup determines:

L (distance travelled, km) and E (GeV)

Experiments measure:

The mixing angle ( $\theta$ ) and  $\Delta m^2$  (difference of the masses squared)

## Outline

Why are neutrinos interesting to study? Why is neutrino oscillation important?

- Neutrino oscillation
  - Can we find new physics in the neutrino sector (neutrino CP violation?)

- Neutrino oscillation
  - Can we find new physics in the neutrino sector (neutrino CP violation?)
- What is the origin of neutrino mass? What is the ordering of the masses of the neutrinos?

- Neutrino oscillation
  - Can we find new physics in the neutrino sector (neutrino CP violation?)
- What is the origin of neutrino mass? What is the ordering of the masses of the neutrinos?
  - Related: Are there non-standard interactions in neutrinos?

Flavor states

$$\begin{pmatrix} v_{e} \\ v_{\mu} \\ v_{\tau} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U_{e1} & U_{e2} & U_{e3} \\ U_{\mu 1} & U_{\mu 2} & U_{\mu 3} \\ U_{\tau 1} & U_{\tau 2} & U_{\tau 3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v_{1} \\ v_{2} \\ v_{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

Mass states

Pontecorvo-Maki-Nakagawa-Sakata matrix (PMNS)

Elements of U are accessible with neutrino oscillation experiments

Flavor states

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{v}_e \\ \boldsymbol{v}_\mu \\ \boldsymbol{v}_\tau \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{U}_{e1} & \boldsymbol{U}_{e2} & \boldsymbol{U}_{e3} \\ \boldsymbol{U}_{\mu 1} & \boldsymbol{U}_{\mu 2} & \boldsymbol{U}_{\mu 3} \\ \boldsymbol{U}_{\tau 1} & \boldsymbol{U}_{\tau 2} & \boldsymbol{U}_{\tau 3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{v}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{v}_2 \\ \boldsymbol{v}_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Mass states

#### Quarks

Cabbibo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM)



Measurements also allow to test unitarity of the mixing matrix

Graphic: J.Phys. G45 (2018) no.1, 013001

Flavor states

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{v}_{e} \\ \boldsymbol{v}_{\mu} \\ \boldsymbol{v}_{\tau} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{U}_{e1} & \boldsymbol{U}_{e2} & \boldsymbol{U}_{e3} \\ \boldsymbol{U}_{\mu 1} & \boldsymbol{U}_{\mu 2} & \boldsymbol{U}_{\mu 3} \\ \boldsymbol{U}_{\tau 1} & \boldsymbol{U}_{\tau 2} & \boldsymbol{U}_{\tau 3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{v}_{1} \\ \boldsymbol{v}_{2} \\ \boldsymbol{v}_{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

Mass states









Is there new physics in the leptonic sector?

## Why should we search for CP violation?



≠



Observed matter/antimatter asymmetry requires Sakharov's conditions:

- CP violation
- Baryon number violation
- Non thermal equilibrium

## Why should we search for CP violation?



≠



Observed matter/antimatter asymmetry requires Sakharov's conditions:

#### CP violation

CKM? Neutrinos? Strong CP violation?

## Why should we search for CP violation?



≠



Observed matter/antimatter asymmetry requires Sakharov's conditions:

<ul> <li>CP violatior</li> </ul>	
----------------------------------	--

CKM? Neutrinos?

Not large enough...

Strong CP violation?

Not large enough...

- Neutrino oscillation
  - Can we find new physics in the neutrino sector (neutrino CP violation?)
- What is the origin of neutrino mass? What is the ordering of the masses of the neutrinos?
  - Related: Are there non-standard interactions in neutrinos?



