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## Between 1930-1950, appear the first articles on the description of high spin matter fields.

Publication date


## Since 1960, several authors have adressed the inconsistencies of the high spin theories.

Publication date

| 1930 | 1950 | 1970 | 1990 | 2010 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Johnson y Sudarshan.
Quantization problems
■ Velo y Zwanzinger. Acausality $\qquad$
■ Shay. Non physical solutions

- Kobayashi y Shamaly. Acausality


## There are mainly three difficulties on classical high spin theories.

Difficulties:

- Acausality.
- Undesirable propagation of degrees of freedom.

Here I present a new approach which avoids these problems.

■ Non physical solutions.

## Spins described by quantum fields are embedded in finite representation of $S L(2, \mathbb{C})$, which are labeled by two numbers.

Labels for the irreducible representations of $S L(2, \mathbb{C})$
$\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right)$

- Integer
- Half-integer


## Spin :

$$
\left|j_{1}-j_{2}\right|,\left|j_{1}-j_{2}\right|+1, \ldots,\left|j_{1}+j_{2}\right|
$$

## Examples for single and multiple spins

Dirac spinors $\quad(1 / 2,0) \oplus(0,1 / 2) \quad$ Irred. rep. Spin $1 / 2$
$\Psi_{a}$

Antisymmetric tensor $\quad(1,0) \oplus(0,1) \quad$ Irred. rep. Spin 1
$F_{[\mu \nu]}$
Symmetric tensor-spinor
$\psi_{a b}$
Column-vector

Four-vector
$(1 / 2,1 / 2)$
Irred. rep. Spin 0 and 1 $A_{\mu}$

## The formalism that we developed puts all irreducible representations into Lorentz and/or spinor tensor basis.

(i) Lorentz Tensors $A_{\mu \nu \ldots}$
(ii) Spinor tensors $\Psi_{a b \ldots}$

Lorentz projector $\mathcal{P}_{F}^{\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right)}$


We obtain any field which
transforms according to
the irreducible representation
$\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right) \oplus\left(j_{2}, j_{1}\right)$

## The Lorentz projectors are constructed by one of the Casimir operators of the Lorentz algebra.

Casimir operator
of the Lorentz algebra

| $\mathcal{P}_{F}^{\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right)}=\prod_{k l \neq j_{1} j_{2}}\left(\frac{F-c_{\left(j_{k} j_{l}\right)}}{c_{\left(j_{1} j_{2}\right)}-c_{\left(j_{k} j_{l}\right)}}\right)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Lorentz projectors <br> (Momentum independent) | $F \Psi=c \Psi, \quad \Psi \sim\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right)$ <br> $c=j_{1}\left(j_{1}+1\right)+j_{2}\left(j_{2}+1\right)$ |

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{\mu} \sim & (1 / 2,1 / 2) \otimes[(1 / 2,0) \oplus(0,1 / 2)] \\
& {[(1 / 2,0) \oplus(0,1 / 2)] \oplus[(1,1 / 2) \oplus(1 / 2,1)] }
\end{aligned}
$$

The Lorentz projectors separate:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
(1 / 2,0) \oplus(0,1 / 2) & \text { from } \\
\text { One pure spin } & \text { Multiple spins } \\
1 / 2 & 1 / 2,3 / 2
\end{array}
$$

We see that we encounter either simple single spin or multiple spins sectors

## We can isolate single spin only from two-spin irrep by using the Poincaré projectors.

Poincaré projectors
Casimir operator
of the Poincaré algebra

$$
\mathcal{P}_{W^{2}}^{(m, 1 / 2)}(p)=\frac{p^{2}}{m^{2}} \frac{W^{2}-\epsilon_{3 / 2}}{\epsilon_{1 / 2}-\epsilon_{3 / 2}}
$$

$$
\left[W^{2}\right]_{\beta}^{\alpha} \Psi^{\beta}=\epsilon_{s} \Psi^{\alpha}
$$

$$
\epsilon_{s}=-p^{2} s(s+1), \quad s=1 / 2,3 / 2
$$

Napsuciale, Kirchbach and Rodriguez, 2006

Applying this method to $\psi_{\mu}$ allows to separately write three equations, two for spin $1 / 2$ and one for spin $3 / 2$.

