

Activities Report

Hèctor Bello Martinez¹

Antonio Orfiz Velazquez Arturo Fernandez Tellez

(FCFM-BUAP) 2.(ICN-UNAM)



25 August 2017

Outlook



- some news:
- 1. Almost ready for Initial Stages 2017, Flight to Krakow (IS2017) is bought by red-ALICEMX, lodging is arrange (a reembolso)
- 2. I receive an e-mail from Holly Young (IoP-JPG) for an interview at IS2017.
- 3. Also inscribe to Collider Physics in Mexico (5-8 sept Ibero)
- Work during vacations:
- 4. Modification on analysis note.
- 5. Additional plots for approval to present this monday.
- 6. Draft slides for IS2017.
- 7. Thesis draft



1. Additional plots for approval to present this monday.







Performance plots on: transverse sphericity for unidentified charge particles production in pp collisions $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

Héctor Bello Martínez

IS preview LF monday 28/08/2017

Outlook



- Rebinned So probability
- Perfomance plot for multiplicity correction
- Performance plot for sphericity selection

Spherocity percentile selection (uploaded alice-info) Analysis details:



- Data: pp $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV
- Multiplicity selection: primary charge tracks with $p_T > 0$, in $|\eta| < 0.8$.
- Spheroctiv selection: more than 3 tracks for $p_T > 0.15 \text{ GeV}/c$ in $|\eta| < 0.8$.



Spherocity has been measured in a wide range of multiplicity, 10% of the sample has been chosen for the different binning in the sphericity probability distribution, the results show the multiplicity dependence (low N_{ch} -> low S_{O} , high N_{ch} -> high S_{O})

Spherocity percentile selection (rebinned) Analysis details:

- Data: pp $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV
- Multiplicity selection: primary charge tracks with $p_T > 0$, in $|\eta| < 0.8$.
- Spheroctiv selection: more than 3 tracks for $p_T > 0.15 \text{ GeV}/c$ in $|\eta| < 0.8$.



Spherocity has been measured in a wide range of multiplicity, 10% of the sample has been chosen for the different binning in the sphericity probability distribution, the results show the multiplicity dependence (low N_{ch} -> low S_0 , high N_{ch} -> high S_0)



Corrections by multiplicity



- In order to explain the correction procedure.
- Due to reconstruction efficiency in multiplicity and spherocity some corrections were done.
- For correction of multiplicity we based on:

$$\langle p_{\rm T} \rangle (N_{\rm ch}) = \sum_{\rm m} \langle p_{\rm T} \rangle (N_{\rm m}) R(N_{\rm ch}, N_{\rm m})$$

where the response matrix is:



Corrections by spherocity



reconstruct

not reconst

- In order to explain the correction procedure.
- For spherocity correction was made in 2 steps:

To correct the
$$\langle p_{\rm T} \rangle$$
 in the $S_{\rm O}$ bin
 $\langle p_{\rm T} \rangle (N_{\rm m}, S_{0,\rm t}) = \sum_{\rm m} \langle p_{\rm T} \rangle (N_{\rm m}, S_{0,\rm m}) R(S_{0,\rm t}, S_{0,\rm m})$

Then

Т

$$\langle p_{\rm T} \rangle (N_{\rm ch}) = \sum_{\rm m} \langle p_{\rm T} \rangle (N_{\rm m}) R(N_{\rm ch}, N_{\rm m})$$

the response for sphericity is:"

Sphericity response for three Nch bins (low,mid,high) for approval





2. Draft slides for IS2017.







A double differential study of the particle production in pp collisions $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV using transverse spherocity and multiplicity with ALICE

Héctor Bello Martínez

on behalf of the ALICE Collaboration



Outlook



- Introduction
- Motivation
- ALICE at LHC
- Results
- Conclusions



Introduction



- ALICE is optimized for heavy ion (HI) physics.
- ALICE aims to study the formation of the strongly interacting QCD matter, the Quark-Gluon Plasma (QGP) created in high energy heavy-ion collisions

• Small systems

See You Zhou's talk ALICE results on small systems on Thursday

as pp or p-Pb are used as a reference frame to compare Pb-Pb systems, for example in the measurement of R_{AA} to see the suppression in Pb-Pb.

