# QCD-based estimates of direct *CP* asymmetry in charm decays

Alexander Khodjamirian







#### Charm Physics Workshop (online) organized by UNAM, Mexico, June 4, 2021

□ Direct *CP* asymmetry in  $D^0 \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$  and  $D^0 \rightarrow K^+K^-$  decays AK, A.Petrov Phys. Lett. B **774** (2017), 235 [arXiv:1706.07780 [hep-ph]].

Asymmetry is nonvanishing if the decay amplitude A(D<sup>0</sup> → f) consists of two parts,

$$\mathcal{A}(D^0 \to f) = \mathcal{A}_f^{(1)} e^{i\delta_1} e^{i\phi_1} + \mathcal{A}_f^{(2)} e^{i\delta_2} e^{i\phi_2},$$

with different weak  $\phi_1 \neq \phi_2$  and strong  $\delta_1 \neq \delta_2$  phases:

$$\mathcal{A}(\bar{D}^0 
ightarrow ar{f}) = \mathcal{A}_f^{(1)} e^{i\delta_1} e^{-i\phi_1} + \mathcal{A}_f^{(2)} e^{i\delta_2} e^{-i\phi_2},$$

the CP-violating asymmetry:

 $f = \pi^+ \pi^-, K^+ K^-$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{a}_{CP}^{dir}(f) &= \frac{\Gamma(D^0 \to f) - \Gamma(\bar{D}^0 \to \bar{f})}{\Gamma(D^0 \to f) + \Gamma(\bar{D}^0 \to \bar{f})} \\ &= \frac{|\boldsymbol{A}(D^0 \to f)|^2 - |\boldsymbol{A}(\bar{D}^0 \to \bar{f})|^2}{|\boldsymbol{A}(D^0 \to f)|^2 + |\boldsymbol{A}(\bar{D}^0 \to \bar{f})|^2} \sim \frac{\boldsymbol{A}_f^{(1)}}{\boldsymbol{A}_f^{(2)}} \, \sin(\delta_1 - \delta_2) \sin(\phi_1 - \phi_2). \end{aligned}$$

is a calculable estimate and/or upper bound for addir(f) in SM ?

#### □ Single Cabibbo-suppressed (SCS) decays

• effective Hamiltonian:  $O_{i\geq 3}$  with  $c_i \ll c_{1,2}$  are neglected

$$\begin{split} H_{eff} &= \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \Big\{ \lambda_d \big( c_1 \ O_1^d + c_2 \ O_2^d \big) + \lambda_s \big( c_1 \ O_1^s + c_2 \ O_2^s \big) \Big\} \,, \\ O_1^d &= \big( \bar{u} \Gamma_\mu d \big) \left( \bar{d} \Gamma^\mu c \big) \,, \ O_2^d &= \big( \bar{d} \Gamma_\mu d \big) \left( \bar{u} \Gamma^\mu c \right) \xrightarrow[d \to s]{} O_1^s \,, \ O_2^s \end{split}$$

hereafter using a compact notation:

$$\mathcal{O}^D\equiv rac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1,2}c_iO^D_i\,,\quad (D=d,s)\,.$$

$$\lambda_D = V_{uD}V_{cD}^*, \ (D=d,s,b),$$

CKM unitarity in SM:

$$\sum_{D=d,s,b} \lambda_D = 0, \text{ or } \lambda_d = -(\lambda_s + \lambda_b),$$
$$\lambda_b \ll \lambda_{s,d}, \quad \operatorname{Im}(\lambda_b) \neq 0$$

#### Decomposition of decay amplitudes

separating the contributions of O<sup>d</sup><sub>1,2</sub> and O<sup>s</sup><sub>1,2</sub> operators