Neutrino mass squared (m<sub>i</sub><sup>2</sup>)

#### Mass splitting:IΔm<sup>2</sup><sub>32</sub>l,Δm<sup>2</sup><sub>21</sub>

 $\Delta m_{21}^2 mass$  splitting is known to be positive from solar neutrino oscillation experiments



Neutrino mass squared (m<sub>i</sub><sup>2</sup>)

#### Mass splitting:IΔm<sup>2</sup><sub>32</sub>l,Δm<sup>2</sup><sub>21</sub>

We don't know if the 3rd or 1st mass eigenstate is heaviest ("mass hierarchy")



Neutrino mass squared  $(m_i^2)$ 

#### Mass splitting:IΔm<sup>2</sup><sub>32</sub>l,Δm<sup>2</sup><sub>21</sub>

٠

 $\Delta m^{2}_{32} > 0$ : "normal" hierarchy,



Neutrino mass squared (m<sub>i</sub><sup>2</sup>)

#### Mass splitting:IΔm<sup>2</sup><sub>32</sub>l,Δm<sup>2</sup><sub>21</sub>

 $\Delta m^{2}_{32} > 0$ : "normal" hierarchy,

 $\Delta m_{32}^2 < 0$ : "inverted" hierarchy



Neutrino mass squared (m<sub>i</sub><sup>2</sup>)

#### Mass splitting:IΔm<sup>2</sup><sub>32</sub>l,Δm<sup>2</sup><sub>21</sub>

 $\Delta m_{32}^2 > 0$ : "normal" hierarchy,  $\Delta m_{32}^2 < 0$ : "inverted" hierarchy

Oscillation experiments are sensitive to the hierarchy due to interactions of v<sub>e</sub> (and electrons) in matter

- Neutrino oscillation
  - Can we find new physics in the neutrino sector (neutrino CP violation?)
- What is the origin of neutrino mass? What is the ordering of the masses of the neutrinos?
- Related: Are there non-standard interactions in neutrinos?
   Neutrino mass and oscillation are applicable to astrophysics
   Supernova physics
   Large scale structure

#### Accessing neutrino oscillation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{v}_e \\ \boldsymbol{v}_\mu \\ \boldsymbol{v}_\tau \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U_{e1} & U_{e2} & U_{e3} \\ U_{\mu 1} & U_{\mu 2} & U_{\mu 3} \\ U_{\tau 1} & U_{\tau 2} & U_{\tau 3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{v}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{v}_2 \\ \boldsymbol{v}_3 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{v}_{e} \\ \boldsymbol{v}_{\mu} \\ \boldsymbol{v}_{\tau} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} U_{e1} & U_{e2} & U_{e3} \\ U_{\mu 1} & U_{\mu 2} & U_{\mu 3} \\ U_{\tau 1} & U_{\tau 2} & U_{\tau 3} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{v}_{1} \\ \boldsymbol{v}_{2} \\ \boldsymbol{v}_{3} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$P_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - 4\sum_{i>j} \operatorname{Re}\left[U_{\beta i}U_{\alpha i}^{*}U_{\beta j}^{*}U_{\alpha j}\right] \sin^{2}\left(\frac{1.27\Delta m_{ij}^{2}L}{E}\right) + 2\sum_{i>j} \operatorname{Im}\left[U_{\beta i}U_{\alpha i}^{*}U_{\beta j}^{*}U_{\alpha j}\right] \sin\left(\frac{2.54\Delta m_{ij}^{2}L}{E}\right)$$

Probability to transition from flavor  $\alpha$  to flavor  $\beta$ 

$$P_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} - 4\sum_{i>j} \operatorname{Re}\left[U_{\beta i}U_{\alpha i}^{*}U_{\beta j}^{*}U_{\alpha j}\right] \sin^{2}\left(\frac{1.27\Delta m_{ij}^{2}L}{E}\right) + 2\sum_{i>j} \operatorname{Im}\left[U_{\beta i}U_{\alpha i}^{*}U_{\beta j}^{*}U_{\alpha j}\right] \sin\left(\frac{2.54\Delta m_{ij}^{2}L}{E}\right)$$
$$\Delta m^{2}_{32} \gg \Delta m^{2}_{21}$$

$$P(v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{\mu}) \approx 1 - \sin^2 2\theta_{23} \sin^2 \left(\frac{1.27\Delta m_{32}^2 L}{E}\right) + \dots$$

$$P(v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{\mu}) \approx 1 - \sin^2 2\theta_{23} \sin^2 \left(\frac{1.27\Delta m_{32}^2 L}{E}\right) + \dots$$

