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in nature, however, is usually very complex.

Frank Wilczek

## Quantum Chromodynamics

Quantum chromodynamics is conceptually simple. Its realization
in nature, however, is usually very complex.

Frank Wilczek

$$
\mathscr{L}_{Q C D}=\sum_{i}^{N_{f}} \bar{q}_{i}\left(D_{\mu} \gamma^{\mu}+m_{i}\right) q_{i}+\frac{1}{4} G_{\mu \nu}^{a} G_{a}^{\mu \nu}
$$
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Can we obtain scattering amplitudes from Euclidean correlation functions?
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| Resonance | $I_{\pi \pi \pi}$ | $J^{P}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\omega(782)$ | 0 | $1^{-}$ |
| $h_{1}(1170)$ | 0 | $1^{+}$ |
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| $a_{4}(1970)$ | 1 | $4^{+}$ |
| (with $\geq 3 \pi$ decay modes) |  |  |
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## Why three

Phenomenologically relevant resonances

$$
N(1440) \rightarrow \Delta \pi \rightarrow N \pi \pi
$$Many-body nuclear physics, 3N force

O CP violation in $D$ and $K$ decays

$$
K \rightarrow 3 \pi, \quad D \rightarrow 4 \pi
$$
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In general a problem of
Quantum Field Theory
Interactions change the spectrum!
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Current techniques allow the determination of many energy levels!
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## Qualitatively more complicated than the two-particle case!

Three-particle scattering amplitudes can be divergent for specific kinematics.They depend also on two-to-two interactions.But any separation between "two-particle" and "three-particle" effects is not well-definedHowever, the three-particle spectrum depends on S-matrix elements! [Polejaeva, Rusetsky]

## Three-particle formalism(s)
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Relativistic, model-independent, three-particle quantization condition Maxwell T. Hansen ${ }^{1, *}$ and Stephen R. Sharpe ${ }^{1, \dagger}$
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Finite-Volume Unitarity (FVU)

Three-body Unitarity in the Finite Volume
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B Higher partial waves

* Nondegenerate and nonidentical scalars
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- Three-particle decays
* Analysis of lattice QCD data


## Non-Relativistic EFT (NREFT)

- Nondegenerate (DDK systems)
* Perturbative expansions for three pions and excited states

B Three-particle decays

- Relativistic kinematics can be included

Relativistic, model-independent, three-particle quantization condition

$$
\text { Maxwell T. Hansen }{ }^{1, *} \text { and Stephen R. Sharpe }{ }^{1, \dagger}
$$

Also [Blanton, Briceño, Hansen, Jackura, FRL, Szczepaniak, Sharpe]

Three-particle quantization condition in a finite volume:

1. The role of the three-particle force

Hans-Werner Hammer ${ }^{a}$, Jin-Yi Pang ${ }^{b}$ and Akaki Rusetsky ${ }^{b}$
Also [Döring, Geng, Hammer, Mai, Meißner, Müller, Pang, FRL, Rusetsky, Wu]

Three-body Unitarity in the Finite Volume
M. $\mathrm{Mai}^{1, *}$ and M. Döring ${ }^{1,2, \dagger}$

* Chiral extrapolations
- Analysis of lattice QCD data
* Study of resonant channels: $a_{1}$ (1260)
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O The determination of three-particle scattering amplitudes on the lattice is a two-step process!

## Step 1:

Finite-volume spectrum as solutions of the "quantization condition".

$$
\left.\operatorname{det}[M(E, L)]\right|_{E=E_{n}}=0
$$

* The two- and three-particle spectra are used to determine an intermediate quantity

$$
\mathscr{K}_{d f, 3}, \quad H_{0}, \quad C_{0}
$$

* This quantity is scheme-dependent and unphysical

Slep 2: The scheme dependence is removed by solving integral equations.

1. $\mathscr{K}_{2}$ and $\mathscr{K}_{d f, 3}$ parametrize interactions. They can be obtained from the spectrum
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3. Solve integral equations to obtain the physical three-to-three amplitude

Solved in [Briceño et al], [Hansen et al.], [Jackura et al.]
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## Three-particle decays ( $K->3 \pi$ )

Decay processes get distorted in finite volume [Lellouch,Lüscher]

From the lattice, one can get the one-to-three finite-volume matrix element:

$$
\left\langle E_{n}, \boldsymbol{P}, \Lambda \mu, L\right| \mathcal{H}_{W}(0)|K, \boldsymbol{P}, L\rangle
$$How to relate that to the physical infinite-volume decay amplitude?