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}^{0} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}) = \lambda_{d} \langle \pi^{+}\pi^{-} | \mathcal{O}^{d} | \mathcal{D}^{0} \rangle + \lambda_{s} \langle \pi^{+}\pi^{-} | \mathcal{O}^{s} | \mathcal{D}^{0} \rangle,$$

$$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{D}^0 \to \mathcal{K}^+ \mathcal{K}^-) = \lambda_s \langle \mathcal{K}^+ \mathcal{K}^- | \mathcal{O}^s | \mathcal{D}^0 \rangle + \lambda_d \langle \mathcal{K}^+ \mathcal{K}^- | \mathcal{O}^d | \mathcal{D}^0 \rangle \,,$$

- replacing  $\lambda_d = -(\lambda_s + \lambda_b)$
- it is sufficient to calculate the "penguin" type amplitudes

$$\mathcal{P}_{\pi\pi}^{s} = \langle \pi^{+}\pi^{-}|\mathcal{O}^{s}|D^{0}\rangle, \quad \mathcal{P}_{KK}^{d} = \langle K^{+}K^{-}|\mathcal{O}^{d}|D^{0}\rangle,$$

a rather general definition of "penguin": the operator contains a  $\bar{q}q$  pair where q does not belong to the valence content of the final state,

#### Decomposition of decay amplitudes

• separating the  $O(\lambda_b)$  contribution with CP-phase

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}(D^{0} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}) &= -\lambda_{s}\mathcal{A}_{\pi\pi} \Big\{ 1 + \frac{\lambda_{b}}{\lambda_{s}} \Big( 1 + r_{\pi}\exp(i\delta_{\pi}) \Big) \Big\} \,, \\ \mathcal{A}(D^{0} \to K^{+}K^{-}) &= \lambda_{s}\mathcal{A}_{KK} \Big\{ 1 - \frac{\lambda_{b}}{\lambda_{s}} r_{K}\exp(i\delta_{K}) \Big\} \,, \end{split}$$

with the notation:

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{A}_{\pi\pi} &= \langle \pi^+\pi^- | \mathcal{O}^d | \boldsymbol{D}^0 \rangle - \langle \pi^+\pi^- | \mathcal{O}^s | \boldsymbol{D}^0 \rangle \,, \\ \mathcal{A}_{KK} &= \langle \boldsymbol{K}^+ \boldsymbol{K}^- | \mathcal{O}^s | \boldsymbol{D}^0 \rangle - \langle \boldsymbol{K}^+ \boldsymbol{K}^- | \mathcal{O}^d | \boldsymbol{D}^0 \rangle \,, \end{split}$$

$$\mathbf{r}_{\pi} = \left| \frac{\mathcal{P}_{\pi\pi}^{s}}{\mathcal{A}_{\pi\pi}} \right| , \quad \mathbf{r}_{K} = \left| \frac{\mathcal{P}_{KK}^{d}}{\mathcal{A}_{KK}} \right| , \quad \delta_{\pi(K)} = \arg[\mathcal{P}_{\pi\pi(KK)}^{s(d)}] - \arg[\mathcal{A}_{\pi\pi(KK)}]$$

to a good approximation

 $-\lambda_{s}\mathcal{A}_{\pi\pi}\simeq A(D^{0}
ightarrow\pi^{+}\pi^{-})\,,\quad\lambda_{s}\mathcal{A}_{\mathit{KK}}\simeq A(D^{0}
ightarrow K^{+}K^{-})$ 

#### □ Direct *CP*-asymmetry

In terms of the parameters entering the decomposition:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{CP}^{dir}(K^+K^-) &= \frac{-2r_b r_K \sin \delta_K \sin \gamma}{1 - 2r_b r_K \cos \gamma \cos \delta_K + r_b^2 r_K^2},\\ a_{CP}^{dir}(\pi^+\pi^-) &= \frac{2r_b r_\pi \sin \delta_\pi \sin \gamma}{1 + 2r_b \cos \gamma (1 + r_\pi \cos \delta_\pi) + r_b^2 (1 + 2r_\pi \cos \delta_\pi + r_\pi^2)}.\end{aligned}$$

the CKM elements involved:

$$\frac{\lambda_{b}}{\lambda_{s}} \equiv \mathbf{r}_{b} \mathbf{e}^{-i\gamma}, \ \mathbf{r}_{b} = \left| \frac{V_{ub} V_{cb}^{*}}{V_{us} V_{cs}^{*}} \right|.$$

a "clean" observable (after time-integration)

$$\Delta a_{CP}^{dir} = a_{CP}^{dir}(K^+K^-) - a_{CP}^{dir}(\pi^+\pi^-)$$
  
=  $-2r_b \sin \gamma(r_K \sin \delta_K + r_\pi \sin \delta_\pi) + O(r_b^2).$ 

- a QCD-based calculation of  $\mathcal{P}_{\pi\pi}^{s}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{KK}^{d}$
- ► combined with  $A_{\pi\pi}$  and  $A_{KK}$  extracted from experiment ⇒ an estimate of  $r_{\pi}$  and  $r_{K}$

Calculation of the "penguin" hadronic matrix element

- The method employing: QCD Light-Cone Sum Rules (LCSRs) with pion or kaon distribuiton amplitudes (DAs) and quark-hadron duality
- formulated and used earlier for the  $B \rightarrow \pi \pi$  decays

nonfactorizable soft gluon emission
 AK, Nucl. Phys. B 605 (2001) 558 [hep-ph/0012271];

charming penguins (to be used here)
 AK, T. Mannel and B. Melic, Phys. Lett. B 571 (2003) 75 [hep-ph/0304179];

annihilation contribution

AK, T. Mannel, M. Melcher and B. Melic, Phys. Rev. D **72** (2005) 094012 [hep-ph/0509049].

#### Calculation of the "penguin" matrix elements

► correlation function for  $D \to \pi^+\pi^-$  ( $\pi \to K$ ,  $s \to d$  for  $D \to K^+K^-$ )

$$F_{\alpha}(p,q,k) = i^{2} \int d^{4}x \, e^{-i(p-q)x} \int d^{4}y \, e^{-i(p-k)y} \langle 0|T\{j_{\alpha 5}^{(\pi)}(y)O_{1,2}^{s}(0)j_{5}^{(D)}(x)\}|\pi^{+}(q)\rangle$$

$$c_1 O_1^s + c_2 O_2^s = 2c_1 \widetilde{O}_2^s + \left(\frac{c_1}{3} + c_2\right) O_2^s, \qquad \widetilde{O}_2^s = \left(\overline{s} \Gamma_\mu \frac{\lambda^a}{2} s\right) \left(\overline{u} \Gamma^\mu \frac{\lambda^a}{2} c\right)$$

- we actually calculate:  $\langle \pi^+ \pi^- | \widetilde{O}_2^s | D^0 \rangle$
- ► OPE diagrams for D → π<sup>+</sup>π<sup>-</sup> in terms of pion LCDAs:
- some details:
  - LCSR's for  $D \rightarrow \pi, K$  form factors
  - finite quark masses m<sub>c</sub>, m<sub>s</sub>
  - SU(3) not used, only isospin
  - tw 2,3 accuracy, fact. tw 5,6
  - selection of diagrams (see earlier  $B \rightarrow \pi\pi$  papers)





 Obtaining LCSR
 step 1:
 Dispersion relation in the pion channel
 duality

• step 2: Analytic continuation  $P^2 = (p-q-k)^2 < 0 \Rightarrow P^2 = m_D^2$ 

• step 3: Dispersion relation in the D channel  $\oplus$  duality



#### Numerical estimates

- LCSR input: quark masses, pion, kaon DAs, Borel scales, effective thresholds from the LCSR calculation of D → π, D → K and pion form factor
- hadronic matrix elements calculated from the sum rules

 $\langle \pi^+\pi^- | \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_2^s | D^0 
angle = (9.50 \pm 1.13) \times 10^{-3} \exp[i(-97.5^o \pm 11.6)] \,\text{GeV}^3 \,,$  $\langle \mathcal{K}^+ \mathcal{K}^- | \widetilde{\mathcal{Q}}_2^d | D^0 
angle = (13.9 \pm 2.70) \times 10^{-3} \exp[i(-71.6^o \pm 29.5)] \,\text{GeV}^3 \,,$ 

