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start with something else: exclusive VM production in UPC@LHC
[Bautista, Ferandez-Tellez, MH; 1607.05203]


- measured at HERA (ep) and LHC ( $p p$, ultra-peripheral $p P b$ )
- charm and bottom mass provide hard scale $\rightarrow$ pQCD
- exclusive process, but allows to relate to inclusive gluon
reach values down to $x=4 \times 10^{-6} \rightarrow$ (unique ?) opportunity to explore the low $x$ gluon
in particular: test low $x$ evolution and look for possible onset of saturation

- gluon grows like a power at low $x$
- at some xo: saturation/high density will set in $\rightarrow$ slow down the growth
- when will it happen? do we reach this region already in UPCs@LHC? is it already there

[Bautista, Fernandez-Tellez, MH; 1607.05203]

observation: both non-linear saturation models \& linear NLO BFKL describe data; 2 potential explanations:
a) saturation still far away
b) BFKL can mimic effects in "transition region" $\rightarrow$ both connected!

$$
2 \int d^{2} \boldsymbol{b} \mathcal{N}(x, r, b)=\frac{4 \pi}{N_{c}} \int \frac{d^{2} \boldsymbol{k}}{\boldsymbol{k}^{2}}\left(1-e^{i \boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{r}}\right) \alpha_{s} G\left(x, \boldsymbol{k}^{2}\right) .
$$

dipole amplitude/includes saturation
BFKL unintegrated gluon
evolution differs (presence or absence of nonlinear terms),
.... but essentially same object
technical reason:

- interaction of a single quark line with infinitely many gluons is somehow equivalent to the interaction with a single high energy gluon ("reggeization") [Bartels, Wüsthoff, Z.Phys. C66 (1995) 157-180], others ...
- Color Glass Condensate formalism: interaction collected into a single Wilson line $\rightarrow$ one effective vertex

- to manifest non-linear effects, need to evolve over (relatively large) regions of phase space
- BFKL:

$$
\partial_{\ln 1 / x} G(x, k)=K \otimes G
$$

- BK:
not clear how fast
the non-linear term becomes relevant
- an alternative: observables which reveal nonlinear effects without evolution

Observable ~ $\quad G+\# G^{2}+\# G^{4}+\ldots$
a possibility: observables which depend on the quadrupole

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{N}^{(4)}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2}, \boldsymbol{x}_{3}, \boldsymbol{x}_{4}\right)=\frac{1}{N_{c}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(1-V\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}\right) V^{\dagger}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{2}\right) V\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{3}\right) V^{\dagger}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{4}\right)\right) \\
& \sim G+\# G^{2}+\# G^{4}+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

(= 4 gluon exchange doesn't reduce to effective 2 gluon exchange on Xsec. level)

$$
\mathcal{N}(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{b})=\frac{1}{N_{c}} \operatorname{Tr}\left(1-V(\boldsymbol{x}) V^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{y})\right)
$$

contains also 4 gluon exchange, but gathered in 2 Wilson lines

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V(\boldsymbol{z}) \equiv V_{i j}(\boldsymbol{z}) \equiv \operatorname{Pexp} i g \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d x^{+} A^{-, c}\left(x^{+}, \boldsymbol{z}\right) t^{c} \\
& U(\boldsymbol{z}) \equiv U^{a b}(\boldsymbol{z}) \equiv \operatorname{Pexp} i g \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d x^{+} A^{-, c}\left(x^{+}, \boldsymbol{z}\right) T^{c}
\end{aligned}
$$

## well known example where this happens:

## production of 2 partons in DIS

[Dominguez, Marquet, Xiao, Yuan; 1101.0715]
believe: worthwhile to go a step beyond ( $\rightarrow$ extra constrains on so far little studied quadrupole)
this project: calculate
a) inclusive 3-parton production at LO (real part of NLO corrections to di-partons)
b) question: how to organise calculation in effective way; develop techniques for complex calculation?
c) related calculation for diffraction (includes already virtual)
[Boussarie, Grabovsky, Szymanowski, Wallon; 1405.7676, 1606.00419]

