### **CMS Phase-II Upgrade of the Muon System (iRPC)**



XXX Reunión Anual de la División de Partículas y campos de la SMF

23-25 May 2016 Edificio Carolino, Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla México/General timezone





Severiano Carpinteyro Bernardino Isabel Pedraza Morales Humberto Salazar Ibargüen

### **Compact Muon Solenoid (CMS)**



### CMS Status Report. Prof. Eduard de la Cruz Burelo Sesión Matutina: 25 May 2016 at 09:30

### **CMS Muon System**





#### **B-Field 3.7T**

Barrel Region: Almost uniform End-Cap Region: Strong non-uniform

#### **Drift Tubes (DT)**

Central coverage  $|\eta| < 1.2$ Measurement and triggering 12 layers each chamber, 8 in  $\Phi$ , 4 in Z Spacial 80–120µm ,Max drift time ~380ns.

#### **Catode Strip Chambers (CSC)**

Forward coverage  $0.9 < |\eta| < 2.4$ Measurement and triggering 6 layers each chamber: each with  $\Phi$ , Z Spacial 40-150µm,Time~ 4.5 ns.

#### **Resistive Plate Chambers (RPCs)**

Centrlal and Forward coverage  $|\eta| < 2.4$ Radudancy in triggering 2 gaps each chambe, 1 sensitive layer Spacial 0.8-1.2cm, Time <3ns. The HL-LHC: a bright vision

# Luminosity is the key

### **The HL-LHC Project**



 Peak luminosity —Integrated luminosity 8.0E+34 4000 7.0E+34 3500 3000 [<sub>T</sub>-qJ] Atisonimul 2000 6.0E+34 -uminosity [cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>] 5.0E+34 S1 S3 4.0E+34 ntegrated 3.0E+34 1500 1000 2.0E+34 500 1.0E+34 0.0E+00 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 35 36 37 Year

The high-Luminosity LHC (HL-LHC) has ben identified as the highest priority program.

Enable a total integrated luminosity of 3000 fb-1

Enable an integrated luminosity of 250-300 fb-1 per year

Peak luminosity of 5-(7)x10E34 cm-2 s -1

#### Main differences between LHC & HL-LHC

	LHC	HL-LHC
Instantaneous luminosity [ $cm^{-2}.s^{-1}$ ]	10 <sup>34</sup>	7 10 <sup>34</sup>
Number of events per BC at 25 ns	28	pprox 200
Number of events per BC at 50 ns	56	pprox 400
Integrated luminosity [fb <sup>-1</sup> ]	300	3000

### **CMS HL-LHC challenges**

High PU environment at HL-LHC (140-200 vertices per BX) in tracker

- 1. High vertex density along z-axis: 1.3 1.8 vtx/mm
- 2. High track density
- Is important to record a sufficient number of muon detector hits on each track.



It is important for Phase-II physics to keep the efficiency of the L1 muon triggers high, while maintaining pT thresholds low enough to collect a large fraction of Higgs, top quark, and electroweak bosons for more sophisticated analysis

### **CMS HL-LHC challenges**

Background rates and dose during High Luminosity LHC



Harsh background environment

#### **Highest rate:**

RB4, MB4 background: neutron
RB1, MB1 background: charged particles and punch-through hadrons
RE2 background: ~50 Hz/cm 2 :

All major background sources affect mostly detectors at the highest pseudorapidity.

 $|\eta| > 1.6$ , there are no RPCs

#### At HL-LHC

ME1/1: ~4.5 kHz/cm 2

Neutron fluences: 3×10E12 cm-2 @ ~8 krad

RPC: maximum expected rate is ~250 Hz/cm 2 (innermost RE2/2 region)

### **Expected CMS Upgrades**

#### Trigger/HLT/DAQ

- Track information in Trigger (hardware)
- Trigger latency 12.5 μs output rate 750 kHz
- HLT output 7.5 kHz

#### **Barrel EM calorimeter**

- New FE/BE electronics
- Lower operating temperature (8°)

#### Muon systems

- New DT & CSC FE/BE electronics
- Complete RPC coverage
  - $1.5 < \eta < 2.4$
- Muon tagging 2.4 < η < 3</li>

#### New Endcap Calorimeters

 Rad. tolerant - increased transverse and longitudinal segmentation intrinsic precise timing capability

