## March 1-9 Malargüe, MZ, Argentina 35.48458 5.69.5797

#### **COVERED TOPICS:**

**UHE** Cosmic rays

Cosmic rays sources and propagation

Multi-messenger astronomy

Gravitational waves

**High-energy** neutrinos

Gamma Rays

## **PP2019** @ the Pierre Auger Observatory Cosmic ray Vision from the Southern Sky

#### INTERNATIONAL ADVISORY COMMITEE:

Antonio Bueno Francis Halzen Karl-Heinz Kampert Rene A. Ong Alan A. Watson

And the ISAPP Steering Committee https://www.isapp-schools.org/steering-committee

#### INFO AND REGISTRATION:

isapp.auger.2019@gmail.com https://indico.nucleares.unam.mx/e/isapp2019

#### LOCAL ORGANIZING COMMITTEE:

Lorenzo Perrone - chair Ingo Allekotte Gualberto Avila Antonella Castellina **Ralph Engel** Jörg Hörandel Lukas Nellen Mario Pimenta Miguel A. Sánchez-Conde Viviana Scherini Tiina Suomijärvi Enrique Zas

#### SECRETARIAT:

Carla Gentile, Lucia Sideli - INFN Lecce Rosa Pacheco - Observatorio Pierre Auger



# Gamma Rays



# Gamma Rays



Part I: Intro & Techniques

**Astro-Particles** 

#### energetic (elementary) particles from space (Sun, Milky Way, distant galaxies) bombard Earth continuously.



Astrophysics with photons and particles.

Particle physics with probes of astrophysical origin.

## What are these cosmic particles?

must be stable (to survive travel to us)



- + can be accelerated in electric fields
- are deflected in magnetic fields

- + move in straight lines
- (good for astronomy)
- secondary particles

other astro particles: **dark matter** 6 ... not in this talk.



# Cosmic rays, gamma rays and neutrinos come likely from the same sources



"multi-messenger astrophysics"

but gamma rays are currently the most "productive" messengers. γ, **V** 

point back to sources (good for astronomy) but serious backgrounds

## **Cosmic accelerators**

## The highest-energy particles come from the most violent environments (physics in extreme conditions)

# The highest-energy CRs, $\gamma$ and $\gamma$ come likely from the same sources.

## The energy frontier



The Universe is opaque to photons for 1/4 of the spectrum



**in general:** for all particle types

#### the higher the energy, the lower the flux

### the lower the flux, the larger the required detectors



Detector size limits the smallest measurable fluxes.

# Large, natural volumes become part of our detectors:

### atmosphere, ice shields, oceans,

 $\bullet \bullet \bullet$ 

'instrument (sparsely) to record secondaries produced by particle interactions

understand / monitor the "target" primary particle: E, type,  $\theta$ ,  $\phi$ 

#### indirect measurement: extensive showers

(in air, ice, water, ...)

measure the shower to identify the primary

Energy: Direction: Type: shower size timing shower shape & particle contents



CRs: each CR makes an air shower, easy to detect (difficult to identify the primary)

γ : each γ makes an air shower, easy to detect
 (but 100 - 10000 x more CRs, separate them from γ)
 V: only very few √ interact in/near detector,
 high-energy e, μ, T make showers in ice
 (but many atmospheric neutrinos from CR interactions)









atmospheric  $\mathcal{V}$ astrophysical  $\mathcal{V}$ (dominant at high energies)

10000x more abundant

# Interactions of radiation with matter

Charged and neutral particles: How to detect them?

#### Interactions of Radiation with Matter

Detection of an object relies always on its "interaction" with

a detector! e.g. vision: photon absorbed in the eye hearing: sound wave absorbed in ear liquid level: liquid changes capacitance

Particles: let them ionise atoms & record ionisation charge (mostly electromagnetic interaction, atomic physics)

#### • charged particles (e<sup>±</sup>, $\mu$ <sup>±</sup>, p, $\pi$ <sup>±</sup>, He<sup>++</sup>, ...):

ionisation, bremsstrahlung, multiple scattering Cherenkov & transition radiation

photons:

photo effect, Compton effect, pair production

neutrons:

collision and recoil, n-capture

#### This is the basis of all particle detection.

#### Ionisation

charged particle (m,  $Z \cdot e$ , v) "hits" electron in an atomic shell with its electrical field



M, ze, v

Electron feels kick and gains energy, which comes from the passing particle. maximum velocity:  $v_e \le v$  for  $M \gg m_e$ transfer of  $E_{kin}$  to one electron =  $\frac{z^2 e^4}{8 m_e \pi^2 \epsilon_0^2 b^2 v^2}$ 

If energy transfer > binding energy, then the electron is released from the atom and a free electron-ion pair is produced. Ionisation processes happen very often and the average over many gives the total energy loss of the projectile.

#### **Ionisation** (cont'd)

**Bethe-Bloch formula:** 

$$-\frac{dE}{dx} = K z^2 \frac{Z}{A} \frac{1}{\beta^2} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{2m_e c^2 \beta^2 \gamma^2 T_{max}}{I^2} - \beta^2 - \frac{\delta}{2} \right]$$

average energy loss (in units of MeV/(g cm<sup>-2</sup>)) of a charged particle ( $z, \beta, \gamma$ )

in a medium (Z, A, I,  $\delta$ )

x is pathlength in  $g/cm^2$ , i.e. independent of density  $\bigcirc$ 



• small dependence on projectile mass M through 
$$T_{max}$$
  
 $(T_{max} = \frac{2 m_e c^2 \beta^2 \gamma^2}{1 + 2 \gamma m_e / M + (m_e / M)^2} = max. kin. energy transfer)$ 

- in most materials (except H) particles have very similar energy losses  $\bigcirc$ (due to  $Z/A \approx 0.5$ , for  $H: Z/A \approx 1$ )
- dependence on density (via density correction  $\delta$ )  $\bigcirc$
- igodoldependent on  $z^2$  and  $\beta^{-2}$  only

 $\bigcirc$ broad minimum at p/M =  $\beta\gamma$  = 3 ... 3.5 for z = 7 ... 100

(particles close to minimum: minimum ionising particles, MIPs)

 $\bigcirc$ below minimum:  $-dE/dx \sim \beta^{-2}$  (~  $1/E_{kin}$ )  $\bigcirc$ 

above minimum:  $-dE/dx \sim \ln \gamma^2$  slow, logarithmic rise



Figure 23.1: Energy loss rate in copper. The function without the density-effect correction,  $\delta$ , is also shown, as is the loss rate excluding energy transfers with T > 0.5 MeV. The shell correction is indicated. The conventional  $\beta^{-2}$  low-energy approximation is compared with  $\beta^{-5/3}$ .



