Progress in relativistic three-hadron scattering from lattice QCD

Andrew W. Jackura

19th International Conference on Hadron Spectroscopy and Structure 26th-31st, July 2021







Goal: Compute hadronic/nuclear properties from first principles QCD

• e.g. Excited nucleon spectrum



Goal: Compute hadronic/nuclear properties from first principles QCD

• e.g. Excited nucleon spectrum



Lattice QCD offers a systematic approach to compute hadrons from QCD

- Numerically evaluate QCD path integral
 - Euclidean spacetime, t
 ightarrow -i au
 - Finite volume, L
 - Discrete spacetime, a
 - Heavier than physical quark mass, $m > m_{\rm phys.}$



Goal: Compute hadronic/nuclear properties from first principles QCD

• e.g. Excited nucleon spectrum





Goal: Compute hadronic/nuclear properties from first principles QCD

• e.g. Excited nucleon spectrum



@JLab - Clas12 - GlueX

Goal: Compute hadronic/nuclear properties from first principles QCD

• e.g. Excited nucleon spectrum





@JLab - Clas12 - GlueX

Goal: Compute hadronic/nuclear properties from first principles QCD

• e.g. Excited nucleon spectrum



PDG listings

Goal: Compute hadronic/nuclear properties from first principles QCD

• e.g. Excited nucleon spectrum





Goal: Compute hadronic/nuclear properties from first principles QCD

• e.g. Excited nucleon spectrum



Path to scattering physics from QCD

Use Lüscher methodology to connect lattice QCD observables to scattering amplitudes

• Non-perturbative, model-independent, systematically improvable



Path to scattering physics from QCD

Much success in two-body sector

• e.g., the Scalar nonet



Path to scattering physics from QCD

Much success in two-body sector

• e.g., the Scalar nonet



 $m_{\pi} = 391 \text{ MeV}$





R.A. Briceño et al. [HadSpec] Phys.Rev. **D97**, (2018) 054513

J.J. Dudek et al. [HadSpec] Phys.Rev. **D93**, (2016) 094506

J.J. Dudek et al. [HadSpec] Phys.Rev.Lett. **113**, (2014) 182001

Path to three-body physics from QCD

Follow same path in three-body sector — considerably more challenging

- 8 kinematic variables (vs. 2 in two-body)
- Link between lattice QCD observable to amplitudes involves integral equations



Path to three-body physics from QCD

Follow same path in three-body sector — considerably more challenging

- 8 kinematic variables (vs. 2 in two-body)
- Link between lattice QCD observable to amplitudes involves integral equations



Scattering theory for three-body systems

Using principles of S matrix to constrain amplitude

- Lorentz Invariance
- Unitarity
- Analyticity
- Crossing



On-shell scattering equation

 \mathcal{K}_3 Unknown! Obtained from Lattice QCD

M. Mai, B. Hu, M. Döring, A. Pilloni, and A. Szczepaniak Eur. Phys. J. A 53, 177 (2017)

AJ et al. [JPAC] Eur. Phys. J. C 79, no. 1, 56 (2019)

AJ et al. [JPAC] Phys. Rev. D 100, 034508 (2019)

AJ, in preparation

cf. two-body case: $\mathcal{T}_2 = \mathcal{K}_2 - \mathcal{K}_2 \mathcal{F} \mathcal{T}_2$

Scattering theory for three-body systems

Using principles of S matrix to constrain amplitude

• Informs the analytic structure — needed to understand resonance phenomena

e.g. effects of triangle singularities





 $\mathcal{T}_B \subset \mathcal{T}_3$

Connecting Lattice QCD to three-body amplitudes

For a given K matrix, can solve integral equations

• K matrices given from lattice QCD



$$\det \left(1 + \mathcal{K}_3 \left(\mathcal{F}_L + \mathcal{G}_L \right) \right)_{E = E_n} = 0 \qquad \text{Quantization condition}$$

$$\mathcal{T}_3 = \mathcal{K}_3 - \int \mathcal{K}_3 \,\mathcal{F} \,\mathcal{T}_3 - \iint \mathcal{K}_3 \,\mathcal{G} \,\mathcal{T}_3$$

M. Hansen and S. Sharpe Phys. Rev. D **90**, 116003 (2014), Phys. Rev. D **95**, 034501 (2017)

M. Mai and M. Döring

Eur. Phys. J. A 53, 240 (2017), Phys. Rev. Lett. 122, 062503 (2019)

AJ, in preparation

Examine toy-model — $3\varphi \to 3\varphi$

- Assume exchange dominance *No short-range three-body forces*
- Scalar system -J = 0
- Two-hadron pair forms bound state $2 \varphi \rightarrow b$