Beam radiation and luminosity Common systems &infrastructure

#### New Tracker

- Rad. tolerant increased granularity lighter
- 40 MHz selective readout (Pt≥2 GeV) in Outer Tracker for Trigger
- Extended coverage to n = 3.8

### Additional muon detectors in the forward region

#### Complement existing ME3/1and ME4/1 CSC stations



#### 72 chambers, each spanning 20°

 $1.6 < |\eta| < 2.4, 5 \eta$ -partitions

192 read-out strips per  $\eta$ -partitions

Pitch ranging from 0.30 to 0.62 cm (present endcap RPCs: 1.30 to 3.93 cm)  $\rightarrow$  improvement of the spatial resolution

Increase redundancy and enhance the trigger and reconstruction capabilities  $\rightarrow$  improvement of the L1 muon trigger

These chambers could provide an improved time resolution down to better than 100ps, which may be exploited, for instance for pileup mitigation

### **Muon trigger perfomance at HL-LHC**



PU: 140, 14TeV

Stub reconstruction efficiency drops below 90% due to the high-voltage spacers inside the CSCs.

The installation of station RE3/1 (the RE4/1 case is very similar to RE3/1) restores the local-reconstruction (stub) efficiency

Reduction in the average number of reconstructed stubs on a track  $\rightarrow$  increases the frequency of muon pt mismeasurements  $\rightarrow$  inflates the trigger rate & flattens the rate curve.

### **Forward RPC detector requirements**

#### Rate capability in RPCs can be improved in many ways:



Reducing the electrode resistivity (to be <  $10E10 \Omega cm$ )

Reduces the electrode recovery time needed for the electrodes to be charged up again after a discharge in the gas gap needs important R&D on electrodes materials

Changing the operating conditions

-Reduces the charge/avalanche, i.e. transfers part of the needed amplification from gas to FE electronics needs an improved detector shielding against electronic noise

Changing detector configuration

-Improves the ratio (induced signal)/(charge in the gap) -Just some of these possibilities are being explored in present R&D

• High-Pressure Laminate is already industrially produced (lower cost, bigger surfaces)

• Glass and ceramics can achieve lower resistivity values than Bakelite

 Glass and ceramics have very smooth surfaces providing very consistent electric fields

### Forward RPC technologies under study



#### Multi-gap HPL

Modified standard bi-gap configuration using 2 doublegaps

- Thickness of the four gaps is 0.8 mm
- Same electrodes and front-end electronics as standard CMS chambers

• Efficiency for cosmic muons vs. operating voltage (with and without irradiation via 137 Cs  $\gamma$ -ray source)

#### Single and Multi-gap glass RPCs

- Tests performed with a rate capability exceeding 10 kHz/cm 2
- Time resolution better than 100 ps for a multi-gap configuration





### **Background rates and dose during High Luminosity LHC**





HL-LHC: drive the choice of the most suitable technology for the detector upgrade.

Predict the radiation levels for the CMS at LH-LHC: determine detector performance, longevity of materials and expected dose to personnel.

FLUKA (MC): general purpose tool for calculation of particle transport and interactions with matter

The FLUKA: handle complex geometries such as the CMS detector.

But it does not give information about the energy of the particles, Time of Flight, position, etc..

### **Estimation of the expected bakground RE3/1 & RE3/1**

A phase-2 CMS geometry scenario was built for FLUKA simulation based on the best knowledge of the detector at that time.



- •We want to estimate the radiation environment for the Phase-2 muon upgrade scenario
- •Considering an instantaneous luminosity of 5x10E-34cm-2s-1
- •FLUKA is used to estimate the contribution of neutron induced backgrounds (neutrons, photons, electron/positrons)
- Phase-2 CMS geometry used in FLUKA (CSM FLUKA v.3.7.2.0) and simulated data has been provided by the BRILgroup (Many Thanks!)
- Geometry used is similar to the one used in the TP with the inclusion of the High Granularity Calorimeter (HGC)

We want to cover all the Phase-2 upgrade detectors RE4/1 & RE3/1
 CERN-LHCC-2015-010 ; LHCC-P-008.
 https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/MPGD/NPBgkSim

### Estimation of the expected bakground RE3/1 & RE3/1



Dose, 3000 fb<sup>-1</sup>



Using FLUKA simulation the expected radiation environment is estimated for the regions of interest

FLUKA v3.7.2.0

- Region: 160 < R < 320 & 950 < Z < 1100

Regions that simulate the iRPCS in the forward muon detectors muon for phase-II, it is made of layer of Bakelite and RPC Gas.

