Four Channel Mini Wire Chamber to Study Cosmic Rays

J. Felix^{1,*}, G. J. Rodriguez^{1,**}

¹Laboratorio de Partículas Elementales. División de Ciencias e Ingenierías, Campus León, Universidad de Guanajuato

Abstract. Multiwire proportional chamber is a conventional technique to study radiation in general, and cosmic rays in particular. To study cosmic rays, it was planned, designed, constructed, characterized, and tested a four channel mini wire chamber, based on two 3 cm \times 3 cm \times 0.6 cm Aluminum frames, two 3 cm \times 3 cm \times 0.6 cm plastic frames, two 3 cm \times 3 cm \times 0.3 cm Aluminum frames, two electronic planes each with two Tungsten Gold plated 1 mil diameter wires, parallel and 1 cm apart each other at 25 g stretched -each plane was 90° rotated each other in the final assemble- and two Aluminum foil window to define the gas volume; it was operated with Argon 90%-CH4 10% gas mixture at 1 atmosphere and ambient temperature (20°C in the average). It is presented technical details, results on characterization, and preliminary results on cosmic rays detection.

1 Introduction

To detect cosmic rays, and radiation in general, there are multiwire proportional chambers, parallel plate chambers, resistive plate chambers, etc. used in high energy physics, nuclear physic, etc. to detect particles or radiation in general [1] with applications in medicine, archeology, biology, planetary science, integrated-circuit quality control, geology, environmental science, volcanology, climatology, security, and many other applications. All of them are based on especial gas systems and applied high voltages [2-18].

Here in Mexico, Universidad de Guanajuato, Guanajuato, there is the Laboratorio de Partículas Elementales, División de Ciencias e Ingenierías (DCeI), Campus León (CL), Universidad de Guanajuato (UGTO) a small facility to design, construct, test, and run small ionizing particle detectors, specially, cosmic ray detectors. See Figure 1. http://laboratoriodeparticulaselementales.blogspot.mx/.

There are many projects. One of them is related with design construction and operation of a four channel mini wire chamber to study very fast cosmic ray signals and apply cosmic rays to a broad technological areas –oil and mineral exploration, etc.- and basic science research –biology, particle physics, etc.-. But the applications are, and overall, for research, for teaching and for learning physics.

These series of experiences could lead to propose a big cosmic ray detector of about 40 000 detection channels, with particle trajectory reconstruction and particle identification capabilities to study very fast cosmic ray signals.

^{*}e-mail: felix@fisica.ugto.mx

^{**}e-mail: rodriguezbg2012@licifug.ugto.mx



Figure 1. Different views of Laboratorio de Partículas Elementales, DCeI. CL. Universidad de Guanajuato. Left, construction area; right, electronic testing area.

2 Design and construction

A layout of the 4 channel mini wire chamber is in Figure 2, left, performed with Sketchup (*https* : //www.sketchup.com/). This detector is based on two homemade electronic boards, Figure 2, right.

It contains the basic electric and electronic circuitries to operate, readout, and transmit the digital information. It is intended to detect ionizing radiation in general and cosmic rays in particular. It is our own invention, design and construction.



Figure 2. Left, layout of the planned mini four wire chamber. Main components –electronic boards and Aluminum frames- are shown. Right, electronic boards; the basic electronic circuit is shown.

The detector electronic board is 4 cm × 11.5 cm × 1 mm, with a 3 cm × 3 cm square hollow, as is shown in Figure 2; with two channels each, based on Tungsten 2.54×10^{-3} cm in diameter, gold-plated, from California Fine Wires (*http* : //www.calfinewire.com/index.html), separated each other by one cm, stretched by 25 g, and soldered to the electronic board. Each board has the HV connector and the RC circuit, see Figure 3, left.The detection regions are defined by the crossing wires, R_{ij} , i, j = 1, 2, 3, see Figure 3, right.

Each electronic board was placed 90° each other, with one above and other below rubber 4 cm \times 4 cm \times 0.6 cm square, with a symmetrical 3 cm \times 3 cm square hollow. Figure 4, left. This system was supported by two 4 cm \times 4 cm \times 0.6 cm Aluminum frames with a symmetrical 3 cm \times 3 cm square hollow, one for below and one for above, and closed with two tops, one above and one below. Figure 4, right.



Figure 3. Left, the basic electronic circuit to readout the electric signal leaved by the passage of the ionizing particle. Right in this draw, A1, A2, B1, B2 represent the four channels. With A1 and A2 are represented one electronic board; with B1, B2 are represented the other electronic board. The 9 detection regions (R_{ij} ; *i*, *j* = 1, 2, 3) are shown.

