



Measurements of the total, elastic and inelastic pp cross sections with ATLAS

Christian Heinz Justus-Liebig-University Giessen

SPONSORED BY THE



Federal Ministry of Education and Research 8th International Workshop on Multiple Partonic Interactions at the LHC November 28 – December 2, 2016



Motivation

- Probing the non-perturbative QCD regime
- Tuning of MC generators
- Predicting pile-up conditions at the HL-LHC
- Constraints on forward particle production in cosmic showers



Measurement of the inelastic cross section at $\sqrt{s} = 7 \,\mathrm{TeV}$

[Nature Commun. 2 (2011) 463]

New measurements at 8 and 13 TeV

- First series of measurements at 7 TeV were performed by ATLAS where the basic methods were developed.
- New results recently published:
 - Measurement of the total cross section at 8 TeV
 [Phys. Lett B (2016) 158]
 - ALFA Roman Pot detector system used to measure the total cross section using the optical theorem and deriving the elastic and inelastic cross section
 - Dedicated LHC run at $\beta^* = 90 \,\mathrm{m}$ optics with low average number of interaction per bunch crossing $\mu \approx 0.1$
 - Collected about $500 \ \mu b^{-1}$ of data
 - Measurement of the inelastic cross section at 13 TeV [Phys. Rev. Lett. 117 (2016) 18200]
 - MBTS forward scintillator detector used to measure the inelastic rate in the according fiducial volume
 - Extrapolating to full phase space giving the inelastic cross section
 - Special run at $\mu\approx 2.3\times 10^{-3}$
 - Collected about $60 \ \mu b^{-1}$ of data

ALFA Detector

- Sub detector of ATLAS at the LHC
- Composed of eight roman pot housed detectors, installed about 240 meters away from the ATLAS IP in both forward directions
- Elastically scattered protons detected in two "spectrometer arms"
- Goal in elastic analysis is to measure the differential elastic cross section as a function of the 4-momentum transfer (t)





Christian Heinz - University Giessen

Reconstruction of scattering angle

• The **t-value** for each elastic event is given by its scattering angle at the IP and the beam momentum:

$$-t = (p \ \theta^*)^2$$

• The **scattering angle** (at IP) can be expressed in relation to the measured position and local angle (at the detector) by means of the transport matrix:

Position:
$$\begin{pmatrix} u(s) \\ u'(s) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & M_{12} \\ M_{21} & M_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u^* \\ u^{*\prime} \end{pmatrix}$$
Angle:At DetectorTransport matrixAt ATLAS IP

- Several techniques exist to translate measured proton positions at the detectors into the scattering angle.
 - Dedicated beam optic with parallel-to-point focusing in y ($\rm M_{11}$ small)

Event selection

- 3.8M elastic events selected
- Set several cuts on event selection to filter out background events:
 - Detector edge cuts
 - Elastic back-to-back topology cuts
- Event selection provides constraints for data driven beam optics model from which effective beam optic is derived



x [mm]

C-side

A-side

Differential elastic cross section

• Count rate transformed into differential elastic cross section

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{dt}\right)_{i} = \frac{1}{t_{i}} \cdot \frac{M^{-1}\left[N_{i} - B_{i}\right]}{A_{i} \cdot \epsilon^{\text{reco}} \cdot \epsilon^{\text{trig}} \cdot \epsilon^{\text{DAQ}} \cdot L_{\text{int}}}$$

- Delivered luminosity determined by the ATLAS luminosity group in a dedicated analysis with an uncertainty of only 1.5%
 - makes up the main t-independent systematic contribution here
- Beam energy uncertainty of 0.65% makes up the main t-dependent systematic contribution
- $\begin{array}{c|c} \mathrm{M}^{-1} & \mathrm{Unfolding} \\ \mathrm{A}_i & \mathrm{Acceptance} \\ \epsilon^{\mathrm{reco}} & \mathrm{Reconstruction\ efficiency} \\ \epsilon^{\mathrm{trig}} & \mathrm{Trigger\ efficiency} \\ \epsilon^{\mathrm{DAQ}} & \mathrm{DAQ\ efficiency} \\ \mathrm{L_{int}} & \mathrm{Luminosity} \end{array}$