## Theory: Propagators in background field

use light-cone gauge, with $\mathrm{k}^{-}=\mathrm{n}^{+} \cdot \mathrm{k},\left(\mathrm{n}^{+}\right)^{2}=0, \mathrm{n}^{+} \sim$ target momentum

[Balitsky, Belitsky; NPB 629 (2002) 290], [Ayala, Jalilian-Marian, McLerran, Venugopalan, PRD 52 (1995) 2935-2943], ...
interaction with the background field:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V(\boldsymbol{z}) \equiv V_{i j}(\boldsymbol{z}) \equiv \mathrm{P} \exp i g \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d x^{+} A^{-, c}\left(x^{+}, \boldsymbol{z}\right) t^{c} \\
& U(\boldsymbol{z}) \equiv U^{a b}(\boldsymbol{z}) \equiv \mathrm{P} \exp i g \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d x^{+} A^{-, c}\left(x^{+}, \boldsymbol{z}\right) T^{c}
\end{aligned}
$$

strong background field resummed into path ordered
$\xrightarrow{p} \rightarrow{ }^{q}=\tau_{F, i j}(p, q)=2 \pi \delta\left(p^{+}-q^{+}\right) \not 凤$ exponentials (Wilson lines)
$\times \int d^{2} \boldsymbol{z} e^{i \boldsymbol{z} \cdot(\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{q})}\left\{\theta\left(p^{+}\right)\left[V_{i j}(\boldsymbol{z})-1_{i j}\right]-\theta\left(-p^{+}\right)\left[V_{i j}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{z})-1_{i j}\right]\right\}$

$$
A^{-}\left(x^{+}, x_{t}\right)=\delta\left(x^{+}\right) \alpha\left(x_{t}\right)
$$



$$
=\tau_{G}^{a b}(p, q)=2 \pi \delta\left(p^{+}-q^{+}\right)\left(-2 p^{+}\right)
$$

$$
\times \int d^{2} \boldsymbol{z} e^{i \boldsymbol{z} \cdot(\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{q})}\left\{\theta\left(p^{+}\right)\left[U^{a b}(\boldsymbol{z})-1\right]-\theta\left(-p^{+}\right)\left[\left(U^{a b}\right)^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{z})-1\right]\right\}
$$

# momentum vs. configuration space 

| conventional |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| pQCD |
| (use known techniques) |$\quad$| inclusion of finite |
| :---: |
| masses |
| (charm mass!) | | intuition: |
| :---: |
| interaction at t=0 |
| with Lorentz |
| contracted target |

our approach:
work in momentum space + exploit configuration space to set a large fraction of all diagrams to zero

## How to do that?

## Essentially: re-install configuration space rules at the level of a single diagram

essential results: can use configuration space simplification also for momentum space calculations

## Result: New effective rules for momentum space

A. Determine zero light-cone time cuts of a given diagram
B. Place new vertices at these cuts

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \xrightarrow{p}=\bar{\tau}_{F, i j}(p, q)=2 \pi \delta\left(p^{+}-q^{+}\right) \cdot \not \hbar \\
& \cdot \int d^{2} \boldsymbol{z} e^{i \boldsymbol{z} \cdot(\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{q})}\left\{\theta\left(p^{+}\right) V_{i j}(\boldsymbol{z})-\theta\left(-p^{+}\right) V_{i j}^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{z})\right\} \\
& \underset{\sim 000}{p}=\bar{\tau}_{G}^{a b}(p, q)=2 \pi \delta\left(p^{+}-q^{+}\right) \cdot\left(-2 p^{+}\right) \\
& \cdot \int d^{2} \boldsymbol{z} e^{i \boldsymbol{z} \cdot(\boldsymbol{p}-\boldsymbol{q})}\left\{\theta\left(p^{+}\right) U^{a b}(\boldsymbol{z})-\theta\left(-p^{+}\right)\left(U^{a b}\right)^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{z})\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

verified by explicit calculation for tree level diagrams; in general also extendable to loop diagrams ...

