Three-particle scattering from lattice QCD

Maxwell T. Hansen

July 28th, 2021



THE UNIVERSITY of EDINBURGH

Recipe for strong force predictions

- 1. Lagrangian defining QCD +
- 2. Formal / numerical machinery (lattice QCD) +
- 3. A few experimental inputs (e.g. $M_{\pi}, M_{K}, M_{\Omega}$) =





Overwhelming evidence for QCD \checkmark \rightarrow Tool for new physics searches

Wide range of precision pre-/post-dictions

Multi-hadron observables

Exotics, XYZs, tetra- and penta-quarks, H dibaryon



e.g. X(3872) $\sim |D^0 \overline{D}^{*0} + \overline{D}^0 D^{*0}\rangle?$



Eletroweak, CP violation, resonant enhancement

CP violation in charm $D \to \pi \pi, KK$

 $f_0(1710)$ could enhance ΔA_{CP} • Soni (2017) •

Resonant B decays

$$B \to K^* \,\ell\ell \to K\pi \,\ell\ell$$

$$|X
angle, |
ho
angle, |K^*
angle, |f_0
angle
ot\in \mathbf{QCD}$$
 Fock space

QCD Fock space

☐ At low-energies QCD = hadronic degrees of freedom $\pi \sim \overline{u}d$, $K \sim \overline{s}u$, $p \sim uud$ ☐ Overlaps of multi-hadron *asymptotic states* → S matrix



□ An enormous space of information

$$|\pi\pi\pi\pi\pi, \mathrm{in}\rangle |K\overline{K}, \mathrm{in}\rangle \cdot \cdot \cdot$$

QCD resonances

D Roughly speaking, a bump in:

n: $|\mathcal{M}_{\ell}(s)|^2 \propto |e^{2i\delta_{\ell}(s)} - 1|^2 \propto \sin^2 \delta_{\ell}(s)$ scattering rate





QCD resonances

 \Box Roughly speaking, a bump in: $|\mathcal{M}_{\ell}(s)|^2 \propto |e^{2i\delta_{\ell}(s)} - 1|^2 \propto \sin^2 \delta_{\ell}(s)$ scattering rate





QCD resonances



Lattice QCD

observable? =
$$\int d^N \! \phi \, e^{-S} \begin{bmatrix} \text{interpolator} \\ \text{for observable} \end{bmatrix}$$

To proceed we have to make *three modifications*





Also... $M_{\pi,\text{lattice}} > M_{\pi,\text{our universe}}$

(but physical masses \rightarrow increasingly common)



Difficulties for multi-hadron observables



The finite volume...

- **O** Discretizes the spectrum
- O Eliminates the branch cuts and extra sheets
- Hides the resonance poles





G Scattering leaves an *imprint* on finite-volume quantities





G Scattering leaves an *imprint* on finite-volume quantities



General method

Huang, Yang (1958) • Lüscher (1986, 1991) • Rummukainen, Gottlieb (1995) Kim, Sachrajda, Sharpe (2005) • Christ, Kim, Yamazaki (2005) • He, Feng, Liu (2005) Leskovec, Prelovsek (2012) • Bernard *et. al.* (2012) • MTH, Sharpe (2012) • Briceño, Davoudi (2012) Li, Liu (2013) • Briceño (2014)

□ Single-channel case (pions in a p-wave)

$$\mathcal{K}(s_n)^{-1} = \rho \cot \delta(s_n) = -F(E_n, \vec{P}, L)$$



□ Single-channel case (pions in a p-wave)

$$\mathcal{K}(s_n)^{-1} = \rho \cot \delta(s_n) = -F(E_n, \vec{P}, L)$$



□ Single-channel case (pions in a p-wave)

$$\mathcal{K}(s_n)^{-1} = \rho \cot \delta(s_n) = -F(E_n, \vec{P}, L)$$



□ Single-channel case (pions in a p-wave)

$$\mathcal{K}(s_n)^{-1} = \rho \cot \delta(s_n) = -F(E_n, \vec{P}, L)$$



□ Single-channel case (pions in a p-wave)

$$\mathcal{K}(s_n)^{-1} = \rho \cot \delta(s_n) = -F(E_n, \vec{P}, L)$$





Applications...

exotic resonance pole positions, couplings, quantum numbers

 $\omega(782), a_1(1420) \to \pi\pi\pi$ $X(3872) \to J/\psi\pi\pi$ $X(3915)[Y(3940)] \to J/\psi\pi\pi$

form factors and transitions

and much more!... (3-body forces, weak transitions, gluons content)