Christian Heinz - University Giessen

Theoretical prediction

- · Model used to fit the differential elastic cross section consists of
 - The Coulomb term
 - The Coulomb-Nuclear-Interference term
 - The Nuclear term
- Total cross section and Nuclear B-Slope fitted



Fit results

• Differential elastic cross section spectrum fitted with free parameters σ_{tot} and B in range: $0.014 \le t \le 0.1$



 $\sigma_{\text{tot}}(pp \to X) = 96.07 \pm 0.18 \text{(stat.)} \pm 0.85 \text{(exp.)} \pm 0.31 \text{(extr.)} \text{ mb}$ $B = 19.74 \pm 0.05 \text{(stat.)} \pm 0.23 \text{(syst.)} \text{ GeV}^{-2}$

Energy evolution of total cross section and nuclear B-slope



- Value for total cross section slightly smaller compared to COMPETE model as a function of center of mass energy
- Result on B slope in good agreement between ATLAS and TOTEM and also with model calculation including a linear and quadratic term in ln(s)

Derived quantities

- Integration over the differential elastic cross section yields elastic cross section as derived quantity: $\sigma_{\rm el,\ 8TeV} = 24.33 \pm 0.04 ({\rm stat.}) \pm 0.39 ({\rm syst.}) \, {\rm mb}$
- Subtraction from total cross section yields inelastic cross section as derived quantity:

 $\sigma_{\text{inel, 8TeV}} = 71.73 \pm 0.15 (\text{stat.}) \pm 0.69 (\text{syst.}) \,\text{mb}$



Christian Heinz - University Giessen

Inelastic measurement with the MBTS at 13 TeV

- Measurement done using the Minimum Bias Trigger Scintillator located in front of the endcap calorimeters to detect inelastic interactions
- New detector was built for run 2 with slightly larger acceptance
- Two counters of the MBTS are requested with hits above threshold to select inelastic events



Christian Heinz - University Giessen

The diffractive component



- Selection efficiency in the fiducial volume is above 50%
- Mass of dissociated system:

 $M_X > 13 \,\text{GeV}$ $\xi = M_X^2 / s > 10^{-6}$





Christian Heinz - University Giessen

The fiducial cross section

$$\sigma_{\text{inel}}^{\text{fid}}(\xi > 10^{-6}) = \frac{N - N_{\text{BG}}}{\epsilon_{\text{trig}} \times \mathcal{L}} \times \frac{1 - f_{\xi < 10^{-6}}}{\epsilon_{\text{sel}}}$$

- N Number of observed events
- $N_{\rm BG}$ Number of background events (beam-gas, beam halo, detector activation)
- ϵ^{trig} Trigger efficiency
- $\epsilon^{
 m sel}$ Selection efficiency
- f_{ξ} Migration of small ξ events into the fiducial region
- Luminosity

- Simulation tuned by applying two selections:
 - Inclusive sample: at least 2 MBTS hits (4.2M events)
 - Single-sided sample: at least 2 MBTS hits on one side, veto on the other (440k events)

Model tuning

• MC is tuned by measuring the ratio of event count of diffractive and non-diffractive processes

$$\mathbf{R}_{SS} = \frac{N_{\text{single-sided}}}{N_{\text{inclusive}}} = (10.4 \pm 0.4)\%$$

 $f_D = \frac{\sigma_{SD} + \sigma_{DD}}{\sigma_{inel}}$

- The tuned models are used to calculate $\epsilon^{\rm sel}$ and ${\rm f}_{\xi}$



Results on fiducial inelastic cross section

 $\sigma_{13 \text{ TeV}}^{\text{inel., fid.}} = 68.1 \pm 0.6(\text{exp}) \pm 1.3(\text{lum}) \text{ mb}$

| Factor | Value | Rel. uncertainty |
|--|---------|------------------|
| Number of events passing the inclusive selection (N) | 4159074 | _ |
| Number of background events (N_{BG}) | 51187 | $\pm 50\%$ |
| Integrated luminosity $[\mu b^{-1}]$ (\mathcal{L}) | 60.1 | $\pm 1.9\%$ |
| Trigger efficiency $(\epsilon_{\rm trig})$ | 99.7% | $\pm 0.3\%$ |
| MC correction factor $(C_{\rm MC})$ | 99.3% | $\pm 0.5\%$ |

