Leading Isospin Breaking effects in nucleon and Δ masses

S. Romiti, V. Lubicz, S. Simula, F. Sanfilippo

Roma Tre University

July 2021







Strategy

We consider a background of pure QCD in isospin symmetry:

$$S_0 = \int d^4 x \mathcal{L} = \int d^4 x \mathcal{L}_{QCD}(u = d = \ell)$$

Isospin Breaking (IB)

IB splits the degenerate doublet. AI LO we have 2 types of effects:

• strong IB : $\hat{m}_u \neq \hat{m}_d \rightarrow O(\frac{\hat{m}_d - \hat{m}_u}{\Lambda_{OCD}}) \sim O(1\%)$

• QED :
$$q_f = ee_f \neq 0 \rightarrow O(\alpha_{EM}) \sim O(1\%)$$

RM123 method

At LO, the slopes can be calculated in the isosymmetric theory (isoQCD). \rightarrow Their linear combinations with appropriate counterterms give the observables in the full theory QCD+QED

	our prediction	experiment
M_N	0.957(20) GeV	$\approx 0.938 - 0.939 \text{GeV} (\text{PDG})$ 1 230 - 1 234 GeV (PDG)
$M_{\pi^+}^2 - M_{\pi^0}^2$	$1120(110) \text{ MeV}^2$	$1261.2(1) \text{ MeV}^2 \text{ (PDG)}$
$M_n - M_p$ $M_{A++} - M_{A0}$	1.69(71) MeV	1.29333205(51) MeV (PDG) -2.86(30) MeV (PDG)
$[M_{\Delta^{++}} + M_{\Delta^{-}}]$	2.2(1.1) MeV	2.00(00) MeV (120)
$-M_{\Delta^+} - M_{\Delta^0}]$	$2.41(51)\mathrm{MeV}$	2.84–3.5 MeV (Phys. Rev. C 47, 367)

メロト メロト メヨト メヨ

Outline

isoQCD background

- Strategy and renormalization scheme
- Extrapolations of M_N and M_Δ

QCD+QED at LO

- Mass corrections at LO
- Tuning of counterterms
- Pion mass difference
- $M_n M_p$
- \bullet Mass splittings of Δ resonances

3 Backup

Steps of the analysis

• Extraction of masses from the leading exponentials in the correlators $(t/a \gg 1)$

Extrapolation among 3 values of am_s for each ensemble: $r_s = \frac{2M_K^2 - M_\pi^2}{M_\Omega^2} \rightarrow r_s^{(phys)}$

Extrapolation among the
$$am_\ell$$
: $r_\ell = \frac{M_\pi^2}{M_\Omega^2} o r_\ell^{(\mathsf{phys})}$

- Correction of FVEs from asymptotic formula of ChPT at finite volume
- Scale setting: $a = (aM_{\Omega})/M_{\Omega}^{(phys)}$
- Final extrapolation in physical units: $a \to 0$, $V \to \infty$ and $r_{\ell} \to r_{\ell}^{(\text{phys})}$.

Global extrapolations (M_N)

Inspired by ChPT results we fit according to:

$$M_N(L,a,r_\ell) = M_0^{(N)} \left[1 + c_L^{(N)} M_\pi^2 \frac{e^{-M_\pi L}}{(M_\pi L)} + c_a^{(N)} (a\Lambda_{QCD})^2 + c_\ell^{(N)} r_\ell + c_{3/2}^{(N)} r_\ell^{3/2} \right]$$



S. Romiti

Global extrapolation $(M_{\Delta}(1232))$

$$M_{\Delta}(L,a,r_{\ell}) = M_0^{(\Delta)} \left[1 + c_L^{(\Delta)} M_{\pi}^2 \frac{e^{-M_{\pi}L}}{(M_{\pi}L)} + c_a^{(\Delta)} (a\Lambda_{QCD})^2 + c_{\ell}^{(\Delta)} r_{\ell} + c_{3/2}^{(\Delta)} r_{\ell}^{3/2} \right]$$



S. Romiti

1.3

1 isoQCD background

- Strategy and renormalization scheme
- Extrapolations of M_N and M_Δ

2 QCD+QED at LO

- Mass corrections at LO
- Tuning of counterterms
- Pion mass difference
- $M_n M_p$
- \bullet Mass splittings of Δ resonances

