



# Searches for collectivity in small systems using multi-particle azimuthal correlations with ALICE



Katarina Gajdosova Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen

on behalf of the ALICE Collaboration

ISMD 2017, Tlaxcala, Mexico September 12, 2017



# Is flow produced in pp collisions?



- Do we have an indication of collectivity?
  - Ridge observed in high multiplicity pp collisions at  $\sqrt{s} = 7$  TeV and 13 TeV
  - Negative c<sub>2</sub>{4} in high multiplicity pp collisions at √s = 13 TeV measured by CMS and ATLAS
- What does ALICE observe?





ATLAS, arXiv:1708.03559 [hep-ex] (2017)

Niels Bohr Institutet



ISMD 2017 | September 12th | Katarina Gajdosova



### ALICE detector

Niels Bohr Institutet



#### • ITS • V0

• trigger, tracking, vertexing • trigger

### · TPC · ToF

• tracking, PID • PID

#### Data samples:

- Pb-Pb at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76 \text{ TeV}$
- p-Pb at  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$ 
  - minimum-bias and high-multiplicity trigger
- pp at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV
  - minimum-bias and high-multiplicity trigger







05



- All systems show decreasing dependence on multiplicity
- c<sub>2</sub>{2} is clearly higher in **pp** collisions than in **p- Pb** or **Pb-Pb**
- However, 2-particle correlations measurements are affected by **non-flow effects** (e.g. contributions from jets and resonances), especially in small collision systems

Are there observables less sensitive to non-flow?



### 4-particle cumulant c<sub>n</sub>{4}





**cumulant**  $c_n{4} = \langle \langle 4 \rangle \rangle - 2 \cdot \langle \langle 2 \rangle \rangle^2$ 

### flow coefficient $v_n\{4\} = \sqrt[4]{-c_n\{4\}}$

- 2-particle correlations (including non-flow) are subtracted from  $c_n{4}$
- Negative c<sub>n</sub>{4} is considered to be a hint of collectivity
- Clear negative sign of  $c_2{4}$  in high multiplicity p-Pb and Pb-Pb collisions is observed
- No evident negative signal of c<sub>2</sub>{4} in pp collisions

Any possible flow signal is probably significantly smaller than non-flow effects in small systems.

How can we suppress such effects in the measurements?



06

# Looking for flow in small systems

- How can we find the flow signal?
  - 1. Enhance flow by increasing the transverse momentum threshold
  - 2. Suppress non-flow by introducing  $|\Delta\eta|$  gap



How can we find the flow signal?

#### 1. Enhance flow by increasing the transverse momentum threshold



# Enhancing "flow" in small systems



- Measurements in p-Pb collisions exhibit similar behaviour as in Pb-Pb collisions:
  - c<sub>2</sub>{4} increases with increasing minimum p<sub>T</sub> cut at low multiplicity
  - c<sub>2</sub>{4} seems to **decrease** with increasing minimum p<sub>T</sub> cut at high multiplicity

- c<sub>2</sub>{4} in pp collisions **increases** with increasing minimum p<sub>T</sub> cut through the whole multiplicity region
- **Opposite** behaviour to p-Pb or Pb-Pb measurements **at similar multiplicities**

# Looking for flow in small systems

- How can we find the flow signal?
  - 1. Enhance flow by increasing the transverse momentum threshold
  - 2. Suppress non-flow by introducing  $|\Delta \eta|$  gap



- Particles from jets or resonance decays are strongly correlated, therefore they contaminate the measurements
- Angle between these particles is usually small
- Applying a |Δη| gap between sub-events suppresses correlations from particles with small opening angles











•  $c_2\{2, |\Delta \eta| > 1.4\}$  in **pp** collisions shows weak

dependence on multiplicity, which is different

- $c_2\{2, |\Delta \eta| > 1.4\}$  is significantly smaller than  $c_2\{2\}$  in all collision systems
  - **Suppresses non-flow effects** from shortrange correlations

ISMD 2017 | September 12th | Katarina Gajdosova

from PYTHIA



Can 4-particle correlation still be contaminated by non-flow?

- Clear decrease of c<sub>2</sub>{4, |Δη|} compared with c<sub>2</sub>{4}, most significantly at low multiplicities
  - Further suppression of non-flow in multiparticle cumulants
- Still no definitive flow signal

Can we do more?



# Future in multi-particle cumulants

Niels Bohr Institutet

#### 4-particle cumulant with 3-subevent method $\varphi_4$ Δn Δn SIMULATION c<sub>2</sub>{4} **ALICE Simulation** PYTHIA8 (Monash2013) 0.15 pp √*s* = 13 TeV $0.2 < p_{-} < 3.0 \text{ GeV}/c$ |ŋ| < 1.0 0.1 c<sub>2</sub>{4} $c_{2}\{4, |\Delta\eta| > 0.0\}$ 2-sub $c_{2}{4, |\Delta\eta| > 0.0}$ 3-sub 0.05 0 30 40 50 60 70 20 80 $N_{trks}$ ( $|\eta| < 1.0$ ) ALI-SIMUL-129367

- Measurements done with PYTHIA simulations
  - Splitting the acceptance into 3 subevents further suppresses non-flow

#### 6-particle cumulant with 2-subevent method



- Measurements done with PYTHIA simulations
  - Results of  $c_2\{6\}$  and  $c_2\{6, |\Delta\eta| > 0.0\}$  are compatible within statistical uncertainties



### Identified particle v<sub>2</sub>





## Identification of particles



### 1. Particle identification using TPC&TOF detectors

Good separation of pions, kaons, protons at low transverse momentum





#### 2. Topological selection + invariant mass method

- Topological selection of shortly lived particles (e.g.  $K_S^0$ ,  $\Lambda$ ,  $\phi$ ) via their decay products
- v<sub>2</sub> vs. invariant mass method separates signal from combinatorial background based on additivity of v<sub>2</sub>

$$v_2^{\text{tot}}(m_{\text{inv}}) = \frac{N^{\text{sig}}}{N^{\text{tot}}}(m_{\text{inv}}) \cdot v_2^{\text{sig}} + \frac{N^{\text{bg}}}{N^{\text{tot}}}(m_{\text{inv}}) \cdot v_2^{\text{bg}}(m_{\text{inv}})$$

# Identified particle v<sub>2</sub> in p-Pb collisions



- Suppression of non-flow done by applying |Δη| gap
  - Non-flow subtraction method is not applied
- **Mass ordering** is observed for  $p_{\rm T}$  < 2.5 GeV/c for all particles







### Summary



- We present an investigation of anisotropic collectivity in Pb-Pb, p-Pb and pp collisions
- Clear signs of collectivity have been observed in high multiplicity p-Pb and Pb-Pb collisions
  - Negative  $c_2$ {4} measurements at high multiplicities
  - Increasing the  $p_T$  cut drives the  $c_2{4}$  to more negative values, showing dominance of flow
- ALICE has **not** measured a **definitive flow-like signature** in **pp** collisions using c<sub>2</sub>{4}
  - Increasing the  $p_T$  cut drives the  $c_2{4}$  to more positive values, shown dominance of non-flow
  - Further effort to suppress non-flow contributions using c<sub>2</sub>{4, ΙΔηΙ} also did not reveal a definitive negative sign
- Evidence of anisotropic collectivity is not confirmed in pp collisions within the precision of ALICE data

(Presented results are based on data collected in 2015. Analysis of full data sample is ongoing.)

• Mass ordering reported for  $p_T < 2.5$  GeV/c in **p-Pb** collisions (collectivity?)





### Thank you for your attention!