The Bethe - Bloch Formula for energy loss of charged particles in matter

# **logarithmic rise:** electric field relativistically compressed, reaches further out to ionise more atoms



**saturation:** atoms shield field in larger distances (density effect) universal curve:  $\frac{-dE/dx}{z^2} = f(v)$  for (almost) all particles/materials fluctuations: ionisation is statistical process; N<sub>collisions</sub> and dE/dx vary deflection: depends on projectile mass: less for heavy particles (like p) more for light ones (like e) **Applications:** if  $E_{kin}$  known,  $dE/dx \sim z^2$  is sensible measure for z  $(dE/dx)_{min} \approx 2 z^2 MeV/(gcm^{-2})$  also good z measurement if particle stopped, energy measurement



Figure 5.4 Tracks of fast primary cosmic rays, showing the dependence of their ionization on their charge. The number of grains and slow secondary electrons ( $\delta$ -rays) increases with Z<sup>2</sup>. (Photograph courtesy of Peter Fowler.)

Ionisation tracks seen in photographic emulsions as function of Z of the particle.



Figure 5.3 Rates of energy loss shown for several particles with different charge (Z). The curves show the  $Z^2$  dependence in their vertical spacing. From 100 to around 800 MeV/n, the energy loss rate decreases with the square of the particle's speed; after a broad minimum, the loss rate increases only slowly.

#### dE/dx for different nuclei



Figure 6.2. (a) Nuclear emulsion photographs of the track of a cosmic ray iron nucleus at various stages in its deceleration from relativistic velocities to rest. The distances are the residual ranges at which the track is observed; these positions are shown schematically in (b). (Photograph from M. M. Shapiro and R. Silberberg (1970). Ann. Rev. Nucl. Sci., 20, 328.)

#### **Bremsstrahlung**:

another energy loss mechanism for charged particles

Radiation is always emitted when charges are accelerated (or decelerated)

(imagine as transition between unbound states)

in magnetic fields:

in static electrical fields:

#### (e.g. field of a nucleus)

synchrotron radiation bremsstrahlung

(= braking radiation)

$$-\frac{dE}{dx} \sim \frac{Z(Z+1.3) E}{16 \pi^3 \epsilon_0^3 m^2 c^4 \hbar} \left[ \ln \frac{183}{Z^{1/3}} + \frac{1}{8} \right]$$

Z: charge of medium E: energy of projectile m: mass of projectile

#### Bethe-Heitler

(assume charge of projectile to be = 1 e)

 $\begin{aligned} -dE/dx \sim Z^{2} E / m^{2} & \text{at energies} < 100 \text{ GeV only important for electrons} \\ m_{e}/m_{\mu} = 1/200 & (dE/dx)_{e} / (dE/dx)_{\mu} = 1/40000 \\ -dE/dx \sim E & \text{i.e. exponential form of energy loss} \\ (E = E_{0} \exp(-x/X_{0}); -dE/dx = E_{0} / X_{0}) \\ \text{radiation length } X_{0}: \text{ path length on which the electron is slowed down to} \\ 1/e \text{ of its initial energy by bremsstrahlung} \end{aligned}$ 

#### $X_0$ is characteristic length for a radiation process

$$X_{0} = \frac{716 \text{ g/cm}^{2} \text{ A}}{Z(Z+1.3) (\ln 183/Z^{1/3} + 1/8)}$$
$$X_{0} = \frac{716.4 \text{ g/cm}^{2} \text{ A}}{Z(Z+1.0) (\ln 287/Z^{1/2})}$$

(on 1  $X_0$ , typically one bremsstrahlungs photon is emitted)

#### from Bethe-Heitler formula

#### from fit to experiment

(precise within 5% for H and 2.5% for all other elements)

from experiments

for small electron energies: for large energies:

loss by ionisation is dominant, loss by bremsstrahlung is dominant

```
critical energy E<sub>crit</sub>:
(for electrons)
```

#### both loss rates are equal

dividing line between ionisation and radiation regime depends on form of ionisation and radiation loss

E<sub>crit</sub> ≈ 610 MeV/(Z+1.24) E<sub>crit</sub> ≈ 710 MeV/(Z+0.92) in solids and liquids (± 2.2%) in gases (± 4%)

 $E_{crit}$  for muons:analogue definition(but much higher energies) $E_{crit} \approx 6224 \ GeV/(Z+2.05)^{0.876}$ in solids and liquids $(\pm 4\%)$  $E_{crit} \approx 7788 \ GeV/(Z+2.01)^{0.888}$ in gases $(\pm 1.4\%)$  $10^4x$  higher than for e<sup>-</sup>27

#### Critical Energy as Function of Z of the Material



Figure 23.7: Electron critical energy for the chemical elements, using Rossi's definition [1]. The fits shown are for solids and liquids (solid line) and gases (dashed line). The rms deviation is 2.2% for the solids and 4.0% for the gases. (Computed with code supplied by A. Fassó.)



Figure 23.12: Muon critical energy for the chemical elements, defined as the energy at which radiative and ionization energy loss rates are equal. The equality comes at a higher energy for gases than for solids or liquids with the same atomic number because of a smaller density effect reduction of the ionization losses. The fits shown in the figure exclude hydrogen. Alkali metals fall 3-4% above the fitted function for alkali metals, while most other solids are within 2% of the function. Among the gases the worst fit is for neon (1.4% high). (Courtesy of N.V. Mokhov and S.I. Striganov.)



### Some Values:

Elem.	Ζ	×o		E <sub>crit,e</sub>	E <sub>crit,µ</sub>	dE/dx	
		(g/cm <sup>2</sup> )	(cm)	(MeV)	(GeV)	(MeV/gcm <sup>-1</sup> )	
н	1	61.28	865*	370	2927	4.10	
He	2	94.32	755*	243	2269	1.94	
С	6	42.70	18.8	84	1001	1.75	
N	7	37.99	47.0	90	1106	1.83	
0	8	34.24	30.0*	80	1007	1.80	
Fe	26	13.84	1.76	22	335	1.45	
Pb	82	6.37	0.56	7.3	128	1.12	
U	92	6.00	0.32	6.5	116	1.08	

\*gases: for density at standard conditions

Energy of radiated photons:

$$dN/E_{\gamma} \sim \frac{Z^2 a^3 h^2 c^2}{m_e^2 c^4 E_{\gamma}} \sim 1/E_{\gamma}$$

i.e.  $dN/E_{\gamma} \cdot E_{\gamma} = const.$ 

- Each energy interval receives on average same amount of energy.
- Radiation of photons with up to full electron energy E<sub>e</sub> possible.
  - catastrophic process !!!