 $v_{\mu}$  and  $\overline{v}_{\mu}$  disappearance channel



$$P(v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{\mu}) \approx 1 - \sin^2 2\theta_{23} \sin^2 \left(\frac{1.27\Delta m_{32}^2 L}{E}\right) + \dots$$

 $v_{\mu}$  and  $\overline{v}_{\mu}$  disappearance channel

Oscillation depends on:

 Amplitude determined by mixing angles: θ<sub>12</sub>, θ<sub>23</sub>, θ<sub>13</sub>



$$P(v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{\mu}) \approx 1 - \sin^2 2\theta_{23} \sin^2 \left(\frac{1.27 \Delta m_{32}^2 L}{E}\right) + \dots$$

 $v_{\mu}$  and  $\overline{v}_{\mu}$  disappearance channel

Oscillation depends on:

- Amplitude determined by mixing angles: θ<sub>12</sub>, θ<sub>23</sub>, θ<sub>13</sub>
- Frequency determined by mass splittings: ΙΔm<sup>2</sup><sub>32</sub>I,Δm<sup>2</sup><sub>21</sub>



$$P(v_{\mu} \rightarrow v_{\mu}) \approx 1 - \sin^2 2\theta_{23} \sin^2 \left(\frac{127\Delta m_{32}^2 L}{E}\right) + \dots$$

 $v_{\mu}$  and  $v_{\mu}$  disappearance channel

- Amplitude determined by mixing angles: θ<sub>12</sub>, θ<sub>23</sub>, θ<sub>13</sub>
- Frequency determined by mass splittings: |Δm<sup>2</sup><sub>32</sub>|,Δm<sup>2</sup><sub>21</sub>
- Mass ordering (hierarchy)

#### ve and ve appearance channel

Sensitive to all oscillation parameters

CP violating phase (CPV): δ<sub>CP</sub>

$$P(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{e})$$

- Amplitude determined by mixing angles: θ<sub>12</sub>, θ<sub>23</sub>, θ<sub>13</sub>
- Frequency determined by mass splittings: |Δm<sup>2</sup><sub>32</sub>|,Δm<sup>2</sup><sub>21</sub>
- Mass ordering (hierarchy)
- CP violating phase (CPV): δ<sub>CP</sub>

	PREDICTED			
$\delta_{CP}=-\pi/2$	$\delta_{CP}=0$	$\delta_{CP}$ =+ $\pi/2$		
97.6	82.4	67.6		
16.7	19.0	20.9		
	δ <sub>CP</sub> =–π/2 97.6 16.7	δCP=-π/2δCP=097.682.416.719.0		

 $\delta_{CP}$  changes the  $v_e$  and  $v_e$  appearance in opposite directions

#### v<sub>e</sub> and v<sub>e</sub> appearance channel

- Amplitude determined by mixing angles: θ<sub>12</sub>, θ<sub>23</sub>, θ<sub>13</sub>
- Frequency determined by mass splittings: |Δm<sup>2</sup><sub>32</sub>|,Δm<sup>2</sup><sub>21</sub>
- Mass ordering (hierarchy)
- CP violating phase (CPV): δ<sub>CP</sub>



SAMPLE	PREDICTED			
	$\delta_{CP}=-\pi/2$	$\delta_{CP}$ =0	$\delta_{CP}$ =+ $\pi/2$	
v <sub>e</sub> appearance	97.6	82.4	67.6	
v <sub>e</sub> appearance	16.7	19.0	20.9	

2D plot of neutrino appearance rate vs. antineutrino appearance rate

- Amplitude determined by mixing angles: θ<sub>12</sub>, θ<sub>23</sub>, θ<sub>13</sub>
- Frequency determined by mass splittings:  $|\Delta m^2_{32}|, \Delta m^2_{21}$
- Mass ordering (hierarchy)
- **CP violating phase** (CPV): δ<sub>CP</sub>