Equations for $1 / 2 \mathrm{in}$ :
$\begin{array}{ll}(1 / 2,0) \oplus(0,1 / 2): & {\left[\mathcal{P}_{F}^{(1 / 2,0)}\right]_{\beta}^{\alpha}\left[\mathcal{P}_{W^{2}}^{(m, 1 / 2)}\right]_{\delta}^{\beta} \Psi^{\delta}=\Psi^{\alpha}} \\ (1 / 2,1) \oplus(1,1 / 2): & {\left[\mathcal{P}_{F}^{(1 / 2,1)}\right]_{\beta}^{\alpha}\left[\mathcal{P}_{W^{2}}^{(m, 1 / 2)}\right]_{\delta}^{\beta} \Psi^{\delta}=\Psi^{\alpha}}\end{array}$
Equation for $3 / 2$ in:
$(1 / 2,1) \oplus(1,1 / 2): \quad\left[\mathcal{P}_{F}^{(1 / 2,1)}\right]_{\beta}^{\alpha}\left[\mathcal{P}_{W^{2}}^{(m, 3 / 2)}\right]_{\delta}^{\beta} \Psi^{\delta}=\Psi^{\alpha}$

## The two spin $1 / 2$ equations lead to two particles with different characteristics, as manifest upon gauging.

Spin $1 / 2$ in
$(1 / 2,0) \oplus(0,1 / 2)$

Spin $1 / 2$ in
$(1,1 / 2) \oplus(1 / 2,1)$

Equations minimally coupled
with the electromagnetic field
They rewrite as:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[D^{\mu} D_{\mu}+\left(\frac{g}{2}\right)\left(\frac{e}{2}\right) \sigma_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu}+m^{2}\right] \Psi=0} \\
& \text { Generalized Feynman-Gell-Mann equation } \\
& g=2 \\
& g=-2 / 3
\end{aligned}
$$

The two spin $1 / 2$ equations lead to two particles with different characteristics, as manifest upon gauging.

Spin $1 / 2$ in $(1 / 2,0) \oplus(0,1 / 2) \quad$ Spin $1 / 2$ in $(1,1 / 2) \oplus(1 / 2,1)$
■ $g=2$
■ $g=-2 / 3$
■ Its equation bi-linearize to the Dirac equation

- Its equation do not bi-linearize to the Dirac equation (New specie of $1 / 2$ particle)

The two spin $1 / 2$ equations lead to two particles with different characteristics, as manifest upon gauging.


## For the general case of any single spin $j$

 in $(j, 0) \oplus(0, j)$, we write the equation below.Our free field equation
$\left(\partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} \mathcal{P}_{F}^{(j, 0)}-m^{2}\right)_{\{\ldots\}} \Psi^{\{\cdots\}}=0$

Properties:
■ $\mathcal{P}_{F}^{(j, 0)}$ picks up the corresponding space to the representation $(j, 0) \oplus(0, j)$.

- It guarantees the mass-shell condition.

■ $\Psi$ is a pure spin field, with spin $j$.

# Our formalism has the advantage to avoid the three main difficulties of classical high spin theories. 

- We do not have non-physical solutions

The solutions correspond only to pure spin fields

## Our formalism has the advantage to avoid the three main difficulties of classical high spin theories.

■ It propagates the correct number of degrees of freedom
Our equation coupled with the electromagnetic field

$$
\left(\Gamma_{\mu \nu} D^{\mu} D^{\nu}+m^{2}\right) \Psi=0
$$

■ $\Gamma_{\mu \nu} \partial^{\mu} \partial^{\nu}=\mathcal{P}_{F} \partial^{2}$
■ $\mathcal{P}_{F} \Gamma_{\mu \nu}=\Gamma_{\mu \nu}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\longrightarrow \mathcal{P}_{F} \Psi=\Psi \\
\downarrow \\
\Psi \sim(j, 0) \oplus(0, j)
\end{gathered}
$$