(for ionisation: many, but always small losses, i.e. continuous process)

total energy loss: sum of ionisation and radiation  $-dE/dx = a \ln(E) + b E$ 



#### 6. ATOMIC AND NUCLEAR PROPERTIES OF MATERIALS

Table 6.1. Table revised June 1994. Gases are evaluated at 20°C, 1 atm, (in parentheses) or at STP [square brackets].

~

Material	2	A	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Nuclear}^{a} \\ \text{total} \\ \text{cross} \\ \text{section} \\ \sigma_{T} \text{ [barn]} \end{array}$	ala 12	Nuclear $b$ inelastic cross section $\sigma_I$ [barn]	$\frac{\text{Nuclear}}{\text{collision}}$ $\frac{\text{length}}{\lambda_T}$ $[\text{g/cm}^2]$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Nuclear}^{\circ}\\ \text{interaction}\\ \text{length}\\ \lambda_I\\ [\text{g/cm}^2] \end{array}$	$\frac{dE/dx}{\left[\frac{MeV}{g/cm^2}\right]}$ () is for ga	g/cm <sup>2</sup> () is	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \left[ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \end{array} \right] \\ X_0 \end{array} \end{array} \\ \left[ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \right] \end{array} \left[ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \end{array} \right] \\ \left[ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \end{array} \right] \\ \left[ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \end{array} \\ \left[ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \right] \end{array} \\ \left[ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \end{array} \right] \\ \left[ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \end{array} \bigg] \\ \left[ \end{array} \end{array} \bigg] \\ \left[ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \end{array} \bigg] \\ \left[ \end{array} \end{array} \bigg] \\ \left[ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \end{array} \bigg] \\ \left[ \end{array} \bigg] \\ \\ \\ \left[ \end{array} \bigg] \\ \left[ \end{array} \end{array} \bigg] \\ \left[ \end{array} \bigg] \\ \\ \\ \\ \left[ \end{array} \end{array} \bigg] \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ $	<ul> <li>Density f         <ul> <li>[g/cm<sup>3</sup>]</li> <li>() is for gas()</li> <li>[g/l]</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Refractive index $n^{f}$ ) is $(n-1) \times 10^{6}$ for gas
H <sub>2</sub> gas	1	1.01	0.0387	0.033		43.3	50.8	(4.103)	61.28	865 (	0.0838)[0.090]	[140]
H <sub>2</sub> (B.C., 26K)	1	1.01	0.0387	0.033		43.3	50.8	4.045	61.28	865	0.0708	1.112
D <sub>2</sub>	1	2.01	0.073	0.061		45.7	54.7	(2.052)	122.6	757	0.162[0.177]	1.128
He	2	4.00	0.133	0.102		49.9	65.1	(1.937)	94.32	755	0.125[0.178]	1.024[35]
Li	3	6.94	0.211	0.157		54.6	73.4	1.639	82.76	155	0.534	-
Be	4	9.01	0.268	0.199	A Charles and	55.8	75.2	1.594	65.19	35.3	1.848	-
C	6	12.01	0.331	0.231		60.2	86.3	1.745	42.70	18.8	2.265 <sup>g</sup>	+-
N <sub>2</sub>	7	14.01	0.379	0.265		61.4	87.8	(1.825)	37.99	47.0	0.808[1.25]	1.205[300]
O <sub>2</sub>	8	16.00	0.420	0.292		63.2	91.0	(1.801)	34.24	30.0	1.14[1.43]	1.22[266]
Ne	10	20.18	0.507	0.347		66.1	96.6	(1.724)	28.94	24.0	1.207[0.900]	1.092[67]
Al	13	26.98	0.634	0.421		70.6	106.4	1.615	24.01	8.9	2.70	-
Si	14	28.09	0.660	0.440		70.6	106.0	1.664	21.82	9.36	2.33	-
Ar	18	39.95	0.868	0.566		76.4	117.2	(1.519)	19.55	14.0	1.40[1.782]	1.233[283]
Ti	22	47.88	0.995	0.637		79.9	124.9	1.476	16.17	3.56	4.54	—
Fe	26	55.85	1.120	0.703	114 114 114	82.8	131.9	1.451	13.84	1.76	7.87	-
Cu	29	63.55	1.232	0.782		85.6	134.9	1.403	12.86	1.43	8.96	-
Ge	32	72.59	1.365	0.858		88.3	140.5	1.371	12.25	2.30	5.323	-
Sn	50	118.69	1.967	1.21		100.2	163	1.264	8.82	1.21	7.31	-
Xe	54	131.29	2.120	1.29		102.8	169	(1.255)	8.48	2.77	3.057[5.858]	[705]
W	74	183.85	2.767	1.65		110.3	185	1.145	6.76	0.35	19.3	
Pt	78	195.08	2.861	1.708		113.3	189.7	1.129	6.54	0.305	21.45	-
Pb	82	207.19	2.960	1.77		116.2	194	1.123	6.37	0.56	11.35	
U	92	238.03	3.378	1.98		117.0	199	1.082	6.00	≈0.32	≈18.95	1943 <del></del> 1
Air, (20°C, 1 at	:m.),	[STP]				62.0	90.0	(1.815)	36.66	[30420]	(1.205)[1.29]	(273)[293]
H <sub>2</sub> O						60.1	84.9	1.991	36.08	36.1	1.00	1.33
CO <sub>2</sub>						62.4	90.5	(1.819)	36.2	[18310]	[1.977]	[410]
Shielding concre	ete <sup>h</sup>					67.4	99.9	1.711	26.7	10.7	2.5	-
Borosilicate glas	ss (F	yrex) l				66.2	97.6	1.695	28.3	12.7	2.23	1.474
SiO2 (fused qua	artz)	m				67.0	99.2	1.697	27.05	11.7	$2.32^{m}$	1.458
Methane (CH <sub>4</sub> )				- 15 -	Procession and	54.7	74.0	(2.417)	46.5	[64850]	0.423[0.717]	[444]
Ethane (C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> )						55.73	75.71	(2.304)	45.66	[34035]	0.509(1.356)	$n (1.038)^n$
Propane (C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub>	) -					-	-	(2.262)	-		(1.879)	
Isobutane ((CH	3)20	HCH3	)			56.3	77.4	(2.239)	45.2	[16930]	[2.67]	[1900]
Octane, liquid (	CH	(CH <sub>2</sub> )	(CH3)				-	2.123	-		0.703	
Paraffin wax (C	H3(	$CH_2)_n$	$CH_3, \langle n \rangle \approx 1$	25)		-	-	2.037			0.93	
Nylon, type 6						_	_	1.974	_		1.14	The state of the
Polycarbonate (	(Lex	an)				-	-	1.886		_	1.200	
Polyethylene ter	reph	thlate (	(Mylar) (Cs	$H_4O_2$		60.2	85.7	1.848	39.95	28.7	1.39	and states in the
Polyethylene (m	nono	mer CH	$H_2 = CH_2$	1 21		56.9	78.8	2.076	44.8	≈47.9	0.92-0.95	115-1-1
Polvimide film	(Kar	oton)				_		1.820	_	_	1.420	
Polymethylmethacralate (Lucite, Plexiglas) (monomer (CH <sub>2</sub> =C(CH <sub>2</sub> )CO <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> ))						59.2	83.0	1.929	40.55	≈34.4	1.16-1.20	≈1.49
Polystyrene, sci	intill	ator (m	nonomer C <sub>6</sub>	H5CH=	CH <sub>2</sub> )	58.4	82.0	1.936	43.8	42.4	1.032	1.581
Polytetrafluoroe	ethyl	lene (T	eflon) (mon	omer C	$F_2 = CF_2$	-	-	1.671	-	-	2.20	
Polyvinyltoluler	ne, s	cintillat	tor (monom	ner 2-CH	$H_3C_6H_4CH=CH_2)$		-	1.956		-	1.032	
Barium fluoride	e (Ba	$F_2$ )				92.1	146	1.303	9.91	2.05	4.89	1.56
Bismuth german	nate	(BGO)	) (Bi4Ge3O	12)		97.4	156	1.251	7.98	1.12	7.1	2.15
Cesium iodide (	(CsI)	)				-	-	1.243	-	-	4.51	
Lithium fluoride	e (Li	iF)				62.00	88.24	1.614	39.25	14.91	2.632	1.392
Sodium fluoride	(Na	aF)				66.78	97.57	1.69	29.87	11.68	2.558	1.336
Sodium iodide.	(NaI	)				94.8	152	1.305	9.49	2.59	3.67	1.775
Silica Aerogel <sup>o</sup> NEMA G10 pla	te P					65.5 62.6	95.7 90.2	1.83 1.87	29.85 33.0	≈150 19.4	0.1-0.3	$1.0+0.25\rho$