SAMPLE	PREDICTED			
	$\delta_{CP}$ =–π/2	δ <sub>СР</sub> =0	$\delta_{CP}$ =+ $\pi/2$	
v <sub>e</sub> appearance	97.6	82.4	67.6	
$v_e$ appearance	16.7	19.0	20.9	

2D plot of neutrino appearance rate vs. antineutrino appearance rate

- Amplitude determined by mixing angles: θ<sub>12</sub>, θ<sub>23</sub>, θ<sub>13</sub>
- Frequency determined by mass splittings:  $|\Delta m^2_{32}|, \Delta m^2_{21}$
- Mass ordering (hierarchy)
- CP violating phase (CPV):  $\delta_{CP}$



For increasing  $\theta_{23}$  enhance both  $v_e$  and  $v_e$  appearance

- Amplitude determined by mixing angles: θ<sub>12</sub>, θ<sub>23</sub>, θ<sub>13</sub>
- Frequency determined by mass splittings:  $|\Delta m^2_{32}|, \Delta m^2_{21}$
- Mass ordering (hierarchy)
- CP violating phase (CPV): δ<sub>CP</sub>



Normal to inverted hierarchy suppresses  $v_e$ appearance, enhances  $v_e$  appearance

## Outline



Recent results from the Tokaito-Kamioka (T2K) neutrino oscillation experiment



T2K collaboration: ~500 members, 69 institutions, 12 countries

#### Long baseline experiments

nknown road to Unknown road - Google Maps  $P(\nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}) \cong \lim_{\mu \to \pi} 2 2 \theta_{\mu} = \lim_{\mu \to \pi} 2 \frac{1.27 \Delta m_{32}^2 L}{1.27 \Delta m_{32}^2 L}$ 

#### Long baseline experiments





#### Tokai-to-Kamioka is an accelerator-based neutrino experiment

Broad physics program includes measurements of  $v_{\mu}$ ,  $\overline{v}_{\mu}$  disappearance,  $v_{e}$ ,  $\overline{v}_{e}$  appearance, exotica and neutrino interactions



#### Main ingredients:

- Accelerator produces an intense source
- Massive far detector (Super-Kamiokande)

# Shine a light on unknown physics accelerator-produced neutrino beams



# Shine a light on unknown physics accelerator-produced neutrino beams



Electrical current hits a filament producing light focused into a beam



protons→carbon target→unstable particles→neutrinos

#### Accelerator-produced neutrino beams





**protons**  $\rightarrow$  carbon target  $\rightarrow$  **unstable particles**  $\rightarrow$  **neutrinos** 

## Accelerator-produced neutrino beams



99% pure muon neutrino beam!

 $\Delta m_{32}^2$ ,  $\theta_{13}$ ,  $\theta_{23}$ ,  $\delta_{CP}$ , mass hierarchy

$$N_{FD}^{\alpha \to \beta}(E_{reco}) = \sum_{i} \phi_{\alpha}(E_{true}) \times \sigma_{\beta}^{i}(E_{true}) \times \epsilon_{\beta}(E_{true}) \times R_{i}(E_{true}; E_{reco}) \times P_{\alpha\beta}(E_{true})$$

## Determine oscillation parameters from **event rates** with data taken over the last 10 years.

 $\Delta m_{32}^2$ ,  $\theta_{13}$ ,  $\theta_{23}$ ,  $\delta_{CP}$ , mass hierarchy

$$N_{FD}^{\alpha \to \beta}(E_{reco}) = \sum_{i} \phi_{\alpha}(E_{true}) \times \sigma_{\beta}^{i}(E_{true}) \times \epsilon_{\beta}(E_{true}) \times R_{i}(E_{true}; E_{reco}) \times P_{\alpha\beta}(E_{true})$$



Determine oscillation parameters from **event rates** with data taken over the last 10 years.

