### Santiago M Domínguez Hernández and Jaime Besprosvany Fridzon Instituto de Física, UNAM XXXI Reunión Anual de la Divisón de Partículas y Campos de la SMF CINVESTAV

Mayo 2017

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

L Index

## Index

### 1 The two-Higgs doublet model (2HDM)

- 1 Benefits
- 2 The Yukawa sector in the 2HDM
- 3 Flavor-changing neutral currents (FCNC) in the 2HDM

- 2 The chiral two-Higgs doublet model
  - 1 Definitions
  - 2 How it solves the problem of FCNC at tree level.
  - **3** The Type I 2HDM and the chiral 2HDM.
- 3 Main points

└─ The two-Higgs doublet models

## The two-Higgs model and benefits

#### Benefits of having a second Higgs doublet

- The scalar sector of the 2HDM can provide a solution to the CP problem.
- The inert model, one kind of 2HDM, could be a candidate to explain dark matter.
- It could explain the fermion mass spectrum, which is related to the 2HDM Yukawa couplings between the Higgs field and the fermions.

└─ The two-Higgs doublet models

### The two-Higgs doublet model elements



└─ The two-Higgs doublet models

### The Yukawa sector in 2HDM

#### The Yukawa sector of quarks in the 2HDM

There is no reason to expect that both Yukawa couplings  $Y_{ij}^{d(1)}$ ,  $Y_{ij}^{d(2)}$  or  $Y_{ij}^{u(1)}$ ,  $Y_{ij}^{u(2)}$  are diagonal in the same basis.

$$\mathcal{L}_{Yukawa_{2HDM}} = Y_{ij}^{d(1)} \bar{Q}'_{Li} \Phi_1 d'_{Rj} + Y_{ij}^{d(2)} \bar{Q}'_{Li} \Phi_2 d'_{Rj} + Y_{ij}^{u(1)} \bar{Q}'_{Li} \tilde{\Phi}_1 u'_{Rj} + Y_{ij}^{u(2)} \bar{Q}'_{Li} \tilde{\Phi}_2 u'_{Rj}$$
(3)

└─ The two-Higgs doublet models

# Flavor-changing neutral currents (FCNC) in the 2HDM



This will induce FCNC at tree level via the interchange of a neutral bosons

Feynman diagram at tree level flavor processes in a 2HDM

(4)

The two-Higgs doublet models

# Flavor-changing neutral currents (FCNC) in the 2HDM

#### How to suppress the FCNC?

- The problem can be avoided by forcing one of the matrices to be zero.
- Type I 2HDM and Type II 2HDM contain a discrete symmetry that suppress and thus avoid FCNC, however, they are artificial.

└─ The two-Higgs doublet models

## Flavor-changing neutral currents (FCNC) in the 2HDM

#### How to suppress the FCNC?

For example, we use the type I 2HDM, and we obtain:

$$\mathcal{L}_{Yukawa_{2HDM_{TypeI}}} = \frac{Y_{ij}^{d(1)} \bar{Q}'_{Li} \Phi_1 d'_{Rj} + Y_{ij}^{d(2)} \bar{Q}'_{Li} \Phi_2 d'_{Rj}}{+ Y_{ij}^{u(1)} \bar{Q}'_{Li} \tilde{\Phi}_1 u'_{Rj} + Y_{ij}^{u(2)} \bar{Q}'_{Li} \tilde{\Phi}_2 u'_{Rj}}$$
(5)  
$$\mathcal{L}_{Yukawa_{2HDM_{TypeI}}} = \underbrace{Y_{ij}^{d(2)} \bar{Q}'_{Li} \Phi_2 d'_{Rj} + Y_{ij}^{u(2)} \bar{Q}'_{Li} \tilde{\Phi}_2 u'_{Rj}}_{(6)}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ □臣 = ∽○へ⊙

