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## Motivation

- The LHCb Collaboration ${ }^{1}$ found the hidden-charm pentaquark state with strangeness in the analysis of $\Xi_{b}^{-} \rightarrow J / \psi \wedge K^{-}$.

$$
M_{P_{c s}}=4458.8 \pm 2.9_{-1.1}^{+4.7} \mathrm{MeV}, \quad \Gamma_{P_{c s}}=17.3_{-5.7}^{+8.0} \mathrm{MeV}
$$

- In principle, the hidden-charm pentaquark states can be produced by meson beams such as the pion and kaon.
- The systematic investigation of $K^{-} p \rightarrow J / \psi \wedge$ production mechanism will provide helpful guidance on possible future experiments and on determining the spin-parity quantum number of $P_{c s}$.
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## Effective Lagrangian Method (Model I)

## Background Part



* The coupling constants for vertex $p \wedge K$ and $p \wedge K^{*}$ are taken from the Nijmegen extended-soft-core model (ESC08a) ${ }^{2}$.

K-exchange:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{J / \psi K K} & =-i g_{J / \psi K K} \psi^{\mu}\left(K^{+} \partial_{\mu} K^{-}-K^{-} \partial_{\mu} K^{+}\right) \\
\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda N K} & =-\frac{f_{\Lambda N K}}{m_{\pi}} \bar{\Lambda} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} N \partial^{\mu} K+\text { h.c. }
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }^{2}$ T. A. Rijken et al., Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 185; 14-71 (2010).

## Background Part



* The coupling constants for vertex $p \wedge K$ and $p \wedge K^{*}$ are taken from the Nijmegen extended-soft-core model (ESC08a) ${ }^{2}$.
$K^{*}$-exchange:
$\mathcal{L}_{J / \psi K K^{*}}=-\frac{g_{J / \psi K K^{*}}}{m_{\psi}} \varepsilon^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} \partial_{\mu} \psi_{\nu} K \partial_{\alpha} K_{\beta}^{*}$,
$\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda N K^{*}}=-g_{\Lambda N K^{*}} \bar{\Lambda} \gamma^{\mu} N K_{\mu}^{*}-\frac{f_{\Lambda N K^{*}}}{4 m_{N}} \bar{\Lambda} \sigma^{\mu \nu} N\left(\partial_{\mu} K_{\nu}^{*}-\partial_{\nu} K_{\mu}^{*}\right)+$ h.c.
where $\varepsilon^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta}$ is Levi-Civita symbol and $\sigma^{\mu \nu}=i\left(\gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu}-\gamma^{\nu} \gamma^{\mu}\right) / 2$
${ }^{2}$ T. A. Rijken et al., Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 185; 14-71 (2010).


## Background Part

$t$-channel


* The coupling constants for vertex $p \wedge K$ and $p \wedge K^{*}$ are taken from the Nijmegen extended-soft-core model (ESC08a) ${ }^{2}$.
* The coupling constans for $J / \psi K K$ and $J / \psi K K^{*}$ are calculated by using partial decay width.

Decay Amplitude:

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{J / \psi K K} & =-g_{J / \psi K K}\left(q_{K}-q_{K}^{\prime}\right)_{\mu} \epsilon^{\mu} \\
A_{J / \psi K K^{*}} & =-\frac{g_{J / \psi K K^{*}}}{m_{\psi}} \varepsilon^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} q_{\psi \mu} q_{K^{*} \alpha} \epsilon_{\nu} \epsilon_{K^{*} \beta}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

Partial decay width:

$$
\Gamma(J / \psi \rightarrow M M)=\frac{|\mathrm{k}|}{8 \pi M_{J / \psi}^{2}} \frac{1}{2 J+1} \sum_{\lambda_{1}=-J}^{J} \sum_{\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}}|A(J / \psi \rightarrow M M)|^{2}
$$

${ }^{2}$ T. A. Rijken et al., Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 185, 14-71 (2010).

## Background Part



* The coupling constants for vertex $p \wedge K$ and $p \wedge K^{*}$ are taken from the Nijmegen extended-soft-core model (ESC08a) ${ }^{2}$.
* The coupling constans for $J / \psi K K$ and $J / \psi K K^{*}$ are calculated by using partial decay width.

