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## Several upcoming experiments!

1. Qweak at JLab has measured the weak charge of the proton, $Q_{W}(p) \sim 1-4 \sin ^{2} \theta_{W}$. In polarized $e^{-} H \rightarrow e^{-} H$ at $Q^{2} \approx 0.026 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$.
2. P2 experiment, same observable, at $Q^{2} \approx 0.0045 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$, in Mainz, Germany.
3. MOLLER at JLab $Q_{W}(e)$, in polarized Moller scattering at $Q^{2} \approx 0.0056 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$.
4. The PVDIS Collaboration at the 6 GeV CEBAF complex at JLab $e^{-} D \rightarrow e^{-} X$.
5. SoLID Collaboration will increase the PVDIS precision and a correspondingly higher and broader $Q^{2}$ range.

## Definitions of the weak mixing angle.

We can define the weak mixing angle in terms of the $\mathrm{SU}(2)_{\mathrm{L}}$ and
$\mathrm{U}(1)_{\mathrm{Y}}$ gauge couplings or in terms of the fine structure constant:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin ^{2} \theta_{W}=\frac{g^{\prime 2}}{g^{2}+g^{\prime 2}} \quad \alpha=\frac{e^{2}}{4 \pi}=\frac{g^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta_{W}}{4 \pi} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is also a relation coming from the Electroweak Symmetry Breaking

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin ^{2} \theta_{W}=1-\frac{M_{W}^{2}}{M_{Z}^{2}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the first two definitions are modified by radiative corrections. In the On-Shell Scheme one uses the equation 2 to all orders in perturbation theory.

## Renormalization group equation

Loops produce corrections to the coupling coefficients! for example the diagram

leads to an RGE for $\hat{\alpha}$ of the form

$$
\mu^{2} \frac{d \hat{\alpha}}{d \mu^{2}}=\frac{1}{3} \frac{\hat{\alpha}^{2}}{\pi}
$$


the same type of running applies to the weak mixing angle.

## RGE

The vector coupling is related to the weak mixing angle by

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{i}=T_{i}-2 Q_{i} \sin ^{2} \hat{\theta} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

the running of this vector coupling can be obtained from the mixed vacuum self energy diagrams


## Solution to the RGE.

After some lengthy algebra they get the following result for the weak mixing angle

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{s}_{\mu}^{2}=\hat{s}_{\mu_{0}}^{2} \frac{\hat{\alpha}_{\mu}}{\hat{\alpha}_{\mu_{0}}}+\lambda_{1}\left[1-\frac{\hat{\alpha}_{\mu}}{\hat{\alpha}_{\mu_{0}}}\right]+\frac{\hat{\alpha}_{\mu}}{\pi}\left(\frac{\lambda_{2}}{3} \ln \left(\frac{\mu^{2}}{\mu_{0}^{2}}\right)+\frac{3 \lambda_{3}}{4} \ln \frac{\hat{\alpha}_{\mu}}{\hat{\alpha}_{\mu_{0}}}+\bar{\sigma}_{\mu_{0}}-\bar{\sigma}_{\mu}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the lambdas $\lambda$ 's are numerical constants that depend on the number of particles, and

$$
\bar{\sigma}=\lambda_{4} \frac{5}{36} \frac{11-24 \varsigma(3)}{33-2 n_{q}} \frac{{\hat{\alpha_{s}}}^{2}}{\pi}+\frac{\hat{\alpha}_{s}^{3}}{\pi^{3}} \tilde{C}
$$

is the contribution of the OZI diagrams.

## Experimental input .

In the $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ scheme we have the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \hat{\alpha}^{(3)}\left(\mu_{0}\right)=\frac{\alpha}{3 \pi} \int_{4 m_{\pi}^{2}}^{\mu_{0}^{2}} d s \frac{R(s)}{s-i \epsilon}+4 \pi I^{(3)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(s)=\frac{\sigma\left(e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \text { hadrons }\right)}{\sigma\left(e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow \mu^{+} \mu^{-}\right)} \quad \hat{\alpha}(\mu)=\frac{\alpha_{0}}{1+\Delta \alpha_{\text {had }}+\Delta \alpha_{\text {lep }}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$



## Experimental input .

We extended the value of $I^{(3)}$ to include terms of order $\alpha_{s}^{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \pi I^{(3)}=2 \alpha \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \theta \hat{\Pi}\left(\mu^{2} e^{i \theta}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the high energy expansion for $\hat{\Pi}$ and the relation between the pole and running $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ mass we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \pi I^{(3)}=\frac{2 \alpha}{3 \pi}\left[\frac{5}{3}-(0.2201) \frac{\hat{\alpha}_{s}}{\pi}-2.849\left(\frac{\hat{\alpha}_{s}}{\pi}\right)^{2}-13.13\left(\frac{\hat{\alpha}_{s}}{\pi}\right)^{3}\right] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Running of the weak mixing angle
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The separation of strange and first generation quark effects denoted by $\delta_{s} \sin ^{2} \hat{\theta}_{W}(0)$.
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## Threshold masses.