└─ The chiral two-Higgs doublet model

### The chiral two-Higgs doublet model

#### Features

It has the following representation:

$$\gamma^{0}\Phi_{1} \to \gamma_{R}\gamma^{0}\Phi_{1}\gamma_{L}, \quad \gamma_{R,L} = \frac{1}{2}(1\pm\gamma^{5}),$$
  
$$\gamma^{0}\Phi_{2} \to \gamma_{L}\gamma^{0}\Phi_{2}\gamma_{R},$$
 (7)

and

$$\gamma^{0}\tilde{\Phi}_{1} \to \gamma_{R}\gamma^{0}\tilde{\Phi}_{1}\gamma_{L},$$
  

$$\gamma^{0}\tilde{\Phi}_{2} \to \gamma_{L}\gamma^{0}\tilde{\Phi}_{2}\gamma_{R}$$
(8)

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

└─ The chiral two-Higgs doublet model

## The chiral two-Higgs doublet model

#### Where does it come from?

 This chiral type of 2HDM emerges naturally in the (7+1)-d spin basis, of the spin extended model.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

└─ The chiral two-Higgs doublet model

### The chiral two-Higgs doublet model

#### How does it help with the problem of FCNC?

Introducing eq(6) and eq(7) into the  $\mathcal{L}_{Yukawa_{2HDM}}$ , we get

$$\mathcal{L}_{Yukawa_{2HDM_{Chiral}}} = \frac{Y_{ij}^{d(1)}Q_{Li}^{\dagger\prime}\gamma_{R}\gamma^{0}\Phi_{1}\gamma_{L}d_{Rj}^{\prime} + Y_{ij}^{d(2)}Q_{Li}^{\dagger\prime}\gamma_{L}\gamma^{0}\Phi_{2}\gamma_{R}d_{Rj}^{\prime}}{+Y_{ij}^{u(1)}Q_{Li}^{\dagger\prime}\gamma_{R}\gamma^{0}\tilde{\Phi}_{1}\gamma_{L}u_{Rj}^{\prime} + Y_{ij}^{u(2)}Q_{Li}^{\dagger\prime}\gamma_{L}\gamma^{0}\tilde{\Phi}_{2}\gamma_{R}u_{Rj}^{\prime}}$$
(9)

・ロト・西ト・ヨト・ヨー シック

└─ The chiral two-Higgs doublet model

## The chiral two-Higgs doublet model

#### How does it help with the problem of FCNC?

Finally we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}_{Yukawa_{2HDM_{Chiral}}} = Y_{ij}^{d(2)} \bar{Q}'_{Li} \Phi_2 d'_{Rj} + Y_{ij}^{u(2)} \bar{Q}'_{Li} \tilde{\Phi}_2 u'_{Rj}$$
(10)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

└─ The chiral two-Higgs doublet model

## The Type I 2HDM and the chiral 2HDM

#### Comparing both models

We see that

$$\mathcal{L}_{Yukawa_{2HDM_{TypeI}}} = Y_{ij}^{d(1)} \bar{Q}'_{Li} \Phi_1 d'_{Rj} + Y_{ij}^{u(1)} \bar{Q}'_{Li} \tilde{\Phi}_1 u'_{Rj}.$$
(11)  
$$\mathcal{L}_{Yukawa_{2HDM_{Chiral}}} = Y_{ij}^{d(1)} \bar{Q}'_{Li} \Phi_1 d'_{Rj} + Y_{ij}^{u(1)} \bar{Q}'_{Li} \tilde{\Phi}_1 u'_{Rj}$$
(12)

└─ The chiral two-Higgs doublet model

### Main points

#### Main points

- The 2HDM is useful, as for solving the CP problem.
- However, it generates FCNC at tree level.
- The chiral 2HDM presented is a type I model, and thus avoids them.

 This type chiral model is predicted by the Spin Extended Model.

└─ The chiral two-Higgs doublet model

### Main points

**Gracias Amigous** 



└─ The chiral two-Higgs doublet model