Radio detection of high energy particles Perspective of the past and next 1

Anna Nelles 20th Anniversary of the Foundation of the Pierre Auger Observatory Malargue, Argentina











DESY. Nelles, Auger Symposium 2019

Radio detection of high energy particles

A short outline



Emission mechanisms and scientific motivation



Current experimental results







Future developments

The story of the two effects and the refractive index

- Radio emission of showers can be explained from first principles and three aspects
 - Magnetic field: Geomagnetic field, Lorentz-force
 - Charge imbalance: Particle Physics processes
 - Index of refraction: Relativistic compression



The story of the two effects and the refractive index

- Radio emission of showers can be explained from first principles and three aspects
 - Magnetic field: Geomagnetic field, Lorentz-force
 - Charge imbalance: Particle Physics processes
 - Index of refraction: Relativistic compression



The story of the two effects and the refractive index

- Radio emission of showers can be explained from first principles and three aspects
 - Magnetic field: Geomagnetic field, Lorentz-force
 - Charge imbalance: Particle Physics processes
 - Index of refraction: Relativistic compression

How do we know this?

- The key evidence: Polarization
 - **Geomagnetic effect:** Lorentz-force, polarization orthogonal to shower axis and magnetic field
 - Askaryan effect: Polarization points towards shower axis



How do we know this?

- The key evidence: Polarization
 - Geomagnetic effect: Lorentz-force, polarization orthogonal to shower axis and magnetic field
 - Askaryan effect: Polarization points towards shower axis







How do we know this?

- The key evidence: Polarization
 - The two processes stem from slightly different heights
 - Time difference = phase offset between two emission components
 - Leads to circular polarization



- Emission is due to both geomagnetic emission (dominant in air) and Askaryan emission
- Geosynchrotron radiation is a correction of < 1% to these effects

There is also a Cherenkov ring but not Cherenkov emission

- The emission is only strong if it arrives coherently (at the same time for all frequencies, high frequencies more pronounced effect)
- At the Cherenkov angle, an enhancement is seen, in air this is very close to the shower axis
- Same effect for showers in ice, but here Cherekov angle ~ 52 degrees, so it looks much more like "Cherenkov radiation", but it is not
- If one had the same shower development in vacuum, it would still radiate



DESY. Nelles, Auger Symposium 2019

Detecting radio emission of air showers

Experimental challenges and opportunities

- Search for a very broad-band nanosecond scale pulse
- Detectable typically at shower energies > 10¹⁵ eV, i.e. rare signal
- Sampling speeds of at least 200 MHz
- Needs full waveform sampling for frequency content and polarization
- Preferably stations run independently at very low power
- Duty-cycle (almost) independent of weather



Time (µs)

Jelley et al, Nature 1965

8

Experimental challenges and opportunities

-50

-100

-150

50

100

150

Time [ns]

200

250

300

ARIANNA Coll., Astropart. Phys. 90 (2017) 50



- Site quality important
- New opportunities in modern data analysis methods

150

Time [ns]

200

250

300

-50

-100

-150 -200

50

100

Experimental challenges and opportunities

ARIANNA Coll., Astropart. Phys. 90 (2017) 50

identification remain a challenge

New opportunities in modern

Site quality important





DESY. Nelles, Auger Symposium 2019

data analysis methods

What is in it for the science?



- Radio detection provides and excellent energy estimator
- Calculation from first principles
- Very little systematic uncertainties(< 5%) in method



M. Gottowik et al. Astropart. Phys. 103 (2018) 87

What is in it for the science?

- The radio signal contains more than its power
- Using its frequency content allows to measure the energy of a shower from a single detection to better than 15% accuracy (no detector uncertainties)
- Negligible corrections due to atmospheric effects
- Auger has so far shown the most thorough detector calibration, obtaining an absolute scale uncertainty of 14 %
- A radio energy estimate could reduce systematic uncertainties between observatories with modest experimental efforts

C.Welling et al, JCAP10(2019)075

50°*<θ<*60°



What is in it for the science?