We define the threeshold masses $\bar{m}_{s} \bar{m}_{u} \bar{m}_{d}$, with matching conditions trivial $\alpha_{i}^{+}=\alpha_{i}^{-}$. In the perturbative case

$$
\hat{\Pi}(0, \mu)+\delta \hat{\Pi}(0, \mu)=0
$$

the higher order corrections imply a correction of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{m}^{(3)}=\bar{m}^{(2)}(1+\delta) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where using physical inputs give us for example

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{m}_{c}^{(3)}=1.184 \mathrm{GeV} \quad \delta=0.008 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

but what about the non perturbative case?

Bounds on $\bar{m}_{s}$.

## Bounds on $\bar{m}_{s}$.

We put bounds on the, for example the heavy limit
$\xi_{q}=\frac{2 \bar{m}_{q}}{M_{1 S}} \quad \rightarrow \bar{m}_{s}<\frac{\xi_{c} M_{\phi}}{2}=390 \mathrm{Mev} \quad \Delta_{s} \hat{\alpha}\left(\bar{m}_{c}\right)>6.76 \times 10^{-4}$

## Bounds on $\bar{m}_{s}$.

We put bounds on the, for example the heavy limit
$\xi_{q}=\frac{2 \bar{m}_{q}}{M_{1 S}} \quad \rightarrow \bar{m}_{s}<\frac{\xi_{c} M_{\phi}}{2}=390 \mathrm{Mev} \quad \Delta_{s} \hat{\alpha}\left(\bar{m}_{c}\right)>6.76 \times 10^{-4}$
while the SU(3) limit
$\triangle \hat{\alpha}(\bar{\mu})^{(3)}=\frac{2 \hat{\alpha}^{2}}{\pi}\left[\left(Q_{u}^{2}+Q_{d}^{2}\right) K_{Q C D}^{u} \ln \left(\frac{2 \bar{\mu}}{\xi_{u, d} M_{\omega}}\right)+Q_{s}^{2} K_{Q C D}^{c} \ln \left(\frac{2 \bar{\mu}}{\xi_{s} M_{\phi}}\right)\right]$

## Bounds on $\bar{m}_{s}$.

We put bounds on the, for example the heavy limit
$\xi_{q}=\frac{2 \bar{m}_{q}}{M_{1 S}} \quad \rightarrow \bar{m}_{s}<\frac{\xi_{c} M_{\phi}}{2}=390 \mathrm{Mev} \quad \Delta_{s} \hat{\alpha}\left(\bar{m}_{c}\right)>6.76 \times 10^{-4}$
while the SU(3) limit

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta \hat{\alpha}(\bar{\mu})^{(3)}=\frac{2 \hat{\alpha}^{2}}{\pi}\left[\left(Q_{u}^{2}+Q_{d}^{2}\right) K_{Q C D}^{u} \ln \left(\frac{2 \bar{\mu}}{\xi_{u, d} M_{\omega}}\right)+Q_{s}^{2} K_{Q C D}^{c} \ln \left(\frac{2 \bar{\mu}}{\xi_{s} M_{\phi}}\right)\right]  \tag{12}\\
\quad \xi_{s}>\frac{2 \bar{\mu}}{M_{\omega}^{\frac{5}{6}} M_{\phi}^{\frac{1}{6}}} e^{-\frac{3 \pi}{4} \frac{\Delta \hat{\alpha}(3)}{\alpha K}} \rightarrow \Delta_{s} \hat{\alpha}\left(\bar{m}_{c}\right)<9.4 \times 10^{-4} \tag{13}
\end{gather*}
$$

## The Implications.

Plugging in these results into the equation for the weak mixing angle (the one we obtained using the $\alpha$ RGE) we see that the contribution to the uncertainty given by $\Delta \hat{\alpha}^{(3)}\left(\bar{m}_{c}\right)$ and $\Delta \hat{\alpha}^{(2)}\left(\bar{m}_{c}\right)$ are

$$
\begin{gather*}
\delta_{\alpha} \sin ^{2} \hat{\theta}_{W}(0)< \pm 2.6 \times 10^{-5}  \tag{14}\\
\delta_{s} \sin ^{2} \hat{\theta}_{W}(0) \simeq \pm 4 \times 10^{-5} \tag{15}
\end{gather*}
$$

Using a lattice result (T.Blum 2016)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{O Z I} \hat{s}=7 \times 10^{-6} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for the $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ breaking

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{C V C} \sin ^{2} \hat{\theta}_{W}(0)=_{-7.1}^{+} \times 10^{-6} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Theoretical uncertainty.

Adding the uncertainties in quadrature give us the final theoretical uncertainty

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\text {theory }} \hat{S}^{2}=5 \times 10^{-5} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a central value given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin ^{2} \hat{\theta}_{W}(0)=0.23862 \pm 5 \times 10^{-5} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$
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## Conclusions.

We included corrections to next order for the expressions in the formalism.

Included results from new data.
Used lattice results to improve OZI uncertainty.
Uncertainty is $5 \times 10^{-5}$.
Upcoming ... use of $\tau$ decay to constraint more $\Delta_{s} \hat{\alpha}\left(\bar{m}_{c}\right)$.