 $\Delta m_{32}^2$ ,  $\theta_{13}$ ,  $\theta_{23}$ ,  $\delta_{CP}$ , mass hierarchy

$$N_{FD}^{\alpha \to \beta}(E_{reco}) = \sum_{i} \phi_{\alpha}(E_{true}) \times \sigma_{\beta}^{i}(E_{true}) \times \epsilon_{\beta}(E_{true}) \times R_{i}(E_{true}; E_{reco}) \times P_{\alpha\beta}(E_{true})$$



Credit: www-sk.icrr.u-tokyo.ac.jp/



 $\Delta m_{32}^2$ ,  $\theta_{13}$ ,  $\theta_{23}$ ,  $\delta_{CP}$ , mass hierarchy

$$N_{FD}^{\alpha \to \beta}(E_{reco}) = \sum_{i} \phi_{\alpha}(E_{true}) \times \sigma_{\beta}^{i}(E_{true}) \times \epsilon_{\beta}(E_{true}) \times R_{i}(E_{true}; E_{reco}) \times P_{\alpha\beta}(E_{true})$$



 $\Delta m_{32}^2$ ,  $\theta_{13}$ ,  $\theta_{23}$ ,  $\delta_{CP}$ , mass hierarchy

$$N_{FD}^{\alpha \to \beta}(E_{reco}) = \sum_{i} \phi_{\alpha}(E_{true}) \times \sigma_{\beta}^{i}(E_{true}) \times \epsilon_{\beta}(E_{true}) \times R_{i}(E_{true}; E_{reco}) \times P_{\alpha\beta}(E_{true})$$



 $\Delta m_{32}^2$ ,  $\theta_{13}$ ,  $\theta_{23}$ ,  $\delta_{CP}$ , mass hierarchy

$$N_{FD}^{\alpha \to \beta}(E_{reco}) = \sum_{i} \phi_{\alpha}(E_{true}) \times \sigma_{\beta}^{i}(E_{true}) \times \epsilon_{\beta}(E_{true}) \times R_{i}(E_{true}; E_{reco}) \times P_{\alpha\beta}(E_{true})$$



 $\Delta m_{32}^2$ ,  $\theta_{13}$ ,  $\theta_{23}$ ,  $\delta_{CP}$ , mass hierarchy

$$N_{FD}^{\alpha \to \beta}(E_{reco}) = \sum_{i} \phi_{\alpha}(E_{true}) \times \sigma_{\beta}^{i}(E_{true}) \times R_{i}(E_{true}; E_{reco}) \times \epsilon_{\beta}(E_{true}) \times P_{\alpha\beta}(E_{true})$$

Flux ( $\Phi$ )InteractionRelationshipmodel (cross between truth and<br/>section,  $\sigma$ )observables (R)

Efficiency ( $\epsilon$ )

Predicted event rate built from neutrino source, interaction, detector models

 $\Delta m_{32}^2$ ,  $\theta_{13}$ ,  $\theta_{23}$ ,  $\delta_{CP}$ , mass hierarchy

$$N_{FD}^{\alpha \to \beta}(E_{reco}) = \sum_{i} \phi_{\alpha}(E_{true}) \times \sigma_{\beta}^{i}(E_{true}) \times \epsilon_{\beta}(E_{true}) \times R_{i}(E_{true}; E_{reco}) \times P_{\alpha\beta}(E_{true})$$

$$N_{ND}^{\alpha}(E_{reco}) = \sum_{i} \phi_{\alpha}(E_{true}) \times \sigma_{\alpha}^{i}(E_{true}) \times \epsilon_{\alpha}(E_{true}) \times R_{i}(E_{true}; E_{reco})$$

#### Model is tested with near detector information

- Time dependent effects (beamline stability)
- Reduces shared systematic uncertainty on source (flux), interaction model

