Nonstandard neutrino interactions and low energy experiments

Omar G. Miranda

Cinvestav-IPN, México



Outline

- Introduction and motivation
- Nonstandard ν Interaction with d quark, solar ν analysis
- Nonstandard ν Interaction with d quark, ν -N coherent scattering
- Nonstandard ν Interaction with electrons
- Based on:
 - 1. O.G. Miranda, M.A. Tortola, J.W.F. Valle JHEP 0610:008 (2006)
 - 2. J. Barranco, O.G. Miranda, T.I. Rashba JHEP 0512:021 PRD 76 073008 (2007)
 - J. Barranco, O.G. Miranda, C. A. Moura, J. W. F. Valle PRD 73 113001 (2006), PRD 77 093014 (2008)

Motivation

There are many experiments confirming that the neutrinos are massive particles

- Solar neutrinos (Davis, SAGE-GALLEX-GNO, SK, SNO, Borexino ...)
- Atmospheric neutrinos (Superkamiokande)
- Reactor neutrinos (KamLAND)
- Accelerator neutrinos (K2K, Minos)

Δm_{21}^2 and $\sin^2 \theta_{12}$



 $\sin^2 \theta_{12} = 0.304^{+0.022}_{-0.016}, \qquad \Delta m^2_{21} = 7.65^{+0.23}_{-0.20} \times 10^{-5} \text{ eV}^2$ Schwetz, Tortola, Valle arXiv:0808.2016

Δm_{31}^2 and $\sin^2 \theta_{23}$



 $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = 0.50^{+0.07}_{-0.06}, \qquad \Delta m^2_{31} = 2.4^{+0.12}_{-0.11} \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV}^2$ Schwetz, Tortola, Valle arXiv:0808.2016





 $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.01^{+0.016}_{-0.011}$ Schwetz, Tortola, Valle arXiv:0808.2016

 $\sin^2 \theta_{13}$



 $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.016 \pm 0.01$ Fogli, Lisi, Marrone, Palazzo, Rotunno, PRL 101 141801 (2008)

Motivation

Massive neutrinos are a strong motivation for physics beyond the Standard Model.

$$\begin{bmatrix} M_L & D \\ D^T & M_R \end{bmatrix}$$

Minkowski; Gell-mann, Ramond, Slansky; Yanagida; Mohapatra, Senjanovic; Schechter, Valle

$$M_{\nu \,\text{eff}} = M_L - DM_R^{-1}D^T$$

$$K = (K_L, K_H)$$

Motivation

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & D & 0 \\ D^T & 0 & M \\ 0 & M^T & \mu \end{bmatrix}$$

Mohapatra, Valle, PRD 34 1642 (1986)

$$M_L = DM^{-1}\mu M^{T^{-1}}D^T \,. \tag{1}$$

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{ig'}{2\sin\theta_W} Z_\mu \bar{\nu_L} \gamma_\mu K^\dagger K \nu_L \,.$$

\mathcal{R}_p parity violating SUSY

Non-standard neutrino-electron and neutrino quark interactions:

$$\mathcal{L} = \lambda_{ijk} \tilde{e}_R^{k*} (\bar{\nu}_L^i)^c e_L^j + \lambda'_{ijk} \tilde{d}_L^j \bar{d}_R^k \nu_L^i + \dots$$



FIG. 2. Feynman diagrams for $\nu_{\mu}e$ scattering from (a) the standard model, and (b) the *R*-breaking interactions.

Barger, Giudice & Han'89



Non Standard Interactions (NSI)

Most extensions of the SM predict neutral current non-standard interactions (NSI) of neutrinos which can be either flavor preserving (FD or NU) or flavor-changing (FC).