Coupling Constants:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{\Lambda N K}=-0.2643, \quad g_{\Lambda N K^{*}}=-1.1983, \quad f_{\Lambda N K^{*}}=-4.2386 \\
& g_{J / \psi K K}=7.12 \times 10^{-4}, \quad g_{J / \psi K K^{*}}=8.82 \times 10^{-3}
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Background Part

$t$-channel


* The coupling constants for vertex $p \wedge K$ and $p \wedge K^{*}$ are taken from the Nijmegen extended-soft-core model (ESC08a) ${ }^{2}$.
* The coupling constans for $J / \psi K K$ and $J / \psi K K^{*}$ are calculated by using partial decay width.
$K$-exchange:

$$
\mathcal{M}_{K}=\frac{g_{J / \psi K K} f_{\Lambda N K}}{m_{\pi}} \bar{u}\left(p_{4}, \lambda_{4}\right) \gamma_{5} \frac{\left(2 p_{1}-p_{3}\right) \cdot \epsilon^{*}\left(p_{3}, \lambda_{3}\right)}{t-m_{K}^{2}} \phi_{t} u\left(p_{2}, \lambda_{2}\right)
$$

$K^{*}$-exchange:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{K^{*}}= & i \frac{g_{J / \psi K K^{*}} g_{\Lambda N K^{*}}}{m_{\psi}} \bar{u}\left(p_{4}, \lambda_{4}\right) \frac{\varepsilon_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} p_{3}^{\mu} \epsilon^{* \nu}\left(p_{3}, \lambda_{3}\right) q_{t}^{\alpha}}{t-m_{K^{*}}^{2}}\left(-g^{\beta \sigma}+\frac{q_{t}^{\beta} q_{t}^{\sigma}}{m_{\kappa^{*}}^{2}}\right) \\
& \times\left(\gamma_{\sigma}+i \frac{\kappa_{K^{*}}}{2 m_{N}} \sigma_{\gamma \sigma} q_{t}^{\gamma}\right) u\left(p_{2}, \lambda_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

${ }^{2}$ T. A. Rijken et al., Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. 185, 14-71 (2010).

## Background Part



* The coupling $J / \psi N N$ is taken from the coupling of $J / \psi$ to $N \bar{N}$ value ${ }^{3}: g_{J / \psi N N}=1.62 \times$ $10^{-3}$
* The tensor coupling is not included in the present work since it is related to the charmed magnetic moment of the nucleon which can be neglected.

N -exchange:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{J / \psi N N} & =-g_{J / \psi N N} \bar{N} \gamma_{\mu} \psi^{\mu} N-\frac{f_{J / \psi N N}}{2 M_{N}} \bar{N} \sigma_{\mu \nu} \psi^{\mu \nu} N+\text { h.c. } \\
\mathcal{L}_{\Lambda N K} & =-\frac{f_{\Lambda N K}}{m_{\pi}} \bar{\Lambda} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} N \partial^{\mu} K+\text { h.c. }
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Background Part



* The coupling $J / \psi N N$ is taken from the coupling of $J / \psi$ to $N \bar{N}$ value ${ }^{3}: g_{J / \psi N N}=1.62 \times$ $10^{-3}$
* The tensor coupling is not included in the present work since it is related to the charmed magnetic moment of the nucleon which can be neglected.
$N$-exchange:

$$
\mathcal{M}_{N}=-\frac{g_{J / \psi N N} f_{\Lambda N K}}{m_{\pi}} \bar{u}\left(p_{4}, \lambda_{4}\right) \gamma_{5} \not p_{1} \frac{\phi_{u}+m_{N}}{u-m_{N}^{2}} \not \oint^{*}\left(p_{3}, \lambda_{3}\right) u\left(p_{2}, \lambda_{2}\right)
$$
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## Resonance Part



