

ATLAS results on charmonium production and B_c^+ production and decays

Tatiana Lyubushkina¹
on behalf of ATLAS Collaboration

¹Joint Institute for Nuclear Research
Tatiana.Lyubushkina@cern.ch




19th International Conference on Hadron Spectroscopy and Structure
26-31 July 2021


Introduction

B-physics at ATLAS

- ▶ Possible to measure heavy flavour (HF) production at *high energy*
- ▶ Much *higher HF yields* in *pp* environment compared to B-factories
- ▶ Extended spectroscopy studies are possible
- ▶ ATLAS and CMS, although not specially optimized for B-physics, provide *complementary kinematic region to LHCb*
 - ▶ Benefit from *higher statistics* in certain analyses
- ▶ This talk covers a selection of ATLAS results
 - ▶ High- p_T J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ production at 13 TeV [ATLAS-CONF-2019-047](#)
 - ▶ Relative B_c/B^+ production measurement at 8 TeV – [Phys. Rev. D 104, 012010](#)
 - ▶ Study of the $B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^+$ and $B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^{*+}$ decays in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV with the ATLAS detector [ATLAS-CONF-2021-046](#)





High- p_T J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ production measurement

- ▶ Two different mechanisms for charmonium production:
 - ▶ *Prompt*: directly in pp interaction or via feed-down from heavier states
 - ▶ *Non-prompt*: from decays of b hadrons
 - ▶ Can be distinguished by fitting the pseudo proper lifetime
 - ▶ FONLL calculations within the framework of perturbative QCD have been reasonably successful in describing the non-prompt contributions
 - ▶ Satisfactory understanding of the prompt production mechanisms is still to be achieved
- ▶ Previous ATLAS measurement: J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ differential x-sections at 7, 8 TeV – *Eur. Phys. J. C* 76 (2016) 283 

 - ▶ Overall reasonable agreement with theoretical predictions for prompt and non-prompt production
 - ▶ Di-muon triggers with low thresholds – could not reach beyond p_T of ~ 100 GeV





- ▶ New measurement focuses on high- p_T charmonia
 - ▶ Help to discriminate various theoretical models
 - ▶ Use *single-muon* triggers (50 GeV muon p_T threshold) to cover the range **60–360 GeV**
 - ▶ Full Run-2 dataset, 139 fb^{-1} at 13 TeV



High- p_T J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ measurement

Measured are

- Prompt and non-prompt J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ double-differential x-sections
- Non-prompt fraction for J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$
- $\psi(2S)/J/\psi$ production ratio for prompt and non-prompt



- p_T ranges extend significantly
- FONLL consistent at low- p_T , over-estimates high- p_T production

Relative B_c^+ / B^+ production measurement at 8 TeV

- ▶ B_c^+ is the only known weakly decaying particle made of two heavy quarks

▶ Motivation:





- ▶ test of the QCD prediction;
- ▶ important input for heavy quark production models;
- ▶ complements CMS and LHCb measurements;

- ▶ Measure the ratio:
$$\frac{\sigma(B_c^+) \cdot \mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+) \cdot \mathcal{B}(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)}{\sigma(B^+) \cdot \mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+) \cdot \mathcal{B}(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-)}$$

- ▶ Common systematic uncertainties mostly cancel

- ▶ Fiducial region of the measurement:

- ▶ $p_T(B) > 13 \text{ GeV}$, $|y(B)| < 2.3$
- ▶ In addition to the full bin two bins in p_T ($13 < p_T(B) < 22 \text{ GeV}$ and $p_T > 22 \text{ GeV}$) and two bins in rapidity ($|y| < 0.75$ and $0.75 < |y| < 2.3$) were defined





B_c^+ / B^+ production: results



- Production ratio in the fiducial region

$$\frac{\sigma(B_c^+) \cdot \mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+) \cdot \mathcal{B}(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)}{\sigma(B^+) \cdot \mathcal{B}(B^+ \rightarrow J/\psi K^+) \cdot \mathcal{B}(J/\psi \rightarrow \mu^+\mu^-)} =$$

$$(0.34 \pm 0.04(\text{stat.})_{-0.02}^{+0.06}(\text{syst.}) \pm 0.01(\text{lifetime}))\%$$


- Lower than the **LHCb result**  for more forward and lower- p_T fiducial volume: $(0.683 \pm 0.018 \pm 0.009)\%$, $0 < p_T(B) < 20 \text{ GeV}$, $2 < y(B) < 4.5$
- Fairly consistent with the **CMS result**  in a similar (but not identical) fiducial volume: $(0.48 \pm 0.05(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.03(\text{syst.}) \pm 0.05(\text{lifetime}))\%$ at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \text{ TeV}$, $p_T(B) > 15 \text{ GeV}$, $|y(B)| < 1.6$
- B_c^+ production decreases faster with p_T than that for B^+
- No evident rapidity dependence