NSI effective Lagragian form:

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff}^{NSI} = -\sum_{\alpha\beta fP} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{fP} 2\sqrt{2} G_F(\bar{\nu}_{\alpha}\gamma_{\rho}L\nu_{\beta})(\bar{f}\gamma^{\rho}Pf)$$



Here $\alpha, \beta = e, \mu, \tau;$ f = e, u, d; P = L, R; $L = (1 - \gamma_5)/2;$ $R = (1 + \gamma_5)/2$

Bounds on FD NSI ν -q couplings from Davidson et al'03

[vertex	current limits	future limit
	$(\bar{u}\gamma^{\rho}Pu)(\bar{\nu}_{\tau}\gamma_{\rho}L\nu_{\tau})$	$ \varepsilon_{\tau\tau}^{uL} < 1.4$	$-0.3 < \varepsilon_{\tau\tau}^{uL} < 0.25$
		$ \varepsilon_{\tau\tau}^{uR} < 3$	$-0.25 < \varepsilon_{\tau\tau}^{uR} < 0.3$
		$(\Gamma_{inv})^{*)}$	KamLAND and SNO/SK
ĺ	$(\bar{d}\gamma^{ ho}Ld)(\bar{\nu}_{ au}\gamma_{ ho}L\nu_{ au})$	$ \varepsilon_{\tau\tau}^{dL} < 1.1$	$-0.25 < \varepsilon_{\tau\tau}^{dL} < 0.3$
		$ \varepsilon_{\tau\tau}^{dR} < 6$	$-0.3 < \varepsilon_{\tau\tau}^{dR} < 0.25$
		$(\Gamma_{inv})^{*)}$	KamLAND and SNO/SK
ĺ	$(\bar{u}\gamma^{\rho}Pu)(\bar{\nu}_{\mu}\gamma_{\rho}L\nu_{\mu})$	$ \varepsilon^{uL}_{\mu\mu} < 0.003$	$ \varepsilon^{uL}_{\mu\mu} < 0.001$
		$-0.008 < \varepsilon_{\mu\mu}^{uR} < 0.003$	$ \varepsilon_{\mu\mu}^{uR} < 0.002$
		NuTeV	s_W^2 in DIS at $ u$ Factory
ĺ	$(\bar{d}\gamma^{ ho}Pd)(\bar{\nu}_{\mu}\gamma_{ ho}L\nu_{\mu})$	$ arepsilon_{\mu\mu}^{dL} < 0.003$	$ \varepsilon^{dL}_{\mu\mu} < 0.0009$
		$-0.008 < \varepsilon^{dR}_{\mu\mu} < 0.015$	$ arepsilon_{\mu\mu}^{dR} < 0.005$
		NuTeV	s_W^2 in DIS at $ u$ Factory
ĺ	$(\bar{u}\gamma^{\rho}Pu)(\bar{\nu}_e\gamma_{\rho}L\nu_e)$	$-1 < \varepsilon_{ee}^{uL} < 0.3$	$ \varepsilon_{ee}^{uL} < 0.001$
		$-0.4 < \varepsilon_{ee}^{uR} < 0.7$	$ \varepsilon_{ee}^{uR} < 0.002$
		CHARM	s_W^2 in DIS at $ u$ Factory
	$(\bar{d}\gamma^{\rho}Pd)(\bar{\nu}_e\gamma_{\rho}L\nu_e)$	$-0.3 < \varepsilon_{ee}^{dL} < 0.3$	$ \varepsilon_{ee}^{dL} < 0.0009$
		$-0.6 < \varepsilon_{ee}^{dR} < 0.5$	$ \varepsilon_{ee}^{dR} < 0.005$
		CHARM	s_W^2 in DIS at $ u$ Factory