* Since the spin-parity quantum number of $P_{c s}$ is experimentally unknown, we considered six different cases, i.e. $J^{P}=1 / 2^{ \pm}, J^{P}=3 / 2^{ \pm}$, and $J^{P}=5 / 2^{ \pm}$.
$P_{c s}\left(1 / 2^{ \pm}\right)$exchange:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{P \Lambda J / \psi}^{1 / 2 \pm} & =-g_{P \Lambda J / \psi} \bar{P} \Gamma_{\mu}^{\mp} \Lambda \psi^{\mu}+\frac{f_{P \Lambda J / \psi}}{2 m_{\Lambda}} \bar{P} \sigma_{\mu \nu} \Gamma^{ \pm} \Lambda \psi^{\mu \nu}+\text { h.c. } \\
\mathcal{L}_{P N K}^{1 / 2 \pm} & =-g_{P N K} \bar{P} \Gamma^{\mp} N K+\text { h.c. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Resonance Part



* Since the spin-parity quantum number of $P_{c s}$ is experimentally unknown, we considered six different cases, i.e. $J^{P}=1 / 2^{ \pm}, J^{P}=3 / 2^{ \pm}$, and $J^{P}=5 / 2^{ \pm}$.
$P_{c s}\left(3 / 2^{ \pm}\right)$exchange:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{P \Lambda J / \psi}^{3 / 2 \pm}= & -\frac{g_{P \Lambda J / \psi}}{2 m_{\Lambda}} \bar{P}_{\mu} \Gamma_{\nu}^{ \pm} \Lambda \psi^{\mu \nu}-\frac{f_{P \Lambda J / \psi}}{4 m_{\Lambda}^{2}} \bar{P}_{\mu} \Gamma^{\mp} \partial_{\nu} \Lambda \psi^{\mu \nu} \\
& -\frac{h_{P \Lambda J / \psi}}{4 m_{\Lambda}^{2}} \bar{P}_{\mu} \Gamma^{\mp} \Lambda \partial_{\nu} \psi^{\mu \nu}+\text { h.c. }, \\
\mathcal{L}_{P N K}^{3 / 2 \pm}= & -\frac{g_{P N K}}{M_{P_{c s}} m_{N}} \varepsilon^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} \partial_{\mu} \bar{P}_{\nu} \Gamma_{\alpha}^{ \pm} N \partial_{\beta} K+\text { h.c. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Resonance Part



* Since the spin-parity quantum number of $P_{c s}$ is experimentally unknown, we considered six different cases, i.e. $J^{P}=1 / 2^{ \pm}, J^{P}=3 / 2^{ \pm}$, and $J^{P}=5 / 2^{ \pm}$.
* Here, the coupling constants for each vertices are unknown. Also, there is no experimental data on branching ratios of $P_{c s}$.
$P_{c s}\left(5 / 2^{ \pm}\right)$exchange:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{P \Lambda J / \psi}^{5 / 2 \pm}= & -\frac{g_{P \Lambda \Lambda / \psi}}{2 m_{\Lambda}^{2}} \bar{P}_{\mu \alpha} \Gamma_{\nu}^{\mp} \Lambda \partial^{\alpha} \psi^{\mu \nu}-\frac{f_{P \Lambda J / \psi}}{4 m_{\Lambda}^{3}} \bar{P}_{\mu \alpha} \Gamma^{ \pm} \partial_{\nu} \Lambda \partial^{\alpha} \psi^{\mu \nu} \\
& -\frac{h_{P \Lambda J / \psi}}{4 m_{\Lambda}^{3}} \bar{P}_{\mu \alpha} \Gamma^{ \pm} \Lambda \partial^{\alpha} \partial_{\nu} \psi^{\mu \nu}+\text { h.c. }, \\
\mathcal{L}_{P N K}^{5 / 2 \pm}= & -\frac{g_{P N K}}{M_{P_{c s}} m_{N}^{2}} \varepsilon^{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} \partial_{\mu} \bar{P}_{\nu \rho} \Gamma_{\alpha}^{\mp} N \partial^{\rho} \partial_{\beta} K+\text { h.c. }
\end{aligned}
$$