3 Backup

QCD+QED at LO

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 - \Delta m_{ud} \,\bar{q} \tau_3 q + e A_\mu \bar{q} \gamma_\mu \left(\frac{\tau_3}{2} + \frac{1}{6}\right) q$$

QED variation (lattice)

$$\Delta[T \langle O(x_i) \rangle] = \int d^4 x_1 d^4 x_2 D_{\mu\nu}(x_1|x_2) T \langle O(x_i) J_{\mu}(x_1) J_{\nu}(x_2) \rangle$$
$$+ \int d^4 x D_{\mu\mu}(x|x) T \langle O(x_i) T_{\mu}(x) \rangle \quad .$$

Renormalization in tmQCD+QED

$$\begin{array}{lll} m_f & \to & \Delta m_f \left[\bar{\psi}_f \psi_f \right] \\ m_f^{(crit)} & \to & \Delta m_f^{(crit)} \left[\bar{\psi}_f \, i \gamma^5 \tau^3 \psi_f \right] \\ g_s & \to & \Delta g_s \, G_{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu} \end{array}$$

Quark propagator in QCD+QED



Example: ΔM_{K^+}



S. Romiti

Expansion over isosymmetric background

We stop at
$$O(\alpha_{EM}) \sim O\left(\frac{m_d - m_u}{\Lambda_{QCD}}\right)$$

- recycle gauge configurations in QCD with isospin
- Linear corrections in the counterterms

Steps of the analysis

- Tuning of $\Delta m_f^{(crit)}$ at fixed ensemble from the PCAC Ward Identity (keep maximal twist at $O(\alpha_{EM}) \implies O(a)$ improvement)
- Correction of universal FVEs from QED_L .
- Tuning of Δm_f at fixed ensemble from hadronic ratios
- Extrapolaton to the physical point, $a \to 0$, $V \to \infty$.

QED at Finite volume

The lattice photon propagator $D_{\mu\nu}(k)$ is divergent at k = 0:

$$D_{\mu\nu}(k) = \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{\hat{k}^2} = \frac{g_{\mu\nu}}{\sin^2(k_{\mu})}$$

QED_L prescription

We remove the 0-mode manually \implies Finite volume effects.

$$M(L) = M(\infty) \left[1 + Q^2 \alpha \frac{\kappa}{2ML} \left(1 + \frac{2}{ML} \right) \right] + O\left(\frac{1}{L^3} \right)$$

 $(\kappa \approx 2.837297) \sim L^{-3}, \sim L^{-4}$ come from the structure of the hadron.

We implement the correction on the slopes \implies counterterms don't contain the universal QED_L effects.

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < Ξ > < Ξ

QCD+QED : Tuning of mass conterterms

• Tuning of $a\Delta m_u$, $a\Delta m_d$, $a\Delta m_s$ from the ratios

$$r_s = \frac{2(M_{K^+}^2 + M_{K^0}^2) - (M_{\pi^+}^2 + M_{\pi^0}^2)}{2M_{\Omega^-}^2} = r_s^{(0)} + \sum_f a\Delta m_f \bar{\Delta}r(f) + \Delta r_s^{(EMC)}$$

$$r_{\ell} = \frac{M_{\pi^+}^2 + M_{\pi^0}^2}{2M_{\Omega^-}^2} = r_{\ell}^{(0)} + \sum_f a\Delta m_f \bar{\Delta} r_{\ell}(f) + \Delta r_{\ell}^{(EMC)}$$
$$r_p = \frac{M_{K^+}^2}{M_{\Omega^-}^2} = r_p^{(0)} + \sum_f a\Delta m_f \bar{\Delta} r_p(f) + \Delta r_p^{(EMC)}$$

We define the physical point of isoQCD such that the total IB correction vanishes.

★ ∃ ► ★

We require the ratios r_s , r_ℓ , r_p to be at the physical point when we reach the physical m_s and m_ℓ found in isoQCD:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \bar{\Delta}r_s(u) & \bar{\Delta}r_s(d) & \bar{\Delta}r_s(d) \\ \bar{\Delta}r_\ell(u) & \bar{\Delta}r_\ell(d) & \bar{\Delta}r_\ell(s) \\ \bar{\Delta}r_p(u) & \bar{\Delta}r_p(d) & \bar{\Delta}r_p(s) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a\Delta m_u \\ a\Delta m_d \\ a\Delta m_s \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \Delta r_s^{(EMC)} \\ \Delta r_\ell^{(EMC)} \\ \Delta r_p^{(EMC)} \end{bmatrix}$$

Note: using r_s , r_ℓ and r_p we loose predictivity on π , K, Ω masses, but we can predict the other corrections (e.g. M_n , M_p , $M_{\Delta^{++}}$, etc.)