Bounds on FC NSI ν -q couplings from Davidson et al'03

_[vertex	current limits	future limit
	$(\bar{u}\gamma^{\rho}Pu)(\bar{\nu}_{\tau}\gamma_{\rho}L\nu_{\mu})$	$ \varepsilon_{\tau\mu}^{uP} < 0.05$	$ \varepsilon^{uP}_{\tau\mu} < 0.03$
		NuTeV	s_W^2 in DIS at $ u$ Factory
ſ	$(\bar{d}\gamma^{\rho}Pd)(\bar{\nu}_{\tau}\gamma_{\rho}L\nu_{\mu})$	$ \varepsilon_{\tau\mu}^{dP} < 0.05$	$ \varepsilon_{\tau\mu}^{dP} < 0.03$
		NuTeV	s_W^2 in DIS at $ u$ Factory
ĺ	$(\bar{u}\gamma^{\rho}Pu)(\bar{\nu}_{\mu}\gamma_{\rho}L\nu_{e})$	$ \varepsilon_{\mu e}^{uP} < 7.7 \times 10^{-4}$	
		$(\mathrm{Ti}\mu \to \mathrm{Ti}e)^{*)}$	
ĺ	$(\bar{d}\gamma^{ ho}Pd)(\bar{\nu}_{\mu}\gamma_{ ho}L\nu_{e})$	$ \varepsilon^{dP}_{\mu e} < 7.7 \times 10^{-4}$	
		$(\mathrm{Ti}\mu \to \mathrm{Ti}e)^{*)}$	
ſ	$(\bar{u}\gamma^{\rho}Pu)(\bar{\nu}_{\tau}\gamma_{\rho}L\nu_{e})$	$ \varepsilon_{\tau e}^{uP} < 0.5$	$ \varepsilon_{\tau e}^{uP} < 0.03$
		CHARM	s_W^2 in DIS at $ u$ Factory
ſ	$(\bar{d}\gamma^{\rho}Pd)(\bar{\nu}_{\tau}\gamma_{\rho}L\nu_{e})$	$ \varepsilon_{\tau e}^{dP} < 0.5$	$ \varepsilon_{\tau e}^{dP} < 0.03$
		CHARM	s_W^2 in DIS at $ u$ Factory

All these bounds are derived taking one parameter at a time!

NSI couplings with ν_{μ} are already strongly restricted



Oscillations in matter

Wolfenstein 1978

- Neutral currents (NC): exchange of Z_0
- Charge currents (CC): exchange of W_{\pm}

$$V_e = \sqrt{2} G_F \left(N_e - \frac{N_n}{2} \right) , \qquad V_\mu = V_\tau = \sqrt{2} G_F \left(-\frac{N_n}{2} \right)$$

Evolution equation

$$i\frac{d}{dt}\left(\begin{array}{c}\nu_{e}\\\nu_{\mu}\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c}-\frac{\Delta m^{2}}{4E}\cos 2\theta + \sqrt{2}G_{F}N_{e} & \frac{\Delta m^{2}}{4E}\sin 2\theta\\\frac{\Delta m^{2}}{4E}\sin 2\theta & \frac{\Delta m^{2}}{4E}\cos 2\theta\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c}\nu_{e}\\\nu_{\mu}\end{array}\right)$$

Constant density case

Conversion probability $\nu_e \leftrightarrow \nu_\mu$:

$$P(\nu_e \to \nu_\mu; L) = \sin^2 2\theta_m \sin^2 \left(\pi \frac{L}{l_m}\right) ,$$

Mixing angle in matter

$$\sin^2 2\theta_m = \frac{\left(\frac{\Delta m^2}{2E}\right)^2 \sin^2 2\theta}{\left(\frac{\Delta m^2}{2E}\cos 2\theta - \sqrt{2}G_F N_e\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta m^2}{2E}\right)^2 \sin^2 2\theta}$$

Resonance
$$\sqrt{2} G_F N_e = \frac{\Delta m^2}{2E} \cos 2\theta$$

Wolfenstein 1978, Mikheev & Smirnov 1985

Oscillations and NSI

$$H_{\rm NSI} = \sqrt{2}G_F N_f \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon & \varepsilon' \end{array}\right)$$

with

$$\varepsilon = -\sin\theta_{23}\,\varepsilon_{e\tau}^{fV}$$
 $\varepsilon' = \sin^2\theta_{23}\,\varepsilon_{\tau\tau}^{fV} - \varepsilon_{ee}^{fV}$

and

$$\varepsilon_{\tau\tau}^{fV} = \varepsilon_{\tau\tau}^{fL} + \varepsilon_{\tau\tau}^{fR}$$

Non Standard Interactions

$$H_{\rm NSI} = \sqrt{2}G_F N_f \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon & \varepsilon' \end{array}\right)$$

Mixing angle in matter + NSI

$$\tan 2\theta_m = \frac{\left(\frac{\Delta m^2}{2E}\right)\sin 2\theta + 2\sqrt{2}G_F\varepsilon N_d}{\frac{\Delta m^2}{2E}\cos 2\theta - \sqrt{2}G_F N_e + \sqrt{2}G_F\varepsilon' N_d}.$$