The completed system was assembled by 4 screws $\frac{1}{8}$ inch diameter. A gas chamber was formed with this stack, with one $\frac{1}{8}$ in input plastic elbow pipe and $\frac{1}{8}$ in output plastic elbow pipe, to circulate, take in and take out Ar90%+CH410% commercial gas. See Figure 5, left. The complete four channel mini wire chamber is in Figure 5, right.

The flow of gas was regulated by a glass bubbler constructed for this purpose and operated with mineral cosmetic oil. See Figure 6, left. The analogical signal was digitalized by an additional electronic board designed and constructed for this purpose, see Figure 6, right.

The whole system was assembled and supported by an Aluminum base to connect electrically the four channels and readout the analogical signals, and attach the digital circuit. See Figure 7, left. Final assembling is in Figure 7, right. Many details can be seen, especially the cross disposition or the two electronic boards, 90° one with respect to the other, the gas pipes.



Figure 4. Left, rubber square seals. Right, Aluminum detector top and Aluminum frame.

3 Experimental Setup

The four wire channel detector, the digitalizing electronic board, the high voltage power supplier, the gas system –gas bubbler and gas container-, and one oscilloscope, or the data acquisition system were assembled to operate together. See Figures 8-10.



Figure 5. Left, mini four wire chamber, basic elements are shown, specially the plastic sealers. Right, completed assembled.



Figure 6. Left, home made gas bubbler operated with cosmetic oil. Right four channel digitizer electronic circuit.



Figure 7. Left, this is the base for the mini four channel chamber and its electronic boards. Right, home made mini four wire chamber is shown; many details can be seen.

The four wire channel detector, the digitalizing electronic board, and the gas bubbler were home made. High voltage power supplier is a KEITHEY 2290-2 5 kV or a Home made or a POWER DESIGNS INC. Palo Alto California Model 1570; the oscilloscope is a Tektronics TDS 1001 C-



Figure 8. One experimental setup. Power suppliers, multimeter, and oscilloscope. Displays on the oscilloscopes are seen.



Figure 9. Another experimental setup.



Figure 10. Another experimental setup. Many details are shown.



Figure 11. Data Acquisition system. Compact RIO from National Instruments surrounded by other instruments.

EDU, or a GW INSTEK GDS-3354; and the data acquisition system is a 32 channel Compact Rio from National Instruments (*http://www.ni.com/compactrio/*). Figure 11.

The applied high voltage was between 1900 V cd-2900 V dc, for both positive and negative voltages. The gas pressure was about 3 cm of oil above atmospheric pressure. The temperature is about 20° C. The conditions were not ideal. Some contaminants are present like the following: dust, water vapor, temperature fluctuations and electric noise.

4 Characterization

The four wire chamber was purged and cleaned by running Ar90%-CH410% gas, for ten hours, at 3 cm of oil above the normal atmospheric pressure. After that, the electrical tests and detections test were performed.

The four wire chamber detector was operated between 0.00 Vcd-2900 Vcd, for both, negative and positive voltages. No signals were detected between 0.0 V cd and ± 1800 V cd. Signals, probably produced by cosmic rays, are detected on the four channels, starting at close ± 1800 V cd. Each channel operates at different voltages and at different paces. See Figure 12 and Figure 13, left.

Digital signals, with analogical signals, are at Figure 13, right. These signals are used to count the cosmic particles that hit the detector.

Also the input signal was simulated with an oscillator, to study the efficiency of the detector, electronic damping, the possible generation of cross talk signals, and the efficiency of the discriminator. Exponential decay, and exponential crescent, signals were injected to the four wire channel detector using a Tektronic oscillator, with frequencies between 0 Hz and 1 MHz, 1 Vpp. The detector was operated at 0.0 Vcd, during these tests. The four wire channel detector works properly with negative and positive signals; both, digital and analogical signal electronic circuits are 100 % efficient, at least inside the frequencies where it was operated, and work properly. The electronic noise is of the order or 20 mVpp. It is from the ambient, and from the power suppliers. The discriminator trigger was fixed at 3 times the noise level, about 60 mV cd. Attenuation factor is about 50 %, the output signal is about one half of the input signal, from 1 Hz up to 1 MHz. Cross talk signals were observed; they were eliminated with a 100 Ohm electrical resistor at the input, in series with the metallic fiber detector.



Figure 12. Left, signals obtained with positive high voltage. Right, signals with negative high voltage. They are slower compared with those from positive high voltage.