Analysis bin	$\sigma(B_c^\pm)/\sigma(B^\pm) \times \mathcal{B}(B_c^\pm \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^\pm)/\mathcal{B}(B^\pm \rightarrow J/\psi K^\pm)$
$p_T(B) > 13 \text{ GeV}$, $ y(B) < 2.3$	$(0.34 \pm 0.04_{\text{stat}}^{+0.06}_{-0.02} \text{syst} \pm 0.01_{\text{lifetime}})\%$
$13 < p_T(B) < 22 \text{ GeV}$, $ y(B) < 2.3$	$(0.44 \pm 0.07_{\text{stat}}^{+0.09}_{-0.04} \text{syst} \pm 0.01_{\text{lifetime}})\%$
$p_T(B) > 22 \text{ GeV}$, $ y(B) < 2.3$	$(0.24 \pm 0.04_{\text{stat}}^{+0.05}_{-0.01} \text{syst} \pm 0.01_{\text{lifetime}})\%$
$p_T(B) > 13 \text{ GeV}$, $ y(B) < 0.75$	$(0.38 \pm 0.06_{\text{stat}}^{+0.05}_{-0.04} \text{syst} \pm 0.01_{\text{lifetime}})\%$
$p_T(B) > 13 \text{ GeV}$, $0.75 < y(B) < 2.3$	$(0.29 \pm 0.05_{\text{stat}}^{+0.07}_{-0.02} \text{syst} \pm 0.01_{\text{lifetime}})\%$



New: Study of the $B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^{(*)+}$


- ▶ Decays $B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^{(*)+}$ can occur through b decay with c as spectator, or through annihilation diagram



- ▶ Only $B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^{(*)+}$ decays observed earlier by LHCb ([PRD 87 \(2013\) 112012](#)) and ATLAS ([EPJC 76 \(2016\) 4](#)).
- ▶ This analysis aims at more precise measurement of $B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^{(*)+}$ branching fraction and polarization with full Run-2 data
 - ▶ Test various approaches predicting these ([perturbative QCD calculation](#), [relativistic potential models](#), [sum rules calculations](#)...)

Study of the $B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^{(*)+}$ decays

- ▶ $B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)D_s^+(\rightarrow \phi(\rightarrow K^+K^-)\pi^+)$
- ▶ $B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi(\mu^+\mu^-)D_s^{*+}(\rightarrow D_s^+\gamma/\pi^0)$
 - ▶ Same reconstructed final state, soft neutral particle escapes detection
- ▶ **Reference channel:** $B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+$
 - ▶ Use it for \mathcal{B} measurement
- ▶ Define fiducial range of the measurement:
 $p_T(B_c^+) > 15 \text{ GeV}, |\eta(B_c^+)| < 2.0$
- ▶ Measured quantities:
 - ▶ Ratios b/w \mathcal{B} of signal channels and $\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+)$: $R_{D_s^{(*)+}/\pi^+}$
 - ▶ Ratios b/w \mathcal{B} 's of signal channels (to cancel some of the uncertainties): $R_{D_s^{*+}/D_s^+}$
 - ▶ Transverse polarisation fraction $\Gamma_{\pm\pm}/\Gamma$ for $B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^{*+}$



Study of the $B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^{(*)+}$ decays





- ▶ *Dataset 1*: candidates in the events collected by the standard dimuon or three-muon triggers without requirements on additional ID track.

- ▶ can be safely used to measure

$$R_{D_s^+/\pi^+}, R_{D_s^{*+}/\pi^+}$$

- ▶ *Dataset 2*: candidates collected only by the dedicated $B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \phi$ triggers and not by other ones used in the analysis.

- ▶ improve sensitivity to $R_{D_s^{*+}/D_s^+}$, $\Gamma_{\pm\pm}/\Gamma$




Study of the $B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^{(*)+}$ decays: results

$$R_{D_s^+/\pi^+} = 2.76 \pm 0.33(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.29(\text{syst.}) \pm 0.16(\text{br.f.})$$

$$R_{D_s^{*+}/\pi^+} = 5.33 \pm 0.61(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.67(\text{syst.}) \pm 0.32(\text{br.f.})$$

$$R_{D_s^{*+}/D_s^+} = 1.93 \pm 0.24(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.10(\text{syst.})$$


$$\Gamma_{\pm\pm}/\Gamma = 0.70 \pm 0.10(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.04(\text{syst.})$$



- ▶ All results are consistent with the earlier measurements of ATLAS and LHCb.
- ▶ The precision of the measurement exceeds that of all previous studies of these decays