Resonance
$$\frac{\Delta m^2}{2E}\cos 2\theta - \sqrt{2}G_F N_e + \sqrt{2}G_F\varepsilon' N_d = 0.$$

$$\varepsilon' > \frac{N_e}{N_d}$$



Total Rates: Standard Model vs. Experiment





Solar + KamLAND without and with NSI



NSI constraints from Solar + Kamland



NSI constraints from Solar + Kamland



Confusion problem for θ_{13}

$$\mathcal{R}_{e\mu} \approx A \, s_{13}^2 + B \, s_{13} \epsilon_P + C \, \epsilon_P^2 + D \, \epsilon_P \epsilon_S + E \, \epsilon_S^2 + F \, s_{13} \epsilon_S$$

$$\epsilon_S \equiv \epsilon_{e\tau}^S , \quad \epsilon_P \equiv \epsilon_{e\tau}^P .$$



Huber, Schwetz, Valle, PRD 66 013006 '02.

Perspectives



Neutrino factory + two different neutrino detectors

Ribeiro, Minakata, Nunokawa, S. Uchinami, R. Zukanovich-Funchal JHEP 0712:002,2007.

Perspectives



Ribeiro, Minakata, Nunokawa, S. Uchinami, R. Zukanovich-Funchal JHEP 0712:002,2007.

Perspectives

Neutrino factory + two different neutrino detectors



Kopp, Ota, Winter, arXiv:0804.2261

NSI with d, u quark, Coherent $\nu - N$ scattering

- Coherent scattering if the momentum transfer, Q, is small, QR < 1(R is radius of nucleus): $\implies \nu$ -s doesn't "see" structure of nucleus!
- For most of nuclei: $1/R \sim 25 150$ MeV
- Planned experiments to measure coherent ν -N scattering: NOSTOS, TEXONO ... and many proposals
- Experimentally difficult: very low energy threshold
- Good statistics due to quadratic coherent enhancement
- Sensitivity to ν -quark couplings



Proposed experiments to measure coherent ν **-**N **scattering**

- TEXONO: 1kg of germanium, reactor neutrinos J. Phys. Conf. Ser. 39 266 (2006) hep-ex/0511001
- NOSTOS: spherical TPC detector, 10 ton of Xenon Phys. Atom. Nucl. 70 140 (2007) astro-ph/0511470
- Stopped-pion neutrino beam and kg-to-ton mass detector K. Scholberg, Phys. Rev. D 73 (2006) 033005
- Low-energy beta beam with a Xe detector A. Bueno et. al. Phys. Rev. D 74 (2006) 033010.



Neutrino-nuclei interaction

$$\mathcal{L}_{\nu Hadron}^{NSI} = -\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\substack{q=u,d\\\alpha,\beta=e,\mu,\tau}} \left[\bar{\nu}_{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu} (1-\gamma^5) \nu_{\beta} \right] \left(\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{qL} \left[\bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} (1-\gamma^5) q \right] + \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{qR} \left[\bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} (1+\gamma^5) q \right] \right),$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\nu Hadron}^{NC} = -\frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{\substack{q=u,d\\\alpha,\beta=e,\mu,\tau}} \left[\bar{\nu}_{\alpha} \gamma^{\mu} (1-\gamma^5) \nu_{\beta} \right] \left(f_{\alpha\beta}^{qL} \left[\bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} (1-\gamma^5) q \right] + f_{\alpha\beta}^{qR} \left[\bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} (1+\gamma^5) q \right] \right),$$

$$\begin{split} f^{uL}_{\alpha\alpha} &= \rho^{NC}_{\nu N} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2}{3} \hat{\kappa}_{\nu N} \hat{s}_Z^2 \right) + \lambda^{uL} + \varepsilon^{uL}_{\alpha\alpha} \\ f^{dL}_{\alpha\alpha} &= \rho^{NC}_{\nu N} \left(-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} \hat{\kappa}_{\nu N} \hat{s}_Z^2 \right) + \lambda^{dL} + \varepsilon^{dL}_{\alpha\alpha} \\ f^{uR}_{\alpha\alpha} &= \rho^{NC}_{\nu N} \left(-\frac{2}{3} \hat{\kappa}_{\nu N} \hat{s}_Z^2 \right) + \lambda^{uR} + \varepsilon^{uR}_{\alpha\alpha} \\ f^{dR}_{\alpha\alpha} &= \rho^{NC}_{\nu N} \left(\frac{1}{3} \hat{\kappa}_{\nu N} \hat{s}_Z^2 \right) + \lambda^{dR} + \varepsilon^{dR}_{\alpha\alpha} \end{split}$$