Toy model version of $3N \rightarrow 3N$ with $2N \rightarrow d$



Examine toy-model — $3\varphi \to 3\varphi$

- Assume exchange dominance *No short-range three-body forces*
- Scalar system -J = 0
- Two-hadron pair forms bound state $2 \varphi \rightarrow b$

Toy model version of $3N \rightarrow 3N$ with $2N \rightarrow d$



Examine toy-model — $3\varphi \to 3\varphi$

- Assume exchange dominance *No short-range three-body forces*
- Scalar system -J = 0
- Two-hadron pair forms bound state $2 \varphi \rightarrow b$

Toy model version of $3N \rightarrow 3N$ with $2N \rightarrow d$



Examine toy-model — $3\varphi \to 3\varphi$

- Assume exchange dominance *No short-range three-body forces*
- Scalar system -J = 0
- Two-hadron pair forms bound state $2\varphi \rightarrow b$



AJ, R. Briceño, S. Dawid, M.H. Islam, and C. McCarty Phys. Rev. D **104** 014507 (2021)

Methodology not limited to below 3-body threshold

• Allows for calculation of breakup / recombination amplitude



Methodology not limited to below 3-body threshold

• Allows for calculation of breakup / recombination amplitude



Methodology not limited to below 3-body threshold

• Allows for calculation of breakup / recombination amplitude



Unfortunately... pretty boring...

Methodology not limited to below 3-body threshold

• Allows for calculation of breakup / recombination amplitude



Unfortunately... pretty boring...

Applications to $3\pi^+$



See M. Hansen, Meson Spectroscopy 5 28th July, 2021



M. Hansen et al. [HadSpec] Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, (2021) 012001

Path to three-body physics from QCD

Three-body resonances from QCD are within reach

- Clear systematic path via Lattice QCD
- Scattering theory constraints allow for model-independent analyses



Summary

Three-body interactions play a key role in many outstanding problems in hadron physics

• Most excited states strongly couple to three (or more) particle channels

Framework in place to determine three-body hadron physics from lattice QCD

- Rapid development in formalisms relating lattice QCD observables to amplitudes
- Scattering phenomenology is advancing in tandem
- First applications of these ideas appearing in the literature



Extra Slides



On-shell scattering equations

S-matrix unitarity fixes on-shell structure of scattering amplitudes

2
ightarrow 2 scattering

 $\mathcal{M}_2 = \mathcal{K}_2 - \mathcal{K}_2 \mathcal{I} \mathcal{M}_2$

On-shell two-particle rescattering

$$\operatorname{Im}\mathcal{I} = -\rho \sim \bullet$$

K-matrices

- Unknown real function characterizing short-distance physics
- Parameterize with analytic function and determine from lattice QCD
- Scheme dependent (unphysical)

On-shell scattering equations

S-matrix unitarity fixes on-shell structure of scattering amplitudes

2
ightarrow 2 scattering

$$\mathcal{M}_2 = \mathcal{K}_2 - \mathcal{K}_2 \mathcal{I} \mathcal{M}_2$$

3
ightarrow 3 scattering

$$\mathcal{M}_{3} = \mathcal{K}_{3} - \mathcal{K}_{3}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{M}_{2} - \mathcal{K}_{2}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{M}_{3} - \int \mathcal{K}_{3}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{M}_{3}$$
$$- \mathcal{K}_{2}G\mathcal{M}_{2} - \int \mathcal{K}_{3}G\mathcal{M}_{2} - \mathcal{K}_{2}\int G\mathcal{M}_{3} - \int \int \mathcal{K}_{3}G\mathcal{M}_{3}$$

On-shell exchange



K-matrices

- Unknown real function characterizing short-distance physics
- Parameterize with analytic function and determine from lattice QCD
- Scheme dependent (unphysical)

Given \mathcal{K}_2 , and \mathcal{K}_3 , solve integral equation for \mathcal{M}_3

$$\mathcal{M}_{3} = \mathcal{K}_{3} - \mathcal{K}_{3}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{M}_{2} - \mathcal{K}_{2}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{M}_{3} - \int \mathcal{K}_{3}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{M}_{3}$$
$$- \mathcal{K}_{2}G\mathcal{M}_{2} - \int \mathcal{K}_{3}G\mathcal{M}_{2} - \mathcal{K}_{2}\int G\mathcal{M}_{3} - \int \int \mathcal{K}_{3}G\mathcal{M}_{3}$$



