Multiparton interactions in QGSJET-II

### Sergey Ostapchenko

# Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies

Multiple Partonic Interactions at the LHC San Cristóbal de las Casas, Nov. 28 - Dec. 2, 2016

# arXiv: 1511.06784, 1608.07791

- many parton cascades in parallel
- 'real' multiparton interactions via multiple production of dijets
- also 'soft' (small  $p_t$ ) scattering processes
- virtual (elastic) rescatterings (required by unitarity)
- soft/hard diffraction



- many parton cascades in parallel
- 'real' multiparton interactions via multiple production of dijets
- also 'soft' (small  $p_t$ ) scattering processes
- virtual (elastic) rescatterings (required by unitarity)
- soft/hard diffraction



#### Basic idea: combined treatment of soft & hard processes in RFT

- 'elementary' cascades = Pomerons
- requires Pomeron amplitude & Pomeron-hadron vertices



Basic idea: combined treatment of soft & hard processes in RFT

- 'elementary' cascades = Pomerons
- requires Pomeron amplitude & Pomeron-hadron vertices



Hard processes included using 'semihard Pomeron' approach [Drescher et al., PR350 (2001) 93]

- soft Pomerons to describe soft (parts of) cascades  $(p_t^2 < Q_0^2)$ 
  - $\bullet \, \Rightarrow$  transverse expansion governed by the Pomeron slope
- DGLAP for hard cascades
- taken together: 'general Pomeron'
- Q<sub>0</sub> just a technical border between the two treatments of a smooth parton evolution



Basic idea: combined treatment of soft & hard processes in RFT

- 'elementary' cascades = Pomerons
- requires Pomeron amplitude & Pomeron-hadron vertices



Hard processes included using 'semihard Pomeron' approach [Drescher et al., PR350 (2001) 93]

- soft Pomerons to describe soft (parts of) cascades  $(p_t^2 < Q_0^2)$ 
  - $\bullet \, \Rightarrow$  transverse expansion governed by the Pomeron slope
- DGLAP for hard cascades
- taken together: 'general Pomeron'
- Q<sub>0</sub> just a technical border between the two treatments of a smooth parton evolution







#### Basic idea: combined treatment of soft & hard processes in RFT

- 'elementary' cascades = Pomerons
- requires Pomeron amplitude & Pomeron-hadron vertices



#### Good-Walker-like scheme used for low mass diffraction

- $|p
  angle=\sum_i\sqrt{C_i}|i
  angle$ ,  $C_i$  partial weight for el. scatt. eigenstate |i
  angle
- two eigenstates: i) large & dilute (low parton density, large radius), ii) small & dense (high parton density, small radius)
- all multi-Pomeron contributions averaged over the eigenstates
- small size eigenstates: sampled more rarely (small area) but have stronger multiple scattering (higher parton density)
- NB: high mass diffraction from (cut) enhanced diagrams

#### Basic idea: combined treatment of soft & hard processes in RFT

- 'elementary' cascades = Pomerons
- requires Pomeron amplitude & Pomeron-hadron vertices



#### Good-Walker-like scheme used for low mass diffraction

- $|p
  angle=\sum_i\sqrt{C_i}|i
  angle$ ,  $C_i$  partial weight for el. scatt. eigenstate |i
  angle
- two eigenstates: i) large & dilute (low parton density, large radius), ii) small & dense (high parton density, small radius)
- all multi-Pomeron contributions averaged over the eigenstates
- small size eigenstates: sampled more rarely (small area) but have stronger multiple scattering (higher parton density)
- NB: high mass diffraction from (cut) enhanced diagrams

### Low and high mass diffraction within the same formalism?

#### More general Reggeon calculus - based on Pomerons & Reggeons?

- generally much more challenging
- also: would involve many more parameters



- $\Rightarrow$  hide all the nontrivial dynamics inside the GW eigenstates
- ⇒ the structure of the eigenstates would depend nontrivially on the interaction kinematics
  - factorization not possible
  - $\bullet \ \Rightarrow \ {\rm complicated} \ {\rm parametrizations} \ {\rm required}$
- NB: also the hadronization of the hadron 'remnant' states would depend nontrivially on the kinematics



- $\bullet\,\Rightarrow\,$  hide all the nontrivial dynamics inside the GW eigenstates
- ⇒ the structure of the eigenstates would depend nontrivially on the interaction kinematics
  - factorization not possible
  - $\bullet \ \Rightarrow \ {\rm complicated} \ {\rm parametrizations} \ {\rm required}$
- NB: also the hadronization of the hadron 'remnant' states would depend nontrivially on the kinematics

#### Initial state emission (ISE) of partons doesn't stop at the $Q_0$ -cutoff



observables consequences, compared to the usual treatment?