### dE/dx $X_0$ $\rho$

#### Multiple scattering:

particles are interacting many times when passing through matter. each interaction is connected with a small deflection

(the lighter the particle the larger the scattering: largest effect for electrons)

**Result:** approx. Gaussian distribution of scattering angles



distinguish space and plane:  $\theta_{space}^2 \approx \theta_{plane,y}^2 + \theta_{plane,z}^2$ 



Figure 2.4 (left) Tracks of slow electrons showing the large amounts of multiple scattering that result from the electrons' small mass. Note also the increase in ionization as the electrons slow down. The tracks shown are about 0.2 mm long. (right) Proton tracks (about 0.2 mm long) generally show a much higher degree of ionization and are much straighter, even to the very end where they stop (at top of photo). (Photograph courtesy of Peter Fowler, University of Bristol.)



Fig. 11.5 Sketches showing typical paths for protons,  $\mu$ -mesons and electrons coming to rest in an absorber (kinetic energies of a few megaelectronvolts). The electrons are lightest and suffer the greatest multiple scattering. As described in the text, for the same incident velocity the stopping power is initially the same and the most energetic particles, the protons, have the greatest range. For the same incident kinetic energy the stopping power is least for the electrons and therefore their path length is greatest. These remarks apply only at energies low enough that there are no energy-loss mechanisms other than loss by ionization. The length of the last electron path is underrepresented.

#### **Cherenkov Radiation:**



in medium : refractive index  $n = c_{vac} / c_{med}$ If a **charged** particle moves faster than c<sub>med</sub>, then it emits "Cherenkov light".  $v > c_{med}$  (or  $\beta = v/c_{vac} > c_{med}/c_{vac} = 1/n$ or  $n\beta > 1$ ) (similar to bang of supersonic airplane) emission angle:  $\cos \theta_c = c_{med}/v = 1/n\beta \le 1$ for  $v = c_{med} + \varepsilon : \theta_C \approx 0$ for  $v = c_{vac}$ :  $\theta_{c} = \cos^{-1}(1/n)$ blue glow in nucl. reactor
## Interactions of Photons ( $\gamma$ ) with Matter I: Photoelectric effect:

photon is absorbed,  $E_{\gamma} = hv > E_{B}$ e<sup>-</sup> is set free with kin. energy  $hv - E_{R}$ 



binding energy of electron

for  $E_{\rm R} \ll E\gamma \ll m_{\rm e} c^2$  photoeffect from k-shell dominant  $\sigma_{k} = \frac{e^{12} m_{e}^{3/2}}{192\sqrt{2\pi^{5} \varepsilon_{0}^{6} c \hbar^{4}}} \frac{Z^{5}}{(hv)^{7/2}} = 7/2 \text{ if } E_{\gamma} < m_{e} c^{2}$ strong rise with Z, reaction decrease with  $E_{\nu}$ probability ("cross section")

electrons from many shells available: shell structure reflected in reaction probability

The higher the reaction probability, the smaller the average path length to the next reaction:

 $\lambda \sim 1/\sigma$ 

Nobel Prize A. Einstein 1905: "particle nature of light"

for waves:

energy of released e- should grow with intensity since  $I \sim E^2$  and  $F \sim e E$ observed (big surprise): electron energy depends only on photon frequency ! This means: light comes in "energy packets" of  $E_{\gamma}$  = hv (i.e. like a particle). "Quanta" give natural explanation of experimental results.

