Multi-TeV flarings: an evidence of the photohadronic process

Carlos Eduardo López Fortín¹

In collaboration with: Dr. Sarira Sahu¹ & Dr. Shigehiro Nagataki²

¹Institute of Nuclear Sciences UNAM ²Astrophysical Big Bang Laboratory, RIKEN



APJ Letters 884,1 L17 (2019)

Presentation program

Multi-TeV observations in the universe

Blazars as gamma-ray sources

Photohadronic model

Description of HBL VHE spectra

Indirect determination of redshift

Future perspectives

Cosmic Ray Observatories



Very Energetic Radiation Imaging Telescope (VERITAS) Mouth Hopkins, Arizona, USA

IceCube Neutrino Observatory

Amundsen-Scott South Pole Station



Cosmic Ray Observatories



Major Atmospheric Gamma Imaging Cherenkov Telescopes (MAGIC) La Palma, Spain



High Altitude Water Cherenkov Experiment (HAWC) Sierra Negra, Puebla, Mexico

Active Galactic Nuclei

Compact regions at the center of a galaxy with very high luminosity

Non-stellar radiation due to matter accretion in central supermassive black hole



Active Galactic Nuclei



Types of AGNs

Active Galactic Nuclei

(A few % of all galaxies)

.



Spectral energy distribution (SED)



VHE Spectrum of Mrk 501 (VERITAS Colab, 2016)



Blazar clasification



Particle acceleration mechanisms?



Extragalactic background light (EBL)



Extragalactic background light (EBL)



Emitting region is a blob with comoving radius R'_b moving with velocity β with a bulk Lorentz factor Γ and seen at an angle θ_{ob} .

Isotropic electron population and randomly oriented B'. The electrons and Fermi-accelerated protons follow a **power-law spectrum**.

Limited electron acceleration, UHE hadronic acceleration possible

Flaring occurs within a compact volume R'_f inside the blob.

The internal and external jet move with almost the same \varGamma as the blob.

Fermi-accelerated protons

$$\frac{dN}{dE_p} \propto E_p^{-\alpha} , \ \alpha \ge 2$$



Photohadronic scenario

$$p + \gamma \to \Delta^+ \to \begin{cases} p \, \pi^0, & \text{fraction } 2/3 \\ n \, \pi^+, & \text{fraction } 1/3 \end{cases}$$

$$\sigma_{\Delta} \sim 5 \times 10^{-28} \, \mathrm{cm}^2$$

Gamma-rays Neutrinos
 $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ $\pi^+ \rightarrow e^+ \nu_e \nu_\mu \bar{\nu}_\mu$

Double jet structure -> no need for super-Eddington power

(inner photon density) $n_{\gamma,f} > n_{\gamma}$ (outer photon density)

Minimum kinematical condition

$$E'_p \epsilon'_{\gamma} = \frac{(m_{\Delta}^2 - m_p^2)}{2(1 - \beta_p \cos \theta)} \simeq 0.32 \,\mathrm{GeV}^2$$

For high energy protons, we assume $\beta_p \sim 1$. We average collision of all directions as $(1 - \cos\theta) \sim 1$.

In the observer frame,

$$E_p \epsilon_{\gamma} \simeq 0.32 \ \frac{\Gamma \mathcal{D}}{(1+z)^2} \ \mathrm{GeV}^2$$

where,

$$\epsilon_{\gamma} = \frac{\mathcal{D}\epsilon_{\gamma}'}{(1+z)} \qquad E_p = \frac{\Gamma E_p'}{(1+z)}$$

Each pion carries ~ 0.2 of the proton energy, and pions decay into two γ -rays. Therefore,

$$E_{\gamma} = \frac{1}{10} \frac{\mathcal{D}}{(1+z)} E'_p = \frac{\mathcal{D}}{10\,\Gamma} E_p$$

The matching condition between the $\pi 0$ -decay photon energy E_{γ} and the target photon energy ϵ_{γ} becomes,

$$E_{\gamma}\epsilon_{\gamma} \simeq 0.032 \ \frac{\mathcal{D}\Gamma}{(1+z)^2} \ \mathrm{GeV}^2$$