NREFT in [Müller, Rusetsky] RFT in [Hansen, FRL, Sharpe]

$$
T_{K 3 \pi}=\langle 3 \pi, \text { out }| \mathcal{H}_{W}(0)|K, \boldsymbol{P}\rangle
$$

Results for Ghree-meson amplitudes

## Analyzing the spectrum


$I=3$ three-pion scattering amplitude from lattice QCD
Tyler D. Blanton, ${ }^{1, *}$ Fernando Romero-López, ${ }^{2, \dagger}$ and Stephen R. Sharpe ${ }^{1, \dagger} \ddagger$
${ }^{1}$ Physics Department, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195-1560, USA
${ }^{2}$ Instituto de Física Corpuscular, Universitat de València and CSIC, 46980 Paterna, Spain (Dated: February 4, 2020)

First analysis of the full finite-volume spectrum of $2 \pi^{+}$and $3 \pi^{+}$!
[see also earlier work using the ground state by Mai et al., and Beane et al.]

O Parametrize K-matrices with only s-wave interactions:
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\frac{q}{M} \cot \delta_{0}=\frac{\sqrt{s} M}{s-z_{2}^{2}}\left(B_{0}+B_{1} q^{2}+\cdots\right) \\
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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## Higher parkial waves

 wavesThreshold expansion in the three-particle sector:
[Blanton, FRL, Sharpe]

$$
\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}=\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}^{\mathrm{iso}, 0}+\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}^{\mathrm{iso}, 1} \Delta+\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}^{\mathrm{iso}, 2} \Delta^{2}+\mathcal{K}_{A} \Delta_{A}+\mathcal{K}_{B} \Delta_{B}
$$
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\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}=\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}^{\mathrm{iso}, 0}+\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}^{\mathrm{iso}, 1} \Delta+\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}^{\mathrm{iso}, 2} \Delta^{2}+\mathcal{K}_{A} \Delta_{A}+\mathcal{K}_{B} \Delta_{B} \\
\Delta \equiv \frac{s-9 m^{2}}{9 m^{2}}
\end{gathered}
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Threshold expansion in the three-particle sector:
[Blanton, ERL, Sharpe]
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\text { S-wave d-wave } \\
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Threshold expansion in the three-particle sector:
[Blanton, ERL, Sharpe]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { s-wave } \\
& \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}=\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}^{\mathrm{iso}, 0}+\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}^{\mathrm{iso}, 1} \Delta+\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}^{\mathrm{iso}, 2} \Delta^{2}+\mathcal{K}_{A} \Delta_{A}+\mathcal{K}_{B} \Delta_{B} \\
& \Delta \equiv \frac{s-9 m^{2}}{9 m^{2}} \\
& \Delta_{B}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{3} t_{i j}^{2}-\Delta^{2}, \\
& t_{i j}=\left(p_{i}-k_{j}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Higher parkial waves

Threshold expansion in the three-particle sector:
[Blanton, FRL, Sharpe]

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { S-wave } \\
\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}=\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}^{\mathrm{iso}, 0}+\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}^{\mathrm{iso}, 1} \Delta+\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}^{\mathrm{iso}, 2} \Delta^{2}+\mathcal{K}_{A} \Delta_{A}+\mathcal{K}_{B} \Delta_{B} \\
\Delta \equiv \frac{s-9 m^{2}}{9 m^{2}} \\
\Delta_{B}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{3} t_{i j}^{2}-\Delta^{2} \\
t_{i j}=\left(p_{i}-k_{j}\right)^{2}
\end{array}
$$

See talk by A. Hanlon, Wednesday 28th

[Blanton, Hanlon, Hörz, Morningstar, FRL, Sharpe]

## Integral equations (RFT

Final step
$\mathscr{K}_{2}, \mathscr{K}_{d f, 3}$

Physical 3->3 amplitude

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Inlegral } \\
& \text { equations }
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Final step

$$
\mathscr{K}_{2}, \mathscr{K}_{d f, 3}
$$

Physical 3->3 amplitude


Parkicle-Dimer phase shift [Jackura et al.]


[Hansen et al. (HadSpec)]
See talk by M. Hansen, Wednesday 28th

## Integral equations

## Final step

$\mathscr{K}_{2}, C_{\ell \ell}$

Physical 3->3 amplitude


Integral equations
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O Many recent formal developments for three-particle systems.

- Three versions of finite-volume formalism for identical particles.
- Various generalizations for nonidentical scalar particles.

Formalism for three-body decays.

## The field is evolving very rapidly!

O Many recent formal developments for three-particle systems.

- Three versions of finite-volume formalism for identical particles.
- Various generalizations for nonidentical scalar particles.
- Formalism for three-body decays.

