

#### Looking for jet modification with Guy

Symposium in honor of Professor Guy Paic

C.Loizides (ORNL) 30 Oct 2017 2

Eur. Phys. J. C 38, 461–474 (2005) Digital Object Identifier (DOI) 10.1140/epjc/s2004-02077-x

#### Leading-particle suppression in high energy nucleus–nucleus collisions

A. Dainese<sup>1,a</sup>, C. Loizides<sup>2,b</sup>, G. Paic<sup>3,c</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Università degli Studi di Padova and INFN, via Marzolo 8, 35131 Padova, Italy

<sup>2</sup> Institut für Kernphysik, August-Euler-Str. 6, 60486 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

<sup>3</sup> Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, UNAM, Mexico City, Mexico

Received: 25 June 2004 / Revised version: 3 November 2004 / Published online: 17 December 2004 – © Springer-Verlag / Società Italiana di Fisica 2004

Abstract. Parton energy loss effects in heavy-ion collisions are studied with the Monte Carlo program PQM (Parton Quenching Model) constructed using the BDMPS quenching weights and a realistic collision geometry. The merit of the approach is that it contains only one free parameter that is tuned to the high- $p_t$  nuclear modification factor measured in central Au–Au collisions at  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 200$  GeV. Once tuned, the model is consistently applied to all the high- $p_t$  observables at 200 GeV: the centrality evolution of the nuclear modification factor, the suppression of the away-side jet-like correlations, and the azimuthal anisotropies for these observables. Predictions for the leading-particle suppression at nucleon–nucleon centre-of-mass energies of 62.4 and 5500 GeV are presented. The limits of the eikonal approximation in the BDMPS approach, when applied to finite-energy partons, are discussed.

 References | BibTeX | LaTeX(US) | LaTe

 ADS Abstract Service

 Detailed record - Cited by 286 records

 250+

e-Print: hep-ph/0406201 | PDF

#### THE EUROPEAN PHYSICAL JOURNAL C



# 3 Leading particle production in AA collisions



- Large virtuality Q leads to small "formation time"  $\Delta$  t ~ 1/Q and small  $\alpha_s$
- Initial yields and p<sub>T</sub> distributions in A+B are predicted by pp measurements + pQCD + collision geometry (Glauber) + additional "known" nuclear (initial state) effects (e.g. nPDFs)
- Observed deviations are attributed to the medium

# 4 Leading particle suppression ~2003

Comparison of  $p_T$  distributions at high  $p_T$  measured in pp, dAu and AA (for different centralities) Quantification via the Nuclear Modification Factor

$$\mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{AB}}(\mathsf{,}\mathsf{p}_{\mathsf{T}},\eta) = \frac{1}{\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{coll}}} \times \frac{\mathsf{d}\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{AB}}/\mathsf{d}\mathsf{p}_{\mathsf{T}}}{\mathsf{d}\mathsf{N}_{\mathsf{pp}}/\mathsf{d}\mathsf{p}_{\mathsf{T}}}(\mathsf{p}_{\mathsf{T}},\eta)$$



## 5 Suppression of away-side ~2003

Comparison of azimuthal distributions rel. to high  $p_T$  trigger particle measured in pp, dAu and AA (for different centralities)

- Trigger: highest  $p_T$  track with  $p_T>4$  GeV
- Associated particles: 2 GeV < p<sub>T</sub> < p<sub>T</sub><sup>trigger</sup>

1/N<sub>Trigger</sub> dN/d(∆∮)

Away-side suppression quantified via

$$I_{AB}^{away} = \int_{away} dN_{AB} / \int_{away} dN_{pp}$$

d+Au FTPC-Au 0-20% 0.2 Measurement in pp and p+p min. bias d+Au not suppressed ★ Au+Au Central II (I<sub>∆∆</sub> ≈ 1) 0.1 Central Au+Au collision strongly suppressed near side away side (I<sub>AA</sub>≈ 0.1) -1 O  $\Delta \phi$  (radians) STAR, PRL 93 (2004) 252301. Final-state effect

### 6 Parton energy loss: Early ideas

- Partons travel a few (~4) fm in the high color-density medium
- Bjorken (`82): energy loss due to elastic (collisional) scattering

High energy quarks and gluons propagating through quark-gluon plasma suffer differential energy loss via elastic scattering from quanta in the plasma.