- Many equivalent forms (Hansen-Sharpe, Blanton-Sharpe, Döring-Mai, JPAC-AJ, Mikhasenko)
- Matrix equation in pair angular momenta
- Integral equation in spectator momenta
- Singular kernels
- Scheme dependent K-matrices (unphysical)

Given \mathcal{K}_2 , and \mathcal{K}_3 , solve integral equation for \mathcal{M}_3

$$\mathcal{M}_{3} = \mathcal{K}_{3} - \mathcal{K}_{3}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{M}_{2} - \mathcal{K}_{2}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{M}_{3} - \int \mathcal{K}_{3}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{M}_{3}$$
$$- \mathcal{K}_{2}G\mathcal{M}_{2} - \int \mathcal{K}_{3}G\mathcal{M}_{2} - \mathcal{K}_{2}\int G\mathcal{M}_{3} - \int \int \mathcal{K}_{3}G\mathcal{M}_{3}$$

Consider toy model: $3\varphi \to 3\varphi$ such that $2\varphi \to b$

Given \mathcal{K}_2 , and \mathcal{K}_3 , solve integral equation for \mathcal{M}_3

$$\mathcal{M}_{3} = \mathcal{K}_{3} - \mathcal{K}_{3}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{M}_{2} - \mathcal{K}_{2}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{M}_{3} - \int \mathcal{K}_{3}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{M}_{3}$$
$$- \mathcal{K}_{2}G\mathcal{M}_{2} - \int \mathcal{K}_{3}G\mathcal{M}_{2} - \mathcal{K}_{2}\int G\mathcal{M}_{3} - \int \int \mathcal{K}_{3}G\mathcal{M}_{3}$$

Consider toy model: $3\varphi \to 3\varphi$ such that $2\varphi \to b$





Given \mathcal{K}_2 , and \mathcal{K}_3 , solve integral equation for \mathcal{M}_3

$$\mathcal{M}_{3} = \mathcal{K}_{3} - \mathcal{K}_{3}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{M}_{2} - \mathcal{K}_{2}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{M}_{3} - \int \mathcal{K}_{3}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{M}_{3}$$
$$- \mathcal{K}_{2}G\mathcal{M}_{2} - \int \mathcal{K}_{3}G\mathcal{M}_{2} - \mathcal{K}_{2}\int G\mathcal{M}_{3} - \int \int \mathcal{K}_{3}G\mathcal{M}_{3}$$

Consider toy model: $3\varphi \to 3\varphi\,$ such that $\,2\varphi \to b\,$

Assume $\mathcal{K}_3 = 0$

$$\mathcal{M}_3|_{\mathcal{K}_3=0} \equiv \mathcal{D} \implies \mathcal{D} = -\mathcal{M}_2 G \mathcal{M}_2 - \mathcal{M}_2 \int G \mathcal{D}$$
Given \mathcal{K}_2 , and \mathcal{K}_3 , solve integral equation for \mathcal{M}_3

$$\mathcal{M}_{3} = \mathcal{K}_{3} - \mathcal{K}_{3}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{M}_{2} - \mathcal{K}_{2}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{M}_{3} - \int \mathcal{K}_{3}\mathcal{I}\mathcal{M}_{3}$$
$$- \mathcal{K}_{2}G\mathcal{M}_{2} - \int \mathcal{K}_{3}G\mathcal{M}_{2} - \mathcal{K}_{2}\int G\mathcal{M}_{3} - \int \int \mathcal{K}_{3}G\mathcal{M}_{3}$$

Consider toy model: $3\varphi \to 3\varphi\,$ such that $\,2\varphi \to b\,$

Assume $\mathcal{K}_3 = 0$

$$\mathcal{M}_3|_{\mathcal{K}_3=0} \equiv \mathcal{D} \implies \mathcal{D} = -\mathcal{M}_2 G \mathcal{M}_2 - \mathcal{M}_2 \int G \mathcal{D}$$



Focus on case where 2-body systems forms bound state

• Consider energies below three particle threshold

$$\lim_{\sigma_p,\sigma_k\to\sigma_b} i\mathcal{M}_3 = ig_b \frac{i}{\sigma_p - \sigma_b} i\mathcal{M}_{\varphi b} \frac{i}{\sigma_k - \sigma_b} ig_b$$



Convert integral equation to linear equation

- Introduce regulators N (matrix size) and ϵ (pole shift)
- Recover amplitude in $N \to \infty$, $\epsilon \to 0^+$ limit

$$\mathcal{M}_{\varphi b} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \mathcal{M}_{\varphi b}(N, \epsilon)$$

Several methods

- Brute-force
- Remove bound-state pole explicitly
- Splines Glöckle, Hasberg, Neghabian Z. Phys. A305 (1982) 217