- Radio pattern is very sensitive to X_{max}
- LOFAR has presented high precisions X_{max} measurements, $\sigma_{X_{max}}$ = 17 g/cm²





- Tension to Auger FD measurements
- Eagerly awaiting RD/FD hybrid Johannes Schulz Radboud University Nijmeren Study to possibly resolve this

Dgeo /~

What is in it for the science?

- Radio emission stems from the electro-magnetic component of the shower
- Attenuation in the atmosphere is negligible, radio emission of horizontal showers still accessible





• Combining muon measurements with radio antennas provide a different handle on composition

DESY. Nelles, Auger Symposium 2019

Detecting radio emission of air showers

The global neighborhood

- Multitude of air shower arrays
- Many of the in hybrid configuration, tuned at different purposes
- Radio emission of air showers is considered a "standard tool"



Figure: Huege 2016

Radio detection of other particles

Why it is interesting for neutrinos?

- Any shower containing an electro-magnetic cascade creates radio emission
- A similar experimental approach for:
 - air showers from cosmic rays
 - air showers from neutrino induces tau decays
 - in ice showers following a neutrino interaction



 All utilize negligible radio attenuation in air and kilometer-scale attenuation length in ice

Tau neutrinos emerging from the Earth

- Looking at tau's emerging from the Earth, creates large effective volumes for neutrinos, radio emission is (almost) not attenuated in air
- Radio detectors probably most effective, when they use mountainous terrain
- Have to exploit economies of scale for very cheap antenna stations
- A couple of pathfinder projects on-going: GRAND, BEACON, TAROGE, ...
- Largest challenge: suppress (human-made) background close to the horizon



Neutrino interactions in ice

- Cold polar ice has attenuation length in the order of kilometers
- One radio station can typically monitor 1 km³ of ice (= the size of IceCube)
- Detection threshold around 10 PeV shower energy, determined not by array spacing but pulse height above thermal noise
- > 100 km³ needed to obtain sensitivity for cosmogenic neutrinos, neutrinos from UHECR with CMB, if very few protons at highest energies
- Human-made background typically much smaller in polar regions, event identification and self-trigger less challenging



Where will it go next?

- Start construction of pathfinder array in summer 2020
- Technology will built on ARA and ARIANNA experience
- Deployment in Greenland allows for fast development turn-around in a less restricted environment
- Funding secured for hardware in Europe O(40) stations
- Proposal for US contribution to be submitted



Where will it go next?

- IceCube Collaboration has put forward a baseline design for IceCube-Gen2 that will include a large radio array
- Greenland array will serve as pathfinder
- Possibly additional pathfinders to be proposed
- Radio Array for Gen2
 Sky coverage of locations
 - Sky coverage of locations complimentary





Where will it go next?

IceCube Collaboration has put forward a baseline design for IceCube-Gen2 ٠ that will include a large radio array

IceCube

250 m

Greenland array will serve as pathfinder ٠

IceCube-Gen2

Possibly additional pathfinders to be • proposed

1 km

Radio Array for Gen2
Sky coverage of locations

Gen2-Radio

5 km

complimentary



Radio detection of air showers

Where will it go next?

- The first truly large-scale implementation of the radio technique
- First chance to access the radio emission of showers of the highest energies
- Combination of many ways of detecting air showers
- Targeting: What are the sources and acceleration mechanisms of ultra-highenergy cosmic rays (UHECRs)?

in practice: different response to \mathcal{L} both components in both detectors: PIERRE response matrix

Upgrade of the Pierre Auger Observatory

Radio detection of air showers

Where will it go next?

- Auger Radio Upgrade will provide a handle on composition for a large fraction of showers
- Due to horizontal sensitivity, access to a different fraction of the sky
- Different systematics than other detectors of the observatory



Conclusions

Exciting past 10 years, hopefully even more exciting next 10 years

- 10 years ago the knowledge about emission mechanisms and potential of the technique was limited
- Community has established a solid theory and has shown the measurements to support it
- Both air shower and neutrino experiments are embracing radio detection as a tool to answer the question about the origin of ultra-high energy cosmic rays