Complication: degrees of freedom



12 momentum components

- -10 Poincaré generators
- 2 degrees of freedom

18 momentum components

-10 Poincaré generators

8 degrees of freedom



 $\vec{p_1} + \vec{p_2} \rightarrow \vec{p_3} + \vec{p_4} \longrightarrow$ Mandelstam s, t

5 degrees of freedom

Complication: on-shell states

Classical pairwise scattering

for $m_1 = m_2 = m_3$ up to **3** binary collisions are possible



Dispersion Relations for Three-Particle Scattering Amplitudes. I*

MORTON RUBIN Physics Department, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin

AND ROBERT SUGAR Physics Department, Columbia University, New York, New York

AND

GEORGE TIKTOPOULOS Palmer Physical Laboratory, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey (Received 31 January 1966)

 $b = \frac{(m_1 + m_3)(m_2 + m_3)}{m_1 m_2}$

It follows that if

 $b^{n-2}(b-1) > 1$, (IV.18)

then 2n+1 successive binary collisions are kinematically impossible.

 $m_1 = m_2 = m_3 - \epsilon$: 4 collisions possible $\pi \pi K$

b < 2 5 collisions possible $\pi K K$

Correspond to Landau singularities



complicate analyticity & unitarity

difficult to disentangle kinematic singularities from resonance poles

Two key observations

 \square Intermediate $K_{df,3}$ removes singularities

 $\mathcal{K}_{df,3} \equiv {}^{\text{fully connected diagrams}}_{\text{w/ PV pole prescription}}$

same degrees of freedom as M_3

smooth real function

+

relation to M_3 = known

 $\Box \quad K_{df,3} \text{ has a systematic low-energy expansion}$ $\mathcal{K}_{df,3}(p_3, p_2, p_1; k_3, k_2, k_1) = \mathcal{K}_{df,3}^{\text{iso},0} + \mathcal{K}_{df,3}^{\text{iso},1} \Delta + \cdots \qquad \Delta = \frac{s - (3m)^2}{(3m)^2}$ smooth real function

analogous to effective range expansion

$$p \cot \delta = -\frac{1}{a} + \frac{1}{2} r p^2 + \mathcal{O}(p^4)$$

gives handle on many degrees of freedom (DOFs enter order by order) Status...



Identical spin-zero, no 2-to-3, no K2 poles • MTH, Sharpe (2014, 2015) •

☑ as above... but including 2-to-3

including K2 poles

• Briceño, MTH, Sharpe (2017) •

• Briceño, MTH, Sharpe (2018) •

Image: Mon-identical, non-degenerate spin-zero $\pi\pi\pi \to \rho\pi \to \omega \to \rho\pi \to \pi\pi\pi$ • MTH, Romero-López, Sharpe (2020)• Blanton, Sharpe (2020, 2021)

Multiple three-particle channels... Spin!

Related work

Finite-volume unitarity method

Döring, Mai (2016,2017)

Gives connection to unitarity relations

Non-relativistic EFT method

Hammer, Pang, Rusetsky (2017)

Simplified derivation + integral equations

Do not yet include non-degenerate, 2-to-3

All methods

Rely on intermediate, schemedependent quantity

Hold up to e^{-mL} and for $E_3^{\star} < 5m_{\pi}$

Equivalent where comparable

] Review articles

MTH and Sharpe, 1901.00483 • Rusetsky, 1911.01253 • Mai, Döring, Rusetsky, 2103.00577

Non-interacting energies



















Many toy results



Energy-Dependent $\pi^+\pi^+\pi^+$ Scattering Amplitude from QCD

Maxwell T. Hansen^(D),^{1,2,*} Raul A. Briceño,^{3,4,†} Robert G. Edwards^(D),^{3,‡} Christopher E. Thomas^(D),^{5,§} and David J. Wilson^(D),^{5,¶}

(for the Hadron Spectrum Collaboration)



EDITORS' SUGGESTION

Energy-Dependent $\pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+$ Scattering Amplitude from QCD

A three-hadron scattering amplitude is computed using lattice QCD for the first time.

Maxwell T. Hansen *et al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 012001 (2021)

$$\pi^+\pi^+\pi^+ \to \pi^+\pi^+\pi^+$$

lattice details $N_f = 2 + 1$ $a_s/a_t = 3.444(6)$ $L_s/a_s = 20,24$ $\bar{a}_t \stackrel{\bullet}{\bullet} \stackrel{\bullet}{\bullet}$

Workflow outline



$$\pi^+\pi^+\pi^+ \to \pi^+\pi^+\pi^+$$

lattice details $N_f = 2 + 1$ $a_s/a_t = 3.444(6)$ $L_s/a_s = 20,24$ $\bar{a}_t \stackrel{\bullet}{\bullet} \stackrel{\bullet}{\bullet}$