Proton-proton collisions with an energy of 7 TeV per beam were used.

Variation of Flux of Photons in R direction

Some regions do not have enough statistics

### Estimation of the expected bakground RE3/1 & RE3/1





Using FLUKA simulation the expected radiation environment is estimated for the regions of interest

FLUKA v3.7.2.0

- Region: **160** < **R** < **320** & **950** < **Z** < **1100** 

Regions that simulate the iRPCS in the forward muon detectors muon for phase-II, it is made of layer of Bakelite and RPC Gas.

Proton-proton collisions with an energy of 7 TeV per beam were used.

Convolute fluxes with detector sensitivities (when ready) to obtain the Hit Rate

### **Plans for coming**

- Get the 2D flux maps for RPCs.
- Get 1D projections: Flux vs R (average value in z direction)
- Include statistical uncertainties
- Convolute fluxes with detector sensitivities (when ready) to obtain the Hit Rate
- Fit Hit Rate vs R distributions to get the background modeling
- Update the background model in the digitizer



### References

- The muon System of the CMS Experiment of the CMS Experiment at LHC, S. Macellini
- arXiv:0911.4991v3 [physics.ins-det]
- May 2016 issue of CERN Courier
- DOI: 10.1142/9789814675475\_0004
- Physics motivation for the forward muon system upgrade F.R. Cavallo
- Impact of the GE1/1 station on the performance of the muon system in CMS, Alice Magnani
- Philippe Lebrun, CERN
- DOI: 10.1142/9789814675475\_0004
- A Novel Calorimeter for HL-LHC and Beyond, T. S. Virdee, Imperial College London
- Piet Verwilligen, Muon Upgrade Workshop, 2016-02-04
- A.Fagot RPC2016 24th February, 2016
- CERN-LHCC-2015-010 ; LHCC-P-008
- https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/MPGD/NPBgkSim

## **Backups**

### **Qualitative indication**



Average number of muon hits associated with a global muon track

 $\eta$  < 0.8, muon tracks are associated with an average of 25 hits

η > 1.6, 18 hits

Rate neutron flux

Fast growth of the muon trigger rate in the forward region, for a pt threshold at 15 GeV.

Of the four muon stations, the first one is of special importance

### **Forward muon redundancy**



Fast deterioration of the muon trigger efficiency with even a moderate fraction of non-triggering CSC chambers is presented.

Degradation of muon reconstruction and identification (ID) efficiency is small in the central region,  $|\eta| > 1.6$ ,

The efficiency is mostly recovered over the full detector coverage with the new chambers (2023 geometry)



### **The HL-LHC Projct**



L1 muon trigger rate at a luminosity of  $2 \times 1034$  cm – 2 s - 1 as a function of pT threshold. For the Phase-I system, 2 or more stubs, one of which is in the ME1/1 station are required. With the addition of GE1/1, the bending angle between the two stations can be used and the trigger rate is greatly reduced.

### **The HL-LHC Project**

Designing and optimizing an efficient trigger with low thresholds and low trigger rate for Phase-II.





L1TkMu, use track-trigger tracks extrapolated to the muon station planes and matched with L1 standalone muon candidates.

A standalone muon: track with hits in two or more stations (including the first muon station)

Rate of the L1TkMu trigger as a function of the pT threshold for  $1.2 < \eta < 2.4$  and 140 PU.

### **The HL-LHC Project**

The trigger rate is driven by muon momentum mis-measurement. The CSC trigger measures muon p<sub>t</sub> using the positions of stubs reconstructed in the various muon stations. A soft muon can be reconstructed as a high p<sub>t</sub> candidate due to scattering processes.

The lever arm between GE1/1 and ME1/1 enables an independent p<sub>t</sub> measurement.

A muon candidate can be rejected if the p<sub>t</sub> reconstructed in GE1/1 does not match the track finder measurement



**Reducing momentum mis-measurement of soft muons**