Collectivity in small systems — Initial state vs. final state effects

Sören Schlichting | University of Washington

ISMD Tlaxcala, Mexico Sept 2017



Outline

- General perspective on initial state vs. final state effects as origin of azimuthal correlations high-multiplicity p+p/A
 - Hydrodynamic description of p+p/A
 - Initial state momentum correlations

State of the art of phenomenological calculations

Reviews: Dusling,Li, Schenke, Int.J.Mod.Phys. E25 (2016) no.01, 1630002 Schlichting, Tribedy, Adv. High Energy Phys. Vol. 2016 (2016), 8460349

 New developments to quantify rel. importance of Initial state & final state effects

Based on Greif, Greiner, Schenke, SS, Xu arXiv:1708.02076

Conclusions & Perspectives

Long-range azimuthal correlations



Surprising similarities as conventionally p+p/A provide background measurements for A+A

Collectivity in small systems

Even though many features of near-side ride in p+p/A are similar to observations in A+A collisions,

- -> correlations between many (n>2) particles
- -> dependence on hadron species (mass ordering)

theire are also important differences

- -> unambiguous observations in p+p/A only in high-multiplicity events
- -> so far no observation of jet-quenching in p+p/A

Different theoretical explanations developed in terms of

final state response to initial state geometry

and/or

initial state momentum correlations

Nature of high-multiplicity p+p/A events

High-multiplicity events exhibit exceptionally large parton densities in the initial state



If parton densities in high-multiplicity events are sufficiently large, interaction between produced parsons can be significant

-> Creation of small droplet of QGP? Space-time dynamics similar to A+A collision?

Hydrodynamic description of p+p/A

Generating azimuthal correlations as a response to initial state geometry requires a non-trivial event geometry

Event geometry in p+p/A collisions closely reflects impact parameter dependence of gluon distribution in proton

Schenke, Venugopalan PRL 113 (2014) 102301 SS, Schenke PLB 739 (2014) 313-319 Mäntysaari, Schenke, Shen, Tribedy arXiv:1705.03177 -> event-by-event fluctuation of the proton necessary to generate sizable anisotropies

Various models of fluctuating proton sub-structure emerging

Mäntysaari, Schenke PRD 94 (2016) no.3, 034042 Bozek, Broniowski, Rybczynski PRC 94 (2016) no.1, 014902 Habich, Miller, Romatschke, Xiang EPJ. C76 (2016) no.7, 408 Welsh, Singer, Heinz PRC 94 (2016) no.2, 024919



need to be independently constrained to be of predictive value

Hydrodynamic description of p+p/A

Constrain geometric fluctuations from incoh. diff. J/Ψ production as an input for event-geometry in p+Pb collisions



Mäntysaari. Schenke PRD94 (2016) no.3, 034042

Mäntysaari. Schenke, Shen, Tribedy PLB772 (2017) 681-686

Consistent amount of geometric fluctuations of the proton needed to describe e+p and p+Pb data

Hydrodynamic description of p+p/A

Various calculations by different groups



P. Bozek, W. Broniowski, G. Torrieri, PRL 111 (2013) 172303.

Weller, Romatschke 1701.07145

Generally provide successful phenomenological description of azimuthal correlations in high-multiplicity p+p/A

Caveats: viscous corrections? pre-equilibrium?, ...

. . .

Validity of hydrodynamics in p+p/A?

Hydrodynamic description of hadronic collisions only becomes applicable once the system is sufficiently close to local thermal equilibrium

Based on current understanding of pre-equilibrium dynamics

Initial state of hadronic collisions described by collection of mini-jets with typical momenta $Q_s \sim 2 \text{ GeV}$

Hydrodynamic description requires significant quenching of mini jets ~Q_s

Experimental results in high-multiplicity p+p reveal little to no change of the away side (mini-) jet peak



ATLAS PRL 116 (2016) no.17, 172301

Challenge: Strongly interacting QGP vs. no evidence of (mini-) jet-quenching?

Collectivity in small systems w/o hydrodynamics?

Even though final state effects will eventually dominate at very high multiplicity, wether or not this point is reached in p+p/A collisions at RHIC and LHC



Experimentally observed correlations could also reflect modifications of initial state/early time dynamics in regime of high parton densities

Initial state correlations

QCD multi-particle production gives rise to intrinsic momentum space correlations present in the initial state

Di-jet like correlations dominate at high p_T and low mult. long range ($\Delta \eta$) back-to-back ($\Delta \phi \sim \pi$)

Bose-enhancement of small x gluons gives rise to intrinsic multi-parton correlation for p_T ~ Q_s in high mult. events

long range ($\Delta\eta$) symmetric ($\Delta\phi \sim 0, \pi/2$)



Several calculations point to the fact that initial state effects can be sizable in small systems (p+p, p+A, a+A)

Phenomenological calculations

Hybrid formalism

Dumitru, McLerran, Skokov

PLB 743 (2015) 134-137

Lappi,Schenke,SS,Venugopalan

JHEP 1601 (2016) 061

Dusling, Mace, Venugopaplan

arXiv:1705.00745

Initial state multi-particle production

k_T factorization

Dumitru, Dusling,Dusling, VenugopalanGelis, Jalilian-Marian,PRD 87 (2013) 5, 051502,Lappi, VenugopalanPRD 87 (2013) 5, 054014,PLB 697 (2011) 21-25PRD 87 (2013) 9, 094034