An interesting signature may be events in which the hard collision occurs near the edge of the overlap region, with one jet escaping without absorption and the other fully absorbed.



Bjorken, FERMILAB-Pub-82/59-THY (1982).

# 7 Parton energy loss from pQCD

 Successive calculations (`92++) revealed that medium-induced gluon radiation (QCD bremsstrahlung) dominates:

$$\omega \frac{\mathrm{dI}}{\mathrm{d}\omega \mathrm{dk}} = \alpha_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{R}} / \omega^{2} \mathrm{F} \big( \eta(\xi) \sigma(\mathbf{r}) \big)$$

 $\frac{1}{2} \hat{q}(\xi) \mathbf{r} \quad (BDMPS)$  $(\mathbf{n}(\xi) \boldsymbol{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}))^{\mathsf{N}} \text{ (opacity expansion)}$ 

Coherent wave-function gluon acummulates  $k_{\tau}$  due to multiple inelastic scatterings in the medium until decoheres and is radiated off the original hard parton



Bjorken, Gyulassy, Plümer, Thoma, Wang, Wang, Baier, Dokshitzer, Müller, Peigne', Schiff, Levai, Vitev, Zhakarov, Salgado, Wiedemann, ...

# 8 Parton energy loss from pQCD

 Successive calculations (`92++) revealed that medium-induced gluon radiation (QCD bremsstrahlung) dominates:

$$\omega \frac{\mathrm{dI}}{\mathrm{d}\omega \mathrm{dk}} = \alpha_{\mathrm{S}} \mathbf{C}_{\mathrm{R}} / \omega^{2} \, \mathbf{F} \big( \eta(\xi) \, \sigma(\mathbf{r}) \big)$$

 $\frac{1}{2} \hat{q}(\xi) \mathbf{r} \quad (BDMPS)$  $(n(\xi)\sigma(\mathbf{r}))^{N} \text{ (opacity expansion)}$ 

Coherent wave-function gluon acummulates  $k_{\tau}$  due to multiple inelastic scatterings in the medium until decoheres and is radiated off the original hard parton



Bjorken, Gyulassy, Plümer, Thoma, Wang, Wang, Baier, Dokshitzer, Müller, Peigne', Schiff, Levai, Vitev, Zhakarov, Salgado, Wiedemann, ...

### 9 BDMPS-Z radiated energy spectrum



Baier, Dokshitzer, Müller, Peigne<sup>•</sup>, Schiff, NPB 483 (1997) 291. Zakharov, JTEPL 63 (1996) 952. Salgado, Wiedemann, PRD 68(2003) 014008.

#### **10** Average energy loss in BDMPS-Z

Average energy loss (in eikonal limit)

$$\langle \Delta \mathsf{E} \rangle \approx \int_{0}^{\omega_{c}} \mathsf{d} \omega \omega \frac{\mathsf{d} \mathsf{I}}{\mathsf{d} \omega} \propto \alpha_{s} \, \mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{R}} \, \omega_{\mathsf{C}} \propto \alpha_{s} \, \mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{R}} \, \hat{\mathsf{q}} \, \mathsf{L}^{2}$$

$$\langle \Delta \mathsf{E} \rangle \propto \hat{\mathsf{q}} \propto 
ho \, \int \mathsf{dq}_{\mathsf{T}}^2 \mathsf{q}_{\mathsf{T}}^2 \, \mathsf{d}\sigma/\mathsf{dq}_{\mathsf{T}}^2$$

(gluons volume-density and interaction cross section)



### **11** Average energy loss in BDMPS-Z

Average energy loss (in eikonal limit)

$$\langle \Delta \mathsf{E} \rangle \approx \int_{0}^{\omega_{c}} \mathsf{d} \omega \omega \frac{\mathsf{d} \mathsf{I}}{\mathsf{d} \omega} \propto \alpha_{s} \, \mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{R}} \, \omega_{\mathsf{C}} \propto \alpha_{s} \, \mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{R}} \, \hat{\mathsf{q}} \, \mathsf{L}^{2}$$

$$\langle \Delta \mathsf{E} \rangle \propto \hat{\mathsf{q}} \propto 
ho \, \int \mathsf{dq}_{\mathsf{T}}^2 \mathsf{q}_{\mathsf{T}}^2 \, \mathsf{d}\sigma/\mathsf{dq}_{\mathsf{T}}^2$$

(gluons volume-density and interaction cross section)



