





# Charm jet and correlation measurements with ALICE in pp and p–Pb collisions at the LHC

Antonio Palasciano

University and INFN Bari

10th International Workshop on Charm Physics



The study of Heavy-Flavour (HF) quarks in small system provides the reference for the understanding of their interaction with the Quark Gluon Plasma (QGP).

In pp collisions, they are useful to:

- test pQCD calculation;
- constrain and validate fragmentation and hadronization models;
- provide a reference for larger systems, such as p-Pb and Pb-Pb.

### In p-Pb:

 their production and kinematic properties can be modified by cold nuclear matter (CNM) effects like shadowing or energy loss-mechanisms.



Differential studies as HF-jets and HF-correlations can give a more direct access to the parton dynamics, providing more information than single particle studies.





a. ITS SPD (Pixel)

THE ALICE DETECTOR

# D<sup>0</sup>- and $\Lambda_{c}$ - tagged jets

Analysis strategy: charm-tagged jets

Topological reconstruction of the charm candidates

 $D^0 \rightarrow K^- \pi^+ + \text{conj.} (B.R. 3.89\%)$  $\Lambda_c^+ \rightarrow p K_s^0 + \text{conj.} (B.R. 1.59\%)$ 

- For every candidate, the jet-finder algorithm on charged particles is run (Fastjet, anti-kT).
- Extraction of the jet raw yield through Invariant Mass analysis → Sideband subtraction performed
- Corrections for Jet Efficiency and Beauty Feed-Down
- 2D unfolding for detector effects in order to simultaneously correct the measured  $p_{\rm T,\,ch\,jet}$  and distributions to particle level.



# D<sup>0</sup>-tagged jets production cross-section



A similar dependence of the D<sup>0</sup>-tagged jet cross-section is observed with increasing  $p_{T, ch jet}$  between the collision energies

- POWHEG hvq CT10NLO + PYTHIA6 well reproduces the data points, with increasing compatibility with  $p_{T, ch iet}$
- POWHEG dijet + PYTHIA 6 data above points and different trend wrt POWHEG hvq CT10NLO + PYTHIA6

# CHARM 2020 - 03/06/21



# D<sup>0</sup>-tagged jets: parallel momentum fraction



- A softer fragmentation wrt model is observed for small  $z_{||}$  at small  $p_T^D$  and in the low-  $p_{T, ch jet}$  range.
- At large  $p_{T, ch jet}$  POWHEG hvq CT10NLO + PYTHIA6 provides results compatible within the syst. uncertainties.

# CHARM 2020 - 03/06/21

# $\Lambda_{\rm C}$ -tagged jets: parallel momentum fraction





First measurements of  $z_{||}^{ch}$  probability density in  $\Lambda_c$  -tagged jets

 $\rightarrow$  large statistical uncertainties measured.

Comparisons to models:

- POWHEG hvq CT10NLO + PYTHIA6 and PYTHIA8 (Monash) :
  - $\rightarrow$  softer fragmentation observed in data
- PYTHIA8 SoftQCD
  - ightarrow predictions show a better agreenment with data





• Hint of a trend in the ratio  $\Lambda_{\rm C}$  / D<sup>0</sup> as function of the radial distance

 $\rightarrow$  Possible  $\Lambda_{c}$  less collimated with the direction of the hf-jet than the D<sup>0</sup>

# CHARM 2020 - 03/06/21

# D<sup>0</sup> -tagged jets in p-Pb at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV



- A good agreement between data and POWHEG + PYTHIA6 predictions in p-Pb.
- The differential pp cross section (scaled by  $A_{Pb}$  = 208) is compatible within statistical uncertainties with the p-Pb data.
- The nuclear modification factor  $R_{\rm pPb} \approx 1$  over the  $p_{\rm T}^{\rm ch, jet}$  interval.

# CHARM 2020 - 03/06/21

### Antonio Palasciano

ALICE

# HF-electron jets in p-Pb at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$

Jets containing electrons from a HF-hadron semileptonic decay are measured both in pp and p-Pb.

In p-Pb, modifications to the jet-shape or spectrum, are evidences of final states effects (QGP):

- *jet broadening*: dependence on R (jet cone size);
- *jet suppression*: modification to the  $p_{_{\mathrm{T}}}^{_{\mathrm{Jet}}}$  spectrum .