## How we determine the coupling constants

$P_{c}$ in $\pi^{-} p \rightarrow J / \psi n:$
There are several studies ${ }^{4}{ }^{5}$ which calculated the coupling constants of $P_{c}$ by estimating the branching ratios of $P_{c}$ using the upper limit of total cross section data of the $\pi^{-} p \rightarrow J / \psi n$ reaction. They estimated the branching ratio of $P_{c} \rightarrow J / \psi n$ to be about a few percents and $P_{c} \rightarrow \pi^{-} p$ to be of order $10^{-4}$.
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## How we determine the coupling constants

$P_{c}$ in $\pi^{-} p \rightarrow J / \psi n:$
There are several studies ${ }^{4}{ }^{5}$ which calculated the coupling constants of $P_{c}$ by estimating the branching ratios of $P_{c}$ using the upper limit of total cross section data of the $\pi^{-} p \rightarrow J / \psi n$ reaction. They estimated the branching ratio of $P_{c} \rightarrow J / \psi n$ to be about a few percents and $P_{c} \rightarrow \pi^{-} p$ to be of order $10^{-4}$.

These estimates are in agreement with recent findings from the GlueX Collaboration ${ }^{6}$.

${ }^{4}$ S. H. Kim, H. C. Kim and A. Hosaka, Phys. Lett. B 763, 358 (2016) ${ }^{5}$ X. Y. Wang et al., Phys. Lett. B 797, 134862 (2019)
${ }^{6}$ A. Ali et al. [GlueX],Phys. Rev. Lett. 123, 072001_(2019)

## How we determined the coupling constants

$P_{c s}$ in $K^{-} p \rightarrow J / \psi \Lambda:$

- Is there any experimental data for this reaction?
- We use the same upper limit as in the $P_{c}$ case.
- Since the threshold energy of the $P_{c s}$ production is rather high, the effects of the explicit $\operatorname{SU}(3)$ symmetry breaking are also suppressed.
- The magnitude of the total cross section of $K^{-} p$ scattering is similar to $\pi^{-} p$ scattering.
- Based on this, we estimate the upper limit of the total cross section for the $K^{-} p \rightarrow J / \psi \Lambda$ reaction near threshold to be around 1 nb .


## How we determined the coupling constants

$P_{c s}$ in $K^{-} p \rightarrow J / \psi \Lambda:$

- Is there any experimental data for this reaction?
- We use the same upper limit as in the $P_{c}$ case.
- We estimate $B\left(P_{\mathrm{cs}} \rightarrow J / \psi \Lambda\right)=1 \%$ and $B\left(P_{\mathrm{cs}} \rightarrow K^{-} p\right)=0.01 \%$.
- This $1 \%$ branching ratio of the $P_{c s} \rightarrow J / \psi \Lambda$ decay is in line with the molecular picture of $P_{c s}{ }^{7}$.
- Since the $P_{c s} \rightarrow K^{-} p$ decay is the OZI-suppressed process, its branching fraction is very small.
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## How we determined the coupling constants

$P_{c s}$ in $K^{-} p \rightarrow J / \psi \Lambda:$

- Is there any experimental data for this reaction?
- We use the same upper limit as in the $P_{c}$ case.
- We estimate $B\left(P_{\mathrm{cs}} \rightarrow J / \psi \Lambda\right)=1 \%$ and $B\left(P_{\mathrm{cs}} \rightarrow K^{-} p\right)=0.01 \%$.

Decay amplitude for $P \wedge J / \psi$ vertex:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{P \Lambda J / \psi}^{1 / 2 \pm}=-g_{P \Lambda J / \psi} \bar{u}_{P} \Gamma_{\mu}^{\mp} \epsilon^{\mu} u_{\Lambda}, \\
& A_{P \Lambda J / \psi}^{3 / 2 \pm}=i \frac{g_{P \Lambda J / \psi}}{2 m_{\Lambda}} \bar{u}_{P \mu} \Gamma_{\nu}^{ \pm}\left(q_{\psi}^{\mu} \epsilon^{\nu}-q_{\psi}^{\nu} \epsilon^{\mu}\right) u_{\Lambda}, \\
& A_{P \Lambda J / \psi}^{5 / 2 \pm}=\frac{g_{P \Lambda J / \psi}}{2 m_{\Lambda}^{2}} \bar{u}_{P \mu \alpha} \Gamma_{\nu}^{\mp}\left(q_{\psi}^{\mu} \epsilon^{\nu}-q_{\psi}^{\nu} \epsilon^{\mu}\right) q_{\psi}^{\alpha} u_{\Lambda} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Spinor for $s \geq 3 / 2$ :
$u_{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{n-1} \mu}^{n+1 / 2}(p, s) \equiv \sum_{r, m}(n+1 / 2, s \mid 1, r ; n-1 / 2, m) u_{\mu_{1} \cdots \mu_{n-1}}^{n-1 / 2}(p, m) \varepsilon_{\mu}^{r}(p)$.