 $\Delta m_{32}^2$ ,  $\theta_{13}$ ,  $\theta_{23}$ ,  $\delta_{CP}$ , mass hierarchy

$$N_{FD}^{\alpha \to \beta}(E_{reco}) = \sum_{i} \phi_{\alpha}(E_{true}) \times \sigma_{\beta}^{i}(E_{true}) \times \epsilon_{\beta}(E_{true}) \times R_{i}(E_{true}; E_{reco}) \times P_{\alpha\beta}(E_{true})$$

$$N_{ND}^{\alpha}(E_{reco}) = \sum_{i} \phi_{\alpha}(E_{true}) \times \sigma_{\alpha}^{i}(E_{true}) \times \epsilon_{\alpha}(E_{true}) \times R_{i}(E_{true}; E_{reco})$$

#### Model is tested with near detector information



 $\Delta m_{32}^2$ ,  $\theta_{13}$ ,  $\theta_{23}$ ,  $\delta_{CP}$ , mass hierarchy

$$N_{FD}^{\alpha \to \beta}(E_{reco}) = \sum_{i} \phi_{\alpha}(E_{true}) \times \sigma_{\beta}^{i}(E_{true}) \times \epsilon_{\beta}(E_{true}) \times R_{i}(E_{true}; E_{reco}) \times P_{\alpha\beta}(E_{true})$$

$$N_{ND}^{\alpha}(E_{reco}) = \sum_{i} \phi_{\alpha}(E_{true}) \times \sigma_{\alpha}^{i}(E_{true}) \times \epsilon_{\alpha}(E_{true}) \times R_{i}(E_{true}; E_{reco})$$

#### Model is tested with near detector information



#### T2K: Data collection summary



OA2020 results: Run 1-10 v-mode POT (FHC) : 1.851 x 10<sup>21</sup> v-mode POT (RHC) : 1.651 x 10<sup>21</sup> Data taken with SK Gd: Run 11: v-mode POT (FHC) : 2.116 x  $10^{21}$  $\overline{v}$ -mode (RHC) POT : 1.651 x  $10^{21}$ Total delivered: 3.818x10<sup>21</sup>

#### T2K: Precision disappearance results



which are parameter values consistent with our data

#### T2K: Precision disappearance results



T2K data produces "allowed" regions (closed contours) which are parameter values consistent with our data

#### T2K: Precision disappearance results



T2K data is consistent with maximal mixing ( $\theta_{23}$ =45deg)

## The current global picture, part 1



Comparisons with other experiments (reactors, atmospheric neutrinos, accelerator-based) allow us to test if the three flavor picture is complete
	$\delta_{\rm CP} = -\pi/2$	$\delta_{\rm CP} = 0$	$\delta_{\rm CP} = \pi/2$	$\delta_{\rm CP} = \pi$	Data
FHC $1R\mu$	356.48	355.76	356.44	357.27	318
RHC $1 R \mu$	138.34	137.98	138.34	138.73	137
FHC 1Re	97.62	82.44	67.56	82.74	94
$ m RHC \ 1Re$	16.69	18.96	20.90	18.63	16
FHC 1R $\nu_e \text{ CC1}\pi^+$	9.20	8.01	6.51	7.71	14
FHC 1R $\mu$ ( $E_{\rm rec} < 1.2 {\rm GeV}$ )	213.40	213.06	213.36	213.81	191
RHC 1R $\mu$ ( $E_{\rm rec} < 1.2 {\rm GeV}$ )	68.53	68.34	68.53	68.74	71

Data currently has an excess of electron neutrino events,

	$\delta_{\rm CP} = -\pi/2$	$\delta_{\rm CP} = 0$	$\delta_{\rm CP} = \pi/2$	$\delta_{\rm CP} = \pi$	Data
FHC $1R\mu$	356.48	355.76	356.44	357.27	318
RHC $1 R \mu$	138.34	137.98	138.34	138.73	137
FHC 1Re	97.62	82.44	67.56	82.74	94
RHC 1Re	16.69	18.96	20.90	18.63	16
FHC 1R $\nu_e \text{ CC1}\pi^+$	9.20	8.01	6.51	7.71	14
FHC 1R $\mu$ ( $E_{\rm rec} < 1.2 {\rm GeV}$ )	213.40	213.06	213.36	213.81	191
RHC $1 \mathrm{R} \mu \ (E_{\mathrm{rec}} < 1.2 \mathrm{GeV})$	68.53	68.34	68.53	68.74	71

Data currently has an excess of electron neutrino events, and a deficit of electron antineutrino events...