### Interactions of Photons with Matter II:

### Compton effect:

- photon is scattered at a "quasi free" (i.e. loosely bound) electron
- photon looses, e<sup>-</sup> gaines energy energy change depends on γ scattering angle:

$$\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda_{i}} = \frac{\lambda_{f} - \lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{i}} = \frac{hv}{m_{e}c^{2}} \quad (1 - \cos\theta)$$

Nobel Prize A.H. Compton 1927: "for his effect, confirming the particle nature of light"



maximum change (i.e. max. electron energy):  $\theta$  = 180°



Electron energy E<sub>e</sub> is what can be measured !

photo effect: (almost) all energy Compton effect: only part of energy transferred onto electron

## Interactions of Photons with Matter III:

e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> pair production:

γ → e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup>

photon is absorbed, e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> pair is produced (only possible if a nucleus takes some momentum)



 $E_{\gamma} > 2 m_e c^2 = 1.022 MeV$ 

$$\sigma_{\text{pair}} \sim \frac{28}{9} \frac{Z^2 \alpha^3 (\hbar c)^2}{(m_e c^2)^2} \left[ \ln \frac{183}{Z^{1/3}} - \frac{2}{7} \right]$$
  
reaction probability ("cross section")  
mean free path  $\lambda_{\text{pair}} \approx \frac{9}{7} \lambda_{\text{brems}} \approx \frac{9}{7} X_0$ 

limit for large energies, i.e.  $E_{\gamma} \gg m_e c^2$ 

same length scale than bremsstrahlung



#### Figure 5.8 Electron pairs produced by gamma ray photons. At the point where a gamma ray is converted into an electron-positron pair, the two particles are very close together. Their separate tracks can be seen after a short distance. (Photograph courtesy of Peter Fowler.)

# pair production $\gamma \rightarrow e^+ + e^-$



#### **EGRET**: a pair-production $\gamma$ ray telescope



#### electromagnetic showers:

bremsstrahlung: e → e + γ

pair production:  $\gamma \longrightarrow e^+ + e^-$  \_

very simple model: each step doubles particle number until E < E<sub>crit</sub>

then ionisation takes over

$$N \sim 2^{+}$$
  $t = x/X_{0}$   
 $E \sim E_{0}/2^{+}$ 

 $N_{max} \sim E_0 / E_{crit}$ 



 $\frac{dN}{dt} \sim \frac{dE}{dt} = E_0 b \frac{(bt)^{a-1} e^{-bt}}{\Gamma(a)} \sim t^a e^{-bt}$ typical shower curve (a, b, E<sub>0</sub> are parameters)
width of shower:
cylinder with radius  $R_M = X_0 21 \text{ MeV} / E_{crit}$ contains 90% of energy

cascade of secondaries

 $\mathbf{\mathbf{x}}_{0}$ 



Energy of the primary particle: from integral over the measured profile

$$E_0 = const. \times \int \frac{dN}{dt} dt$$
  
from calibration in the lab.



Fig. 11.8 Two cloud-chamber photographs of electromagnetic showers developing in lead plates (thicknesses from top down 1.1, 1.1, and 0.13 radiation lengths) placed within the chamber which was exposed to cosmic radiation at sea level. There are two views in each photo, obtained by aiming the camera so that it sees simultaneously directly and via a mirror into the chamber. The lower photograph shows a shower which was either started by a photon or missed the sensitive region above the top plate. In both, the growth and attenuation of the shower is evident. Note that many of the tracks have systematic curvature or distortion, probably due to some difficulty in maintaining stable and uniform temperature conditions in the chamber. These photographs were obtained by L. Fussel and used in a talk by J. C. Street which was published in 1939.

#### Absorber plates





Figure 24.3: Photon total cross sections as a function of energy in carbon and lead, showing the contributions of different processes:

 $\sigma_{p.e.}$  = Atomic photo-effect (electron ejection, photon absorption)

 $\sigma_{\text{coherent}} = \text{Coherent scattering}$  (Rayleigh scattering—atom neither ionized nor excited)

 $\sigma_{incoherent} = Incoherent scattering (Compton scattering off an electron)$ 

 $\kappa_n =$  Pair production, nuclear field

 $\kappa_e$  = Pair production, electron field

 $\sigma_{nuc}$  = Photonuclear absorption (nuclear absorption, usually followed by emission of a neutron or other particle)

From Hubbell, Gimm, and Øverbø, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 9, 1023 (80). Data for these and other elements, compounds, and mixtures may be obtained from http://physics.nist.gov/PhysRefData. The photon total cross section is assumed approximately flat for at least two decades beyond the energy range shown. Figures courtesy J.H. Hubbell (NIST).

## Interactions of Neutrons with Matter

Neutrons are neutral ....

- i.e. they can penetrate a lot of matter easily they have to interact to be detected
- collision creating a charged recoil particle that ionises highest energy transfer, if m<sub>target</sub> ≈ m<sub>neutron</sub> best for H (i.e. protons) as targets (or H-rich materials: Methane, Carbo-Hydrates, ...)

- neutron capture: e.g. 
$$n + {}^{10}B \longrightarrow {}^{11}B \longrightarrow \alpha + {}^{7}Li + \gamma$$
  
high n-capture  
cross section  $1.47 \text{ MeV} \longrightarrow 0.48 \text{ MeV}$   
 $t \approx 10^{-12} \text{ sec} \qquad 0.84 \text{ MeV}$ 

with detection of the reaction products.

## Some neutron capture cross sections:

Oxygen	0.00019	barr
Carbon	0.0035	
••••		
Boron	767	
Cadmium	2450	
Samarium	5922	
Gadolinium	49000	

## Interactions of Radiation with Matter: Summary

charged particles: all charged particles ionise! (most common/important process) charged particles radiate photons: bremsstrahlung (high energy photons) Cherenkov effect (low energy photons) photons: are not directly detectable ! always need to produce electrons, ( **\*** particle multiplication involved) which are detectable (see above). photo effect (< 0.1 MeV) Compton effect  $\stackrel{\star}{\sim}$  (0.1 ... 10 MeV) pair production **\*** (> 10 MeV)

electrons + photons: (at high energy, i.e. E > few MeV)
bremsstrahlung + pair production: shower development \*\*\*

#### neutrons:

collision and recoil, n-capture and decay

Detection of a particle goes ultimately always over electric charge!

# Gamma Rays

point back easy to detect some absorption

... by far most productive messenger at high energies.



How to detect a **y** ray source in the sky?