## How we determined the coupling constants

$P_{c s}$ in $K^{-} p \rightarrow J / \psi \Lambda:$

- Is there any experimental data for this reaction?
- We use the same upper limit as in the $P_{c}$ case.
- We estimate $B\left(P_{\mathrm{cs}} \rightarrow J / \psi \Lambda\right)=1 \%$ and $B\left(P_{\mathrm{cs}} \rightarrow K^{-} p\right)=0.01 \%$.

Decay amplitude for PNK vertex:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{P N K}^{1 / 2 \pm}=-g_{P N K} \bar{u}_{P} \Gamma^{\mp} u_{N}, \\
& A_{P N K}^{3 / 2 \pm}=-\frac{g_{P N K}}{M_{P_{c s}} m_{N}} \varepsilon_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} \bar{u}_{P}^{\nu} q_{P}^{\mu} \Gamma_{ \pm}^{\alpha} q_{K}^{\beta} u_{N}, \\
& A_{P N K}^{5 / 2 \pm}=i \frac{g_{P N K}}{M_{P_{c s}} m_{N}^{2}} \varepsilon_{\mu \nu \alpha \beta} \bar{u}_{P}^{\nu \rho} q_{P}^{\mu} \Gamma_{\mp}^{\alpha} q_{K}^{\beta} q_{K \rho} u_{N} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Partial decay width:

$$
\Gamma\left(P_{c s} \rightarrow M B\right)=\frac{|\mathrm{k}|}{8 \pi M_{P_{c s}}^{2}} \frac{1}{2 J+1} \sum_{\lambda_{1}=-J}^{J} \sum_{\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}}\left|A\left(P_{c s} \rightarrow M B\right)\right|^{2} .
$$

## How we determined the coupling constants

$P_{c s}$ in $K^{-} p \rightarrow J / \psi \Lambda:$

- Is there any experimental data for this reaction?
- We use the same upper limit as in the $P_{c}$ case.
- We estimate $B\left(P_{\mathrm{cs}} \rightarrow J / \psi \Lambda\right)=1 \%$ and $B\left(P_{\mathrm{cs}} \rightarrow K^{-} p\right)=0.01 \%$.

Coupling constants:

| $g_{P_{c s} M B}\left(J^{P}\right)$ | $P_{c s} J / \psi \Lambda$ | $P_{c s} K p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 / 2^{+}$ | $1.26 \times 10^{-1}$ | $5.82 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $1 / 2^{-}$ | $4.41 \times 10^{-2}$ | $3.77 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $3 / 2^{+}$ | $1.48 \times 10^{-1}$ | $2.06 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $3 / 2^{-}$ | $5.46 \times 10^{-2}$ | $3.18 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $5 / 2^{+}$ | $1.33 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.84 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $5 / 2^{-}$ | $3.83 \times 10^{-1}$ | $1.19 \times 10^{-3}$ |

## Hadronic Form Factor

Since hadrons have finite sizes and structures, it is essential to consider form factors at each vertex. We use the form factors which are most used in reaction calculations.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{s}\left(q^{2}\right)=\frac{\Lambda^{4}}{\Lambda^{4}+\left(s-m^{2}\right)^{2}} \\
& F_{t}\left(q_{t}^{2}\right)=\frac{\Lambda^{2}-m^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}-t} \\
& F_{u}\left(q_{u}^{2}\right)=\frac{\Lambda^{2}-m^{2}}{\Lambda^{2}-u}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we use the following values for cut-off parameter
$\Lambda_{P_{\mathrm{cs}}}=5.0 \mathrm{GeV}, \quad \Lambda_{K}=1.0 \mathrm{GeV}, \quad \Lambda_{K^{*}}=1.4 \mathrm{GeV}, \quad \Lambda_{N}=1.5 \mathrm{GeV}$