## First result: minimal set of amplitudes

(nothing new if you're used to work in coordinate space, momentum space: reduction by factor of 4)





What do we win with new momentum space rules?
can use techniques explored in (conventional)
Feynman diagram calculations
\$ loop integrals (d-dimensional, covariant) $\rightarrow$ won't talk about this today .... in general: complication due to Fourier factors remain
spinor helicity techniques (calculate amplitudes not Xsec. + exploit helicity conservation in massless QCD) $\rightarrow$ compact expressions $\rightarrow$ for a different application to h.e.f. see [van Hameren, Kotko, Kutak, 1211.0961])

## Spinor-helicity formalism

see e.g. [Mangano, Parke; Phys. Rept. 200, 301 (1991)] ,[Dixon; hep-ph/9601359]
central idea: express both external spinors \& polarisation vectors in terms of spinors of massless momenta of definite helicity

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|i^{ \pm}\right\rangle \equiv\left|k_{i}^{ \pm}\right\rangle \equiv u_{ \pm}\left(k_{i}\right)=v_{\mp}\left(k_{i}\right) \quad \left\lvert\, \bar{u}_{ \pm}(k)=\bar{u}(k) \frac{1 \mp \gamma_{5}}{2}\right. \bar{v}_{ \pm}(k)=\bar{v}(k) \\
&\left\langle i^{ \pm}\right| \equiv\left\langle k_{i}^{ \pm}\right| \equiv \bar{u}_{ \pm}\left(k_{i}\right)=\bar{v}_{\mp}\left(k_{i}\right) \epsilon_{\mu}^{(\lambda=+)}(k, n) \equiv+\frac{\left\langle k^{+}\right| \gamma_{\mu}\left|n^{+}\right\rangle}{\sqrt{2}\left\langle n^{-} \mid k^{+}\right\rangle}=\left(\epsilon_{\mu}^{(\lambda=-)}(k, n)\right)^{*} \\
& \epsilon_{\mu}^{(\lambda=-)}(k, n) \equiv-\frac{\left\langle k^{-}\right| \gamma_{\mu}\left|n^{-}\right\rangle}{\sqrt{2}\left\langle n^{+} \mid k^{-}\right\rangle}=\left(\epsilon_{\mu}^{(\lambda=+)}(k, n)\right)^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

... and make heavy use of various IDs
$\rightarrow$ many cancelations already at amplitude level

## A reminder from before we realised that ...

## Dirac traces from Computer Algebra Codes

- possible to express elements of Dirac trace in terms of scalar, vector and rank 2 tensor integrals
- Evaluation requires use of computer algebra codes; use 2 implementations: FORM [Vermaseren, math-ph/0010025] \& Mathematica packages FeynCalc and FormLink
result (3 partons) as coefficients of "basis"-functions $f_{(a)}$ and $h_{(a, b)}$; result lengthy ( $\sim 100 \mathrm{kB}$ ), but manageable
- currently working on further simpimication through integration by parts relation between basis function (work in progress)