 $R_{AA}(p_T) = \frac{d^2 N_{ch}^{AA} / d\eta dp_T}{\langle T_{AA} \rangle d^2 \sigma_{ch}^{pp} / d\eta dp_T}$

 However high multiplicity (HM) pp collisions has became interesting to understand many phenomena as for example collectivity in HI.

> See Ivan's Ravasenga talk collectivity in ALICE

 Run2 pp at 13 TeV gives a look into it and tells why its interesting ...



30-year adventure with heavy ions



Run 2 pp collisions at 13 TeV

Experimental background at ALICE before RUN 2



Multiplicity dependence of the average transverse momentum in pp, p–Pb, and Pb–Pb collisions at the LHC

Phys. Lett. B 727 (2013) 371-380



Difference in the mean transverse momentum for the three systems have seen, specially not saturation for pp systems, this behavior its independent of the collision energy.

Transverse sphericity of primary charged particles in minimum bias proton-proton collisions at \sqrt{s} = 0.9, 2.76 and 7 TeV





For the mean sphericity, it has been measured that increases at high multiplicity, this was not described in MC models.



Spherocity





Spherocity is calculated event-by-event considering **T** more than 2 charged particles having $p_T > 0.15$ GeV/*c* and within $|\eta| < 0.8$.

 $S_O = S_T^{pherocity} = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ isotropic} \\ 0 \text{ jetty} \end{cases}$

The spherocity is defined by: $S_{T}^{pherocity} = \frac{\pi^2}{4} \min_{\vec{n}=(n_x,n_y,0)} \left(\frac{\sum_{i} |\vec{p}_{Ti} \times \vec{n}|}{\sum_{i} \vec{p}_{Ti}} \right)$

Where $\vec{n} = (n_x, n_y, 0)$ is the normal vector in the transverse

plane that minimises this ratio. The limits are:

Phenomenology of event shapes at hadron colliders Andrea Banfi, Gavin P. Salam, Giulia Zanderighi JHEP 1006:038,2010 A recent review on event shapes at hadron colliders. Antonio Ortiz arXiv:1705.02056





Motivation from Models

- Study features of High Multiplicity pp collisions using spherocity event by event will allow to separate "soft" (no-pQCD) from "hard" (pQCD) physics.
- With spherocity MPI could be studied.
- According to PYTHIA 8, spherocity allows the separation of events where UE event can be enhanced or suppressed.
- Comparing models and data will help us to get more information about high multiplicity events, for example if flow or other mechanisms as CR predominates in jets or in events without them.







Disentangling the soft and hard components of the pp collisions using the sphero(i)city approach. Eleazar Cuautle, Raul Jimenez, Ivonne Maldonado, Antonio Ortiz, Guy Paic, Edgar Perez <u>arXiv:1404.2372</u>

For more of collectivity on







ALICE at LHC













21 Delio Ma











Results Data from pp \sqrt{s} =13 TeV

Spherocity percentile selection (within N_{ch} selection) Analysis details:



- Data: pp $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV
- Multiplicity selection: primary charge tracks with $p_T > 0$, in $|\eta| < 0.8$.
- Spheroctiy selection: more than 3 tracks for $p_T > 0.15$ GeV/*c* in $|\eta| < 0.8$.



Spherocity has been measured in a wide range of multiplicity, 10% of the sample has been chosen for the different binning in the sphericity probability distribution, the results show the multiplicity dependence (low N_{ch} -> low S_{O} , high N_{ch} -> high S_{O})

Getting the mean transverse momentum



• From the invariant yield in multiplicity bins one gets the $< p_{T} >$ vs multiplicity for the inclusive case as expressed in the equation:

$$\langle p_T \rangle = \frac{\sum_{i} y_i \cdot p_T}{\sum_{i} y_i}$$

- Applying the same method, for the yields now selected by spherocity percentile, one can get the corresponding average transverse momentum.
- The p_T spectra were corrected by efficiency (with real particle composition) and contamination from secondaries (from multi template fit to DCAxy distributions).