Cosmic rays come diffusely from everywhere. y rays come straight from their sources (i.e. point-like)

Search for an excess from a certain point in the sky above the diffuse background.



pick them out of the CR background point back at sources

< IOO GeV: direct observations on satellites via direct identification

# **Altitude Gamma-Ray Detectors**



# Historic Development

Many slides and historic information from talks by:

**R Mirzoyan** (HESS Centenary Meeting, Bad Saarow, 2012)

**S** Sarkar (School for Cosmic Ray Astrophysics, Erice, 2012)

## Historic Timeline – Part I

- 1910: E Curie observes bluish light in water with Radium salt
- 1912: V Hess discovers Cosmic Rays
- 1912: CTR Wilson invents the cloud chamber
- 1934: P Cherenkov's brilliant experimental work to explain the bluish light (Cherenkov effect)
- 1938: P Auger discovers air showers (CR energies up to 10<sup>15</sup> eV a total mystery at the time)

many discoveries in particle physics using CRs and cloud chambers; interactions, particle production, ...

- 1948: E Fermi publishes acceleration theory of cosmic rays (... and if protons are accelerated, then there should also be secondary γ rays)
- 1948: P Blackett recognised that Cherenkov light from relativistic particles in air showers (e<sup>±</sup>, μ<sup>±</sup>) should contribute to the light of night sky (~10<sup>-4</sup>?).
  - ... ingredients ready for gamma-ray astronomy with Cherenkov telescopes.

Air shower arrays were used abundantly for cosmic ray research. Some were used to look also for point sources (i.e. photons) in the sky.

Initially unsuccessful: sources claimed, but could not be substantiated too much diffuse cosmic ray showers.

## **Cherenkov light from showers**



garbage can, 60 cm search light mirror, I PMT (fast light flashes)

Galbraith, Jelley (Harwell, UK) record Cherenkov flashes from air showers

#### February 21, 1953 NATURE

#### Light Pulses from the Night Sky associated with Cosmic Rays

IN 1948, Blackett<sup>1</sup> suggested that a contribution approximately 10<sup>-4</sup> of the mean light of the night-sky might be expected from Čerenkov radiation<sup>2</sup> produced in the atmosphere by the cosmic radiation. The purpose of this communication is to report the results of some preliminary experiments we have made using a photomultiplier, which revealed the

thank Mr. W. J. Whitehouse and Dr. E. Bretscher for their encouragement, and Dr. T. E. Cranshaw for the use of the extensive shower array.

. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .



## Gamma Ray Astronomy

requires separation
 of photons from the
 cosmic ray background

#### 1958: seminal paper by P Morrison

#### 1959: G Cocconi (CERN) suggests to observe the Crab Nebula (ICRC 1959 Moscow)



CERN - Geneva.

1) This paper discusses the possibility of detecting high energy photons produced by discrete astronomical objects. Sources of charged particles are not considered as the emearing produced by the magnetized plasmas filling the interatellar spaces probably obliterates the original directions of movement.

#### Crab Nebula

The Crab Nebula: Visual magnitude of polarized light m = 9. Wagnetic field in the gas shell  $H \simeq 10^{-4}$  gaues. Therefore:  $U_{\nu} = 10^{12} eV$  and  $R(10^{12} eV) = 10^{-3.2} m^{-2} S^{-1}$ .

1 TeV

The signal is thus about 10° times larger than the background (2). Probably in the Crab Webula the electrons are not in equilibrium with the trapped cosmic rays, and our estimate is over-optimistic. However, this source can probably be detected even if its efficiency in producing high energy photons is substantially smaller than postulated above.

187, the Jet Nebula: m = 13.5 H  $\simeq 10^{-4}$  gauss.

 $R(10^{12} \text{eV}) \simeq 10^{-5} \text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ , still well above the background (2). For this object our evaintation is probably not fundamentally wrong. Military surplus of

- parabolic search-light mirrors 1-2 m in diameter
- gun mounts with drive systems

G.T. Zatsepin (from GZK cutoff) asked Chudakov
to measure the predicted gamma-ray sources.
Crimea: Chudakov got 12 parabolic mirrors of 1.5 m made measurements for almost 4 years.





- Crimea Experiment 1959-1965
- only upper limits
- Cocconi's estimate far too optimistic

### First mention of the potential of the stereo imaging

SOVIET PHYSICS JETP

VOLUME 20, NUMBER 2

FEBRUARY, 1965

#### THE ANGULAR DISTRIBUTION OF INTENSITY OF CERENKOV RADIATION FROM

EXTENSIVE COSMIC-RAY AIR SHOWERS

V. I. ZATSEPIN

P. N. Lebedev Physics Institute, Academy of Sciences, U.S.S.R.

Submitted to JETP editor March 2, 1964

J. Exptl. Theoret. Phys. (U.S.S.R.) 47, 689-696 (August, 1964)

The angular distribution of intensity is calculated for the Cerenkov radiation produced in the terrestrial atmosphere by extensive air showers of cosmic rays. Calculations are made for showers arriving from the zenith and for conditions of observation at sea level and at an altitude of 3860 m above sea level. Photographic observation of the shape of the flash of light against the celestial sphere, as obtained in <sup>[2,3]</sup> is evidently in satisfactory agreement with the calculations.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

I N the registration of extensive air showers (EAS) by means of Cerenkov counters, [1,2] a knowledge of the angular distribution of the Cerenkov radiation is important primarily from the methodological point of view (choice of the angle subtended by the Cerenkov counters to obtain optimal signal-tonoise ratio, estimates of the accuracy of the angular coordinates of high-energy primary particles, and so on). Besides this, the angular distribution of the light from showers is already itself the object of physical investigation, [3] and therefore it is important to ascertain what kind of information about a shower can be obtained from such data. The present calculation has been made for this purpose, and is based on the following ideas.

Cerenkov radiation is mainly caused by the electronic component, which makes up the bulk of the charged particles in a shower. Owing to multiple Coulomb scattering by the nuclei of atoms in the air, electrons of energy E at a depth p have a Gaussian distribution of distances r from the axis of the shower, and a Gaussian distribution of angles relative to a mean angle 4, which depends on r. The dispersions of the transverse and angular distributions depend on E. The energy spectrum of the electrons is an equilibrium one and does not depend on the degree of development of the shower in depth. For the case of primary photons the variation of the electrons with height is taken to be that given by the electromagnetic cascade theory, [4] and for the case of primary protons, that given by the calculations of Nikol'skil and Pomanskii. [5] The light emitted by the electrons is at the angle  $\mathcal{S}_{Cer}$  with the direction of their

motion. Neither the scattering of the light by density inhomogeneities in the air nor absorption of the light is taken into account.