5 Results

The amplitudes of signals are of the order of 300 mV, but are variables; they are related with the energy cosmic particles deposit on the gas chamber. The average amplitude depends on the applied high voltage close to linearly, for both negative and positive voltages. The observed rise time is about



Figure 13. Left, other display of signals from cosmic rays. Right, analogical and digital signal display.



Figure 14. Left, pulse from the mini four channel chamber compared with right pulse from Z. Ahmed et al, IOP Publishing Ltd and Sissa Medialab srl. Doi: 10.1088/1748-0221/9/01/P01009.

5 ns; the decay time, about 20 ns. See Figure 14. There is a comparison with signal from reference [19]. It is seen that this four wire chamber is faster than others, which makes it very convenient to study fast signals.

The frequency of the number of counts versus the number of counts appears in Figure 15 for channels 1, 2 3, 4, respectively. In the semilog plot these distributions are roughly linear, with negative slope. The average characteristics are statistically equivalent.

6 Conclusions

The four wire chamber detector works properly, in spite of the no ideal conditions were it was constructed and operated. Amplitude signals of the order of 300 mV were acquired. No electronic amplifiers were required. It is a fast detector: rising time 5 ns; falling time 20 ns. It is good for Time of Flight studies. The digitalizing electronic board works fine. It is possible to count events produced by the detector from cosmic particles. Much more studies are needed, like efficiency, analogical signal amplitude dependence on detector high voltage, time resolution, space resolution, calibration, electronic attenuation, detector alignment, data acquisition system, triggering. Big detectors are made assembling and synchronizing hundreds, even millions, of small detectors, to collect and combine information from each one.



Figure 15. Frequency of counts Vs number of counts for each four mini wirechamber channel.

7 Acknowledgements

CONACYT grant CONACYT, Fondo I0017 Fondo SEP - CONACYT Solicitud 00000000223179. The mole of students which participates in these projects. Luis J. Arceo, Miguel A. Hernández, Diego A. Andrade, María L. Rodríguez, Everardo Granados, Oscar E. Moreno, Karla N. Herrera, Raúl A. Gutiérrez, Francisco J. Rosas, Alfredo Martínez, Carlos A. Cervantes.

References

- [1] H. Stelzer, Nucl. Inst. And Meth. 133,499-413 (1976).
- [2] D. V. Harrach and H. J. Specht, Nuclear Instruments and Methods 164 (1979) 477-490.
- [3] R. Santonico and R. Cardarelli, Nuclear Instruments and Methods 187 (1981) 377-380.
- [4] I. Crotty, et al, Nucl. Inst. and Methods in Physics Research A329 (1993) 133-139.
- [5] R. Cardarelli, A. Di Ciaccio and R. Santonico, Nucl. Inst. and Meth. in Phy. Res. A 333 (1993) 399-403.
- [6] C. Bacci et al, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research A 352 (1995) 552-556.
- [7] R. Cardarelli, V. Makeev, R. Santonico, Nucl. Inst. and Meth. in Phys. Res. A 382 (1996) 470-474.
- [8] Frank Hartmann, Archana Sharma, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. in Phys. Res. A666 (2012) 1-9.
- [9] M. Abbrescia et al, Nuclear Physics B (Proc. Suppl.) 44 (1995) 218-221.
- [10] YE Yanlin et al, SCIENCE IN CHINA (Series A) Vol. 45 No. 11. November 2002.

- [11] G. Charpak, F. Sauli, CERN-EP/84-35 (1984).
- [12] D.F. Anderson, S. Kwan and V. Peskov, FERMILAB-Conf-93215/215.
- [13] E. Bossini et al, IL NUOVO CIMENTO 39 C (2016) 294. DOI 10.1393/ncc/i2016-16294-9, Communications: SIF Congress 2015.
- [14] P. Paolucci et al, Published By IOP Publishing For Sissa Medialab, Special Issue On Resistive Plate Chambers And Related Detectors Rpc2012 (2013).
- [15] M. Morales et al, Published By IOP Publishing For Sissa Medialab, Special Issue On Resistive Plate Chambers And Related Detectors Rpc2012 (2013).
- [16] P. Fonte, Published By IOP Publishing For Sissa Medialab, Special Issue On Resistive Plate Chambers And Related Detectors Rpc2012 (2013).
- [17] R. Iuppa, Published By IOP Publishing For Sissa Medialab, Special Issue On Resistive Plate Chambers And Related Detectors Rpc2012 (2013).
- [18] M. Widgoff et al, GEM TN-92-206 Rev. A. (1992).
- [19] Z. Ahmed et al, IOP Publishing Ltd and Sissa Medialab srl. Doi: 10.1088/1748-0221/9/01/P01009.