Corrections by multiplicity and spherocity

ICE

P(N_m) at N

 10^{-1}

- Due to reconstruction efficiency in multiplicity and spherocity some corrections were done.
- For correction of multiplicity we based on:

$$\langle p_{\rm T} \rangle (N_{\rm ch}) = \sum \langle p_{\rm T} \rangle (N_{\rm m}) R(N_{\rm ch}, N_{\rm m})$$

For spherocity correction was made in 2 steps:



$$\langle p_{\rm T} \rangle (N_{\rm m}, S_{0,\rm t}) = \sum_{\rm m} \langle p_{\rm T} \rangle (N_{\rm m}, S_{0,\rm m}) R(S_{0,\rm t}, S_{0,\rm m}) \sqrt{2}$$
Then
$$\langle p_{\rm T} \rangle (N_{\rm ch}) = \sum \langle p_{\rm T} \rangle (N_{\rm m}) R(N_{\rm ch}, N_{\rm m}) \sqrt{2}$$

m



ALICE Performance

 h^++h , pp $\sqrt{s} = 13$ TeV

90F

60







Track cut	Nominal value	Lower value	Higher value
Min. number of crossed rows	70	60	100
Min. ratio crossed rows over findable TPC clusters	0.8	0.7	0.9
Max. χ^2 per cluster in TPC	4	3	5
Max. χ^2 per cluster in ITS	36	25	49
SPD point	required	not required	not required
DCAxy	7σ	4σ	10σ
DCAz	2	1	5

Average transverse momentum (Inclusive case)





No spherocity selection: models describe well the data N_{ch} <10: EPOS LHC underestimates the $< p_T > N_{ch}$ >30: PYTHIA 6 overestimates the $< p_T$ >

Average transverse momentum (Jetty events)





Non-isotropic events: LARGER DIFFERENCES WITH MODELS THAN IN THE INCLUSIVE CASE PYTHIA 6 and PYTHIA 8 overestimate the $< p_T >$ (input to improve the CR models: soft-hard interaction) EPOS LHC describes well the data

Average transverse momentum (Isotropic events)





BETTER AGREEMENT WITH MODELS (except EPOS LHC [low N_{ch}] and PYTHIA 6 [high N_{ch}])

Average transverse momentum (comparison)





Conclusions



- Mean p_T vs multiplicity is a measurement which is useful to constrain the phenomenological models of particle production, e.g. color reconnection models can be tuned.
- The double differential analysis shown here allows to test the models where underlying event (or core contribution) is enhanced or suppressed with respect to the multiplicity dependent case.
 - * The average p_T exhibits a steeper rise with N_{ch} going from isotropic (90-100%) to non-isotropic (0-10%) events.
 - * The largest tension between data and PYTHIA (6 and 8) is observed for non-isotropic events, where color reconnection can affect the low p_T part of the spectrum due to the presence of a hard parton.
 - This can be used to study the soft-hard interaction.





Dziękuję! Thank•you!



Backup

Motivation from Models

- Study features of High Multiplicity pp collisions using spherocity event by event will allow to separate "soft" (no-pQCD) from "hard" (pQCD) physics.
- With spherocity MPI could be studied.
- According to PYTHIA 8, spherocity allows the separation of events where UE event can be enhanced or suppressed.
- In EPOS 3.1, using spherocity one can achieve samples with enhanced or suppressed core contribution.