# CHARM 2020 - 03/06/21

# HF-electron jets in p-Pb at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$

Jets containing electrons from a HF-hadron semileptonic decay are measured both in pp and p-Pb.

In p-Pb, modifications to the jet-shape or spectrum, are evidences of final states effects (QGP):





9

### CHARM 2020 - 03/06/21

Final state particles are studied by means of their angular distribution with respect to the direction of the tagged D meson.

Sensitive to the production mechanisms
Pair Creation (LO): *c* quarks are produced back-to-back

"Near Side" peak at Δφ = 0: populated by particles from the showering of the D-meson parent *c* quark;
"Away side" peak at Δφ = π: "*recoiling jet*" particles;

Hard gluon radiation (NLO): smearing of both peaks;
Gluon splitting (NLO): *c*-*c̄* produced closely, so showering products are collimated the direction of the tagged D meson
Flavour excitation (NLO): flat contribution with Δφ.

- Differential description of the peaks shape with  $p_{\rm T}^{\rm assoc}$ Characterization of the charm fragmentation products.

In p-Pb, find modifications to the correlation shape induced by CNM effects.



# CHARM 2020 - 03/06/21



# 1) Evaluation of the 2D Correlation Distributions $(\Delta \varphi, \Delta \eta)$ :

• Topological reconstruction of D meson candidates

 $D^{0} \rightarrow K^{-}\pi^{+} + \text{conj.}$ (B.R. 3.89%)  $D^{+} \rightarrow K^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{+} + \text{conj.}$ (B.R. 9.38%)  $D^{*+} \rightarrow D^{0}\pi^{+} \rightarrow K^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{+} + \text{conj.}$ (B.R. 2.67%)

- Identification of associated (charged) particles;
- Sideband subtraction and event-mixing technique;
- Corrections for efficiency, acceptance;
- Integration over  $|\Delta \eta| < 1$ ;
- Corrections for contamination and feed-down.
- 2) Fit procedure:
  - Generalized Gaussian (NS) + Gaussian (AS) + constant term (Baseline)

Preliminary results are also available for pp collision at  $\sqrt{s}$ = 13 TeV

CHARM 2020 - 03/06/21



1) Evaluation of the 2D Correlation Distributions  $(\Delta \varphi, \Delta \eta)$ :

• Topological reconstruction of D meson candidates

 $\begin{array}{ll} D^{0} \rightarrow K^{-}\pi^{+} + \text{conj.} & (B.R. \ 3.89\%) \\ D^{+} \rightarrow K^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{+} + \text{conj.} & (B.R. \ 9.38\%) \\ D^{*+} \rightarrow D^{0}\pi^{+} \rightarrow K^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{+} + \text{conj.} & (B.R. \ 2.67\%) \end{array}$ 

- Identification of associated (charged) particles;
- Sideband subtraction and event-mixing technique;
- Corrections for efficiency, acceptance;
- Integration over  $|\Delta \eta| < 1$ ;
- Corrections for contamination and feed-down.

2) Fit procedure:

 Generalized Gaussian (NS) + Gaussian (AS) + constant term (Baseline)

Consistent values of the fit observables in pp and p-Pb collisions are observed in all kinematic ranges.

 $\rightarrow$  no significant impact from cold-nuclear-matter effects on the *c* fragmentation arises with current statistics.



# CHARM 2020 - 03/06/21



# p-Pb at $\sqrt{s}$ = 5.02 TeV





Azimuthal correlation distributions in p-Pb are studied also as function of the collision centrality to better address possible modifications to the fragmentation of the c quark.

 p-Pb distribution shapes are compatible within statistical uncertainties. The fit observables do not show a dependence on the collision centrality.

# CHARM 2020 - 03/06/21

<sup>1</sup>-0.14 (rad-

0.1F

0.08F

0.06

0.04Ē

0.02

-0.0

ALI-PREL-489120

1/N<sup>(c,b)-</sup>

HF-electron sources are:

semi-leptonic decays of heavy-flavour hadrons.

Main background contributions come from:

- Dalitz decays of light neutral mesons,
- photon conversion in the detector material.

distribution The azimuthal correlation undergoes a correction procedure similar to that of the D meson distribution.

Fitting procedure:

Two generalized gaussian are considered for the peaks.