 $M_{\pi^+} - M_{\pi^0}$



S. Romiti

 $M_n - M_p$



Separation of strong IB and QED

The separation is scheme dependent:

$$\Delta m_{ud} = \frac{m_d - m_u}{2} = \Delta m_{ud}^{(QCD)} + \Delta m_{ud}^{(QED)} = Z_P^{(0)} \Delta \hat{m}_{ud} + \mathcal{Z}_{ud}^{-1} \hat{m}_{ud}$$
$$\mathcal{Z}_{ud}^{-1}(\mu) = Z_P^{(0)} \frac{q_d^2 - q_u^2}{32\pi^2} \left[6\log\left(a\mu\right) - 22.595 + \ldots \right]$$

Physical interpretation

• QCD :
$$m_d > m_u \implies M_n > M_p$$

• QED :
$$|Q_p| > |Q_n| \implies M_p > M_n$$

The combination of these 2 effects cancel almost exactly:

$$M_n - M_p \approx 1.3 \,\mathrm{MeV} = O(10^{-3}) M_N$$

 $M_n - M_p$

$$M_n - M_p = 1.69(71) \,\mathrm{MeV}$$

 $\beta = 1.90$, $L = \infty$ 5 $\beta = 1.95, L = \infty$ $--\beta = 2.10$, $L = \infty$ $\chi^2_{d.\,o.\,f.} = 0.69(50)$ 4 Exp *L* < ∞ $(M_n - M_p)$ (MeV) 3 --2 1 0 0.00 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.04 0.05 $r_\ell - r_\ell^{(phys)}$

 (L, r_{ℓ}, a) extrapolation for $(M_n - M_p)$ (MeV)

S. Romiti

э July 2021

 $(M_n - M_p)^{(QED)}$

$$(M_n - M_p)^{(QED)} = -1.17(25) \,\mathrm{MeV}$$



S. Romiti

July 2021 20 / 51

 $(\overline{M_n - M_p})^{(QCD)}$

$$(M_n - M_p)^{(QCD)} = 3.09(59) \,\mathrm{MeV}$$



S. Romiti

≣ ৩৭৫ July 2021 21 / 5

$\Delta(1232)$ mass splittings



This is a purely electromagnetic effect (scheme independent).

4 masses \implies 3 independent mass splittings, but at LO:

$$M_{++} - M_{-} = 3(M_{+} - M_{0})$$

- \implies 2 independent mass splittings.
- \implies from $M_{++} M_0$ and $[(M_{++} + M_-) (M_+ + M_0)]$ we find them all.

$(M_{++} + M_{-}) - (M_{+} + M_{0})$

$$(M_{++} + M_{-}) - (M_{+} + M_{0}) = 2.41(51) \text{ MeV}$$



S. Romiti

 $M_{++} - M_0$

$$M_{++} - M_0 = -2.2(1.1) \,\mathrm{MeV}$$



S. Romiti

≣ ৩৭৫ July 2021 24 / 5 We are able to include IB at 1st order in the spectrum of mesons and baryons.

- No need for QED in the Lattice Lagrangian at LO. (same isoQCD gauge configurations)
- Hadronic scheme to reach the physical point and tune mass counterterms. (independence from renormalization contants)
- 1σ compatibility with experimental values and consistent separation of strong IB and QED.
- Prediction for mass splittings involving M_{Δ^-} (no experimental value available yet)

Thank you for the attention

Backup

isoQCD background

- Strategy and renormalization scheme
- Extrapolations of M_N and M_Δ

QCD+QED at LO

- Mass corrections at LO
- Tuning of counterterms
- Pion mass difference
- $M_n M_p$
- \bullet Mass splittings of Δ resonances