Disentangling the soft and hard components of the pp collisions using the sphero(i)city approach. Eleazar Cuautle, Raul Jimenez, Ivonne Maldonado, Antonio Ortiz, Guy Paic, Edgar Perez <u>arXiv:1404.2372</u>



Motivation from Models

- Study features of High Multiplicity pp collisions using spherocity event by event will allow to separate "soft" (no-pQCD) from "hard" (pQCD) physics.
- With spherocity MPI could be studied.
- According to PYTHIA 8, spherocity allows the separation of events where UE event can be enhanced or suppressed.
- In EPOS 3.1, using spherocity one can achieve samples with enhanced or suppressed core contribution.
- Comparing models and data will help us to get more information about high multiplicity events, for example if flow or other mechanisms as CR predominates in jets or in events without them.







Disentangling the soft and hard components of the pp collisions using the sphero(i)city approach. Eleazar Cuautle, Raul Jimenez, Ivonne Maldonado, Antonio Ortiz, Guy Paic, Edgar Perez <u>arXiv:1404.2372</u>

Revealing the source of the radial flow patterns in proton--proton collisions using hard probes, Antonio Ortiz, Gyula Bencédi, Héctor Bello, <u>J. Phys. G: Nucl.Part.Phys.44</u> (2017).



Reference to Pythia 8 Introduction Color Reconnection (PYTHIA8)



Reference to EPOS Introduction 3+1D Hidrodynamics





3.

Thesis Draft

Contents

	Agra	idecimientos	
	Abs	ract	
1	Intr	oduction	
	1.1	Standard Model	
	1.2	Quantum Chromodynamics (QCD)	
		1.2.1 QCD events components	
	1.3	Hadron interactions at high energies	
		1.3.1 Quark Gluon Plasma	
	1.4	Event Shapes at hadron colliders	
		1.4.1 Sphericity (S) and Transverse Sphericity (S _T).	
		1.4.2 Transverse spherocity (S _O).	
		1.4.3 Thrust (T)	
		1.4.4 Thrust minor (T _{min})	
	1.5	Monte Carlo event generators models	
		1.5.1 Pythia and its tunes	
		1.5.2 EPOS	
2	ALI	CE experiment	
	2.1	The ALICE experiment at LHC	
		2.1.1 Inner Tracking System	
		2.1.2 Time Projection Chamber	
		2.1.3 Minimum Bias trigger events	
		2.1.4 ALICE offline software	
	2.2	Recent Results at ALICE	
3	Dat	a Analysis	
	3.1	Data set, event and track selection	
		3.1.1 Dataset	
		3.1.2 Monte Carlo	
		3.1.3 Event selection	
		3.1.4 Track selection for the average p _T vs multiplicity analysis	
		3.1.5 Track selection for transverse spherocity	
		3.1.6 Multiplicitly estimator	
	3.2	Correction procedures	
		3.2.1 Efficiency and Secondaries from Monte Carlo	
		3.2.2 Corrections using data driven approaches	
		3.2.3 Multiplicity and spherocity corrections by weighting procedure	
		3.2.4 Closure test	
	3.3	Analysis applied to data	

ALICE

3.4	Analy	sis Method												 		 	-	
	3.4.1	Spherocity	percentile	selection			-							 		 		
	349	Raw mean	transverse	moments	 1.0	 nh	-	وأح	1.00	-	-	 los						

4 Systematic uncertainties

ematic	uncertainties								
4.0.1	Track cuts for $\langle p_T \rangle$			 					
4.0.2	Spherocity selection			 					
4.0.3	Spherocity response matrix extrapolation								
4.0.4	Multiplicity dependence on efficiency correction			 					
4.0.5	Model correction dependence			 					
4.0.6	Method for correction procedure (non-closure)			 					
4.0.7	Secondaries contamination from DCA fit			 					
4.0.8	Total systematics uncertainties			 					

5 Results and discussion

5.0.1	Data and	d models comparison							
-------	----------	---------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

6 Conclusions.

Apéndice 1: Sistema Coordenado de	ALICE.	 	 	 		 				
Apéndice 2: Variables cinemáticas.		 		 		 				