Usually: one (implicitely) starts from the same nonperturbative Fock state (typical for models used at colliders, also SIBYLL)

- multiple scattering has small impact on forward spectra
  - new branches emerge at small x $(G(x,q^2) \propto 1/x)$
- ⇒ Feynman scaling & limiting fragm. for forward production
- higher  $\sqrt{s} \Rightarrow$  more abundant central particle production only
- forward & central production decoupled from each other
  - (descreasing number of cascade branches for increasing *x*)



・ロン ・回 と ・ヨン ・ヨン

Э

# Usually: one (implicitely) starts from the same nonperturbative Fock state (typical for models used at colliders, also SIBYLL)

- multiple scattering has small impact on forward spectra
  - new branches emerge at small x $(G(x,q^2) \propto 1/x)$
- ⇒ Feynman scaling & limiting fragm. for forward production
- higher √s ⇒ more abundant central particle production only
- forward & central production decoupled from each other
  - (descreasing number of cascade branches for increasing *x*)



#### EPOS & QGSJET(-II): $p = \sum$ of multi-parton Fock states

- many cascades develop in parallel (already at nonperturbative stage)
  - $\Rightarrow$  flatter  $dN_{pp}^{ch}/d\eta$  at large  $\eta$
- higher  $\sqrt{s} \Rightarrow$  larger Fock states come into play:  $|qqq\rangle \rightarrow |qqq\bar{q}q\rangle$  $\rightarrow \dots |qqq\bar{q}q...\bar{q}q\rangle$ 
  - ⇒ softer forward spectra (energy sharing between constituent partons)
- forward & central particle production - strongly correlated
  - e.g. more activity in central detectors ⇒ larger Fock states ⇒ softer forward spectra

#### EPOS & QGSJET(-II): $p = \sum$ of multi-parton Fock states

- many cascades develop in parallel (already at nonperturbative stage)
  - $\Rightarrow$  flatter  ${\it dN_{\it pp}^{ch}}/{\it d\eta}$  at large  $\eta$
- higher  $\sqrt{s} \Rightarrow$  larger Fock states come into play:  $|qqq\rangle \rightarrow |qqq\bar{q}q\rangle$  $\rightarrow \dots |qqq\bar{q}q...\bar{q}q\rangle$ 
  - ⇒ softer forward spectra (energy sharing between constituent partons)
- forward & central particle production - strongly correlated
  - e.g. more activity in central detectors ⇒ larger Fock states ⇒ softer forward spectra



#### EPOS & QGSJET(-II): $p = \sum$ of multi-parton Fock states

- many cascades develop in parallel (already at nonperturbative stage)
  - $\Rightarrow$  flatter  $dN_{pp}^{ch}/d\eta$  at large  $\eta$

Example: comparison to combined CMS-TOTEM data on  $dN_{
m ch}/d\eta$ 

flatter *dN*<sub>ch</sub>/*d*η of EPOS & QGSJET-II agrees with data







#### ISE in PYTHIA is traced back for all hard scatterings individually

- should it then correspond to the case of multiparton Fock states?
- usually not: the end-point partons (mostly gluons) are sampled predominantly as  $\propto 1/x$



#### ISE in PYTHIA is traced back for all hard scatterings individually

- should it then correspond to the case of multiparton Fock states?
- usually not: the end-point partons (mostly gluons) are sampled predominantly as  $\propto 1/x$



#### Not so much in the Monash tune for 2 reasons

- the  $p_t$ -cutoff scale is relatively low (2 GeV) and  $\sqrt{s}$ -independent
- the PDFs employed contain 'valence gluon' component
- backward evolution proceeds in q<sup>2</sup> & x simultaneously ⇒ the (small) 'valence gluon' impacts noticeably the x of the end-point partons



#### ISE in PYTHIA is traced back for all hard scatterings individually

- should it then correspond to the case of multiparton Fock states?
- usually not: the end-point partons (mostly gluons) are sampled predominantly as  $\propto 1/x$



