MATHUSLA: From the subatomic world to the stars

J.C. Arteaga-Velázquez¹ for the MATHUSLA Collaboration

¹Instituto de Física y Matemáticas, Universidad Michoacana





MATHUSLA: From the subatomic world to the stars

Content

- 1. Motivation
- 2. MATHUSLA detector
- 3. Cosmic rays in MATHUSLA
- 4. Cosmic ray physics case
- 5. MATHUSLA test
- 6. Finall remarks

Motivation

- Standard Model of particles and interactions (SM):
 a. In very good agreement with most experimental data.
 b. But need to be extended as it does not explain
 - Dark Matter,
 - asymmetry of matter-antimatter in universe,
 - smallness of neutrino mass,
 - inflation,
 - how to solve the hierarchy problem, etc.
- Physics beyond the SM is needed to solve these problems. They usually requiere the existance of new particles, e.g. neutral long-lived (LL) particles: Gluinos, neutralinos, hidden hadrons, etc.

D. Curtin aand Raman Sundrum, Phys. Today 70, 6, 46 (2017)

Motivation



Motivation

- 4. Detector size and QCD background constrain LHC searches of neutral LLPs:
 - a. Ultra long lived particles (**ULLPs**, $c\tau \sim 10^7 10^8$ m) could escape without detection, even if they are detected
 - b. it would be difficult to determine whether they are stable/unstable.



How to detect ULLPs?

(MAssive Timing Hodoscope for Ultra Stable neutral pArticles)

- 1. Purpose:
- Search for LLPs with $10^2 \text{ m} < c\tau < 10^8 \text{ m}$.
- To complement searches of LLPs at CERN.
- 2. Description:
- Large volume tracking detector on surface above LHC experiment.



- 3. Instrumentation:
- RPC tracking layers in a building covered by scintillator layers.



RPCs: σx, σy ~ 1 cm σt ~ 1 ns

J.P Chou, D. Curtin, H.J.Lubatti, Phys. Lett. B 767 (2017) 29 D. Curtin and M. E. Peskin, Phys. Rev. D 97, 015006 (2018)

(MAssive Timing Hodoscope for Ultra Stable neutral pArticles)



100 m

Quanta Magazine

(MAssive Timing Hodoscope for Ultra Stable neutral pArticles)



C. Zhang, Pekin University, 2017

(MAssive Timing Hodoscope for Ultra Stable neutral pArticles)



J.P Chou, D. Curtin, H.J.Lubatti, Phys. Lett. B 767 (2017) 29 D. Curtin and M. E. Peskin, Phys. Rev. D 97, 015006 (2018)

(MAssive Timing Hodoscope for Ultra Stable neutral pArticles)

- 4. Background:
- Neutrinos and muons from LHC, atmospheric neutrinos, cosmic rays
- Rejected from information of tracking system and timing information.



(MAssive Timing Hodoscope for Ultra Stable neutral pArticles)

- 4. Background:
- Neutrinos and muons from LHC, atmospheric neutrinos, cosmic rays
- Rejected from information of tracking system and timing information.



J.P Chou, D. Curtin, H.J.Lubatti, Phys. Lett. B 767 (2017) 29

From the size of the instrument and altitude:

Expected energy range: $10^{14} - 10^{17} \text{ eV}$ **Full efficiency** > 10^{15} eV



Indirect detection of cosmic rays through extensive air showers (EAS)



Reconstruction of number of particles

N_{ch}: Number of charged particles

Fit to data:

 $N_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}$: Number of muons

 $\rho_{ch}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{N}_{ch} \times \mathbf{f}_{ch}^{NKG}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{r})$ $\rho_{\mu}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{N}_{\mu} \times \mathbf{f}_{\mu}^{\text{Lagutin}}(\mathbf{r})$ 10⁴ Charged (Grande) μ (KASCADE) e (KASCADE) 103 $(\mu + e)$ Log(Particles / m²) Lagutin (µ) 10² 10 10 100 400 200 300 500 0 Distance From Core (Meters)

A. Haungs et al., ,Nucl. Phys. B (Proc. Suppl.) 196 (2009)

Correlation between observables like Nch and Nm can be used for composition studies