Convert integral equation to linear equation

- Introduce regulators N (matrix size) and ϵ (pole shift)
- Recover amplitude in $N \to \infty$, $\epsilon \to 0^+$ limit

$$\mathcal{M}_{\varphi b} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \lim_{\epsilon \to 0^+} \mathcal{M}_{\varphi b}(N, \epsilon)$$

S-matrix unitarity provides a way to check quality of solutions

Deviation from unitarity guides quality of solution

$$\operatorname{Im} \mathcal{M}_{\varphi b}^{-1}(E) = -\rho_{\varphi b}(E)$$

$$\Delta \rho_{\varphi b}(E;N) \equiv \left| \frac{\operatorname{Im} \left[\mathcal{M}_{\varphi b}^{-1}(E;N) \right] + \rho_{\varphi b}(E)}{\rho_{\varphi b}(E)} \right| \times 100$$

Several methods

- Brute-force
- Remove bound-state pole explicitly
- Splines Glöckle, Hasberg, Neghabian Z. Phys. A305 (1982) 217

$N \rightarrow \infty$ Extrapolations

Compute multiple N solutions — extrapolate to $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit

• Unitarity deviation greatly improved

$$\mathcal{M}_{\varphi b}(E;N) \approx \mathcal{M}_{\varphi b}(E) + \frac{\alpha}{N}$$







Above the three-body threshold

Methodology not limited to below 3-body threshold

• Allows for calculation of breakup / recombination amplitude

$$\mathcal{M}_{\varphi \to 3\varphi}(\sigma_p) = -\lim_{\sigma_k \to \sigma_b} \frac{\sigma_k - \sigma_b}{g} \mathcal{M}_3(\sigma_p, \sigma_k)$$



$\epsilon \to 0$ limit

Ensure $\epsilon \to 0$ through $N \to \infty$ limit

$$\left[\sum_{x} \Delta x - \int dx\right] \frac{1}{x^2 - x_0^2 + i\epsilon} \sim e^{-2\pi\epsilon/\Delta x} \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad \epsilon \propto \frac{\eta}{N}$$



Evolution of solutions



Evolution of solutions



Sum to all orders in generic EFT all relevant cuts leading to singularities in physical region

• e.g. $2 \rightarrow 2$

 $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{M} +$

Sum to all orders in generic EFT all relevant cuts leading to singularities in physical region

• e.g. $2 \rightarrow 2$

 $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{M} +$

 $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{M} +$

All 2PI diagrams - left hand cuts and higher multi-particle thresholds

Sum to all orders in generic EFT all relevant cuts leading to singularities in physical region

• e.g. $2 \rightarrow 2$

 $\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{M} + \mathbf{M} +$



Sum to all orders in generic EFT all relevant cuts leading to singularities in physical region

• e.g. $2 \rightarrow 2$



K matrix — unknown dynamical function unconstrained by unitarity

Sum to all orders in generic EFT all relevant cuts leading to singularities in physical region

• e.g. $2 \rightarrow 2$





 $\mathcal{M}_2 = \mathcal{K}_2 + \mathcal{K}_2 i \rho \mathcal{M}_2$

For given K matrix, obtain on-shell solution for amplitude

On-shell scattering amplitudes from unitarity

Start with S matrix unitarity

- Provides constrain for amplitude on real axis in physical region
- On-shell amplitude satisfies linear equation — check unitarity constraint

$$\mathcal{M}_3 = \mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{R} - G)\mathcal{M}_2 + \int \mathcal{M}_2(\mathcal{R} - G)\mathcal{M}_3$$

R is a different short-distance function

Im +=+++

M. Mai, B. Hu, M. Döring, A. Pilloni, and A. Szczepaniak Eur. Phys. J.A **53**, 177 (2017)

AJ et al. [JPAC] Eur. Phys. J. C **79**, no. 1, 56 (2019)

M. Mikhasenko, AJ et al. [JPAC] Phys. Rev. D **98**, 096021 (2018)

M. Mikhasenko, AJ et al. [JPAC] JHEP **08**, 080 (2019)

Extension to FV

M. Mai and M. Döring Eur. Phys. J.A **53**, 240 (2017)

Equivalence of relativistic methods

The RFT vs FVU methods can be summarized as "Bottom up" vs "Top down"

On-shell scattering equations are equivalent

AJ et al. Phys. Rev. D **100**, 034508 (2019)



T. Blanton and S. Sharpe arXiv:2007.16190 (2020)



Small details, e.g. aspects of symmetrization — see literature for information



Example of solution — Extrapolated result



Example of solution — Extrapolated result