## the large Nc result

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d \sigma^{T, L}}{d^{2} \boldsymbol{p} d^{2} \boldsymbol{k} d^{2} \boldsymbol{q} d z_{1} d z_{2}}=\frac{\alpha_{s} \alpha_{e m} e_{f}^{2} N_{c}^{2}}{z_{1} z_{2} z_{3}(2 \pi)^{2}} \prod_{i=1}^{3} \prod_{j=1}^{3} \int \frac{d^{2} \boldsymbol{x}_{i}}{(2 \pi)^{2}} \int \frac{d^{2} \boldsymbol{x}_{j}^{\prime}}{(2 \pi)^{2}} e^{i \boldsymbol{p}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}-\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{\prime}\right)+i \boldsymbol{q}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{2}-\boldsymbol{x}_{2}^{\prime}\right)+i \boldsymbol{k}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{3}-\boldsymbol{x}_{3}^{\prime}\right)} \\
& \left\langle( 2 \pi ) ^ { 4 } \left[\left(\delta^{(2)}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{13}\right) \delta^{(2)}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1^{\prime} 3^{\prime}}\right) \sum_{h, g} \psi_{1 ; h, g}^{T, L}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{12}\right) \psi_{1^{\prime} ; h, g}^{T, L, *}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1^{\prime} 2^{\prime}}\right)+\left\{1,1^{\prime}\right\} \leftrightarrow\left\{2,2^{\prime}\right\}\right) N^{(4)}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left(\delta^{(2)}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{23}\right) \delta^{(2)}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1^{\prime} 3^{\prime}}\right) \sum_{h, g} \psi_{2 ; h, g}^{T, L}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{12}\right) \psi_{1^{\prime} ; h, g}^{T, L, *}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1^{\prime} 2^{\prime}}\right)+\left\{1,1^{\prime}\right\} \leftrightarrow\left\{2,2^{\prime}\right\}\right) N^{(22)}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{\prime} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{2}^{\prime}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2}\right)\right] \\
& +(2 \pi)^{2}\left[\delta^{(2)}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{13}\right) \sum_{h, g} \psi_{1 ; h, g}^{T, L}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{12}\right) \psi_{3^{\prime} ; h, g}^{T, L, *}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1^{\prime} 3^{\prime}}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2^{\prime} 3^{\prime}}\right) N^{(24)}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{3^{\prime}}, \boldsymbol{x}_{1^{\prime} \mid} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{2^{\prime},-2}, \boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{3^{\prime}}\right)+\{1\} \leftrightarrow\{2\}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\delta^{(2)}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1^{\prime} 3^{\prime}}\right) \sum_{h, g} \psi_{3 ; h, g}^{T, L}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{13}, \boldsymbol{x}_{23}\right) \psi_{1^{\prime} ; h, g}^{T, L, *}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1^{\prime} 2^{\prime}}\right) N^{(24)}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{3} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{2^{\prime}}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2}, \boldsymbol{x}_{3}, \boldsymbol{x}_{1^{\prime}}\right)+\left\{1^{\prime}\right\} \leftrightarrow\left\{2^{\prime}\right\}\right] \\
& \left.+\sum_{h, g} \psi_{3 ; h, g}^{T, L}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{13}, \boldsymbol{x}_{23}\right) \psi_{3^{\prime} ; h, g}^{T, L, *}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1^{\prime} 3^{\prime}}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2^{\prime} 3^{\prime}}\right) N^{(44)}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{1^{\prime}}, \boldsymbol{x}_{3^{\prime}}, \boldsymbol{x}_{3} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{3}, \boldsymbol{x}_{3^{\prime}}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2^{\prime}}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2}\right)\right\rangle_{A-}
\end{aligned}
$$