## Regge Approach (Model II)

## Hybridized Regge Approach

- The effective Lagrangian method is known to describe well the hadronic productions in the vicinity of the threshold energy. However, at the high energy it fail to explain the diffractive behavior of hadronic reactions.
- On the other hand, the Regge approach explains the general high-energy behavior of the hadronic reactions but only qualitatively.
- To overcome this disadvantage, a hybridized Regge approach was proposed in an attempt to improve the Regge approach quantitatively.

$$
\frac{1}{t-m_{X}^{2}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\text {Regge }}^{ \pm}=-\Gamma\left(-\alpha_{X}(t)\right) \xi_{X}^{ \pm} \alpha_{X}^{\prime}\left(\frac{s}{s_{0}}\right)^{\alpha_{X}(t)}
$$

## Regge Trajectory




We use the non-linear Regge trajectory for $K$ and $K^{*}$ reggeon exchange ${ }^{7}$

$$
\alpha_{K\left(K^{*}\right)}(t)=\alpha_{K\left(K^{*}\right)}(0)+\gamma\left(\sqrt{T_{K\left(K^{*}\right)}}-\sqrt{T_{K\left(K^{*}\right)}-t}\right) .
$$

For the nucleon, we use linear Regge trajectory ${ }^{8}$

$$
\alpha_{N}(u)=\alpha_{N}(0)+\alpha_{N}^{\prime} u .
$$
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## Scattering Amplitude

We employ here a hybridized Regge method, in which the Feynman propagators are replaced by the Regge propagators ${ }^{9}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{M}_{K}^{R}(s, t)=-\mathcal{M}_{K}(s, t)\left\{\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
e^{-i \pi \alpha_{K}(t)}
\end{array}\right\} \Gamma\left(-\alpha_{K}(t)\right) \alpha_{K}^{\prime}\left(m_{K}^{2}\right)\left(\frac{s}{s_{0}}\right)^{\alpha_{K}(t)}\left(t-m_{K}^{2}\right), \\
& \mathcal{M}_{K^{*}}^{R}(s, t)=-\mathcal{M}_{K^{*}}(s, t)\left\{\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
e^{-i \pi \alpha_{K^{*}}(t)}
\end{array}\right\} \Gamma\left(1-\alpha_{K^{*}}(t)\right) \alpha_{K^{*}}^{\prime}\left(m_{K^{*}}^{2}\right)\left(\frac{s}{s_{0}}\right)^{\alpha K^{*}(t)-1}\left(t-m_{K^{*}}^{2}\right), \\
& \mathcal{M}_{N}^{R}(s, u)=-\mathcal{M}_{N}(s, u) \frac{1+e^{-i \pi \alpha_{N}(u)}}{2} \Gamma\left(0.5-\alpha_{N}(u)\right) \alpha_{N}^{\prime}\left(\frac{s}{s_{0}}\right)^{\alpha_{N}(u)-0.5}\left(u-m_{N}^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- In the first two equation, we consider degenerate signature and choose constant phase (1).
- The energy scale parameter $s_{0}$ is obtained by comparing the amplitude with that of Model I.

[^7]Results and Discussion

## Total Cross Section






## Differential Cross Section





## Summary and Conclusion

- We investigated the production of $P_{c s}^{0}(4459)$ in the $K^{-} p \rightarrow J / \psi \Lambda^{0}$ reaction by employing two theoretical frameworks, i.e. the effective Lagrangian method and the Regge approach.
- The coupling constant for the $P_{c s} J / \psi \Lambda$ and $P_{c s} K N$ vertex are calculated by assuming the branching ratio of $P_{c s}$ to respective decays.
- We presented the total cross section distribution from each theorethical framework and showed the distinct difference between the spin-parity assignment of $P_{\mathrm{cs}}$ in angular distribution of differential cross section, especially in the vicinity of resonance mass.
- The present results may be used as a theoretical guide for possible future experiments for findings of the hidden-charm pentaquarks with strangeness.
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