 $4 < p_{\tau}^{\text{assoc}} < 5 \text{ GeV}/c$ 

corr. sys. unc. ± 1.4%

 $\Delta \phi$  (rad)



Stat. err. on baseline

0

0.3F



2 З

 $\Delta \phi$  (rad)

(rad<sup>-1</sup>)

) 0.25 0.25 0.2

₹0.15

<sup>←(c,p)→</sup> 1/× 0.05

0.2F

-1

 $2 < p_{\tau}^{\text{assoc}} < 3 \text{ GeV}/c$ 

PYTHIA8, Monash

corr. sys. unc. ± 1.4%

2

з

 $\Delta \phi$  (rad)

# HFe-charged particles azimuthal correlation distributions

HF-electron sources are:

semi-leptonic decays of heavy-flavour hadrons.

Main background contributions come from:

- Dalitz decays of light neutral mesons,
- photon conversion in the detector material.

The azimuthal correlation distribution undergoes a correction procedure similar to that of the D meson distribution.

### Fitting procedure:

Two generalized Gaussian are considered for the peaks:

- NS yield is very well reproduced by the PYTHIA8;
- both the widths are underestimated by the PYTHIA8 predictions.





# CHARM 2020 - 03/06/21





Azimuthal correlations between heavy-flavour decay electrons with charged particles are computed in two multiplicity classes considering p-Pb collisions:

- HM (high multiplicity, 0-20%)
- LM (low multiplicity, 60-100%)

10.1103/PhysRevLett.122.072301 (rad<sup>-1</sup>)  $(c,b) \rightarrow e$  - charged particle correlation 4.5 ALICE p-Pb,  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$  $2 < p_{-}^{e} < 4 \text{ GeV}/c, -1.26 < y_{em}^{e} < 0.34$  $|\Delta \eta| < 1.2$ <u>dN<sup>HFe-ch</sup></u>  $0.3 < p_{-}^{ch} < 2 \text{ GeV}/c$ (0-20%) - (60-100%) qΔb  $\Delta \eta$ N<sub>HFe</sub> 4.3 Data  $a [1 + 2 V_{1A} \cos(\Delta \phi) + 2 V_{2A} \cos(2\Delta \phi)]$  $a = 4.356 \pm 0.004$  $V_{1A} = -0.0003 \pm 0.0007$ (stat.)  $V_{2,4} = 0.0040 \pm 0.0007$ (stat.) 4.2 0.5 1.5 2 2.5  $\Delta \phi$  (rad) ALI-PUB-160086

The jet-induced correlations peaks are removed by subtracting the LM from the HM distribution and fitting the resulting distribution with a Fourier decomposition.

Azimuthal Anysotropy is found:

 $v_{2\Delta}$  = 0.0040 ± 0.0007 (stat)

# CHARM 2020 - 03/06/21





Azimuthal correlations between heavy-flavour decay electrons with charged particles are computed in two multiplicity classes considering p-Pb collisions:

- HM (high multiplicity, 0-20%)
- LM (low multiplicity, 60-100%)



From factorization approach:

- HFe  $v_2$  is larger than zero (about  $\Gamma \sigma$  significance in  $1 \Gamma \sigma m^e \sigma 4 Co$ )
- (about  $5\sigma$  significance in  $1.5 < p_T^e < 4$  GeV/c)  $\rightarrow$  Comparable with charged particles and muons

Can this be interpreted as a final state effects ?

# CHARM 2020 - 03/06/21

# Summary



- HF tagged jets:
  - ✓ theoretical predictions successfully describe the experimental cross-section;
  - ✓ softer fragmentation at low  $p_{\rm T, ch\,iet}$  is observed by looking at the fragmentation function;
  - ✓ first measurements of the radial displacement;
  - ✓ nuclear modification factor in D-meson tagged jets;
- HFe tagged jets
  - ✓ final state effects excluded by studying the dependence of the jet shape on the jet-radius.
- D-h azimuthal correlation distribution:
  - ✓ differential study with  $p_{_{\rm T}}^{_{\rm D}}$  and  $p_{_{\rm T}}^{_{\rm assoc}}$ ;
  - ✓ comparison between measurements in p-Pb and pp;
  - ✓ centrality-dependent study;
- HFe charged particle azimuthal correlation distributions
  - ✓ first measurements in pp at  $\sqrt{s}$ =5.02 TeV;
  - ✓ measurements of positive  $\nu_2$  in p-Pb collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}}$ =5.02 TeV;



