Beyond s-wave interactions of two- and three-meson systems with maximal isospin from lattice QCD

Andrew Hanlon

Brookhaven National Laboratory

In collaboration with Tyler D. Blanton, Ben Hörz, Colin Morningstar, Fernando Romero-López, and Stephen R. Sharpe

Based on arXiv:2106.05590

July 28th, 2021



Outline

- 1. Motivation
 - \circ ~ Test and push the limits of three-particle quantization condition
- 2. Setup and technical details
 - \circ Ensembles, code, analysis
 - \circ Three-particle quantization condition
- 3. Results for pions and kaons
 - \circ ~ Three pion masses: 200, 280, 340 MeV ~
 - $\circ \quad \ \ d\text{-wave interactions constrained}$



Motivation

- Most QCD resonance decays involve three or more particles
 - $\circ \quad \omega(782) \rightarrow \pi\pi\pi \;, \;\; a_1(1260) \rightarrow \pi\pi\pi, \;\; N(1440) \rightarrow N\pi\pi$
- Many recent developments on the theoretical side (and their applications)
- Three competing formalisms to interpret three-particle finite-volume energies
- Provide real lattice data to test and push the limits of various three-particle formalisms

Lattice setup

- $N_F = 2 + 1 \ O(a)$ -improved Wilson-clover fermions generated by CLS
- Three pion masses allow study of chiral dependence
 - \circ ~ Trace of bare quark masses held fixed
- One lattice spacing, a = 0.06426(76) fm
- Consider constituent momenta up to and including $d^2 = L^2/(2\pi)^2 P^2 = 9$

	$(L/a)^3 \times (T/a)$	$M_{\pi} [{ m MeV}]$	$M_K [{ m MeV}]$	$N_{\rm cfg}$	$t_{ m src}$	$N_{\rm ev}$
N203	$48^3 \times 128$	340	440	771	32, 52	192
N200	$48^3 \times 128$	280	460	1712	32, 52	192
D200	$64^3 \times 128$	200	480	2000	35, 92	448

Procedure

- 1. Calculate matrices of two-point correlation functions
 - a. Use stochastic LapH for quark propagation
 - b. Construct operators to transform in irreps of little group
 - c. Optimize contractions (<u>https://github.com/laphnn/contraction_optimizer</u>)
- 2. Extract finite-volume energies from correlation matrices
 - a. Solve Generalized EigenValue Problem (GEVP) for correlator matrices
 - b. Fit ratio of rotated correlators to single-exponential to extract shifts from non-interacting
 - c. Reconstruct energies and boost to center-of-momentum frame
- 3. Obtain K-matrices from spectrum
 - a. Adjust K-matrix parameters until lattice energies match predictions from quantization condition

Single-Meson Energies

- Single-exponential fits to correlators of momentum-projected kaon operators
- Continuum dispersion relation works well up to $d^2 = 9$
- No sign of cutoff effects here
- Similar situation for pions



Spectrum Results on N200

Single-exponential fits to

$$R_n(t) \equiv \frac{\upsilon_n^{\dagger}(\tau_0, \tau_D) C(t) \upsilon_n(\tau_0, \tau_D)}{\prod_i C^{(\mathrm{sh})}(\mathbf{p}_i^2, t)}$$





Two- and Three-particle Quantization Conditions

<u>Two-particle QC</u>

det
$$\left[F(E_2, \mathbf{P}, L)^{-1} + \mathcal{K}_2(E_2^*)\right] = 0$$

- *F* is a purely kinematic known finite-volume function
- $\mathcal{K}_2(E_2^*)_{\ell'm';\ell m} = \delta_{\ell'\ell}\delta_{m'm}\mathcal{K}_2^{(\ell)}(E_2^*)$ is an infinite-volume quantity with algebraic relation to two-particle scattering amplitude

<u>Three-particle QC</u>

det
$$\left[F_3(E, \mathbf{P}, L)^{-1} + \mathcal{K}_{df,3}(E^*)\right] = 0$$

- F_3 contains both kinematic functions and the two-particle K-matrix
- $\mathcal{K}_{df,3}$ is an infinite-volume quantity but is scheme-dependent
- Must solve integral equation to obtain three-particle scattering amplitude

Fitting the Spectrum

- Parameterization of two-particle K-matrix
 - For s-wave, use the effective range expansion or a form that explicitly includes the Adler zero 0
 - Use the d-wave scattering length Ο
- Parameterization of $\mathcal{K}_{df,3}$ given by threshold expansion to quadratic order

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df},3} = \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df},3}^{\mathrm{iso},0} + \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df},3}^{\mathrm{iso},1}\Delta + \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{df},3}^{\mathrm{iso},2}\Delta^2 + \mathcal{K}_A\Delta_A + \mathcal{K}_B\Delta_B$$
(see arXiv:1901.07095 for details)

Two-particle d-wave contributions

Parameters $\{p_n\}$ determined from minimum of

$$\chi^{2}(\{p_{n}\}) = \sum_{ij} \left(E_{i} - E_{i}^{\text{QC}}(\{p_{n}\}) \right) C_{ij}^{-1} \left(E_{j} - E_{j}^{\text{QC}}(\{p_{n}\}) \right)$$

Testing the Limits of the Formalism

- QC is valid up to first threshold with more than three particles (depending on allowed transitions)
- Transition to 3Kπ expected to be NNLO in ChPT, leading to suppressed coupling near threshold
- Fits describe data well above rigorous applicability of QC



Inclusion of d-wave terms

- \mathcal{K}_B and d-wave in \mathcal{K}_2 essential for good fit quality
- Only \mathcal{K}_B contributes to non-trivial irreps, making it easier to constrain
- Can only appear at NLO in ChPT
- Larger error on D200 from large $M_{K}L$, leading to suppression of energy shifts



Conclusions and Outlooks

- Three-particle quantization condition for simple systems
 - Hundreds of energies extracted
 - d-wave terms in both two- and three-particle K-matrix improve fit quality (substantially in some cases)
 - \circ First calculation showing strong indication that non-zero three-particle interactions are needed
- Future work
 - \circ Spectra for mixed-flavor systems (e.g. $\pi\pi K$ and $\pi KK)$
 - Systems with non-maximal isospin, resonances, and/or bound states [GWU arXiv:2107.03973]
 - \circ Integral equations for d-wave

Thanks!



Math grid tessellation (https://gifer.com/)