#### Finite parton energy (qualitatively)

• If E<  $\omega_c$  (e.g. small  $p_T$  with traversing large L) :

$$\langle \Delta \mathsf{E} \rangle \approx \int_{0}^{\mathsf{E}} \mathsf{d}\omega \,\omega \frac{\mathsf{d}\mathsf{I}}{\mathsf{d}\omega} \propto \alpha_{\mathsf{S}} \,\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{R}} \,\sqrt{\mathsf{E} \,\omega_{\mathsf{c}}} \propto \alpha_{\mathsf{S}} \,\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{R}} \,\sqrt{\mathsf{E}} \,\sqrt{\hat{\mathsf{q}}} \,\mathsf{L}$$

- Introduces dependence on parton energy
- Reduces sensitivity to density
- Leads to linear dependence on path length

### **12** Calculating leading particle spectra

#### Factorized pQCD + final state quenching + vacuum fragmentation

$$\frac{d^{2}\sigma_{quenched}^{h}}{dp_{T} dy} \bigg|_{y \approx 0} = \sum_{a,b,j} \int dF_{ab} d\Delta E_{j} dz_{j} dp_{T,j}^{init} \frac{d^{2}\sigma^{ab \rightarrow jX}}{dp_{T,j}^{init} dy} \bigg|_{y \approx 0} \times \delta(p_{T,j}^{init} - p_{T,j} - \Delta E_{j}) P(\Delta E_{j}; C_{j}, \hat{q}_{j}, L_{j}, p_{T,j}) \frac{D_{h/j}(z_{j})}{z_{j}^{2}}$$

## **13** Calculating leading particle spectra

Factorized pQCD + final state quenching + vacuum fragmentation

$$\frac{d^{2}\sigma_{quenched}^{h}}{dp_{T} dy}\bigg|_{y\approx0} = \sum_{a,b,j} \int dF_{ab} d\Delta E_{j} dz_{j} dp_{T,j}^{init} \frac{d^{2}\sigma^{ab\rightarrow jX}}{dp_{T,j}^{init} dy}\bigg|_{y\approx0} \times \delta(p_{T,j}^{init} - p_{T,j} - \Delta E_{j})P(\Delta E_{j}; C_{j}, \hat{q}_{j}, L_{j}, p_{T,j}) \frac{D_{h/j}(z_{j})}{z_{j}^{2}}$$

#### Monte Carlo approach:



# 14 Quenching weights

Compute energy loss probability distributions

$$\mathsf{P}(\Delta \mathsf{E}) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^{n} \int \mathsf{d}\omega_{i} \frac{\mathsf{d}\mathsf{I}(\omega_{i})}{\mathsf{d}\omega} \right] \delta \left( \Delta \mathsf{E} - \sum_{i=0}^{n} \omega_{i} \right) \exp\left[ -\int \mathsf{d}\omega \frac{\mathsf{d}\mathsf{I}}{\mathsf{d}\omega} \right]$$

• Calculated from  $\omega \, dI/d\omega$  in the  $E \to \infty$  approximation (no E dep.)  $P(\Delta E; C_R, \hat{q}, L) = p_0(C_R, \hat{q}, L) + p(\Delta E; C_R, \hat{q}, L) \quad \left[\alpha_8 = 1/3\right]$ 



BDMS, JHEP 0109 (2001) 033 Salgado, Wiedemann, PRD 68 (2003) 014008



### **15** Constrained quenching weights

Construct constrained weights from quenching weights

$$P(\Delta E; C_R, \hat{q}, L, E)$$
 with  $\Delta E \leq E$ 



Two ways to construct constrained weights treated as systematic uncertainty on final results (later abandoned reweighted approach as it is non-physical)



- Optical Glauber with Wood-Saxon density distribution
- Parton production in transverse plane according to  $ho_{
  m coll} \propto T_A T_B$ 
  - Determine ( $x_0$ ,  $y_0$ ) and uniform emission direction  $\phi_0$
- Matter density according to  $\rho \propto k T_A T_B(x_0 + \xi \cos \phi_0, y_0 + \xi \sin \phi_0)$
- Calculate parton-by-parton  $I_i = \int d\xi \rho(\xi) \xi^i$ 
  - Obtain  $L = 2I_1/I_0$  and  $\hat{q} = 0.5 \check{I}_0^2/I_1$