#### Not so much in the Monash tune for 2 reasons

- the  $p_t$ -cutoff scale is relatively low (2 GeV) and  $\sqrt{s}$ -independent
- the PDFs employed contain 'valence gluon' component
- backward evolution proceeds in q<sup>2</sup> & x simultaneously ⇒ the (small) 'valence gluon' impacts noticeably the x of the end-point partons



#### Not so much in the Monash tune for 2 reasons

- the  $p_t$ -cutoff scale is relatively low (2 GeV) and  $\sqrt{s}$ -independent
- the PDFs employed contain 'valence gluon' component
- $\Rightarrow$  flatter  $dN_{pp}^{ch}/d\eta$ 
  - crucial: all end-point partons become harder
- probably also the cross-correlation of  $dN_{pp}^{ch}/d|\eta|$  at  $\eta = 0$  and 6 will move closer to EPOS/QGSJET-II
- though the effect on  $K_{pp}^{\text{inel}}$  is likely to be weak





# Further discrimination: forward hadrons by LHCf & ATLAS

Forward  $\pi^0$  spectra in LHCf for different ATLAS triggers ( $\geq 1$ , 6, 20 charged hadrons of  $p_t > 0.5$  GeV &  $|\eta| < 2.5$ )



- enhanced multiple scattering
   ⇒ softer pion spectra
- → violation of limiting fragmentation (energy sharing between constituent partons)
- nearly same spectral shape for all the triggers
- ⇒ perfect limiting fragmentation (central production decoupled)

# Further discrimination: forward hadrons by LHCf & ATLAS

#### Neutron spectra in LHCf ( $8.99 < \eta < 9.22$ ) for same triggers



 remarkably universal spectral shape in SIBYLL-2.3 (decoupling of central production)

• closely related to the small 'inelasticity' of the model

- strong suppression of forward neutrons in QGSJET-II-04
  - higher central activity  $\Rightarrow$  more constituent partons involved
    - $\Rightarrow$  less energy left for the proton 'remnant'

- described in RFT by Kancheli-Mueller graphs
- projectile & target 'triangles' generally contain absorptive corrections



- described in RFT by Kancheli-Mueller graphs
- projectile & target 'triangles' generally contain absorptive corrections





#### Dijet cross section (neglecting absorption)

$$\sigma_{pp}^{2jet(no\,abs)}(s, p_t^{cut}) = \sum_{i,j} C_i C_j \int d^2 b' d^2 b''$$

$$\times \sum_{I,J} \int \frac{dx^+}{x^+} \frac{dx^-}{x^-} \sigma_{IJ}^{QCD}(x^+ x^- s, Q_0^2, p_t^{cut})$$

$$\times \chi_{(i)I}^{\mathbb{P}_{soft}}(s_0/x^+, b') \chi_{(j)J}^{\mathbb{P}_{soft}}(s_0/x^-, b'')$$
soft Pomeron
$$\sigma_{IJ}^{QCD} - \text{contribution of DGLAP ladder with leg parton}$$

- $G_{IJ}^{}$  contribution of DGLAP ladder with leg parts virtualities  $Q_0^2$
- $\chi^{\mathbb{P}_{\text{soft}}}_{(i)I}$  eikonal for soft Pomeron coupled to eigenstate  $|i\rangle$  of the proton & parton I

Dijet cross section (neglecting absorption)

$$\sigma_{pp}^{2jet(no\,abs)}(s, p_{t}^{cut}) = \sum_{i,j} C_{i} C_{j} \int d^{2}b' d^{2}b''$$

$$\times \sum_{I,J} \int \frac{dx^{+}}{x^{+}} \frac{dx^{-}}{x^{-}} \sigma_{IJ}^{QCD}(x^{+}x^{-}s, Q_{0}^{2}, p_{t}^{cut})$$

$$\times \chi_{(i)I}^{\mathbb{P}_{soft}}(s_{0}/x^{+}, b') \chi_{(j)J}^{\mathbb{P}_{soft}}(s_{0}/x^{-}, b'')$$
soft Pomeron soft Pomeron

Including absorption  $\chi_{(i)I}^{\mathbb{P}_{\text{soft}}}(s_0/x,b)$  is replaced by the solution of 'fan' diagram equation,  $x \tilde{f}_I^{(i)}(x,b)$ 