3 Backup

Ensemble	β	V/a^4	$a\mu_{sea} = a\mu_{\ell}$	$a\mu_{\sigma}$	$a\mu_{\delta}$	κ	N_{cfg}
A30.32	1.90	$32^3 \times 64$	0.0030	0.15	0.19	0.163272	150
A40.32			0.0040			0.163270	150
A50.32			0.0050			0.163267	150
A40.20	1.90	$20^3 \times 48$	0.0040	0.15	0.19	0.163270	150
A40.24	1.90	$24^3 \times 48$	0.0040	0.15	0.19	0.163270	150
A60.24			0.0060			0.163265	150
A80.24			0.0080			0.163255	150
A100.24			0.0100			0.163260	150
A40.48	1.90	$48^3 \times 96$	0.0040	0.15	0.19	0.163270	90
A40.40	1.90	$40^3 \times 80$	0.0040	0.15	0.19	0.163270	150

Ensemble	β	V/a^4	$a\mu_{sea} = a\mu_{\ell}$	$a\mu_{\sigma}$	$a\mu_{\delta}$	κ	N_{cfg}
B25.32	1.95	$32^3 \times 64$	0.0025	0.135	0.170	0.1612420	150
B35.32			0.0035			0.1612400	150
B55.32			0.0055			0.1612360	150
B75.32			0.0075			0.1612320	75
B85.24	1.95	$24^3 \times 48$	0.0085	0.135	0.170	0.1612312	150
D15.48	2.10	$48^3 \times 96$	0.0015	0.12	0.1385	0.156361	90
D20.48			0.0020			0.156357	90
D30.48			0.0030			0.156355	90

- Partial quenching in the strange sector
- A40.XX ensembles differ only for the volume
- 3 values of the lattice spacing $(a^{-1} \sim 2 3 \, \text{GeV})$
- $M_{\pi} \simeq 200 450 \text{ MeV}$

Mesonic correlators $(\vec{p} = \vec{0})$

$$\begin{split} C_{\pi^{+}\pi^{-}}(x) &= -\sum_{\vec{x}} \langle [\bar{u}\gamma_{5}d](x) \, [\bar{d}\gamma_{5}u](0) \rangle = -\sum_{\vec{x}} \langle [\bar{u}\gamma_{5}u - \bar{d}\gamma_{5}d](x) [\bar{u}\gamma_{5}u - \bar{d}\gamma_{5}d](0) \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{\vec{x}} \langle [\bar{u}\gamma_{5}u - \bar{d}\gamma_{5}d](x) [\bar{u}\gamma_{5}u - \bar{d}\gamma_{5}d](0) \rangle \right] \\ C_{K^{+}K^{-}}(x) &= -\sum_{\vec{x}} \langle [\bar{s}\gamma_{5}u](x) \, [\bar{u}\gamma_{5}s](0) \rangle = \sum_{\vec{x}} \langle [\bar{s}\gamma_{5}d](x) \, [\bar{d}\gamma_{5}s](0) \rangle = \sum_{\vec{x}} \langle [\bar{s}\gamma_{5}d](x) \, [\bar{s}\gamma_{5}s](0) \rangle = \sum_{\vec{x}} \langle [\bar{s}\gamma_{5}d](x) \, [\bar{s}\gamma_{5}d](x) \, [\bar{s}\gamma_{5}s](0) \rangle = \sum_{\vec{x}} \langle [\bar{s}\gamma_{5}d](x) \, [\bar{s}\gamma_{5}d](x)$$

・ロト ・ 日 ト ・ 目 ト ・

Baryonic Correlators ($\vec{p} = \vec{0}$, $J^P = 3/2^+$)

$$\begin{split} \Omega^{-} & C(t) \propto \epsilon_{a_1b_1c_1} \epsilon_{a_2b_2c_2} \\ & + \operatorname{Tr}[S_s^{T^{a_1,a_2}}(x|0)C\gamma_{\nu}S_s^{b_1,b_2}(x|0)C\gamma_{\mu}]\operatorname{Tr}[P_+P_{\mu\nu}^{3/2}S_s^{c_1,c_2}(x|0)] \\ & - 2\operatorname{Tr}[S_s^{a_1,b_2}(x|0)P_+P_{\mu\nu}^{3/2}S_s^{b_1,a_2}(x|0)C\gamma_{\nu}S_s^{T^{c_1,c_2}}(x|0)C\gamma_{\mu}] \\ & = \underbrace{\bigcap_{i=1}^{n-1} - 2}_{i=1} \\ \end{split}$$