- Largest systematic contribution to fiducial cross section is the luminosity measurement
- Good agreement with PYTHIA DL models



Christian Heinz - University Giessen

Full inelastic cross section

 $\sigma_{13 \text{ TeV}}^{\text{inel., full}} = 78.1 \pm 0.6(\text{exp}) \pm 1.3(\text{lum}) \pm 2.6(\text{extr}) \,\text{mb}$

 Extrapolation from the fiducial cross section to the full inelastic cross section done using 7TeV measurements and MC correction:

$$\sigma_{\text{inel}} = \sigma_{\text{inel}}^{\text{fid}} + \sigma^{7 \text{ TeV}} (\xi < 5 \times 10^{-6})$$
$$\times \frac{\sigma^{\text{MC}}(\xi < 10^{-6})}{\sigma^{7 \text{ TeV, MC}}(\xi < 5 \times 10^{-6})}$$

• Where

$$\sigma^{7 \text{ TeV}}(\xi < 5 \times 10^{-6}) = (11.0 \pm 2.3) \text{ mb}$$

is the difference between the full inelastic measurement from ALFA at 7 TeV and the fiducial measurement with the MBTS at 7 TeV



Christian Heinz - University Giessen

Summary

 Results on total cross section at 8 TeV with the ALFA detector now published in [Physics Letters B 761 (2016) 158–178]

 $\sigma_{\text{tot}}(pp \to X) = 96.07 \pm 0.18(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.85(\text{exp.}) \pm 0.31(\text{extr.}) \text{ mb}$ $\sigma_{\text{inel, 8TeV}} = 71.73 \pm 0.15(\text{stat.}) \pm 0.69(\text{syst.}) \text{ mb}$

• Results on inelastic cross section measurement with MBTS now published [Phys. Rev. Lett. 117 (2016) 18200]

 $\sigma_{13 \text{ TeV}}^{\text{inel., full}} = 78.1 \pm 0.6(\text{exp}) \pm 1.3(\text{lum}) \pm 2.6(\text{extr}) \text{ mb}$

Thank you for your attention!

Backup

Background



- Background pollution of event selection in this run very low (as in 7TeV, 90m)
- Irreducible background estimated by counting events in the so called "anti-golden" event topology
- Background fraction of 0.5% at 7TeV and 0.12% at 8TeV
- Smaller Background fraction at 8 TeV due to larger distance of detectors from the beam
- PYTHIA8 simulation yields the possibility of a large contribution of background (~70%) events from DPE events (MBR model)



Christian Heinz - University Giessen

Acceptance and unfolding



- The acceptance is a combination of geometrical acceptance and background rejection cut efficiency
- Acceptance peaks around -t = 0.07 ${\rm GeV}^2$
- For comparison:

CNI region starts around $10^{-3} GeV^2$





A-side

4

2

C-side

5

6

IP

Reconstruction efficiency



 Data driven method to determine the fraction of elastic events, for which all four detectors have reconstructed tracks

$$\epsilon(t) = \frac{N_{4/4}}{N_{4/4} + N_{3/4} + N_{2/4} + N_{1/4} + N_{0/4}}$$

- Requires determination of the number of elastic events for all of the 30 cases where no track was reconstructed in any given detector(s)
- Easy when only one out of four detectors has not provided any tracks (template fit to compensate for any edge effects)
- Harder when two detectors have no tracks on a given side (background template fit required to suppress irreducible background)
- Number of elastic events with no tracks in any detector determined statistically
- Check was performed on 3/4 subsample to verify t-independence of reconstruction efficiency
- Final results per arm:

$$\epsilon_{\text{arm1}} = 90.50\% \pm 0.03\%(stat.) \pm 0.34\%(syst.)$$

$$\epsilon_{\text{arm2}} = 88.83\% \pm 0.03\%(stat.) \pm 0.45\%(syst.)$$