•  $\tilde{f}_{I}^{(i)}(x,b)$  may be interpreted as GPDs  $G_{I}^{(i)}(x,Q_{0}^{2},b)$  at the virtuality scale  $Q_{0}^{2}$ ; higher scales - DGLAP-evolved:

$$G_{I}^{(i)}(x,Q^{2},b) = \sum_{I'} \int_{x}^{1} \frac{dz}{z} E_{I' \to I}^{\text{DGLAP}}(z,Q_{0}^{2},Q^{2}) \tilde{f}_{I'}^{(i)}(x/z,b)$$

•  $\tilde{f}_{I}^{(i)}(x,b)$  may be interpreted as GPDs  $G_{I}^{(i)}(x,Q_{0}^{2},b)$  at the virtuality scale  $Q_{0}^{2}$ ; higher scales - DGLAP-evolved:

$$G_{I}^{(i)}(x,Q^{2},b) = \sum_{I'} \int_{x}^{1} \frac{dz}{z} E_{I' \to I}^{\text{DGLAP}}(z,Q_{0}^{2},Q^{2}) \tilde{f}_{I'}^{(i)}(x/z,b)$$

#### Impact of transverse diffusion on $\langle b^2 \rangle$ of gluons at $Q_0^2 = 3 \text{ GeV}^2$

 \$\langle b^2 \rangle\$ - dominated by the largest size Fock state

In

- quick spread with energy
- \$\langle b^2 \rangle\$ slightly larger than in [Frankfurt, Strikman & Weiss, PRD 69 (2004) 114010



Production of 2 dijets by independent parton cascades ('2v2')



- small  $\alpha'_{\mathbb{P}}$   $\Rightarrow$  two hard processes are closeby in *b*-space
- involves triple-Pomeron coupling  $r_{3\mathbb{P}}$  ( $G_{3\mathbb{P}} \propto r_{3\mathbb{P}}$ )
- neglecting absorptive corrections  $\rightarrow$  triple-Pomeron graph

#### 'Soft parton splitting' ('2v1s')

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{pp}^{4j\text{et}(2\text{v1})_{\text{s}}}(s,p_{\text{t}}^{\text{cut}}) &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j}C_{i}C_{j} \\ \times G_{3\mathbb{P}}\int d^{2}b'\int \frac{dx'}{x'}\left[1-e^{-\chi_{(i)}^{\text{fan}}(s_{0}/x',b')}\right] \\ \times \int d^{2}b\left[\int \frac{dx^{+}}{x^{+}}\int dx^{-}\sum_{I,J}\sigma_{IJ}^{\text{QCD}}(x^{+}x^{-}s,Q_{0}^{2},p_{\text{t}}^{\text{cut}}) \\ \times \int d^{2}b''\,\chi_{\mathbb{P}I}^{\mathbb{P}_{\text{soft}}}(s_{0}x'/x^{+},b'')\,\tilde{f}_{J}^{(j)}(x^{-},|\vec{b}-\vec{b}''|)\right]^{2} \end{aligned}$$



We may compare this with the standard DPS formula

$$\sigma_{pp}^{4jet(DPS)}(s, p_{t}^{cut}) = \frac{1}{2} \int dx_{1}^{+} dx_{2}^{+} dx_{1}^{-} dx_{2}^{-} \int_{p_{t_{1}}, p_{t_{2}} > p_{t}^{cut}} dp_{t_{1}}^{2} dp_{t_{2}}^{2} \sum_{I_{1}, I_{2}, J_{1}, J_{2}} d\sigma_{I_{1}J_{1}}^{2 \to 2} \frac{d\sigma_{I_{2}J_{2}}^{2 \to 2}}{dp_{t_{1}}^{2}} \int d^{2} \Delta b \, F_{I_{1}I_{2}}^{(2)}(x_{1}^{+}, x_{2}^{+}, M_{F_{1}}^{2}, M_{F_{2}}^{2}, \Delta b) \, F_{J_{1}J_{2}}^{(2)}(x_{1}^{-}, x_{2}^{-}, M_{F_{1}}^{2}, M_{F_{2}}^{2}, \Delta b)$$