$$\Delta^{++} \quad C(t) \propto \epsilon_{a_1b_1c_1} \epsilon_{a_2b_2c_2} + \operatorname{Tr}[S_u^{T^{a_1}a_2}(x|0)C\gamma_{\nu}S_u^{b_1b_2}(x|0)C\gamma_{\mu}] \operatorname{Tr}[P_+P_{\mu\nu}^{3/2}S_u^{c_1c_2}(x|0)] - 2\operatorname{Tr}[S_u^{a_1b_2}(x|0)P_+P_{\mu\nu}^{3/2}S_u^{b_1a_2}(x|0)C\gamma_{\nu}S_u^{T^{c_1c_2}}(x|0)C\gamma_{\mu}] = \underbrace{\bigcap}_{----} - 2\underbrace{\bigcap}_{----} \\ \dots (\Delta^+, \Delta^0, \Delta^-)$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

July 2021

31/51

<ロ> <四> <四> <四> <四</td>

3

32 / 51

July 2021

Global extrapolation $(M_{\Delta}(1232))$

$$M_{\Delta}(L,a,r_{\ell}) = M_0^{(\Delta)} \left[1 + c_L^{(\Delta)} M_{\pi}^2 \frac{e^{-M_{\pi}L}}{(M_{\pi}L)} + c_a^{(\Delta)} (a\Lambda_{QCD})^2 + c_{\ell}^{(\Delta)} r_{\ell} + c_{3/2}^{(\Delta)} r_{\ell}^{3/2} \right]$$



S. Romiti

Quark propagator in QCD+QED



Correction of FVEs (mesons)

$$M_P(L) = M \left(1 + C_P M_\pi^2 \frac{e^{-M_\pi L}}{(M_\pi L)^{3/2}} \right)$$



S. Romiti

$\mathsf{FVEs}(M_\Omega)$

$$M_{\Omega}(L) = M_{\Omega}(\infty) \left(1 + C_B M_K^2 \frac{e^{-M_K L}}{(M_K L)^{3/2}} \right)$$



S. Romiti

· 토 ▷ 토 · · ○ < ○ July 2021 36 / 51

Chiral perturbation theory (ChPT)

ChPT approximates low-energy QCD \rightarrow base for fit ansatz.

Meson ChPT

•
$$M_P^2 \propto (\hat{m}_1 + \hat{m}_2)$$

• $2M_K^2 - M_\pi^2 \propto \hat{m}_s$
• $M_\pi^2 \propto \hat{m}_\ell$

Baryon ChPT

•
$$M_N = M_N^{(0)} - 4 c_1 M_\pi^2 - \frac{3g_A^2}{32\pi f_\pi} M_\pi^3 + O(M_\pi^4 \log{(M_\pi^2)}) + \dots$$

• $M_\Omega = M_\Omega^{(0)} - 4 c_\Omega^{(1)} M_\pi^2 + O(M_\pi^4 \log{(M_\pi^2)}) + \dots$

 $r_s=\frac{2M_K^2-M_\pi^2}{M_\Omega^2}$ and $r_\ell=\frac{M_\pi^2}{M_\Omega^2}$ can be used to reach the physical point of am_ℓ and am_s

For each ensemble we interpolate among the 3 values of am_s .



S. Romiti

Perturbative expansion of QED

Consider a theory with couplings $\vec{g}^{(0)} = (g_1^{(0)},...,g_n^{(0)})$. When we add the perturbation $\Delta S[U,A,\psi,\bar{\psi}]$

$$\langle O \rangle^{\vec{g}} = \frac{\langle e^{-(\beta - \beta_0)S[U]} \prod_f \frac{\det(D_f[U, \vec{g}])}{\det(D_f[U, \vec{g}_0])} O[U, A, \vec{g}] \rangle^{A, \vec{g}_0}}{\langle e^{-(\beta - \beta_0)S[U]} \prod_f \frac{\det(D_f[U, \vec{g}])}{\det(D_f[U, \vec{g}_0])} \rangle^{A, \vec{g}_0}}$$

Electroquenched approximation

We consider chargless sea quarks. This consists in setting:

$$e^{-(\beta-\beta_0)S[U]} \prod_f \frac{\det(D_f[U,\vec{g}])}{\det(D_f[U,\vec{g}_0])} \to 1$$

 \rightarrow feasible calculation using heat-bath algorithms.