ν -N coherent scattering

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dT} = \frac{G_F^2 M}{2\pi} \left\{ (G_V + G_A)^2 + (G_V - G_A)^2 \left(1 - \frac{T}{E_\nu}\right)^2 - \left(G_V^2 - G_A^2\right) \frac{MT}{E_\nu^2} \right\}$$

$$G_{V} = \left[\left(g_{V}^{p} + 2\varepsilon_{ee}^{uV} + \varepsilon_{ee}^{dV} \right) Z + \left(g_{V}^{n} + \varepsilon_{ee}^{uV} + 2\varepsilon_{ee}^{dV} \right) N \right] F_{nucl}^{V}(Q^{2})$$

$$G_{A} = \left[\left(g_{A}^{p} + 2\varepsilon_{ee}^{uA} + \varepsilon_{ee}^{dA} \right) (Z_{+} - Z_{-}) + \left(g_{A}^{n} + \varepsilon_{ee}^{uA} + 2\varepsilon_{ee}^{dA} \right) (N_{+} - N_{-}) \right] F_{nucl}^{A}(Q^{2})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma}{dT}(E_{\nu},T) &= \frac{G_F^2 M}{\pi} \left(1 - \frac{MT}{2E_{\nu}^2} \right) \times \\ &\times \left\{ \left[Z(g_V^p + 2\varepsilon_{ee}^{uV} + \varepsilon_{ee}^{dV}) + N(g_V^n + \varepsilon_{ee}^{uV} + 2\varepsilon_{ee}^{dV}) \right]^2 + \right. \\ &+ \left. \sum_{\alpha = \mu,\tau} \left[Z(2\varepsilon_{\alpha e}^{uV} + \varepsilon_{\alpha e}^{dV}) + N(\varepsilon_{\alpha e}^{uV} + 2\varepsilon_{\alpha e}^{dV}) \right]^2 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

- Axial couplings contribution is zero or can be neglected
- Coherent enhancement of cross section
- Degeneracy in determination of NSI parameters

Resolving the degeneracy

$$\begin{split} \left[Z(g_V^p + 2\varepsilon_{ee}^{uV} + \varepsilon_{ee}^{dV}) + N(g_V^n + \varepsilon_{ee}^{uV} + 2\varepsilon_{ee}^{dV}) \right]^2 &= \left[Zg_V^p + Ng_V^n \right]^2 \\ \varepsilon_{ee}^{uV}(A + Z) + \varepsilon_{ee}^{dV}(A + N) = \text{const} \,. \end{split}$$

Solution: take two targets with maximally different k = (A + N)/(A + Z)



J. Barranco, O.G. Miranda, T.I. Rashba JHEP 0512:021 (2005)

Estimated bounds on NSI from TEXONO-like experiment (Ge+Si)



Flavor changing NSI

 $\varepsilon_{ au e}^{uV} vs \ \varepsilon_{ au e}^{dV}$



NSI with d-quark only





Present and future bounds on NSI

One parameter analysis to compare coherent scattering sensitivity with present bounds and ν Factory sensitivity (taken from Davidson et al'03)