$R_{D_s^+/\pi^+}$	$R_{D_s^{*+}/\pi^+}$	$R_{D_s^{*+}/D_s^+}$	$\Gamma_{\pm\pm}/\Gamma$	Ref.
2.76 ± 0.47	5.33 ± 0.96	1.93 ± 0.26	0.70 ± 0.11	ATLAS Run 2
2.90 ± 0.62	–	2.37 ± 0.57	0.52 ± 0.20	LHCb Run 1
3.8 ± 1.2	10.4 ± 3.5	$2.8^{+1.2}_{-0.9}$	0.38 ± 0.24	ATLAS Run 1
2.6	4.5	1.7	–	QCD potential model
1.3	5.2	3.9	–	QCD sum rules
2.0	5.7	2.9	–	RCQM
1.29 ± 0.26	5.09 ± 1.02	3.96 ± 0.80	0.46 ± 0.09	CCQM
2.2	–	–	–	BSW
2.06 ± 0.86	–	3.01 ± 1.23	–	LFQM
$3.45^{+0.49}_{-0.17}$	–	$2.54^{+0.07}_{-0.21}$	0.48 ± 0.04	pQCD
–	–	–	0.410	RIQM
3.257 ± 0.293	–	–	–	FNCM

Summary

- ▶ A selection of ATLAS results on heavy flavour production was presented
 - ▶ Production of hidden charm
 - ▶ Physics of B_c^+ mesons
- ▶ More can be found here:
 - ▶ [ATLAS B-physics public results page](#) 
- ▶ Many interesting results are still to come!

Backup slides



ATLAS detector and trigger



J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ fits



i	Type	P/NP	$f_i(m)$	$h_i(\tau)$
1	J/ψ	P	$\omega G_1(m) + (1 - \omega)CB_1(m)$	$\delta(\tau)$
2	J/ψ	NP	$\omega G_1(m) + (1 - \omega)CB_1(m)$	$E_1(\tau)$
3	$\psi(2S)$	P	$\omega G_2(m) + (1 - \omega)CB_2(m)$	$\delta(\tau)$
4	$\psi(2S)$	NP	$\omega G_2(m) + (1 - \omega)CB_2(m)$	$E_2(\tau)$
5	Bkg	P	B	$\delta(\tau)$
6	Bkg	NP	$E_4(m)$	$E_5(\tau)$
7	Bkg	NP	$E_6(m)$	$E_7(\tau)$

Notation	Function
G	Gaussian
CB	Crystal Ball
E	Exponential
B	Bernstein polynomials




High- p_T J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ measurement

- ▶ Measured are
 - ▶ Prompt and non-prompt J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$ double-differential x-sections
 - ▶ Non-prompt fraction for J/ψ and $\psi(2S)$
 - ▶ $\psi(2S)/J/\psi$ production ratio for prompt and non-prompt
- ▶ p_T ranges extend significantly
- ▶ FONLL consistent at low- p_T , over-estimates high- p_T production



$B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+$ fit

Parameter	Value
$m_{B_c^+}$ [MeV]	6274.5 ± 1.5
$\sigma_{B_c^+}$ [MeV]	47.5 ± 2.5
$N_{B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^+}$	8440^{+550}_{-470}







$B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^{(*)+}$ fit PDF

- ▶ 2D unbinned ML fit of $m(J/\psi D_s^+)$ and $|\cos\theta'(\mu^+)|$; mass and angular PDF are factorized
- ▶ ratio between $B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^+$ and $B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^{*+}$ yield and $f_{\pm\pm}$ are the same in DS1 and DS2
- ▶ $B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^+$ signal
 - ▶ *mass*: modified Gaussian¹
 - ▶ $|\cos\theta'(\mu^+)|$: MC kernel template (same in DS1 and DS2)
- ▶ $B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^{*+}$ signals, separately $A_{\pm\pm}$ and A_{00} components
 - ▶ *mass*: MC kernel templates (same in DS1 and DS2)
 - ▶ $|\cos\theta'(\mu^+)|$: MC kernel templates (same in DS1 and DS2)
- ▶ Background
 - ▶ *mass*: exponential (same slope in DS1 and DS2)
 - ▶ $|\cos\theta'(\mu^+)|$: 2nd order polynomial (same parameters in DS1 and DS2)

¹ $Gauss^{mod} \propto \exp(-0.5 \times t^{1+1/(1+t/2)})$, where $t = |m(J/\psi D_s^+) - m_{B_c^+}|/\sigma_{B_c^+}$

$B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^{(*)+}$ fit result



Parameter	Value
$m_{B_c^+}$ [MeV]	6274.8 ± 1.4
$\sigma_{B_c^+}$ [MeV]	11.5 ± 1.5
$r_{D_s^{*+}/D_s^+}$	1.76 ± 0.22
$f_{\pm\pm}$	0.70 ± 0.10
$N_{B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^+}^{\text{DS1}}$	193 ± 20
$N_{B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^+}^{\text{DS2}}$	49 ± 10
$N_{B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^{*+}}^{\text{DS1}}$	338 ± 32
$N_{B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^{*+}}^{\text{DS1\&2}}$	241 ± 28
$N_{B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^{*+}}^{\text{DS1\&2}}$	424 ± 46