## Our formalism has the advantage to avoid the three main difficulties of classical high spin theories.

■ Causal propagation of the solutions of the coupled equations Can be shown using the Courant-Hilbert criterion
E. G. Delgado Acosta, V. M. Banda Guzman and M. Kirchbach, 2015
V. M. Banda Guzman and M. Kirchbach, 2016

Applying our formalism to the field $\Psi_{[\mu \nu]}$, we predict a new spin $3 / 2$ particle whose $g=2 / 3$.

Our spin-3/2 equation coupled with the electromagnetic field

$$
\left[\Gamma_{\mu \nu}^{\left(\frac{3}{2}, 0\right)}\right]_{[\alpha \beta][\gamma \delta]} D^{\mu} D^{\nu}\left[\Psi^{\left(\frac{3}{2}, 0\right)}(x)\right]^{[\alpha \beta]}=-m^{2}\left[\Psi^{\left(\frac{3}{2}, 0\right)}(x)\right]^{[\gamma \delta]}
$$

$$
\left[\Gamma^{\left(\frac{3}{2}, 0\right) \mu_{\nu}}\right]_{[\gamma \delta]}^{[\alpha \beta]}=4\left[\mathcal{P}_{F}^{\left(\frac{3}{2}, 0\right)}\right]^{[\alpha \beta][\sigma \mu]}\left[\mathcal{P}_{F}^{\left(\frac{3}{2}, 0\right)}\right]_{[\sigma \nu][\gamma \delta]}
$$

Besides applying our formalism to Lorentz tensors, we can equally apply it to spinor-Dirac tensors.

Example: Spin 1 in $\Psi_{a_{1} a_{2}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{a_{1} a_{2}} & \sim[(1 / 2,0) \oplus(0,1 / 2)] \otimes[(1 / 2,0) \oplus(0,1 / 2)] \\
& =[(1,0) \oplus(0,1)] \oplus 2(0,0) \oplus 2(1 / 2,1 / 2)]
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathcal{P}_{F}^{(1,0)}$ picks up only the degrees of freedom of $(1,0) \oplus(0,1)$

Comparing our formalism with the Bargmann-Wigner (BW), we observe that solutions of BW do not transform irreducibly.

BW method

■ Simmetric Dirac tensors $\Psi_{b_{1} \ldots b_{n}}$

■ Particles with spin $j=n / 2$

■ Field equations

$$
\left(i \gamma_{\mu} \partial^{\mu}-m\right)^{a_{i} b_{i}} \Psi_{b_{1} \ldots b_{i} \ldots b_{n}}=0
$$

## Comparing our formalism with the Bargmann-Wigner (BW), we observe that solutions of BW do not transform irreducibly.

## Espín 1

Our formalism
$\Psi \sim(1,0) \oplus(0,1)$

Correct number of d.o.f

+ irreducibility

BW formalism: $\mathcal{P}_{F}^{(1 / 2,1 / 2)} \Psi \neq 0$
$\Psi \sim(1,0) \oplus(0,1) \oplus(1 / 2,1 / 2)$

Correct number of d.o.f, but not irreducibles (Implies unphysical properties and high spin problems)

## The mixture of irreducible representations can be avoided by using Weyl spinor fields.

Symmetric Weyl spinor tensor fields:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi_{\alpha \beta \ldots} \sim(j, 0) \\
& \bar{\eta}^{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta} \ldots} \sim(0, j)
\end{aligned}
$$

By means of these fields we can describe any spin.
(Laporte and Uhlenbeck,1931.
Friedrich Cap and Hermann Donnert, 1954)

# So far I have presented a new approach at the level of classical field theory. Now, we would like to move at the quantum level. 