The VHE γ -ray flux is proportional to the background seed photon density n'_{γ} and the proton flux $F_p = E_p^2 (dN/dE_p)$ $F_{\gamma} = E_{\gamma}^2 \frac{dN}{dE_{\gamma}} \propto E_p^2 \frac{dN}{dE_p} n'_{\gamma,f}$

To constrain the seed photon density, we compare the dynamical time scale $t'_d = R'_f$ with the $p\gamma$ interaction time scale $t'_{p\gamma} = \left(n'_{\gamma,f}\sigma_{\Delta}K_{p\gamma}\right)^{-1}$.

The optical depth of the $n\gamma$ process is, $au_{p\gamma} = n'_{\gamma,f}\sigma_{\Delta}R'_{f}$

For moderate efficiency, we have $t'_{p\gamma} > t'_d$ and thus $\tau_{p\gamma} < 2$

Photon density is also limited by the Eddington luminosity $n'_{\gamma,f} \ll \frac{L_{Edd}}{8\pi R'_f^2 \epsilon'_{\gamma}}$

For the outer jet, it is well known that,

$$n_{\gamma}'(\epsilon_{\gamma}) = \eta \frac{L_{\gamma,SSC}(1+z)}{\mathcal{D}^{2+\kappa} 4\pi R_{b}'^{2} \epsilon_{\gamma}}$$

where the SSC photon luminosity is,

$$L_{\gamma,SSC} = \frac{4\pi d_L^2 \Phi_{SSC}(\epsilon_{\gamma})}{(1+z)^2}$$

Using the kinematical condition, we can express the ratio,

$$\frac{n_{\gamma}'(\epsilon_{\gamma_1})}{n_{\gamma}'(\epsilon_{\gamma_2})} = \frac{\Phi_{SSC}(\epsilon_{\gamma_1})}{\Phi_{SSC}(\epsilon_{\gamma_2})} \frac{E_{\gamma_1}}{E_{\gamma_2}}$$

Scaling behavior

$$\frac{n_{\gamma,f}'(\epsilon_{\gamma_1})}{n_{\gamma,f}'(\epsilon_{\gamma_2})} \simeq \frac{n_{\gamma}'(\epsilon_{\gamma_1})}{n_{\gamma}'(\epsilon_{\gamma_2})}$$

Due to the adiabatic expansion of the inner jet, the photon density will decrease when it crosses into the outer region

To recall, the observed VHE flux is,

$$F_{\gamma} = E_{\gamma}^2 \frac{dN}{dE_{\gamma}} \propto E_p^2 \frac{dN}{dE_p} n_{\gamma,f}'$$

The observed flux taking into account EBL effect,

$$F_{\gamma}(E_{\gamma}) = F_{int}(E_{\gamma})e^{-\tau_{\gamma\gamma}(E_{\gamma},z)}$$

Putting everything together,

$$F(E_{\gamma}) = A_{\gamma} \Phi_{SSC}(\epsilon_{\gamma}) \left(\frac{E_{\gamma}}{TeV}\right)^{-\alpha+3} e^{-\tau_{\gamma\gamma}(E_{\gamma},z)}$$

where the proportionality constant is,

$$A_{\gamma} = \left(\frac{F(E_{\gamma_2})}{\Phi_{SSC}(\epsilon_{\gamma_2})}\right) \left(\frac{TeV}{E_{\gamma_2}}\right)^{-\alpha+3} e^{\tau_{\gamma\gamma}(E_{\gamma_2},z)}$$

and,

 α : proton spectral index ($\alpha \geq 2$)

For HBLs and extreme HBLs, it has been shown that the SSC flux falls on the tail region and follows a simple power law,

$$\Phi_{SSC}(\epsilon_{\gamma}) = \Phi_0 E_{\gamma}^{-\beta}$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} \beta\colon {\rm seed} & {\rm photon} \\ {\rm spectral \ index} \\ (\beta\leq 1) \end{array}$



