







UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE SAN MARTÍN

SD-Triggers: Dead-Times and other features

Martin Schimassek, Xavier Bertou, Darko Veberič



www.kit.edu

Where did this come up again?





- searching for a explanation of why Sd-'rings' are rings
- need to understand why we trigger and why not

→ available data: T2Dumps, sddata

→ use time differences of triggers to understand when triggering is possible

The situation previously







time differences
 between two triggers
 of a station summed
 over all stations

- mostly very well explained by the expected exponential
- veto period of 20 µs
- not-understood features at small time differences

Trying to explain the features



peak originates
 from a handful of
 stations [also shown
 previously]

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology

NACIONAL DE SAN MARTÍN

- origin of those peaks is unknown
- detection of those
 stations was changed
 here to a KS-test

[was shown before in LTP meetings in 2018]

New rejection algorithm







 remove outliers by comparing with a expected function

- expect:

- exponential decay [fit shown in plot]

- 20 µs veto

- ~250 µs plateau of fewer triggers

['] - use this model and a KS-test to remove bad stations

New rejection algorithm: Side Note





- also the ToT part is odd for this station

- rejection algorithm works the same for the ToT only and is applied to both

Here: station 111, with 101-12 Data: 01-05 June 2016

New rejection algorithm: issues



UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE SAN MARTÍN



- high-false positive rate [e.g. plot]

- fits of exponential sometimes fail for unknown reason

Caveat on that:
no detailed tuning performed
goal was to remove the bad ones for sure, so the cut is not

conservative!

7

New rejection algorithm: conclusions



- better:
 - no detailed focus on a certain peak \rightarrow more general in finding anomalies
- problems:
 - high-false positive rate
 - fits of exponential sometimes fail for unknown reason
 - some arbitrary choices on details of model function (250 µs dip)
 - needs rejection of lightning periods!
- Caveats:
 - no detailed tuning performed
 - goal was to remove the bad ones for sure, so the cut is not conservative!
- for now no updates planned: we stumbled on this during other work only (if we find issues with the data from stations affected by this, we will reconsider)







Cleaned Spectra: ToTs











Newer data: trigger flags are sent in more detail ToT, ToTd and MoPS can be distinguished (in T2Dumps, Xb is not using this!)



Cleaned Spectra: ToTs







MoPS: - clear recovery structure - overshoot

all ToTs: unusual spectrum is mostly due to the new triggers. Details of why unknown to me.

Explaining the missing triggers





- first idea: the highest priority of a station is to fix the GPS timing at the beginning of the second

→ is this deficit only present in the first part of a second?

→ no, visible over the whole second

time difference of two triggers, binned by their mean µs within a second



Explaining the missing triggers





Other explanations?

- check higher-orders: i.e. the time difference of the third to the first trigger, fourth to the first trigger, ... per station

- expect: exponentially damped exponential

 \rightarrow on large time scales ok!

Zooming In





On small time scales: - peaks, but this is lightning period [Sd-ring study]

 significant intervals without triggers!

 plot more clearly by taking the bin with >5 triggers as start time

Zooming In



NACIONAL DE SAN MARTÍN

Karlsruhe Institute of

17

Summary & Conclusion

Part 1 – Unusual stations

- rejection now with KS-test
- cut is not trying to keep as many stations as possible
- relation to high-level / trace data unknown

Part 2 – Dead Times

- GPS calibration is visible in the triggers, but a very small effect
- UB has a dead-time of about 250 μs after more than 2 triggers
- UUB probably not
- Possible effects:
 - very rarely a (good) silent station in HE events.
 - missing triggers in extreme conditions [Sd-rings, lightning periods]

r² / km