Ratios calculation

$$R_{D_s^{(*)+}/\pi^+} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^{(*)+})}{\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+)} = \frac{N_{B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^{(*)+}}^{\text{DS1}}}{N_{B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+}} \times \frac{\varepsilon_{B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+}}{\varepsilon_{B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^{(*)+}}^{\text{DS1}}} \times \frac{1}{\mathcal{B}(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi(K^+K^-)\pi^+)}, \quad (1)$$

▶ $\mathcal{B}(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi(K^+K^-)\pi^+)$ taken as $m(K^+K^-)$ -dependent, using CLEO measurement, recalculated to ± 7 MeV

$$R_{D_s^{*+}/D_s^+} = \frac{\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^{*+})}{\mathcal{B}(B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^+)} = \frac{N_{B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^{*+}}^{\text{DS1\&2}}}{N_{B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^+}^{\text{DS1\&2}}} \times \frac{\varepsilon_{B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^+}^{\text{DS1\&2}}}{\varepsilon_{B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^{*+}}^{\text{DS1\&2}}} = r_{D_s^{*+}/D_s^+} \times \frac{\varepsilon_{B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^+}^{\text{DS1\&2}}}{\varepsilon_{B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^{*+}}^{\text{DS1\&2}}}, \quad (2)$$

$$\varepsilon_{B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^{*+}} = \frac{1}{f_{\pm\pm}/\varepsilon_{B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^{*+}, A_{\pm\pm}} + (1 - f_{\pm\pm})/\varepsilon_{B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^{*+}, A_{00}}}, \quad (3)$$

$$\Gamma_{\pm\pm}/\Gamma = f_{\pm\pm} \times \frac{\varepsilon_{B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^{*+}}^{\text{DS1\&2}}}{\varepsilon_{B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^{*+}, A_{\pm\pm}}^{\text{DS1\&2}}}. \quad (4)$$

Mode	$\varepsilon_{B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi X}^{\text{DS1}}$ [%]	$\varepsilon_{B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi X}^{\text{DS1\&2}}$ [%]
$B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^+$	0.971 ± 0.012	1.163 ± 0.013
$B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^{*+}, A_{00}$	0.916 ± 0.012	1.088 ± 0.012
$B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^{*+}, A_{\pm\pm}$	0.868 ± 0.010	1.049 ± 0.011
$B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+$	2.169 ± 0.018	–

Source	Uncertainty [%]			$\Gamma_{\pm\pm}/\Gamma$
	$R_{D_s^+/\pi^+}$	$R_{D_s^{*+}/\pi^+}$	$R_{D_s^{*+}/D_s^+}$	
Simulated $p_T(B_c^+)$ spectrum	1.5	1.9	0.4	0.1
Simulated $ \eta(B_c^+) $ spectrum	0.7	0.7	0.1	0.2
B_c^+ lifetime	0.1	< 0.1	-	-
D_s^+ lifetime	0.4	0.4	-	-
Tracking efficiency	1.0	1.0	< 0.1	< 0.1
Pile-up effects	1.0	1.0	-	-
χ^2/ndf cut efficiency	3.2	3.2	-	-
Impact parameter cuts efficiency	0.2	0.2	-	-
BDT cut efficiency	1.3	1.3	-	-
Trigger efficiency	1.0	1.0	-	-
$B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi D_s^{(*)+}$ signal fit:				
D_s^+ signal mass modelling	1.8	0.5	1.3	0.8
D_s^{*+} signal mass modelling	0.6	1.2	1.7	2.7
signal angular modelling	0.4	< 0.1	0.4	0.6
background mass modelling	6.0	9.0	3.2	1.0
background angular modelling	0.9	1.3	2.1	2.4
$B_s^0 \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^- \phi$ triggers	0.8	0.5	1.3	4.0
$B_c^+ \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^+$ signal fit				
signal modelling	4.2	4.2	-	-
PRD/comb. background modelling	5.8	5.8	-	-
CKM-suppr. background modelling	1.0	1.0	-	-
MC statistics	1.5	1.5	1.7	1.5
Total	10.7	12.6	5.0	5.8
$B(D_s^+ \rightarrow \phi(K^+ K^-)\pi^+)$	5.9	5.9	-	-

- ▶ Effect of muon reconstruction and identification efficiency uncertainty affects individual channel efficiencies by about 1–2%. However, for the measured quantities, due to cancellation in the efficiency ratios, it is found to be negligible.