] Workflow outline



Operators

 \Box Variational method \rightarrow optimized single hadron

$$\pi = c_1 \,\overline{q} \Gamma q + c_2 \,\overline{q} \Gamma D q + \cdots$$

Build two-pion operators in the usual way...

$$\pi\pi(\boldsymbol{p}_{\pi\pi},\Lambda_{\pi\pi}) = \sum \operatorname{CG}\pi(\boldsymbol{p}_1)\pi(\boldsymbol{p}_2)$$

... three-pion operators from there

$$(\pi\pi\pi)(\boldsymbol{P},\Lambda) = \sum \operatorname{CG} \pi(\boldsymbol{p}_3) \pi\pi(\boldsymbol{p}_{\pi\pi},\Lambda_{\pi\pi})$$

D Note: No optimization of $\pi\pi(p_{\pi\pi}, \Lambda)$ operators

□ Analogous to…

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} \otimes \frac{1}{2}\right) \otimes \frac{1}{2} = \left(0 \oplus 1\right) \otimes \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} \oplus \frac{1}{2} \oplus \frac{3}{2}$$

$I = 3 (\pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^+), \ \mathbf{P} = [000], \ \Lambda = A_1^-, \ L/a_s = 24$



MTH, Briceño, Edwards, Thomas, Wilson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126 (2021) 012001

 $\pi^+\pi^+$ energies



MTH, Briceño, Edwards, Thomas, Wilson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126 (2021) 012001

 $\pi^+\pi^+\pi^+$ energies



MTH, Briceño, Edwards, Thomas, Wilson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126 (2021) 012001

$$\pi^+\pi^+\pi^+ \to \pi^+\pi^+\pi^+$$

lattice details $N_f = 2 + 1$ $a_s/a_t = 3.444(6)$ $L_s/a_s = 20,24$ $\bar{a}_t \overset{\bullet}{\bullet} \overset{\bullet}{$



MTH, Briceño, Edwards, Thomas, Wilson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126 (2021) 012001

K matrix fits



Finite-volume formalism relates energies to K matrices

One-to-one for $K_{\rm df,3}$ depending only on $E_{\rm cm} = E^{\star}$

Fit both two and three-body *K* to various polynomials

Cut on the CM energy in the fits

 $K_{{
m df},3}$ is scheme dependent (removed upon converting to \mathcal{M}_3)

$$\pi^+\pi^+\pi^+ \to \pi^+\pi^+\pi^+$$

lattice details $N_f = 2 + 1$ $a_s/a_t = 3.444(6)$ $L_s/a_s = 20,24$ $\bar{a}_t \bullet$ $m_\pi \approx 400 \mathrm{MeV}$ $a_s \approx 0.12 \mathrm{fm}$ $L_s/a_s = 20,24$ $\bar{a}_t \bullet$





MTH, Briceño, Edwards, Thomas, Wilson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126 (2021) 012001

Integral equation



$$\boldsymbol{D}(N,\epsilon) = -\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}\cdot\boldsymbol{G}(\epsilon)\cdot\boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}} - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{M}}\cdot\boldsymbol{G}(\epsilon)\cdot\boldsymbol{P}\cdot\boldsymbol{D}(N,\epsilon)$$

$$\mathcal{D}^{\mathsf{un}}(E_3^{\star}, \boldsymbol{p}, \boldsymbol{k}) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \lim_{N \to \infty} \boldsymbol{D}_{pk}(N, \epsilon)$$

Integral equation



Total angular momentum = 0

Two-particle sub-system angular momentum = 0

Plot at fixed E_3^{\star} and p

Both two- and three-body uncertainties estimated

Still need to symmetrize

MTH, Briceño, Edwards, Thomas, Wilson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126 (2021) 012001



$$\pi^+\pi^+\pi^+ \to \pi^+\pi^+\pi^+$$

lattice details $N_f = 2 + 1$ $a_s/a_t = 3.444(6)$ $L_s/a_s = 20,24$ $\bar{a_t}$ $m_\pi \approx 400 \text{MeV}$ $a_s \approx 0.12 \text{fm}$ $L_s/a_s = 20,24$ $\bar{a_t}$





MTH, Briceño, Edwards, Thomas, Wilson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126 (2021) 012001

Conclusions

The finite-volume = *a useful tool*



] First lattice QCD applications in 2019... much more to come

Challenges and progress

formal analysis was technical → ground work is now set

3-body amplitude is highly singular \rightarrow intermediate K matrix overcomes this

many-body demands high precision excited states \rightarrow advanced algorithms make this possible

Many groups are now involved... this is great! Thanks for a second se

Thanks for listening!