#### 2. STATEMENT OF PROBLEM AND METHOD OF CALCULATION

The purpose of the calculation is to determine the number I of light quanta in the frequency range from  $\lambda_1$  to  $\lambda_2$  that fall on unit area of the earth's surface at distance R from the axis of the shower, and in the direction from any given point of the celestial sphere.



Let us turn to Fig. 1. Here O is the trace of the axis of the shower on the earth's surface, D is the point of observation, and A' is an arbitrary point which is at height h over the level of observation and is characterized by the angular coordinates  $\psi$  (the zenith angle) and  $\varphi$  (the azimuthal angle). We agree to measure the azimuthal angle from the direction from the point of observation D to the trace O of the axis of the shower on the earth's surface. The figure OBCD lies in the plane of the drawing, and OO'A'B in the perpendicular plane. We shall determine for the neighborhood of 459

V.I. Zatsepin 1965

INTENSITY OF CERENKOV RADIATION FROM E.A.S.



FIG. 6. Contours of equal intensity in light flashes from showers from primary protons and primary photons of various energies, for sea level and R = 100 m from the axis. The curves 1, 2, 3 correspond to intensity values  $10^{-3} \text{ I}_{max}(100)$ ,  $10^{-3} \text{ I}_{max}(100)$ , and  $10^{-3} \text{ I}_{max}(100)$ . Diagrams a and b cor-



The calculations that have been made enable us to draw the following conclusions:

 Since the maximum intensity of the light from a shower does not coincide with the direction of arrival of the primary particle, in researches in which the determination of the angular coordinates of the primary particle is made by photographing the light flash from the shower one should seek improved accuracy in this determination by photo-

## graphing the shower simultaneously from several positions.

2. If the distance from the axis of the shower to the detector is determined from independent data, then an analysis of the shape of the light flash from the shower and its total intensity gives information both about the initial energy of the primary particle and about the position in the atmosphere of the maximum of the shower, and can thus be used for the analysis of fluctuations in the development of showers in the atmosphere.





First imaging "stereo" telescopes: GT-48 in Crimea 1985-89



#### A Stepanian



# First gamma-ray experiment at Whipple Observatory, 1967-68



Work on the Mt. Hopkins Observatory proceeds at an astonishing pace. The laser and Baker-Nunn systems are now installed and operating and the large optical reflector is scheduled to arrive by the end of next month. In preparation for the LOR installation, Trevor Weekes (above, left) and George Rieke have conducted seeing tests with two movable searchlight reflectors. Look carefully – some outcroppings at the base of Mt. Hopkins are visible upside-down in the reflector. THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL, Vol. 154, November 1968

## 968

## A SEARCH FOR DISCRETE SOURCES OF COSMIC GAMMA RAYS OF ENERGIES NEAR $2 \times 10^{12}$ eV

#### G. G. FAZIO AND H. F. HELMKEN

Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory and Harvard College Observatory, Cambridge, Massachusetts

#### G. H. RIEKE

Mount Hopkins Observatory, Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory, Tubac, Arizona, and Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts

#### AND

#### T. C. WEEKES\*

Mount Hopkins Observatory, Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory, Tubac, Arizona Received September 3, 1968

#### ABSTRACT

By use of the atmospheric Čerenkov nightsky technique, a study has been made of the cosmic-ray air-shower distribution from the direction of thirteen astronomical objects. These include the Crab Nebula, M87, M82, quasi-stellar objects, X-ray sources, and recently exploded supernovae. An anisotropy in the direction of a source would indicate the emission of gamma rays of energy  $2 \times 10^{12}$  eV. No statistically significant effects were recorded. Upper limits of  $3-30 \times 10^{-11}$  gamma ray cm<sup>-2</sup> sec<sup>-1</sup> were deduced for the individual sources.



1970-80's: plenty of "discoveries" on 3-4  $\sigma$  level

A.M. Hillas, University of Leeds:
"A physicist's apparatus gradually learns what is expected of it. It has a dog-like desire to please."
"Concentration" is a good parameter (>75% of light is concentrated in 2 pixels)
Plyasheshnikov, Bignami (1985) showed that
α is a useful parameter
La Jolla, 1985: Hillas suggests to use the "Hillas image parameters"



gamma showers are: slimmer, more concentrated oriented towards source



1989: Detection of the Crab Nebula 9σ significance

5σ signal in 50 h, with 159 pixel camera and Hillas image analysis.

#### 1990's: sources were seen everywhere, up to 1015 eV

#### CONCLUSIONS

It was shown that Vela X-1 emits steady, pulsed TeV emission over five years of observations, at a period corresponding with the expected X-ray period. No orbital modulation could be established. For Cen X-3 pulsed emission was found only in a part of the orbit, corresponding with the known accretion wake. It also seems that the emission in the wake is steady over time scales of years. In both cases weak evidence for a period shift was found. With the detection of AE Aqr as a possible source of TeV gamma-rays, a new area of candidate sources has been opened up for TeV astronomy. In all cases it will be imperative to observe sources over a number of years, and if possible, make use of multiwavelength observations to investigate the behaviour of these objects.

... which could not be confirmed.

Reliable source detection needs

e.g.

>5σ significance and independent confirmation.

## Hegra, La Palma

#### Proposal for Imaging Air Cherenkov Telescopes in the HEGRA Particle Array

F.A. Aharonian, A.G.Akhperjanian, A.S. Kankanian, R.G. Mirzoyan, A.A. Stepanian\*

Yerevan Physics Institute

\* Crimean Astrophysical Observatory

M. Samorski, W. Stamm

Institut für Kernphysik, University of Kiel

M. Bott-Bodenhausen, E. Lorenz, P. Sawallisch

Max-Planck-Institute for Physics and Astrophysics Munich

	+		+		+		+		+		+		+	
		Π				П				Π				Π
1														
-	+		+		+		+		+		+		+	
				По		000	C	οП	0	000				
	+		+	0	+	0 0	+ c	0	0 +	0 0	+		+	
		Π				оПо	C	0	0	0 0 0				
	+		+	0	+	0 0	o +	0	0 +	0 0	+		+	
	-								-				-	
	-			Πο		000	0	0	0	0 0 0				
									-					
	+		+	0	+	0 0	0 +	0	0 +	0 0	+		+	
									-					2
		Π				0 0	0	0	0	ollo	)			Ц
	+		+		+		+		+		+		+	
												Ц		
	+		+		+		+		+		+		+	
т							-							
15 m														
ŧ														

ELECTRON DETECTORS: 1 m<sup>2</sup> scintillation counters for particle density and fast-timing measurements (2 PM's each), with 5 mm of lead for photon conversion.