## in terms of correlators of Wilson lines \& wave functions

## the details: correlators of Wilson lines

- written in terms of dipoles and quadrupoles
$S_{\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1} \boldsymbol{x}_{2}\right)}^{(2)} \equiv \frac{1}{N_{c}} \operatorname{tr}\left[V\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}\right) V^{\dagger}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{2}\right)\right]$
$S_{\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1} \boldsymbol{x}_{2} \boldsymbol{x}_{3} \boldsymbol{x}_{4}\right)}^{(4)} \equiv \frac{1}{N_{c}} \operatorname{tr}\left[V\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}\right) V^{\dagger}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{2}\right) V\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{3}\right) V^{\dagger}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{4}\right)\right]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N^{(4)}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2}, \boldsymbol{x}_{3}, \boldsymbol{x}_{4}\right) \equiv \\
& \quad \equiv 1+S_{\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1} \boldsymbol{x}_{2} \boldsymbol{x}_{3} \boldsymbol{x}_{4}\right)}^{(4)}-S_{\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1} \boldsymbol{x}_{2}\right)}^{(2)}-S_{\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{3} \boldsymbol{x}_{4}\right)}^{(2)} \\
& N^{(22)}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{3}, \boldsymbol{x}_{4}\right) \equiv \\
& \quad \equiv\left[S_{\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1} \boldsymbol{x}_{2}\right)}^{(2)}-1\right]\left[S_{\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{3} \boldsymbol{x}_{4}\right)}^{(2)}-1\right] \\
& N^{(24)}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{3}, \boldsymbol{x}_{4}, \boldsymbol{x}_{5}, \boldsymbol{x}_{6}\right) \equiv \\
& 1+S_{\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1} \boldsymbol{x}_{2}\right)}^{(2)} S_{\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{3} \boldsymbol{x}_{4} \boldsymbol{x}_{5} \boldsymbol{x}_{6}\right)}^{(4)} \\
& \quad-S_{\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1} \boldsymbol{x}_{2}\right)}^{(2)} S_{\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{3} \boldsymbol{x}_{6}\right)}^{(2)}-S_{\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{4} \boldsymbol{x}_{5}\right)}^{(2)} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
N^{(44)}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2}, \boldsymbol{x}_{3}, \boldsymbol{x}_{4} \mid \boldsymbol{x}_{5}, \boldsymbol{x}_{6}, \boldsymbol{x}_{7}, \boldsymbol{x}_{8}\right) \equiv \\
\equiv 1+S_{\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1} \boldsymbol{x}_{2} \boldsymbol{x}_{3} \boldsymbol{x}_{4}\right)}^{(4)} S_{\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{5} \boldsymbol{x}_{6} \boldsymbol{x}_{7} \boldsymbol{x}_{8}\right)}^{(4)} \\
\quad-S_{\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1} \boldsymbol{x}_{4}\right)}^{(2)} S_{\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{5} \boldsymbol{x}_{8}\right)}^{(2)}-S_{\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{2} \boldsymbol{x}_{3}\right)}^{(2)} S_{\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{6} \boldsymbol{x}_{7}\right)}^{(2)}
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$ quadratic

$\rightarrow$ extra handle to explore it wrt. 2 partons

## the details: wave functions \& amplitudes

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\psi_{j, h g}^{L}=-2 \sqrt{2} Q K_{0}\left(Q X_{j}\right) \cdot a_{j, h g}^{(L)}, & j=1,2 \\
\psi_{j, h g}^{T}=2 i e^{\mp i \phi_{x_{12}}} \sqrt{\left(1-z_{3}-z_{j}\right)\left(z_{j}+z_{3}\right)} Q K_{1}\left(Q X_{j}\right) \cdot a_{j, h g}^{ \pm} & j=1,2 \\
\psi_{3, h g}^{L}=4 \pi i Q \sqrt{2 z_{1} z_{2}} K_{0}\left(Q X_{3}\right)\left(a_{3, h g}^{(L)}+a_{4, h g}^{(L)}\right) & \\
\psi_{3, h g}^{T}=-4 \pi Q \sqrt{z_{1} z_{2}} \frac{K_{1}\left(Q X_{3}\right)}{X_{3}}\left(a_{3, h g}^{ \pm}+a_{4, h g}^{ \pm}\right) &
\end{array}
$$

symmetry relation between amplitudes

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{k+1, h g}^{T, L} & =-a_{k,-h g}^{T, L}\left(\left\{p, \boldsymbol{x}_{1}\right\} \leftrightarrow\left\{q, \boldsymbol{x}_{2}\right\}\right), \quad k=1,3 \\
a_{j, h g}^{T, L} & =a_{j,-h-g}^{(-T, L) *}, \quad j=1, \ldots, 4 .
\end{aligned}
$$

## longitudinal photon

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1,++}^{(L)}=-\frac{\left(z_{1} z_{2}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(z_{1}+z_{3}\right)}{z_{3} e^{-i \theta_{p}}|\boldsymbol{p}|-z_{1} e^{-i \theta_{k}|\boldsymbol{k}|},} & a_{1,-+}^{(L)}=-\frac{\sqrt{z_{1}} z_{2}^{3 / 2}\left(z_{1}+z_{3}\right)^{2}}{z_{3} e^{-i \theta_{p}}|\boldsymbol{p}|-z_{1} e^{-i \theta_{k}|\boldsymbol{k}|}} \\
a_{3,++}^{(L)}=\frac{z_{1} z_{2}}{\left|\boldsymbol{x}_{13}\right| e^{-i \phi_{\boldsymbol{x}_{13}}},} & a_{3,-+}^{(L)}=\frac{z_{2}\left(1-z_{2}\right)}{\left|\boldsymbol{x}_{13}\right| e^{-i \phi_{\boldsymbol{x}_{13}}}}
\end{array}
$$