	Present Limits	u Factory	76 Ge $_{T_{th}=400eV}$	76 Ge $+^{28}$ Si $_{T_{th}=400eV}$
			$({}^{76}{ m Ge}_{T_{th}=100eV})$	$({}^{76}\text{Ge}+{}^{28} ext{Si}_{T_{th}}=100eV)$
ϵ^{dV}_{ee}	$-0.5 < \epsilon_{ee}^{dV} < 1.2$	$ \epsilon_{ee}^{dV} < 0.002$	$ \epsilon_{ee}^{dV} < 0.003$	$ \epsilon_{ee}^{dV} < 0.002$
			$(\epsilon_{ee}^{dV} < 0.001)$	$(\epsilon_{ee}^{dV} < 0.001)$
$\epsilon^{dV}_{ au e}$	$ \epsilon_{\tau e}^{dV} < 0.78$	$ \epsilon_{\tau e}^{dV} < 0.06$	$ \epsilon_{\tau e}^{dV} < 0.032$	$ \epsilon_{\tau e}^{dV} < 0.024$
			$(\epsilon_{ aue}^{dV} < 0.020)$	$(\epsilon_{\tau e}^{dV} < 0.017)$
ϵ^{uV}_{ee}	$-1.0 < \epsilon_{ee}^{uV} < 0.61$	$ \epsilon_{ee}^{uV} < 0.002$	$ \epsilon_{ee}^{uV} < 0.003$	$ \epsilon_{ee}^{uV} < 0.002$
			$(\epsilon_{ee}^{uV} < 0.001)$	$(\epsilon_{ee}^{uV} < 0.001)$
$\epsilon^{uV}_{ au e}$	$ \epsilon_{\tau e}^{uV} < 0.78$	$ \epsilon_{\tau e}^{uV} < 0.06$	$ \epsilon_{\tau e}^{uV} < 0.036$	$ \epsilon_{\tau e}^{uV} < 0.023$
			$(\epsilon_{\tau e}^{uV} < 0.023)$	$(\epsilon_{\tau e}^{uV} < 0.018)$

The leptoquark as an example of NSI

$$\varepsilon^{uV} = \frac{\lambda_u^2}{m_{lq}^2} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4G_F}, \quad \varepsilon^{dV} = \frac{\lambda_d^2}{m_{lq}^2} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4G_F}$$

S. Davidson, D. C. Bailey and B. A. Campbell, Z. Phys. C 61, 613 (1994)



J. Barranco, O.G. Miranda, T.I. Rashba Phys. Rev. **D76** 073008 (2007)

What we have learned up to know

- Current constraints to NSI in the quark sector are relatively weak, but future experiments, including low-energy experiments could improve the present bounds.
- Future constraints could take into account several NSI parameters at a time if different detectors are considered
- NSI constraints can be tranlated in future into competitive constraints to specific models in physics beyond the Standard Model.

The $\nu_e e$ interaction



$$\sigma(\nu_e e \to \nu e) = \frac{2G_F^2 m_e E_\nu}{\pi} \left[(1 + g_L^e + \varepsilon_{ee}^{eL})^2 + \sum_{\alpha \neq e} |\varepsilon_{\alpha e}^{eL}|^2 + \frac{1}{3} (g_R^e + \varepsilon_{ee}^{eR})^2 + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{\alpha \neq e} |\varepsilon_{\alpha e}^{eR}|^2 \right]$$

- Davidson, Peña-Garay, Rius, Santamaria JHEP 0303:011 (2003) hep-ph/0302093: $-0.07 < \varepsilon_{ee}^{eL} < 0.1 \qquad -1.0 < \varepsilon_{ee}^{eR} < 0.50 \text{ at } 90 \% \text{ C L}$
- Berezhiani, Raghavan, Rossi PLB 535 207 (2002) hep-ph/0111138: $-0.15 < \varepsilon_{ee}^{eL} < 0.17$ $-0.95 < \varepsilon_{ee}^{eR} < 0.50$ at 99 % C L

The $\bar{\nu_e}e$ cross section

In the Standard Model

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dT} = \frac{2G_F m_e}{\pi} \left[g_L^2 + g_R^2 (1 - \frac{T}{E_\nu})^2 - g_L g_R \frac{m_e T}{E_\nu^2} \right]$$

with

$$g_L = rac{1}{2} + \sin^2 heta_W$$

 $g_R = \sin^2 heta_W.$

But this is the Eq. of an ellipse with axes 1 and $(1-\frac{T}{E_{\nu}})$ and rotated an angle

$$\tan 2\theta = \frac{m_e}{(2E_\nu - T)}$$

Barranco, Miranda, Moura, Valle, Phys. Rev. D73 113001 (2006)