Therefore for the VHE spectra of HBL, we have the general expression in terms of a single parameter,

$$F_{\gamma,obs}(E_{\gamma}) = F_{\gamma,int}(E_{\gamma}) e^{-\tau_{\gamma\gamma}(E_{\gamma},z)}$$

where the intrinsic flux is given by, $E = \left(\begin{array}{c} E_{\gamma} \end{array} \right)^{-\delta+3} e^{-\tau_{\gamma\gamma}} \left(\begin{array}{c} E_{\gamma\gamma} \end{array} \right)^{-\delta+3} e^{-\tau_{\gamma\gamma}} \left(\begin{array}(\begin{array}{c} E_{\gamma\gamma} \end{array} \right)^{-\delta+3} e^{-\tau_{\gamma\gamma}} \left(\left(\begin{array}(\begin{array}{c} E_{\gamma\gamma} \end{array} \right)^{-\delta+3} e^{-\tau_{\gamma$

$$F_{\gamma,int}(E_{\gamma}) = F_0\left(\frac{L_{\gamma}}{TeV}\right) \qquad e^{-\tau_{\gamma\gamma}(E_{\gamma},z)}$$

and,

 F_0 : Normalisation $\delta = \delta^{onstant}$ photohadronic spectral index We fitted the observed VHE spectra of 42 emission epochs of 23 HBLs of different redshifts very well with the free parameter δ is in the range $2.5 \leq \delta \leq 3.0$.

We have roughly classified:

- Very high state: $\delta \in [2.5, 2.6]$
- High state: $\delta \in [2.6, 3.0[$
- Low state: $\delta = 3.0$

EBL template: Francheschini et al (2008).

VHE Spectrum (low redshift)



1ES 0229+200 (z=0.1396)

It was observed by VERITAS telescopes during a long-term observation over three seasons between October 2009 and January 2013, for a total of 54.3 hours

Energy range:

 $0.29 \; TeV \leq E_{\gamma} \leq 7.6 \; TeV$

VHE Spectrum (low redshift)

1ES 0347-121 (z=0.188)

The HESS telescopes observed this blazar between August and December 2006 for a total of 25.4 hours.

Energy range:

```
0.25 \ TeV \le E_{\gamma} \le 3.0 \ TeV
```



VHE Spectrum (low redshift)



1ES 0806+524: (z=0.138)

A multiwavelength campaign was performed by MAGIC telescopes from January to March 2011 for 13 nights for about 24 hours and, on February 24, observed a flaring event for 3 hours.

Energy range:

 $0.17 \ TeV \le E_{\gamma} \le 0.93 \ TeV$

VHE Spectrum (medium redshift)

1ES 1011+496 (z=0.212)

It was observed by the MAGIC telescopes during a aring event between February and March 2014, for a total of 17 nights.

Energy range:

 $0.1 \ TeV \le E_{\gamma} \le 3 \ TeV$



VHE Spectrum (high redshift)



PG 1553+113 (z=0.5)

A multi-TeV faring event was observed from PG 1553+113 during the nights of April 26 and 27 of 2012 by the HESS telescopes for a total of 3.5 hours.

Energy range:

 $0.25 \ TeV \le E_{\gamma} \le 0.6 \} \ TeV$

Predicting unknown redshifts

HESS J1943+213 (z=?)

In VHE, it was observed by VERITAS telescopes from 27 May to 2 July 2014 and from 20 April to 9 November 2015, for a total exposure time of 37.2 hours.

Previously constrained to,

0.03 < z < 0.45

by applying the photohadronic model we found more stringent bounds for the redshift,

 $0.14 \le z \le 0.19$



Predicting unknown redshifts



PKS 1440-389 (z=?)

This HBL was observed by HESS telescopes between 29 February to 27 May 2012 for a total of ~12 hours.