Mass corrections from lattice correlators

$$\Delta C = \sum_{y} \left\langle O(t) J(y) O^{\dagger}(0) \right\rangle$$



$$C(t) = A e^{-Mt} = A_0(1 + \Delta A) e^{-(M_0 + \Delta M)t}$$
$$= C_0(1 + \Delta A - \Delta M t)$$

The mass correction is found as

$$\Delta M = -\partial_t \frac{\Delta C}{C_0}$$

∃ ▶ ∢





 ΔM_{K^0}



S. Romiti

Tuning of $\Delta m_f^{(crit)}$

In the physical basis the critical mass counterterm is modified by the insertion of

$$J(x) = \sum_{f} \bar{\psi}_{f}(x) i\gamma_{5}\tau_{3}\psi_{f}(x)$$

PCAC Ward Identity

$$\partial_t \sum_{\vec{x}} \left\langle \bar{\psi}_f(t, \vec{x}) i \gamma_0 \psi_f(t, \vec{x}) O(y) \right\rangle = 2m_f^{(PCAC)} \sum_{\vec{x}} \left\langle \bar{\psi}_f(t, \vec{x}) i \gamma_5 \psi_f(t, \vec{x}) O(y) \right\rangle$$

- $\bullet~{\rm In}~{\rm isoQCD}$ the PCAC mass was tuned to 0 . $\rightarrow~{\rm maximal}~{\rm twist}\rightarrow O(a)~{\rm improvement}$
- In QCD+QED we impose that maximal twist is preserved at $O(\alpha_{EM})$:

$$\Delta \left(\frac{\partial_t \left\langle V_0 P_5 \right\rangle}{\left\langle P_5 P_5 \right\rangle}\right)^{(EMC)} = 0$$

QED_L formula($\kappa \approx 2.837297$)

$$\Delta M(L) = \Delta M(\infty) - Q^2 \alpha \frac{\kappa}{2ML} \left(1 + \frac{2}{ML}\right) + O(\frac{1}{L^3})$$



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

FVEs on strong IB mass corrections



$$\Delta M(L) \sim \Delta M(\infty) \left(1 + C \frac{e^{-M_{\pi}L}}{(M_{\pi}L)^{\alpha}} \right)$$





July 2021

46 / 51

Approximations in the isoQCD analysis

• Non-unitary setup in th strange sector. Osterwalder-Seiler strange quarks.

$$m_q^{(val)} \neq m_q^{(sea)}$$

• We neglect "fermion-disconnected" contributions, e.g. :



$$(M_{\pi^+} - M_{\pi^-})(L, a, r_{\ell}) = \delta_0 \left[1 + c_L M_{\pi}^2 \frac{e^{-M_{\pi}L}}{(M_{\pi}L)^{3/2}} + c_3 L^{-3} + c_4 L^{-4} + c_a a^2 + c_{\ell}^{(1)} r_{\ell} + c_{\ell}^{(2)} r_{\ell}^2 + d_{\ell} r_{\ell} \log \left(r_{\ell} \right) \right]$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲厘▶ ▲厘▶

в

$$(M_n - M_p)(L, a, r_\ell) = \delta_0 \times \left[1 + c_L M_\pi^2 \frac{e^{-M_\pi L}}{(M_\pi L)^{3/2}} + c_3 L^{-3} + c_4 L^{-4} + c_a a^2 + c_\ell^{(1)} r_\ell + c_\ell^{(2)} r_\ell^2 \right]$$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲厘▶ ▲厘▶ →

Mesonic correlators : $O(x) = \overline{\psi}_1(x) \Gamma \psi_2(x)$

- Integer spin (bosons)
- Coupled forward and backward signals

Baryonic correlators(fermions) : $O(x)_{\alpha} = \bar{\psi}_1(x) \Gamma \psi_2(x) \psi_3(x)_{\alpha}$

- Half-integer spin (fermions) ightarrow free Dirac index in the interpolator
- Parity projection acts on backward signals:
 - P = +1 propagate only forward (backward)
 - P = -1 propagate only backward (forward)

Steps of the analysis

- Extraction of the leading exponential signal
 - Fit of the effective masse
 - *n*-exponential fit
 - Prony methods (ODE)
- Correction of Finite Volume Effects for each ensemble
- Extrapolation to the physical point of m_s for each ensemble
- Extrapolation to the physical point of m_ℓ and to the continuum

Strategy: We find the physical point in terms of hadronic ratios r_s and r_ℓ , and use it the tuning of counterterms in QCD+QED.