## transverse photon

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1,++}^{(+)}=-\frac{\left(z_{1} z_{2}\right)^{3 / 2}}{z_{3} e^{-i \theta_{p}}|\boldsymbol{p}|-z_{1} e^{-i \theta_{k}}|\boldsymbol{k}|}, \\
& a_{1,+-}^{(+)}=\frac{\sqrt{z_{1}}\left(z_{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(z_{1}+z_{3}\right)}{z_{1} e^{i \theta_{k}}|\boldsymbol{k}|-z_{3} e^{i \theta_{p}}|\boldsymbol{p}|}, \\
& a_{1,-+}^{(+)}=\frac{\sqrt{z_{1} z_{2}}\left(z_{1}+z_{3}\right)^{2}}{z_{3} e^{-i \theta_{p}}|\boldsymbol{p}|-z_{1} e^{-i \theta_{k}|\boldsymbol{k}|}}, \\
& a_{1,--}^{(+)}=\frac{z_{1}^{3 / 2} \sqrt{z_{2}}\left(z_{1}+z_{3}\right)}{z_{3} e^{i \theta_{p}}|\boldsymbol{p}|-z_{1} e^{i \theta_{k}|\boldsymbol{k}|}}, \\
& a_{3,++}^{(+)}=\frac{z_{1} z_{2}\left(z_{2} z_{3}\left|\boldsymbol{x}_{23}\right| e^{-i \phi_{\boldsymbol{x}_{23}}}+z_{3}\left|\boldsymbol{x}_{13}\right| e^{\left.-i \phi_{\boldsymbol{x}_{13}}-z_{1} z_{2}\left|\boldsymbol{x}_{12}\right| e^{-i \phi_{\boldsymbol{x}_{12}}}\right)}\right.}{\left(z_{1}+z_{3}\right)\left|\boldsymbol{x}_{13}\right| e^{-i \phi_{\boldsymbol{x}_{13}}}}, \\
& a_{3,+-}^{(+)}=\frac{z_{2}^{2}\left(z_{3}\left|\boldsymbol{x}_{23}\right| e^{\left.-i \phi_{\boldsymbol{x}_{23}}-z_{1}\left|\boldsymbol{x}_{12}\right| e^{-i \phi_{\boldsymbol{x}_{12}}}\right)}\right.}{\left|\boldsymbol{x}_{13}\right| e^{i \phi_{\boldsymbol{x}_{13}}}}, \\
& a_{3,-+}^{(+)}=-\frac{z_{2}\left(z_{1}+z_{3}\right)\left(z_{3}\left|\boldsymbol{x}_{23}\right| e^{\left.-i \phi_{\boldsymbol{x}_{23}}-z_{1}\left|\boldsymbol{x}_{12}\right| e^{-i \phi_{\boldsymbol{x}_{12}}}\right)}\right.}{\left|\boldsymbol{x}_{13}\right| e^{-i \phi_{\boldsymbol{x}_{13}}}}, \\
& a_{3,--}^{(+)}=\frac{z_{1} z_{2}\left(z_{1}\left|\boldsymbol{x}_{12}\right| e^{-i \phi_{\boldsymbol{x}_{12}}}-z_{3}\left|\boldsymbol{x}_{23}\right| e^{-i \phi_{\boldsymbol{x}_{23}}}\right)}{\left|\boldsymbol{x}_{13}\right| e^{i \phi_{\boldsymbol{x}_{13}}}} . \\
& \text { for precise def. see paper } \\
& \text { take away message: } \\
& \text { very compact expressions }
\end{aligned}
$$