Previously constrained to,

0.14 < z < 0.2.2

by applying the photohadronic model we found more stringent bounds for the redshift,

 $0.14 \leq z \leq 0.24$

Results

	Name	Redshift(z)	Period	$F_{0,11}$	δ	State
	Mrk 421	0.031	2004	51.3	2.95	High
			22 Apr 2006	5.2	2.95	High
Only model to			24 Apr 2006	10.7	3.0	Low
			25 Apr 2006	6.9	2.95	High
appointantly departing			26 Apr 2006	5.2	3.0	Low
consistently describe			27 Apr 2006	16	2.95	High
			28 Apr 2006	5.0	3.0	Low
$up \ io \ 40 + v \Box \Box$			29 Apr 2006	4.9	3.0	Low
anastra of LIDI a			30 Apr 2006	13.5	2.5	Very High
spectra of HBLS.			16 Feb 2010	12	3.0	Low
•			17 Feb 2010	1.5	3.0	Low
			10 Mar 2010	21	2.6	Very High
			10 Mar 2010	16.5	3.0	Low
	161-501	0.024	28 Dec 2010	6.7	3.00	Low
	Mrk 501	0.034	22 - 27 May 2012	0.3	2.9	High
	155 2244 514	0.044	23 - 24 Jun 2014	28	2.93	High
	1ES 2344+314	0.044	4 Oct 2007 - 11 Jan 2008	0.8	3.0	Low
	1ES 1939+030	0.048	Nov 2007 Oct 2012	12	3.0	Low
			21 27 May 2006	2.2	3.0	Low
			21-27 May 2000	80	2.0	High
	1FS 1727+502	0.055	1.7 May 2012	0.0	2.9	Low
	PKS 1440-389	0.055 0.14< $\gamma < 0.24$	29 Feb - 27 May 2013	0.9	3.0	Low
D hatahadrania	1FS 1312-423	0.105	Apr 2004 - Jul 2010	0.20	3.0	Low
FIIOLOHAUIOHIC	B32247+381	0.119	30 Sep - 30 Oct 2010	0.17	3.0	Low
anastral index can	RGB J0710+591	0.125	Dec 2008 - Mar 2009	0.5	2.9	High
spectral index can	1ES 1215+303	0.131	Jan - Feb 2011	90	3.0	Low
prodict state and	1RXS J101015.9-311909	0.14	Aug 2008 - Jan 2011	0.2	2.8	High
predict state and	1ES 0229+200	0.14	2005 - 2006	0.4	2.6	Very High
nhoton donaity (ainao	H 2356-309	0.165	Jun - Dec 2004	0.3	2.9	High
photon density (since	1ES 1218+304	0.182	Dec 2008 - 2013	1.5	2.9	High
$\beta - \delta$ α	1ES 1101+232	0.186	2004 - 2005	0.60	2.75	High
$p = o - \alpha$	1ES 1011+496	0.212	6 Feb - 7 Mar 2014	8.2	3.0	Low
	1ES 0414+009	0.287	Aug 2008 - Feb 2011	0.70	2.9	High
	RGB J0152+017	0.80	30 Oct - 14 Nov 2007	0.3	3.0	Low
	RGB J2243+203	$0.75 \le z \le 1.1$	21 - 24 Dec 2014	0.28	2.6	Very High

AGNs represent important sources to probe for the origin of cosmic rays through multi-TeV gamma-ray observations.

Leptonic, hadronic, and hybrid models allow a phenomenological description of VHE spectra but are limited to multiple parametrizations and other physical constraints (i.e. efficiency, B)

Photohadronic scenario provides a simple yet consistent explanation for VHE γ production through $p\gamma$ interaction via intermediary Δ^+

Double jet scenario provides necessary seed photon density to



Photohadronic model only requires the adjustment of one free parameter (photohadronic spectral index):

- Predict emission state (low, high, very high)
- Predict photon density (assuming known α)
- Constraint HBL redshift from VHE spectrum
- Constraint EBL model from VHE spectrum

Future work:

- Extension to IBLs and LBLs (unified model?)
- Description of IceCube neutrino events

Thank you for your αττention