## Simulations session.

Isabel Domínguez Jiménez

Facultad de Ciencias Físico-Matemáticas Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa isadoji@uas.edu.mx



MexNICA Collaboration Winter Meeting 2020

December 17, 2020

1. Alejandro Guirdo García.

Electromagnetic fields in heavy ion collisions at MPD-NICA energies

2. Valeria Zelina Reyna Ortiz.

Study of the Bi+Bi and p+p collisions for the MPD/NICA

3. Julio César Maldonado González.

Particle Identification with MPD-TPC tracks

4. Dario Chaires.

Au+Au collisions at NICA energies: UrQMD vs PHSD

5. José Jorge Medina Serna.

Hadron production at RHIC energies towards polarization studies using Therminator

# Electromagnetic fields in heavy ion collisions at MPD-NICA energies

1. The Lienard-Wiechert equations to calculate electromagnetic fields at any point in space and at any time.

UrQMD: Au+Au and Bi+Bi  $\sqrt{S_{NN}}$  = 4, 9, 11 GeV

 To simulate electromagnetic fields effect on UrQMD dynamic propagation we added the Lorentz force at the canonical equations Magnetic field effects on flows



Temporal evolution of electromagnetic fields produced by spectating and participating protons at  $\mathbf{r} = 0$  in Au + Au collisions with collision energy of 9 GeV in impact parameter ranges.

16/2

## Magnetic field effects on flows



magnetic fields to the dynamics of UrQMD. Au + Au events at collision energy  $(\sqrt{S_{NN}} = 11 \text{ GeV})$  and b = 7 fm.

December 16, 2020

э

Image: A matrix and a matrix

20/26

1. UrQMD+TPC: Bi+Bi collisions at energies of  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} =$  7, 9, 9.46 and 11 GeV.

Plots of the transverse momentum for the identified hadrons: kaons, pions, protons

2. UrQMD+TPC: p+p collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 10$  GeV.

Transverse momentum vs pseudorapidity





Comparing with UrQMD 10GeV 1000 Events (same urqmd production before reconstruction)

- Descending pT tendency for particles & tracks
- Reco-Tree has some events with 0 tracks
- Similar points, error bars justify some of the differences,
- Reconstruction finds more points (why?)

1. PID: Bayesian approach vs machine learning techniques (GLM) Preliminary results for UrQMD+TPC, Bi+Bi at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 11$ GeV.

## Fit and probability density function

Using a Gaussian probability density func- Obtaining the result: tion to fit data.

$$r(s|\pi) = A \exp\left(-\frac{(s-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$



- FCN = 68.3756
- Constant A = 1.84022e + 03, ERROR = 1.17648e + 01
- Mean  $\mu = 3.13593e + 03$ , ERROR = 3.19178e + 00
- Sigma  $\sigma = 2.00574e + 02.$ ERROR = 1.67078e + 00

## Probabilities a priori $C_i$

The probabilities  $C_i$  can be estimated with Time-of-Flight measurements,

$$m = \frac{p}{\beta\gamma} = p \sqrt{\frac{c^2 t^2}{l^2} - 1}$$



Figure 3:  $M^2$  TOF for 10k events for primary particles.

## Generalized Linear Model (GLM)

Coefficients:

	Estimate	Std. Error	
(Intercept)	-7.51945	12.06997	
dEdx	0.00377	0.00365	
Р	8.06809	9.61674	

The discriminant function can be write as follow,

y = -7.51954 + (0.00377)dEdx + (8.06809)P

A test data element is defined as followed, obtaining a probability from 0 to 1 for both classes (protons (0) and pions(1)),

newdata = data.frame(P = 0.377411, dEdx = 2782.666)
predict(model, newdata, type="response")

1. Study for pay geometry for the BeBe with PHSD generator.

Au+Au at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 9$  and 11 GeV with both glue1 and glue0. This was made with the purpose of checking the implications of turning off the partonic phase.

## 500 000 events of Au+Au $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 9$ GeV



#### Pseudorapidity distributions



- BeBe pay, PHSD @9 GeV
- Primary particles that hit the BeBe
- glue0 (black dots)
- glue1 (red dots)
- Overall glue0 seems to surpass glue1 slightly
- The difference can only be perceived at pseudorapidity ranges between ±4 and ±2.