CP phase vs. oscillation parameter ( $\theta_{13}$ )



Our data is also consistent with independent results (reactor measurements of  $\theta_{13}$  only) Combined, our data is inconsistent with some values of  $\delta_{CP}$  - first significant constraint on CP violation in neutrinos

### The current global picture, part 2



#### Projection now in $\delta_{CP}$ vs. $\theta_{23}$ space

### The current global picture, part 2



78

. 20

# Outline

Recent results from the Tokaito-Kamioka (T2K) neutrino oscillation experiment

Vibrant program in cross section and exotic physics

# T2K: Tackling the challenge of interactions



Oscillation analysis

**Model Development:** Reduced systematic uncertainty through external data, theory

**Completeness:** tests of impact of modeling with bias studies

Cross section measurements

Unique, new or improved, measurements for theory and current, future experimental program

#### Cross section results in 2020-2021

	Reference
First T2K measurement of transverse kinematic imbalance in the muon- neutrino charged-current single $\pi$ + production channel containing at least one proton	<i>PRD</i> 103 (2021) 11, 112009
Measurements of $v_{\mu}$ and $v_{\mu}$ charged-current cross-sections without detected pions or protons on water and hydrocarbon at a mean antineutrino energy of 0.86 GeV	<i>PTEP</i> 2021 (2021) 4, 043C01
Simultaneous measurement of the muon neutrino charged-current cross section on oxygen and carbon without pions in the final state at T2K	<i>PRD</i> 101 (2020) 11, 112004
Measurement of the charged-current electron (anti-)neutrino inclusive cross-sections at the T2K off-axis near detector ND280	<i>JHEP</i> 10 (2020) 114
First combined measurement of the muon neutrino and antineutrino charged-current cross section without pions in the final state at T2K	<i>PRD</i> 101 (2020) 11, 112001

### T2K exotics: Heavy Neutral Lepton search

 $K^+ \rightarrow \ell^+ N$ 

 $N \to \ell^{\pm} \pi^{\mp}, \ell^{\pm} \ell^{\mp} \nu$ 

Production of heavy neutral leptons (N) from kaon decay

- Uses large volume, low mass TPCs for signal selection
- Best high-mass limits on coupling to N to μ, e



### T2K: (light) sterile neutrino search

Search for sterile neutrinos... with the far detector

 3+1 model including muon, electron and neutral current samples



https://arxiv.org/abs/1902.06529

# Outline

What is the future of accelerator-based oscillation experiments?

### Continued run of T2K

- Plan to collect at least 10 x10<sup>21</sup> POT by ~2026
- Accelerator upgrade (to 1.3 MW)
  - 50% effective statistical gain from operational and systematic improvements (30% <u>achieved</u>)

# Continued run of T2K



- Plan to collect at least 10 x10<sup>21</sup> POT by ~2026
- Accelerator upgrade (to 1.3 MW)
  - 50% effective statistical gain from operational and systematic improvements (30% <u>achieved</u>)

# Continued run of T2K



- Plan to collect at least 10 x10<sup>21</sup> POT by ~2026
- Accelerator upgrade (to 1.3 MW)
  - 50% effective statistical gain from operational and systematic improvements (30% <u>achieved</u>)
- Upgrade to T2K beam line and near detectors ("ND upgrade"); incorporation of WAGASCI+ BabyMind into T2K

### Prospects for T2K: ND upgrade



- ND upgrade will have improved acceptance compared to ND280
- Improved constraint of cross section models within oscillation analysis; improved statistics at high angle for cross section measurements