► converting into an estimate of the penguin amplitude:  $\mathcal{P}_{\pi\pi}^{s} \simeq 2c_{1}\frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}}\langle \pi^{+}\pi^{-}|\widetilde{O}_{2}^{s}|D^{0}\rangle, \quad \mathcal{P}_{KK}^{d} \simeq 2c_{1}\frac{G_{F}}{\sqrt{2}}\langle K^{+}K^{-}|\widetilde{O}_{2}^{d}|D^{0}\rangle$ 

$$egin{aligned} |\mathcal{P}^s_{\pi\pi}| &= (1.96 \pm 0.23) imes 10^{-7} ext{GeV} \,, \ |\mathcal{P}^d_{\mathit{KK}}| &= (2.86 \pm 0.56) imes 10^{-7} ext{GeV} \,, \end{aligned}$$

the quoted uncertainties are only parametrical !

using experimentally measured branching fractions [PDG]:

$$r_{\pi} = \frac{|\mathcal{P}_{\pi\pi}^{s}|}{|\mathcal{A}_{\pi\pi}|} = 0.093 \pm 0.011 , \qquad r_{K} = \frac{|\mathcal{P}_{KK}^{d}|}{|\mathcal{A}_{KK}|} = 0.075 \pm 0.015 .$$

#### □ Results for direct CP asymmetry

- CKM averages yield  $r_b \sin \gamma = 0.64 \times 10^{-3}$ ,
- the difference of asymmetries:

$$\Delta a_{CP}^{dir} = -2r_b \sin \gamma (r_K \sin \delta_K + r_\pi \sin \delta_\pi)$$

the resulting upper limits: (independent of strong phases)

 $ig|a^{dir}_{CP}(\pi^-\pi^+)ig| < 0.012 \pm 0.001\%, \ ig|a^{dir}_{CP}(K^-K^+)ig| < 0.009 \pm 0.002\% \ ig|\Delta a^{dir}_{CP}ig| < 0.020 \pm 0.003\%.$ 

• Assuming that  $\delta_{\pi}$  and  $\delta_{K}$  are given by the calculated phases of  $\mathcal{P}_{\pi\pi}^{s}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{KK}^{d}$ :

 $a_{CP}^{dir}(\pi^{-}\pi^{+}) = -0.011 \pm 0.001\%, \ a_{CP}^{dir}(K^{-}K^{+}) = 0.009 \pm 0.002\%,$  $\Delta a_{CP}^{dir} = 0.020 \pm 0.003\%.$ 

The most recent LHCb collaboration result:

 $\Delta a_{CP}^{dir} = (-0.154 \pm 0.029)\%$ 

R. Aaij et al. [LHCb Collaboration], arXiv:1903.08726 [hep-ex] (2019)

□ Accuracy of the LCSR prediction , other decay modes?

▶ the same method reproduces measured *BR* and  $|A_{CP}|$  of the  $(\bar{c}c)$  penguin-dominated  $B \rightarrow K\pi$  modes

AK, talk at Mainz Workshop on NL decays, January 2019 https://indico.mitp.uni-mainz.de/event/177, work in progress

- parametric accuracy of LCSR: twist-4, O(α<sup>2</sup><sub>s</sub>); neglected terms of O(s<sup>π,K</sup>/m<sup>2</sup><sub>D</sub>); – improvable, needs dedicated calculation,
- ▶ systematic errors from semilocal duality in  $\pi$  and *D* channels – controlled by the pion e.m. and  $D \rightarrow \pi$ , *K* form factor LCSRs
- ▶ we do not calculate the total amplitude of  $D \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-, K^+K^-$  in which several "topologies" contribute,

– demands including multiloop diagrams in LCSRs – equally difficult is  $D^0 \to K^0 \bar{K}^0$ 

#### □ How accurate is the local duality approximation

 $\blacktriangleright$  the spacelike  $\rightarrow$  timelike transition for the pion e.m. form factor:



<sup>[</sup>AK, S.Cheng, A.Rusov, 2007.05550]

 a possibility to match spacelike with timelike via dispersion relation,

– an enhancement due to  $f_0(J^P = 0^+)$  resonances ?, additional model-dependence

#### Heavy baryon two-body decays

[Hua-Yu Jiang, AK, Fu-Sheng Yu and Shan Cheng, work in progress]

- Extending the LCSR ⊕ duality method to nonleptonic heavy baryon decays, strating from Λ<sub>b</sub> → pπ<sup>-</sup>, pK<sup>-</sup>
- using LCDA of proton(nucleon): a possibility to include all topologies in LO,



•  $\Lambda_c \rightarrow p \pi^0, \Sigma K$  SCS amplitudes as a byproduct



- using QCD-based tools (QCD light-cone sum rules, quark-hadron duality) it is possible to estimate hadronic matrix elements for nonleptonic charm decays
- ▶ the magnitude of direct CP-violation in  $D \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^-$  and  $D \rightarrow K^+K^-$  can be predicted; the result is significantly smaller than the latest LHCb result
- future perspective: other D<sub>(s)</sub> and charmed baryon modes, improved parametrical accuracy, model of duality violation

## Backup

### The light-cone sum rule

$$\begin{split} \langle \pi^{+}\pi^{-}|\widetilde{O}_{2}^{s}|D^{0}\rangle &= -i\frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}m_{c}^{2}}{8\pi^{3}m_{D}^{2}f_{D}} \bigg[\int_{0}^{s_{0}^{\pi}} dse^{-s/M_{1}^{2}}\int_{u_{0}^{D}}^{1}\frac{du}{u}e^{\left(m_{D}^{2}-\frac{m_{c}^{2}}{u}\right)/M_{2}^{2}} \\ &\times \bigg\{P^{2}\int_{0}^{1}dz I(zuP^{2},m_{s}^{2})\bigg(z(1-z)\varphi_{\pi}(u)) \\ i+(1-z)\frac{\mu_{\pi}}{2m_{c}}\bigg[\left(2z+\frac{m_{c}^{2}}{uP^{2}}\right)u\varphi_{\rho}(u)+\frac{1}{3}\left(2z-\frac{m_{c}^{2}}{uP^{2}}\right)\bigg(\varphi_{\sigma}(u)-\frac{u\varphi_{\sigma}'(u)}{2}\bigg)\bigg]\bigg) \\ &-\frac{\mu_{\pi}m_{c}}{4}\int_{0}^{1}dz I(-z\bar{u}m_{c}^{2}/u,m_{s}^{2})\frac{\bar{u}^{2}}{u}\bigg[\left(1+\frac{3m_{c}^{2}}{uP^{2}}\right)\varphi_{\rho}(1)+\left(1-\frac{5m_{c}^{2}}{uP^{2}}\right)\frac{\varphi_{\sigma}'(1)}{6}\bigg]\bigg\} \\ &+\frac{2\pi^{2}}{3}m_{c}(-\langle\bar{q}q\rangle)\int_{u_{0}^{D}}^{1}\frac{du}{u^{2}}e^{\left(m_{D}^{2}-\frac{m_{c}^{2}}{u}\right)/M_{2}^{2}}\bigg\{I(uP^{2},m_{s}^{2})\bigg(2\varphi_{\pi}(u)+\frac{\mu_{\pi}}{m_{c}}\bigg[3u\varphi_{\rho}(u) \\ &+\frac{\varphi_{\sigma}(u)}{3}-\frac{u\varphi_{\sigma}'(u)}{6}\bigg]\bigg)\bigg\}\bigg]_{P^{2}\rightarrow m_{D}^{2}}, \end{split}$$
the loop integral:  $I(\ell^{2},m_{q}^{2})=\frac{1}{6}+\int_{0}^{1}dx(1-x)\ln\bigg[\frac{m_{q}^{2}-x(1-x)\ell^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\bigg].$