EAS detection



MATHUSLA: Resistive plate chambers as tracking detectors





Expected EAS events (Stand alone mode)

```
Assuming:

Aeff ~ 4 x 10<sup>4</sup> m<sup>2</sup>

DAQ period = 3 yr (HL-LHC Run 4: 2026-2029)

Field of view = \pi sr

-> Acceptance ~1.2× 10<sup>13</sup> m<sup>2</sup>·s·sr.
```

If the integral intensity of primary cosmic ray nuclei is parameterized as

$$I(>E) \approx 10^{-7} \left(\frac{E}{10^{15} \,\mathrm{eV}}\right)^{-2.1} \,\mathrm{m}^{-2} \cdot \mathrm{s}^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{sr}^{-1},$$

Expected number of events

 $-> n(> 1 PeV) \sim 1.2 \times 10^{6}$ $-> n(> 10 PeV) \sim 9.4 \times 10^{3}$

Golden events:

(70 % of DAQ period and $\theta < 50^{\circ}$)

-> 0.4 x events in Stand Alone mode

EAS measurements in MATHUSLA



Monitor northern hemisphere, day and night, independent of weather conditions (increased statistics in comparison with Cherenkov arrays)

- 1.Enhanced angular resolution and better precision in EAS core location than other particles detector arrays.
- 2.Spatial-temporal measurements of EAS front at the finest level (**might help for shower particle ID**).



EAS measurements in MATHUSLA - Lateral density distribution: p.(r).



Cosmic ray physics case

1. Cosmic rays

+ Energy spectrum of cosmic rays

Obtain fine details of spectrum

+ Composition

Spectra of individual chemical species

New light knee ~ 700 TeV as observed by ARGO-YBJ?

Fine spectrum of heavy component of CRs

+ Anisotropies

Look for point sources

Anisotropy maps vs composition?

2. High energy neutrinos

+ Look for Earth-skimming/atmospheric/cosmic events.

Neutrino oscillations, atmospheric flux, neutrino interactions, etc

Cosmic ray physics case

Light spectrum of CRs

+ Two knees in the PeV light (H+He) spectrum?

Heavy spectrum of CRs

- + Fine structure in spectrum?
- + Systematic errors?



ARGO-YBJ Collab., astro-ph 1502.03164

KASCADE Collab., Astrop. Phys 24 (2015) 1

Cosmic ray physics case

3. Tests of hadronic interaction models

+ Confirm and/or constrain validity of model

Shape of temporal and radial density distributions

Muon content and evolution in EAS

Check possible presence of muon excess in EAS for inclined EAS

Study of muon bundles





Alice Collab., JCAP01 (2016) 032.

A.Fernández Téllez, M. Cahuatzin, Alice warms with cosmics, Alice Matters, March 2015

Duration: 6.9 hours B = 0.5 T

MATHUSLA test

LHCb

- + Installed at ground level in the ATLAS SX1 building at CERN in November 2017.
- + Tests up to end of LHC Run 2.
- + 2 layers of scintillators and three of RPCs.
 6.5 m high
 2.5 m x 2.5 m area
- + Triggers for upward/downward going particles.
- + Provide information for:
 - Measure background (LHC/CRs)
 - Test rejection capabilities (LHC/CRs)
 - Improve final design



Final remarks

- + A detector like MATHUSLA would complement the **Long Lived Particle searches** at the LHC.
- + As pay-back MATHUSLA would also allow to **study several open issues** in astroparticle physics (Cosmic rays, dark matter, gammarays, neutrinos, ...).
- + It would provide quality data on **extensive air showers** with **unprecedented precision at PeV energies**.
- + It would permit to validate/test predictions of hadronic interaction models at very high energies with cosmic rays.
- + White paper for the MATHUSLA physics case (and intro to the Cosmic Ray potential) has been finished, **CR MATHUSLA white** paper is preparation.
- + Final design is under study.

MATHUSLA Collaboration

University of Washington, Seattle **Rutgers State University of New Jersey SLAC Toronto University** Universita di Tor Vergata Sapienza Universita di Roma Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Mexico Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolás de Hidalgo, Mexico Universidad Autónoma de Chiapas, Mexico Universidad Mayor de San Andrés, Bolivia **Tel Aviv University**

Mexican members

Universidad de Puebla (BUAP): Arturo Fernández Téllez, Mario Cahuatzin **Universidad Michoacana (UMSNH)**: Juan Carlos Arteaga Velázquez **Universidad de Chiapas (UNACH):** Karen Salomé Caballero Mora







Thank you!