We may compare this with the standard DPS formula

$$\sigma_{pp}^{4jet(DPS)}(s, p_{t}^{cut}) = \frac{1}{2} \int dx_{1}^{+} dx_{2}^{+} dx_{1}^{-} dx_{2}^{-} \int_{p_{t_{1}}, p_{t_{2}} > p_{t}^{cut}} dp_{t_{1}}^{2} dp_{t_{2}}^{2} \sum_{I_{1}, I_{2}, J_{1}, J_{2}} d\sigma_{I_{2}J_{2}}^{2 \to 2} \frac{d\sigma_{I_{2}J_{2}}^{2 \to 2}}{dp_{t_{1}}^{2}} \int d^{2} \Delta b F_{I_{1}I_{2}}^{(2)}(x_{1}^{+}, x_{2}^{+}, M_{F_{1}}^{2}, M_{F_{2}}^{2}, \Delta b) F_{J_{1}J_{2}}^{(2)}(x_{1}^{-}, x_{2}^{-}, M_{F_{1}}^{2}, M_{F_{2}}^{2}, \Delta b)$$

The two contributions (2v2 & 2v1s) correspond to 2GPDs

$$F_{I_{1}I_{2}}^{(2)}(x_{1},x_{2},Q_{0}^{2},\Delta b) = \sum_{i} C_{i} \int d^{2}b' \left\{ \tilde{f}_{I_{1}}^{(i)}(x_{1},b') \tilde{f}_{I_{2}}^{(i)}(x_{2},|\vec{b}'-\vec{\Delta b}|) + \frac{G_{3\mathbb{P}}}{x_{1}x_{2}} \int \frac{dx'}{x'} \left[ 1 - e^{-\chi_{(i)}^{\text{fan}}(s_{0}/x',b')} \right] \int d^{2}b'' \chi_{\mathbb{P}I_{1}}^{\mathbb{P}_{\text{soft}}}(\frac{s_{0}x'}{x_{1}},b'') \chi_{\mathbb{P}I_{2}}^{\mathbb{P}_{\text{soft}}}(\frac{s_{0}x'}{x_{2}},|\vec{b}''-\vec{\Delta b}|) \right\}$$

One has to add the hard parton splitting (missing in QGSJET-II)

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{pp}^{4j\text{et}(2\text{v1})_{\text{h}}}(s, p_{\text{t}}^{\text{cut}}) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} C_{i} C_{j} \int_{q^{2} > Q_{0}^{2}} \frac{dq^{2}}{q^{2}} \int \frac{dx}{x^{2}} \sum_{L} \left[ \int d^{2}b' G_{L}^{(i)}(x, q^{2}, b') \right] \\ &\times \int \frac{dz}{z(1-z)} \frac{\alpha_{\text{s}}}{2\pi} \sum_{K} P_{L \to K(K')}^{\text{AP}}(z) \int dx_{1}^{+} dx_{2}^{+} dx_{1}^{-} dx_{2}^{-} \int_{p_{\text{t}_{1}}, p_{\text{t}_{2}} > p_{\text{t}}^{\text{cut}}} dp_{\text{t}_{1}}^{2} dp_{\text{t}_{2}}^{2} \\ &\times \sum_{I_{1}, I_{2}, J_{1}, J_{2}} E_{K \to I_{1}}^{\text{DGLAP}}(x_{1}^{+} / x/z, q^{2}, M_{\text{F}_{1}}^{2}) E_{K' \to I_{2}}^{\text{DGLAP}}(x_{2}^{+} / x/(1-z), q^{2}, M_{\text{F}_{2}}^{2}) \\ &\times \frac{d\sigma_{I_{1}J_{1}}^{2 \to 2}}{dp_{\text{t}_{1}}^{2}} \frac{d\sigma_{I_{2}J_{2}}^{2 \to 2}}{dp_{\text{t}_{2}}^{2}} \int d^{2}b G_{J_{1}}^{(j)}(x_{1}^{-}, M_{\text{F}_{1}}^{2}, b) G_{J_{2}}^{(j)}(x_{2}^{-}, M_{\text{F}_{2}}^{2}, b) \end{split}$$

Calculations are done using the default parameters of QGSJET-II

- tuned to collider data on  $\sigma_{pp}^{\text{tot/el/diffr}}$ ,  $d\sigma_{pp}^{\text{el}}/dt$ ,  $F_2$ ,  $F_2^{\text{D}(3)}$
- e.g.  $Q_0^2 = 3 \text{ GeV}^2$ ,  $\alpha_{\mathbb{P}} = 1.17$ ,  $\alpha'_{\mathbb{P}} = 0.14 \text{ GeV}^{-2}$ ,  $r_{3\mathbb{P}} = 0.1 \text{ GeV}$