## 500 000 events of Au+Au $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 9$ GeV



#### Transverse momentum distributions



Pt primary charged particles. BMD . 500000 Au+Au @9GeV PHSD. glue0.

- Same configuration as before
- On this distribution a difference is almost not perceptible
- Lumpiness for great values of P<sub>T</sub>



To go further in this analysis, over the following sections, I'm showing the results coming from the distributions of hits per event using PHSD and the Pay geometry





All: around 1.4 Primary: around 0.65 Secondary: around 0.75 glue1



All: around 1.2 Primary: around 0.55 Secondary: around 0.65

### Second ring of BeBe Pay at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 9$ GeV





All: around 1.2 Primary: around 0.45 Secondary: around 0.7 All: around 1 Primary: around 0.35 Secondary: around 0.65

26 28



$$\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 9 \text{ GeV}$$

Ring	Gen. Setting	All	1ary	2ary
1	glue0	$\sim 1.4$	$\sim 0.65$	$\sim 0.75$
	glue1	$\sim 1.2$	$\sim 0.55$	$\sim 0.65$
2	glue0	$\sim 1.2$	$\sim 0.45$	$\sim 0.7$
	glue1	$\sim 1$	$\sim 0.35$	> 0.65
3	glue0	> 0.7	> 0.25	> 0.45
	glue1	< 0.75	< 0.3	$\sim 0.45$

$$\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 11 \, \text{GeV}$$

Ring	Gen. Setting	All	1ary	2ary
1	glue0	$\sim 1.6$	$\sim 0.7$	$\sim 0.9$
	glue1	$\sim$ 1.25	$\sim 0.5$	< 0.8
2	glue0	$\sim 0.88$	$\sim 0.26$	> 0.6
	glue1	$\sim 0.9$	$\sim 0.26$	$\sim 0.62$
3	glue0	$\sim 0.82$	> 0.3	$\sim 0.5$
	glue1	> 0.82	$\sim 0.3$	$\sim 0.5$

## Hadron production at RHIC energies towards polarization studies using Therminator

1. The main goal of this work is to implement a polarization mechanism in therminator.

Therminator simulation: Au+Au at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$ 

Freeze-out models: Siemens-Rassmusen and Schnedermann-Sollfrank-Heinz



Figure: Comparison of the transverse momentum distribution at |y| < 0.5 for the  $\pi^+$  obtained in Therminator with parameters showed in 1 for the Cracow single freeze-out model with 1000 events with the data reported (Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 152301).

6/24 19



Figure: Comparison of the transverse momentum spectra for  $\pi^+$  between Siemens-Rassmusen spherical model and Schnedermann-Sollfrank-Heinz cylindrical model using the parameters showed in table 1 with STAR data (Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 152301).



Figure: Comparison of the transverse momentum spectra for p between Siemens-Rassmusen spherical model and Schnedermann-Sollfrank-Heinz cylindrical model using the parameters showed in table 1 with STAR data (Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 152301).

## To Do

- Electromagnetic fields in heavy ion collisions at MPD-NICA energies
  - 1. Test EM added in  $\mathsf{UrQMD}$  .
  - 2. Observables for studies with and without potentials.
- Study of the Bi+Bi and p+p collisions for the MPD/NICA
  - 1. Studies of PID and reconstruction tracks with TPC
- Particle Identification with MPD-TPC tracks
  - 1. Implement r(s|i) probability density functions and  $C_i$  obtain from histograms to calculate bayesian conditional probability  $\omega(i|s)$ .
  - 2. Compare with GLM model results implementing in R for a binomial class data set.

## • Au+Au collisions at NICA energies: UrQMD vs PHSD

- 1. Check  $p_T$  and  $\eta$  distribution for BeBe pay geomety
- 2. LAQGSM
- Hadron production at RHIC energies towards polarization studies using Therminator
  - 1. Improve Therminator studies
  - 2. Studying polarization mechanism in heavy-ion collisions
  - 3. Include a polarization term in the freeze-out models and Therminator