- 37 detectors in operation since July 1988 (University of Kiel)
- 159 additional detectors, 90 of them in operation since July 1989, the rest since December 1990 (MPI Munich together with University of Madrid)
- 0 49 further detectors to increase the detector density in the centre of the array, planned for 1991 (University of Hamburg)
- □ 49 MUON DETECTORS: 15 m<sup>2</sup> each, consisting of sandwiches of Geiger tube and absorber layers, planned for 1991/92 (University of Wuppertal together with University of Kiel)
- + 49 CHERENKOV-LIGHT DETECTORS: each consisting of a 20 cm diameter PM and a light-collecting cone, planned for 1991 (MPI Munich together with University of Madrid)
  - 5 CHERENKOV TELESCOPES: 3 m in diameter with 19 mirrors and 37 PM's each, imaging technique, planned for 1991/92 (Yerevan Institute of Physics together with MPI Munich and University of Kiel)

Fig. 1: Status and planned extensions of the HEGRA detector array.

### CTI (3 m diam.) 1992 first signal from Crab Nebula



#### CT2 – CT6: (4 m diam.) 5 more telescopes until 1997.



first successful stereo detection of Y-ray sources

HEGRA detector, including6 imaging air Cherenkov telescopesLa Palma 1992 - 2002

CT6

CT3

Wen IL

#### HESS: High Energy Stereoscopic System Namibia: Khomas Highlands

sees Crab in 30 seconds 1% Crab in 25 h

Four 12-m telescopes, 960 pixels / camera, 5° field of view Data taking since 2004 ... a major step forward
## **Historic Timeline – Part 2**

Ingredients ready Whipple 10-m teles	scope built	948  968	40 Y	ears
Crab Nebula Markarian 421 Markarian 501 3C66A IES 2344+514 PKS 2155-304 IES 1959+650	PWN HBL HBL IBL HBL HBL HBL	989  992  996  998  998  999  999	Whipple Whipple Crimea Whipple Durham Mark 6 Telescope Array	ection
RX J1713.7-3946 Cas A BI Lac H 1426+428 TeV J2032+4130 M87 Galactic Centre	Shell Shell IBL HBL UNID FR I UNID	2000 2001 2001 2002 2002 2003 2004	Cangaroo HEGRA Crimea Whipple HEGRA HEGRA Cangaroo	d v too
16 new sources		2005	observat	

... 17 new sources

2006

observations

# Cosmic rays, gamma rays and neutrinos come likely from the same sources



"multi-messenger astrophysics"

but gamma rays are currently the most "productive" messengers. γ,V

point back to sources (good for astronomy) but serious backgrounds

# **Gamma Ray Production**



# Experiment Types

Air shower arrays Imaging Cherenkov telescopes

# **Altitude Gamma-Ray Detectors**





### Tíbet AS Gamma

4200 m.a.s.l.





Milagro

2650 m.a.s.l. New Mexico

80 m x 60 m water pond 8 m deep.

Detect shower particles via Cherenkov light in water

PMTs in 2 layers for el.mag. and muons.

ick Ding

look for excess: gamma sources  $2\pi$  sky view poor y-hadron separation

vía muon content or partícle pattern at ground

y sources detected by excess counts from certain directions

sources: Moon, Sun shadow Crab nebula few strong y sources Moon Shadow ... calibration of direction reconstruction









WEST







D EGRET sources









## HAWC Mexico







... or sample the light pool and measure the lateral distribution

good, calorimetric energy measurement





scintillation counter







Hegra, Aírobícc La Palma

> scíntíllator array Muon detectors Cherenkov counter

# **HAWC:** high-altitude water Cherenkov detector





#### 1991 - 2000

271 gamma sources (>100 MeV) <sup>26</sup>Al sky map 2000 GRBs 2008 - ...

# Fermi Satellite

LAT: 10 MeV - 300 GeV BGO: .... GBM: 10 keV-1 MeV

≈ I m<sup>2</sup> 2.5 sr LAT: I0 MeV - 300 GeV > 5000 sources 50 MeV - 1 TeV > 5000 GRBs

# Fermi - LAT

large angle telescope

pair-conversion telescope with:

precision trackers

18 layers tungsten converters and x, y silicon strip detectors.

calorimeter

96 CsI(TI) crystals in an 8 layer hodoscope (depth: 8.6  $X_0$ ) 4x4 modules covered by

anti-coincidence shield



Anticoincidence Detector (background rejection) **Conversion Foil Particle Tracking** Detectors Calorimeter (energy measurement)

 $\approx$  I m<sup>2</sup> 2.5 sr near-perfect rejection of charged primaries

93

#### Exploring the Extreme Universe



**Supernova Remnants** 



**Gamma-ray Bursts** 

**About Fermi** 

Click on the images or topic name for information about these science topics.



**Pulsar Wind Nebulae** 



Extragalactic Background



**Binary Sources** 



Active Galactic Nuclei



Catalogs



**Dark Matter** 



Pulsars



Terrestrial Gamma-ray Flashes



Diffuse Gamma Radiation

Cherenkov Telescopes most sensitive instruments for gamma ray astronomy.

<I00 GeV .... >300 TeV

only in dark nights (10% duty cycle) need good knowledge of atmosphere

air shower

Fast charged particle in air shower produce Cherenkov light. (forward emission)

"Photograph" shower with an imaging telescope.

Reconstruct identity ( $\gamma$ , p, ...) and energy of primary and direction to source.

Cherenkov light

95





Image the shower, distinguish protons and photons from the shape of the images. "shower shape" "excess of events" .... very successful technique

also possible to identify e- and Fe 96







## MAGIC camera





# image analysis: form and orientation

e.g. HESS Observatory (28-m Telescope added in 2012) Namibia: 0.5 km<sup>2</sup> 5 imaging Cherenkov telescopes

28 m

12 m

TeV-Gamma rays ( $E \approx 10^{11} - 10^{14} \text{ eV}$ )





MAGIC

HESS



## Current imaging Cherenkov telescopes

102