**17** Result for central Au+Au collisions

 $\mathbf{R}_{AA}$ 

0.4

 $\langle \hat{q} \rangle$ 

 $= 13.2 \pm 2.7 \, \mathrm{GeV}^2/\mathrm{fm}$ 



Lattice QCD calculations: PRL 112 (2014) 162003

#### **18** Result for central Au+Au collisions





- Large values for transport coefficient
  - Few times larger than expected from pQCD
- However, similar scale as first
  - AdS/CFT calculation: PRL 97 (2006) 182301
  - Lattice QCD calculations: PRL 112 (2014) 162001

### **19** Centrality evolution

 $\rho(b) \propto k_{\rm central}^{\rm Au+Au} T_A T_B(b)$ 



Very good description of centrality dependent suppression observables



# 21 Surface emission and trigger bias



- Strong observed suppression implies large densities
- Opaque medium leeds to strong trigger biases
- $R_{AA}$  and  $I_{AA}$  pre-dominantly determined by geometry

Müller, PRC67 (2003) 061901. Drees, Feng, Jia, PRC 71 (2005). Escola, Honkanen, Salgado, Wiedemann, NPA747 (2005) 511.

## 22 Tangential emission



Large medium density biases dijets towards edges of surface ("tangential emission")

(highly debated in the literature, but qualitatively the effect is there)



Core (black) lines: one jet of the dijet crosses inner core of R=3 fm. Tangential (red) lines: none of the jets crosses inner core.

Müller, PRC67 (2003) 061901. Dainese, Loizides, Paic, QM 2005 Poster.

## 23 Comparison with LHC R<sub>AA</sub> (predictions)



All (RHIC) models have difficulties with the apparent transparency at LHC (In part due to the much smaller increase in multiplicity than anticipated)

# 24 Comparison with LHC R<sub>AA</sub> (PQM)





LHC central  $R_{AA}$  indicate surprisingly low value for qhat. However, shape of data and PQM clearly different

# **25** Further studies

- Geometry
  - Matter density according to  $\rho \propto \mathbf{k} \times \rho_{\text{part}}$  (rather than  $\rho \propto \mathbf{k} \times \rho_{\text{coll}}$ )
  - Include (longitudinal) expansion
- Quenching weights
  - Compare with fixed  $\alpha_s = 0.5$
  - Compare with single hard approximation
- Parton  $p_T$  distributions
  - Nuclear effects in PDFs
- Vary fragmentation functions
  - AKK fragmentation

#### Work in progress

Dainese, Loizides, Paic, EPJC38 (2005), 461.

13/11

Constantin Loizides (MIT), LHC predictions workshop, 05/31/2007

- And more could be done
  - Adapt calculation for LHC energies (eg. to measured multiplicity, check pp case)
  - Embed calculation in hydrodynamics
  - Check implementation in Q-Pythia (arXiv:0907.1014)



"Something for you to tackle in the next years?"

- ...

### 26 RAA in very peripheral collisions



- Peripheral R<sub>AA</sub> clearly below 1
  - PQM does not predict significant suppression
- Model studies
  - HIJING w/o quenching
  - Pythia with HIJING MPI model
- Treating MC just like data (ie ordering events according to multiplicity) shows that multiplicity bias can cause the apparent suppression
  - Effect even stronger for LHC

## 27 RAA in very peripheral collisions



- Peripheral R<sub>AA</sub> clearly below 1
  - PQM does not predict significant suppression
- Model studies
  - HIJING w/o quenching
  - Pythia with HIJING MPI model
- Treating MC just like data (ie ordering events according to multiplicity) shows that multiplicity bias can cause the apparent suppression
  - Effect even stronger for LHC

# 28 RAA in very peripheral collisions



- Peripheral R<sub>AA</sub> clearly below 1
  - PQM does not predict significant suppression
- Model studies
  - HIJING w/o quenching
  - Pythia with HIJING MPI model
- Treating MC just like data (ie ordering events according to multiplicity) shows that multiplicity bias can cause the apparent suppression
  - Effect even stronger for LHC

#### **29** Jet $R_{AA}$ up to very high energies

ATLAS-CONF-2017-009



Jet  $R_{AA}$  in 0-10% central collisions at ~ 0.5-0.7 at up to 1 TeV; Really only from energy loss, or another effect / bias?



#### Happy belated birthday, Guy, and onto the next 20 years!