# Thanks for your attention

# D<sup>0</sup>-tagged jets: parallel momentum fraction





 $z_{||}^{\rm ch} = \frac{\vec{p}_{\rm ch\,jet} \cdot \vec{p}_{\rm D}}{\vec{p}_{\rm ch\,jet} \cdot \vec{p}_{\rm ch\,jet}}$ 

# CHARM 2020 - 03/06/21

# D<sup>0</sup>-tagged jets: parallel momentum fraction

ALICE





 $z_{||}^{\rm ch} = \frac{\vec{p}_{\rm ch\,jet} \cdot \vec{p}_{\rm D}}{\vec{p}_{\rm ch\,jet} \cdot \vec{p}_{\rm ch\,jet}}$ 

### CHARM 2020 - 03/06/21

# $D^0$ - and $\Lambda_c$ –tagged jets: Radial Displacement

 $r = \sqrt{\Delta \phi^2 + \Delta \eta^2}$ 





CHARM 2020 - 03/06/21

# ALICE

### Fit procedure ( Periodic function )

 Generalized Gaussian (NS) + Gaussian (AS) + constant term (Baseline)

$$f\left(\Deltaarphi
ight) = C + rac{Y_{
m NS}~eta}{2lpha\Gamma(1/eta)} \exp\left(-rac{\left(\Deltaarphi
ight)^eta}{lpha^eta}
ight) + rac{Y_{
m AS}}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{
m AS}^2}} \exp\left(-rac{\left(\Deltaarphi-\pi
ight)^2}{2\sigma_{
m AS}^2}
ight)\,.$$

 $Y_{NS}$  and  $Y_{AS}$ : yields (integrals under the curves)  $\sigma_{NS}$  and  $\sigma_{AS}$ : widths of the two distributions

 $σ_{NS}$ = α<sup>2</sup>Γ(3/β)/Γ(1/β)

CHARM 2020 - 03/06/21

# Example of fit of the D-charged particles azimuthal correlation distributions



Comparisons with MonteCarlo models:

- PYTHIA (2→ 2 matrix at LO), NLO contributions in the showering, Lund string model for the hadronization stage:
  - **PYTHIA6**, **Perugia 2011**: first tune considering the data in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s}$  =7 TeV at LHC.
  - PYTHIA8: improved Multi Parton Interactions (MPI) and color reconnection mechanisms (CR);
- POWHEG (NLO pQCD generator): coupled with PYTHIA for the parton showering and the hadronization:
  - POWHEG LO + PYTHIA6: hard scattering matrix generation stopped at LO;
- HERWIG (NLO): showering processes are angularly ordered, cluster hadronization model;
- EPOS3: based on Gribov–Regge theory, hadronization through string fragmentation



EPJC 80 (2020) 979

### CHARM 2020 - 03/06/21

Antonio Palasciano

D,

Comparisons with MonteCarlo models:

- PYTHIA (2→ 2 matrix at LO), NLO contributions in the showering, Lund string model for the hadronization stage:
  - PYTHIA6, Perugia 2011: first tune considering the data in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s}$  =7 TeV at LHC.
  - PYTHIA8: improved Multi Parton Interactions (MPI) and color reconnection mechanisms (CR);
- POWHEG (NLO pQCD generator): coupled with PYTHIA for the parton showering and the hadronization:
  - **POWHEG LO + PYTHIA6**: hard scattering matrix elements computation stopped at LO;
- HERWIG (NLO): showering processes are angularly ordered, cluster hadronization model;
- EPOS3: based on Gribov–Regge theory, hadronization through string fragmentation



### CHARM 2020 - 03/06/21

Comparisons with MonteCarlo models:

- PYTHIA (2→ 2 matrix at LO), NLO contributions in the showering, Lund string model for the hadronization stage:
  - PYTHIA6, Perugia 2011: first tune considering the data in pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s}$  =7 TeV at LHC.
  - PYTHIA8: improved Multi Parton Interactions (MPI) and color reconnection mechanisms (CR);
- **POWHEG** (NLO pQCD generator): coupled with PYTHIA for the parton showering and the hadronization:
  - POWHEG LO + PYTHIA6: hard scattering matrix elements computation stopped at LO;
- HERWIG (NLO): showering processes are angularly ordered, cluster hadronization model;
- EPOS3: based on Gribov–Regge theory, hadronization through string fragmentation



# CHARM 2020 - 03/06/21