The $\nu_e e$ interaction

Experiment	Energy (MeV)	events	measurement
LSND $\nu_e e$	10-50	191	$\sigma = [10.1 \pm 1.5] \times E_{\nu_e} (\text{MeV}) \times 10^{-45} \text{cm}^2$
Irvine $\bar{\nu}_e - e$	1.5 - 3.0	381	$\sigma = [0.86 \pm 0.25] \times \sigma_{V-A}$
Irvine $\bar{\nu}_e - e$	3.0 - 4.5	77	$\sigma = [1.7 \pm 0.44] \times \sigma_{V-A}$
Rovno $\bar{\nu}_e - e$	0.6 - 2.0	41	$\sigma = (1.26 \pm 0.62) \times 10^{-44} \mathrm{cm}^2 / \mathrm{fission}$
MUNU $\bar{\nu}_e - e$	0.7 - 2.0	68	1.07 ± 0.34 events day $^{-1}$



The $\nu_e e$ interaction

	Previous Limits	One parameter	Two Parameters	All Parameters
ϵ^{eL}_{ee}	$-0.07 < \epsilon_{ee}^{eL} < 0.11$	$-0.05 < \epsilon_{ee}^{eL} < 0.12$	$-0.13 < \epsilon_{ee}^{eL} < 0.12$	$-1.58 < \epsilon_{ee}^{eL} < 0.12$
ϵ^{eR}_{ee}	$-1.0 < \epsilon_{ee}^{eR} < 0.5$	$-0.04 < \epsilon_{ee}^{eR} < 0.14$	$-0.07 < \epsilon_{ee}^{eR} < 0.15$	$-0.61 < \epsilon_{ee}^{eR} < 0.15$
$\epsilon^{eL}_{e\tau}$	$ \epsilon_{e\tau}^{eL} < 0.4$	$ \epsilon_{e\tau}^{eL} < 0.43$	$ \epsilon_{e\tau}^{eL} < 0.43$	$ \epsilon_{e\tau}^{eL} < 0.85$
$\epsilon^{eR}_{e\tau}$	$ \epsilon_{e\tau}^{eR} < 0.7$	$ \epsilon_{e\tau}^{eR} < 0.27$	$ \epsilon_{e\tau}^{eR} < 0.31$	$ \epsilon_{e\tau}^{eR} < 0.38$



The $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} \gamma$ interaction

$$\sigma_{\text{LEP}}^{\text{theo}}(s) = \int \mathrm{d}x \int \mathrm{d}c_{\gamma} \ H(x, s_{\gamma}; s) \ \sigma_{0}^{\text{theo}}(\hat{s}) \,,$$

$$H(x, s_{\gamma}; s) = \frac{2\alpha}{\pi x s_{\gamma}} \left[\left(1 - \frac{x}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{x^2 c_{\gamma}^2}{4} \right] ,$$

$$\begin{split} \sigma_0^{\rm SM} & (s) = \frac{N_\nu G_F^2}{6\pi} M_Z^4 (g_R^2 + g_L^2) \frac{s}{\left[(s - M_Z^2)^2 + (M_Z \Gamma_Z)^2 \right]} \\ & + \quad \frac{G_F^2}{\pi} M_W^2 \left\{ \frac{s + 2M_W^2}{2s} - \frac{M_W^2}{s} \left(\frac{s + M_W^2}{s} \right) \log \left(\frac{s + M_W^2}{M_W^2} \right) \right. \\ & - \quad \frac{g_L M_Z^2 (s - M_Z^2)}{(s - M_Z^2)^2 + (M_Z \Gamma_Z)^2} \left[\frac{(s + M_W^2)^2}{s^2} \log \left(\frac{s + M_W^2}{M_W^2} \right) - \frac{M_W^2}{s} - \frac{3}{2} \right] \right\}, \end{split}$$