Goal: Construction of a suitable Lagrangian as the starting point to elaborate high spin quantum field theories

Conditions:
$\square$ Scalar action
$\square$ Hermitian Lagrangian
$\square$ Quadratic Lagrangian in the fields and its derivatives
$\square$ Diagonal Hamiltonian without negative terms

Idea: Formulate the theory in Weyl-spinor tensor basis
Advantages $\quad$ Just by indices simmetrization we can obtain the irreducible representations $(j, 0) \oplus(0, j)$, which we use in our fomalism at the classical level.

- Possibility of constructing a family of kinetic terms in such a way to obtain a positive definite diagonal Hamiltonian.


## Example: Spin 1 in $(1,0) \oplus(0,1)$ with Weyl-spinor tensors.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}= & a \partial^{\mu} \psi^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\mu} \psi_{\alpha \beta}+a \partial^{\mu} \psi_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}^{\dagger} \partial_{\mu} \psi^{\dagger \dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}} \\
& +b \partial_{\nu} \psi_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}^{\dagger} \bar{\sigma}^{\nu \dot{\alpha} \alpha} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu \dot{\beta} \beta} \partial_{\mu} \psi_{\alpha \beta}+b \partial_{\mu} \psi_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}^{\dagger} \bar{\sigma}^{\nu \dot{\alpha} \alpha} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu \dot{\beta} \beta} \partial_{\nu} \psi_{\alpha \beta} \\
& +c \partial_{\nu} \psi^{\gamma \beta} \sigma_{\gamma \dot{\alpha}}^{\nu} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu \dot{\alpha} \alpha} \partial_{\mu} \psi_{\alpha \beta}+c \partial_{\nu} \psi_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}^{\dagger} \bar{\sigma}^{\nu \dot{\alpha} \alpha} \sigma_{\alpha \dot{\gamma}}^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi^{\dagger \dot{\gamma} \dot{\beta}} \\
& -m^{2} \psi^{\alpha \beta} \psi_{\alpha \beta}-m^{2} \psi_{\dot{\alpha} \dot{\beta}}^{\dagger} \psi^{\dagger \ddot{\alpha}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$a, b$ and $c$ are real parameters

Work in progess...

## Conclusions

$\square$ Our second order formalism in the momenta avoid the three main problems of classical high spin theories.

Acausality<br>Undesired propagation of degrees of freedom

Non-physical solutions

## Conclusions

- Combining the projectors $\mathcal{P}_{F}^{\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right)}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{W^{2}}^{(j, m)}$ on the field $\Psi_{\mu}$, we obtain two equations that describe particles with spin $1 / 2$.

Dirac particle $g=2$
$(1 / 2,0) \oplus(0,1 / 2)$ representation

New particle $g=-2 / 3$
$(1,1 / 2) \oplus(1 / 2,1)$ representation

Finite Compton cross sections in ultraviolet according to unitarity

## Conclusions

$\square$ Aplying our formalism to the field $\Psi_{[\mu \nu]}$, we describe a spin $3 / 2$ particle with $\mathrm{g}=2 / 3$, and thereby distinct from $3 / 2$ in $\Psi_{\mu}$ with $g=2$.

Therefore, particles with equal spin described by fields in distinct Lorentz irreducible reprsentations have different physical properties.

## Conclusions

- We verify that the method of Bargmann-Wigner, although it predicts the right number of degrees of freedom for a spin $j$, they do not transform irreducibly.

Our formalism

Spin 1 in $(1,0) \oplus(0,1)$

Bargmann-Wigner formalism
Spin 1 in $(1,0) \oplus(0,1) \oplus(1 / 2,1 / 2)$

## Conclusions

- We initiate the analysis to elaborate high spin quantum fields from the consrtuction of a Lagrangian based on four conditions.

1. Scalar action
2. Hermitian Lagrangian
3. Quadratic Lagrangian
4. Diagonal Hamiltonian without negative terms

- In addition to the four conditions, we use symmetric Weyl tensor fields to construct the Lagrangian.


## Conclusions

- We make progress in constructing the Lagrangian for spin 1 particles using second rank symmetric Weyl tensor fields which could possibly guarantee the previous four conditions. We hope we can generalize it to any spin $j$.