## First attempts in phenomenology

- differential Xsec: given in terms of dipole and quadrupole operators
- need to be evaluated for a given background field configuration = represents dynamics of target

$$
\langle\ldots\rangle_{A^{-}}=\int D[\rho] \ldots e^{-W[\rho]}
$$

$\rho$ : color carge, relates to back-ground field through
Yang-Mills equation

$$
-\boldsymbol{\partial}^{2} A^{c,-}\left(z^{+}, \boldsymbol{x}\right)=g_{s} \rho_{c}\left(z^{+}, \boldsymbol{x}\right)
$$

- in general: weight function W[ $\rho$ ] not known ... what can be extracted from inclusive DIS data is the dipole amplitude

$$
\left\langle S^{(2)}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2}\right)\right\rangle_{A^{-}}=\frac{1}{N_{c}}\left\langle\operatorname{tr}\left(V\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}\right) V^{\dagger}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{2}\right)\right)\right\rangle_{A^{-}}
$$

$\rightarrow$ higher correlators not known; way out: "Gaussian approximation" (McLerran-Venugopalan model) for weight function with width $\boldsymbol{\mu}$

$$
W[\rho]=\int d^{2} \boldsymbol{x} \int d^{2} \boldsymbol{y} \int d z^{+} \frac{\rho_{c}\left(z^{+}, \boldsymbol{x}\right) \rho_{c}\left(z^{+}, \boldsymbol{y}\right)}{2 \mu^{2}\left(z^{+}\right)}
$$

can argue: good approximation in dilute limit

- allows to calculate dipole in terms of $\mu^{2}$ and 2 point correlator of fields $\rightarrow$ fix this combination from DIS inclusive fits of $S^{(2)}$
- calculate quadrupole correlator in terms of dipole correlator [Dominguez, Marquet, Xiao,Yuan; 1101.0715]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S^{(4)}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{1^{\prime}}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2^{\prime}}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2}\right)=S^{(2)}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2}\right) S^{(2)}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1^{\prime}}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2^{\prime}}\right) \\
& \quad-\frac{\Gamma\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2^{\prime}} ; \boldsymbol{x}_{2}, \boldsymbol{x}_{1^{\prime}}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2} ; \boldsymbol{x}_{2^{\prime}}, \boldsymbol{x}_{1^{\prime}}\right)} S^{(2)}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{1^{\prime}}\right) S^{(2)}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{2}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2^{\prime}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\Gamma\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2^{\prime}} ; \boldsymbol{x}_{2}, \boldsymbol{x}_{1^{\prime}}\right)=\ln \frac{S^{(2)}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2^{\prime}}\right) S^{(2)}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1^{\prime}}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2}\right)}{S^{(2)}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1^{\prime}}, \boldsymbol{x}_{1^{\prime}}\right) S^{(2)}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{2}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2^{\prime}}\right)}
$$

- numerical study: a good approximation to full expression
[Dumitru, Jalilian-IMarian, Lappi, Schenke, Venugoplana; 1108.4764]
- in general: known for finite $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}$; here: large $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{c}}$ limit $\rightarrow$ argue that expectation values of combinations of $S^{(2)}$ and $S^{(4)}$ factorise
- our treatment: use $\mathrm{S}^{(2)}=1-\mathrm{N}^{(2)}$ and expand for small $\mathrm{N}^{(2)}$ to linear and quadratic order $\rightarrow$ large quadratic corrections: sensitive to non-linear effects
- For $\mathrm{S}^{(2)}$ use model with parameters fitted to rcBK DIS fit [Quiroga-Arias,Albacete, Armesto, Millhano, Salgado, 1107.0625]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S^{(2)}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}, \boldsymbol{x}_{2}\right)=\int d^{2} \boldsymbol{l} e^{-i \boldsymbol{l} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_{12}} \Phi\left(\boldsymbol{l}^{2}\right) \\
&=2\left(\frac{Q_{0}\left|\boldsymbol{x}_{12}\right|}{2}\right)^{\alpha-1} \frac{K_{\alpha-1}\left(Q_{0}\left|\boldsymbol{x}_{12}\right|\right)}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} \\
& \Phi\left(\boldsymbol{l}^{2}\right)=\frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{Q_{0}^{2} \pi \Gamma(\alpha-1)}\left(\frac{Q_{0}^{2}}{Q_{0}^{2}+\boldsymbol{l}^{2}}\right)^{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