O Applications to simple systems successfully undertaken

- Some lattice studies of three charged pions and kaons
- It is possible to even study d-wave interactions [Blanton et al.]

Recent study in FVU formalism of the $a_{1}(1260)$ [Mai et al.]
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## Tuesday 276h

|  | Multibody decay analyses tool: A phenomenological model for meson-meson |
| :--- | ---: |
| subamplitudes |  | Dr. Patrici MAGALHAES


| Three-pion scattering from lattice QCD | Maxwell HANSEN |
| :--- | ---: |
| Mexico City | 18:15-18:40 |
| Three pion and three kaon scattering from lattice QCD | Andrei ALEXANDRU |
| Mexico City | 18:40-19:00 |
| Beyond s-wave interactions of two- and three-meson systems with maximal <br> isospin from lattice QCD | Dr. Andrew HANLON |
| Generalizing the Lellouch-Luscher formula to three-particle decays | Prof. Stephen SHARPE |
| Mexico City | $19: 20-19: 40$ |
| Study of scalar meson production in three body $\$$ \$eta_c $\$$ decays at BABAR | Dr. Alessandro PILONI |
| Mexico City | $19: 40-20: 00$ |

Back-up

## Higher partial waves

## Higher partial

Threshold expansion: $\quad \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}=\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}^{\mathrm{iso}, 0}+\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}^{\mathrm{iso}, 1} \Delta+\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}^{\mathrm{iso}, 2} \Delta^{2}+\mathcal{K}_{A} \Delta_{A}+\mathcal{K}_{B} \Delta_{B}$, [Blanton, FRL, Sharpe]

## Higher partial waves <br> s-wave

Threshold expansion: $\quad \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}=\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}^{\mathrm{iso}, 0}+\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}^{\mathrm{iso}, 1} \Delta+\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}^{\mathrm{iso}, 2} \Delta^{2}+\mathcal{K}_{A} \Delta_{A}+\mathcal{K}_{B} \Delta_{B}$,
[Blanton, FRL, Sharpe]

$$
\Delta \equiv \frac{s-9 m^{2}}{9 m^{2}}
$$

## Higher parkial <br> s-wave $d$-wave

Threshold expansion: $\quad \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}=\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}^{\mathrm{iso}, 0}+\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}^{\mathrm{iso}, 1} \Delta+\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}^{\mathrm{iso}, 2} \Delta^{2}+\mathcal{K}_{A} \Delta_{A}+\mathcal{K}_{B} \Delta_{B}$,
[Blanton, FRL, Sharpe]

$$
\Delta \equiv \frac{s-9 m^{2}}{9 m^{2}}
$$

## Hockrem watrial waves <br> s-wave d-wave

Threshold expansion: $\quad \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}=\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}^{\mathrm{iso}, 0}+\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}^{\mathrm{iso}, 1} \Delta+\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}^{\mathrm{iso}, 2} \Delta^{2}+\mathcal{K}_{A} \Delta_{A}+\mathcal{K}_{B} \Delta_{B}$
[Blanton, FRL, Sharpe]

$$
\Delta \equiv \frac{s-9 m^{2}}{9 m^{2}}
$$

$$
\Delta_{B}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{3} \widetilde{t}_{i j}^{2}-\Delta^{2}
$$

## Higher partial <br> s-wave <br> d-wave

Threshold expansion: $\quad \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}=\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}^{\mathrm{iso}, 0}+\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}^{\mathrm{iso}, 3} \Delta+\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}^{\mathrm{iso}, 2} \Delta^{2}+\mathcal{K}_{A} \Delta_{A}+\mathcal{K}_{B} \Delta_{B}$
[Blanton, FRL, Sharpe]

$$
\Delta \equiv \frac{s-9 m^{2}}{9 m^{2}}
$$

$$
\Delta_{B}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{3} \widetilde{t}_{i j}^{2}-\Delta^{2}
$$



## Higher parkial waves <br> s-wave <br> d-wave

Threshold expansion: $\quad \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}=\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}^{\mathrm{iso}, 0}+\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}^{\mathrm{iso}, 3} \Delta+\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df}, 3}^{\mathrm{iso}, 2} \Delta^{2}+\mathcal{K}_{A} \Delta_{A}+\mathcal{K}_{B} \Delta_{B}$
[Blanton, FRL, Sharpe]

$$
\Delta \equiv \frac{s-9 m^{2}}{9 m^{2}}
$$

$$
\Delta_{B}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{3} \widetilde{t}_{i j}^{2}-\Delta^{2},
$$




See talk by A. Hanlon, Wednesday 28th