# The bright future of neutrino physics Two big projects planned





Design report: arxiv1805.04163



### **Deep Underground Neutrino Experiment (DUNE)**



#### **New capabilities!**

Precision Reaction Independent Spectrum Measurement



#### **New capabilities!**

Precision Reaction Independent Spectrum Measurement



# Energy peak shifts down, spectrum narrows

#### **New capabilities!**

Precision Reaction Independent Spectrum Measurement



$$N_{FD}^{\alpha \to \beta}(\mathbf{p}_{reco}) = \sum_{i} \phi_{\alpha}(E_{true}) \times \sigma_{\beta}^{i}(\mathbf{p}_{true}) \times P_{\alpha\beta}(E_{true}) \times \epsilon_{\beta}(\mathbf{p}_{true}) \times R_{i}(\mathbf{p}_{true};\mathbf{p}_{reco})$$

Many near detector positions can approximate far detector oscillated flux!

Details in arxiv 2103.13910

# The frontier of neutrinos is exciting

 $\Delta m_{32}^2 \ [eV^2/c^4]$ 

2.8

2.6

2.4

2.2

0.35

0.4

0.45

<u>×10<sup>-3</sup></u>

T2K run 1-10

NOvA 2020

Is the three flavor picture complete? Consistency between measurements?

Is there CPV in neutrinos?

Is θ<sub>23</sub> maximal or not?

What is the mass hierarchy?

T2K Preliminary

+ Best fits

90% C.L.

0.65

 $\sin^2\theta_{23}$ 

Normal ordering

0.6

Super-K 2020

IceCube 2017

0.55

0.5

### The frontier of neutrinos is still being explored

Complementarity measurements!

Is there CPV in neutrinos?

Is  $\theta_{23}$  maximal or not?

What is the mass hierarchy?



# The future with neutrinos is bright

#### Let's keep exploring!







#### Come talk to me anytime about neutrinos!

#### mahn@msu.edu



#### Support from:

Department of Energy award DE-SC0015903, DUNE project



### Backup

### Complementary window: Matter effects





# Model Progress on T2K

Dominant uncertainty in oscillation analysis from neutrino interaction (cross section) model

	1-ring e-like			
Error source	v-mode	v-mode	v <sub>e</sub> /v <sub>e</sub>	
SK Detector	2.83	3.79	1.47	
SK FSI+SI+PN	3.02	2.31	1.58	
Flux + Xsec constrained	3.02	2.86	2.31	
E <sub>b</sub>	7.26	3.66	3.74	
σ(ν <sub>e</sub> )/σ(ν <sub>μ</sub> )	2.63	1.46	3.03	
ΝC1γ	1.07	2.58	1.49	
NC Other	0.14	0.33	0.18	
All Systematics	8.81	7.03	5.87	

### Prospects for T2K: WAGASCI+BabyMIND





- WAGASCI+BabyMIND adds another off-axis point (1.5 deg) for water target
- Sign selection for neutrino, antineutrino separation

Maximum benefit to T2K for model independent selection and extraction machinery; differential measurements<sub>01</sub>

### T2K oscillation analysis strategy

 $\Delta m_{32}^2$ ,  $\theta_{13}$ ,  $\theta_{23}$ ,  $\delta_{CP}$ , mass hierarchy

$$N_{FD}^{\alpha \to \beta}(E_{reco}) = \sum_{i} \phi_{\alpha}(E_{true}) \times \sigma_{\beta}^{i}(E_{true}) \times R_{i}(E_{true}; E_{reco}) \times \epsilon_{\beta}(E_{true}) \times P_{\alpha\beta}(E_{true})$$

Flux ( $\Phi$ )InteractionRelationshipmodel (cross between truth and<br/>section,  $\sigma$ )observables (R)

Efficiency ( $\epsilon$ )

Hadron production experiments

Accelerator R&D

Beamline monitoring Electron scattering data

Neutrino scattering data

Theoretical modelling

Simulation and software development

Simulation development

Detector R&D

External measurements, including test beams