Energy dependence of 
$$\sigma_{pp}^{\text{eff}}(s, p_{t}^{\text{cut}}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\left[\sigma_{pp}^{2\text{jet}}(s, p_{t}^{\text{cut}})\right]^{2}}{\sigma_{pp}^{4\text{jet}(\text{DPS})}(s, p_{t}^{\text{cut}})}$$

#### $\sigma_{pp}^{\text{eff}}$ for 2 independent parton cascades

- strong energy rise of  $\sigma_{pp}^{eff(2v2)}$  due to parton diffusion
  - slower for higher  $p_t^{\text{cut}}$
- easy to understand; e.g. consider  $G_I(x,q^2,b) = f_I(x,q^2) e^{-b^2/R_p^2(s)} / \pi/R_p^2(s)$ •  $\Rightarrow \sigma_{pp}^{\text{eff}(2v2)} = 4\pi R_p^2(s) \propto \text{const} + \alpha'_{p} \ln s$



Energy dependence of 
$$\sigma_{pp}^{\text{eff}}(s, p_t^{\text{cut}}) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\left[\sigma_{pp}^{2\text{jet}}(s, p_t^{\text{cut}})\right]^2}{\sigma_{pp}^{4\text{jet}(\text{DPS})}(s, p_t^{\text{cut}})}$$

40

#### Including soft & hard parton splitting

- qu  $p + p \rightarrow 4$  jets  $\sigma_{\rm eff}$  ,  $p_{,jet} > 5 \text{ GeV/c} (2v2)$ 35  $p_t^{jet} > 50 \text{ GeV/c}$ 30  $p_{t}^{jet} > 5 \text{ GeV/c} (2v2 + 2v1s + 2v1h)$ 25 20  $p_{ijet} > 50 \text{ GeV/c} (2v2 + 2v1s + 2v1h)$ 15  $10^{4}$ c.m. energy, GeV<sup>10<sup>5</sup></sup> 10
- brings  $\sigma_{pp}^{eff}$  down to the measured values
- flattens  $\sqrt{s}$ -dependence for small  $p_t^{cut}$

# $p_{ m t}^{ m cut}$ -dependence of $\sigma_{pp}^{ m eff}$ at $\sqrt{s}=13$ TeV

- $\sigma_{pp}^{\text{eff}(2v2)}$  decreases with  $p_t^{\text{cut}}$ (narrower transverse profile for high  $p_t$  partons)
- 'soft splitting': large correction for small  $p_t^{cut}$ 
  - small for high  $p_t^{\text{cut}}$
  - $\Rightarrow$  flattens  $p_{\rm t}^{\rm cut}$ -dependence



 $p_{
m t}^{
m cut}$ -dependence of  $\sigma_{pp}^{
m eff}$  at  $\sqrt{s}=13$  TeV

- 'soft splitting': large correction for small p<sub>t</sub><sup>cut</sup>
  - small for high  $p_t^{jet}$
  - $\Rightarrow$  flattens  $p_{\rm t}^{\rm cut}$ -dependence
- hard splitting: dominant for high p<sup>cut</sup><sub>t</sub>
  - vanishes for  $p_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{cut}} 
    ightarrow Q_{0}$
  - $\Rightarrow$  opposite effect on  $\sigma_{\it pp}^{\it eff}$
  - irrelevant for minimum bias events



# $p_{\rm t}^{ m cut}$ -dependence of $\sigma_{pp}^{ m eff}$ at $\sqrt{s}=13$ TeV



### Ratio of (2v1) to (2v2) contributions: energy dependence



### Ratio of (2v1) to (2v2) contributions: energy dependence



- QGSJET-II offers a phenomenological RFT-based description of soft & hard processes
- - $\Rightarrow$  strong long-range correlations between central & forward hadron production
- enhanced Pomeron diagrams generate the 'soft splitting' contribution to DPS
- σ<sup>eff</sup><sub>pp</sub> obtained using the default parameters of QGSJET-II agrees with the measured values - if the hard parton splitting is taken into account
- bard splitting has a minor influence on minimum bias events

### Extra slides

◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆目 ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