- parameters: $\boldsymbol{\alpha}=2.3$
proton: $Q_{0}{ }^{\text {prot. }}=0.69 \mathrm{GeV}$ correspond to $x=0.2 \cdot 10^{-3}$ gold: $\mathrm{Q}_{0}^{\text {gold }}=A^{1 / 6} \mathrm{Q}_{0}^{\text {prot. }}=1.67 \mathrm{GeV}$


## First study at partonic level

- explore deviations from Mercedes star configuration $\rightarrow$ back-to-back for three particles
- parton $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}$ fixed to $2 \mathrm{GeV}, \mathrm{Q}=3 \mathrm{GeV}$

- fix one angle (quarkgluon), vary antiquark-gluon
- sizeable quadratic corrections for gold


## Summary:

a more detailed phenomenological study is needed ..., so far:

- possible to use momentum space calculations for CGC calculations $\rightarrow$ access to momentum space techniques
- helicity spinor formalism can greatly simplify calculations within high energy factorisation
- to detect high gluon density effects, observables directly sensitive to such effects should help ("evolution only" might require too much phase space)
$\rightarrow$ we studied such an observables and showed that this could actually work (at partonic level so far)

Gracias!

## Configuration space: çuts at $x^{+}=0$

- start without special vertices

- divide $\mathrm{xi}^{+}$integral $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d x^{+} \rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{0} d x^{+}+\int_{0}^{\infty} d x^{+}+$theta functions in plus momenta \& coordinates $\rightarrow$ each of our diagrams cut by a line separating positive \& negative light-cone time (left: negative; right: positive)
- only plus coordinates $\&$ momenta $\rightarrow$ skeleton diagrams

- a "cut" propagator crosses light-cone time $\mathrm{x}^{+}=0$


## Which cuts are possible?

- in general: any line through the diagram
- fix kinematics to s-channel kinematics $\left[l^{+}=\mathrm{p}^{+}+\mathrm{q}^{+}+\mathrm{k}^{+}\right.$, all plus momenta positive always]
$\rightarrow$ only s-channel type cuts possible ( $\sim$ vertical cuts)

- for this topology, these are the only possible cuts
- NEXT: add special vertices

- recall: $\xrightarrow{p} \rightarrow \sim \sim \sim^{q} \sim\left(p^{+}-q^{+}\right)$plus momentum flow not altered + placed at $z^{+}=0 \Rightarrow$ by default on the cut
- go back to momentum space: special vertices still must be aligned along the cut

- at a cut: "propagator $\otimes$ special vertex $\otimes$ propagator" or "propagator" only; no special vertex anywhere else


## How does it help?

- evaluates $50 \%$ of possible momentum diagrams to zero

- ..... but each cut contains still several diagrams



## Configuration space knows more ...

(partial) Fourier transform for complete propagator

$$
\int \frac{d p^{-}}{2 \pi} \int \frac{d q^{-}}{2 \pi} e^{-i p^{-} x^{+}} e^{i q^{-} y^{+}}\left[S_{F, i l}^{(0)}(p)(2 \pi)^{4} \delta^{(4)}(p-q)+S_{F, i j}^{(0)}(p) \cdot \tau_{F, j k}(p, q) \cdot S_{k l}^{(0)}(q)\right]
$$

obtain free propagation for

- $x^{+}, y^{+}<0$ ("before interaction")
- $x^{+}, y^{+}>0$ ("after interaction")

- for a single cut:



## effectively adds up



- reality: more complicated due to mixina of different cuts


VS.


- crucial: positive plus momenta in all lines for tree diagrams
- allows to formulate a new set of effective "Feynman rules"