The $e^+e^- \rightarrow \nu \bar{\nu} \gamma$ interaction

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{0}^{\rm NU}(s) &= \sum_{\alpha=e,\mu,\tau} \frac{G_{F}^{2}}{6\pi} s \left[(\varepsilon_{\alpha\alpha}^{L})^{2} + (\varepsilon_{\alpha\alpha}^{R})^{2} - 2(g_{L}\varepsilon_{\alpha\alpha}^{L} + g_{R}\varepsilon_{\alpha\alpha}^{R}) \frac{M_{Z}^{2}(s - M_{Z}^{2})}{(s - M_{Z}^{2})^{2} + (M_{Z}\Gamma_{Z})^{2}} \right] \\ &+ \frac{G_{F}^{2}}{\pi} \varepsilon_{ee}^{L} M_{W}^{2} \left[\frac{(s + M_{W}^{2})^{2}}{s^{2}} \log \left(\frac{s + M_{W}^{2}}{M_{W}^{2}} \right) - \frac{M_{W}^{2}}{s} - \frac{3}{2} \right], \\ \sigma_{0}^{\rm FC}(s) &= \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta=e,\mu,\tau} \frac{G_{F}^{2}}{6\pi} s \left[(\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{L})^{2} + (\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}^{R})^{2} \right]. \end{split}$$

The $\nu_{\mu}e \rightarrow \nu_{\mu}e$ interaction



The χ^2 analysis



	90% C.L. Allowed Region	One parameter	Previous limits
ε^L_{ee}	$-0.14 < \varepsilon^L_{ee} < 0.09$	$-0.03 < \varepsilon^L_{ee} < 0.08$	$-0.05 < \varepsilon^L_{ee} < 0.1$
$arepsilon_{ee}^R$	$-0.03 < \varepsilon^R_{ee} < 0.18$	$0.004 < \varepsilon^R_{ee} < 0.15$	$0.04 < \varepsilon^R_{ee} < 0.14$
$arepsilon_{\mu\mu}^L$	$-0.033 < \varepsilon^L_{\mu\mu} < 0.055$	$ \varepsilon^L_{\mu\mu} < 0.03$	$ \varepsilon^L_{\mu\mu} < 0.03$
$arepsilon_{\mu\mu}^R$	$-0.040 < \varepsilon^R_{\mu\mu} < 0.053$	$ arepsilon_{\mu\mu}^R < 0.03$	$ \varepsilon^R_{\mu\mu} < 0.03$
$\varepsilon^L_{ au au}$	$-0.6 < \varepsilon^L_{\tau\tau} < 0.4$	$-0.5 < \varepsilon^L_{\tau\tau} < 0.2$	$ \varepsilon^L_{\tau\tau} < 0.5$
$arepsilon_{ au au}^R$	$-0.4 < \varepsilon^R_{\tau\tau} < 0.6$	$-0.3 < \varepsilon^R_{\tau\tau} < 0.4$	$ \varepsilon^R_{\tau\tau} < 0.5$

The χ^2 analysis



Perpectives

- Solar neutrinos could be sensitive to NSI with electrons
- NSI could affect the propagation
- NSI Could also affect detection, especially in SuperKamiokande



Conclusions

- NSI arise naturally in many models of physics beyond the SM and may be important in oscillation experiments.
- Low energy neutrino experiments can improve the constraints to NSI with similar sensitivity that *v*-Factories of LBL.
- Low energy neutrino experiments can give constraints that are independent of the oscillation parameters.

Constraints on NSI from one loop effects

- On general grounds we expect that interactions in which the ν_{α} are replaced by the corresponding leptons will be generated by one loop diagrams with virtual W's or Z's.
- Effective interactions, however, are nonrenormalizable and, therefore, a precise prescription has to be given in order to estimate these corrections.
- Our If these are originated from a more complete theory at scales $\Lambda \gg m_W$ which is renormalizable (or perhaps finite) and in which observables can be computed in terms of a few parameters.



Solar ν oscillations and NSI

$$P(\nu_e \to \nu_e) = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \cos 2\theta \cos 2\theta_m \right],$$

$$\cos 2\theta_m = \frac{\Delta m^2 \cos 2\theta - 2\sqrt{2} EG_F(N_e - \varepsilon' N_d)}{[\Delta m^2]_{matter}},$$

where

$$\left[\Delta m^2\right]_{matter}^2 = \left[\Delta m^2 \cos 2\theta - 2\sqrt{2} EG_F(N_e - \varepsilon' N_d)\right]^2 + \left[\Delta m^2 \sin 2\theta + 4\sqrt{2} \varepsilon EG_F N_d\right]^2$$