Dusling, Tribedy, Vengopalan PRD 93 (2016) 1 014034

Hadronization

Fragmentation functions

N/A

Dumitru, Giannini

NPA933 (2015) 212-228

Lappi

PLB 744 (2015) 315-319

McLerran, Skokov

NPA 947 (2016) 142-154

Event-by-event classical Yang-Mills simulations

> Schenke, SS, Venugopalan PLB 747 (2015) 76-82

Schenke,SS,Tribedy,Venugopalan PRL 117 (2016) no.16, 162301

Monte-Carlo scheme (PYTHIA HSA)

Generally the correlation is ~1/N_c²,long range in rapidity and strongest when p_T^1 , p_T^2 ,...~Q_s,

Phenomenological calculations

0.12

Event-by-event simulations in classical-Yang Mills theory + MC Lund string fragmentation



(Schenke,SS,Tribedy, Venugopalan PRL 117 (2016) no.16, 162301)

Various phenomenologically important aspects have been addressed in different calculations

v_2/v_3 , higher-cumulants, $v_2/<p_T$ >mass ordering, ...

showing that characteristic features of the data can be reproduced.

Challenge: Even though sizable correlations are expected to be present in the initial state, so far these calculations do not take into account possible modifications due to final state effects

Qualitative picture of dynamics

Generally speaking to understand the dynamics over the full range of multiplicities from low multiplicity p+p to central A+A collisions we eventually expect to see a transition from initial state to final state dominance



SS, Quark Matter 2015, NPA 956 (2016) 216-221; SS, Tribedy Adv. High Energy Phys. Vol. 2016 (2016), 8460349

Based on recent progress in understanding of pre-equilibrium dynamics at weak coupling, one can attempt to estimate the transition region

D.Teaney Initial Stages 2016 | SS, Tribedy Adv. High Energy Phys. Vol. 2016 (2016), 8460349

Criterion: mini-jet equilibration time < system size

Kurkela, Zhu PRL 115 (2015) no.18, 182301

 $Q_s \tau_{eq} \simeq 10 (\eta/s)^{4/3} (g^2 N_c)^{1/3} \simeq 6 \ (\eta/s = 5/4\pi \mid \lambda = 10)$

$$\frac{dN}{dy} \simeq \frac{1}{4} Q_s^2 \pi R_p^2 \qquad \qquad \frac{\tau_{eq}}{R_p} \simeq \sqrt{\frac{50}{dN/dy}}$$

Ball-park estimate for transition from initial state to final state regime indicates that a lot of the interesting dynamics observed in high-multiplicity p+p/A collisions could well be in the transition region

Development of new theoretical approaches crucial to describe the relevant physics across wide kinematic range probed in RHIC/LHC experiments



Non-equilibrium description of initial state & final state effects

Event-by-event classical-Yang Mills + parton cascade



Simulate particle production and early time dynamics (τ <0.2 fm) in classical Yang-Mills theory, based on IP-Glasma framework

hadronic structure input constrained by DIS



Extract phase-space distribution dN/d²xd²p of gluons from classical Yang-Mills simulations, which contains full information on

- initial state momentum correlations
- non-trivial event geometry



Simulate final state dynamics in parton cascade (BAMPS) including pQCD 2<->2 and 2<->3 processes

-> Extract time evolution of partonic v_2 to assess the relative importance of initial state & final state effects in small systems

Event geometry



High multiplicity vs. low multiplicity

(Greif, Greiner, Schenke, SS, Xu arXiv:1708.02076)

high mult. p+Pb low mult. p+Pb 0.1 υ IP-Glasma t=0.2 fm/c Low multiplicity **IP-Glasma** BAMPS t=0.4 fm/c .⟨dN_a/dy⟩=6 +BAMPS 0.08 0.08 t=0.6 fm/c High multiplicity t=1.0 fm/c $\langle dN_{a}/dy \rangle = 26$ v₂{2PC}(p_T) v₂{2PC}(p_T) t=2.0 fm/c 0.06 0.06 0.04 0.04 0.02 0.02 0 2 7 з 8 6 2 0 p_T [GeV] p_T [GeV] Strong modification of Very little modification of initial state correlations initial state correlations

Significant difference between low and high-multiplicity events, due to larger number of large angle scatterings

(Greif, Greiner, Schenke, SS, Xu arXiv:1708.02076)

Isolate different effects by manually removing initial state momentum correlations



low p_T (<2 GeV): dominance of final state effects high p_T (>2 GeV): competition of initial & final state

(Greif, Greiner, Schenke, SS, Xu arXiv:1708.02076)

Compare v₂{2} with correlation w.r.t to geometric eccentricity plane

Ecc. plane 2-PC 0.1 0.1 t=0.2 fm/c, rand. azimuth t=0.2 fm/c, rand. azimuth t=2.0 fm/c, rand. azimuth ⊢ t=2.0 fm/c, rand. azimuth 0.08 0.08 t=0.2 fm/c t=0.2 fm/c • t=2.0 fm/c High multiplicity High multiplicity t=2.0 fm/c v_2 {ecc. plane}(p_T) ⟨dN_a/dy⟩=26 .⟨dN_a/dy⟩=26 0.06 0.06 v₂{2PC}(p_T) 0.04 0.04 0.02 0.02 0 0 8 p_T [GeV] p_T [GeV]

Even though average number of scatterings per particle is quite small $(N_{Scat} = 5.6 \pm 1.1)$ with only large angle scatterings $(N_{large angle} = 1 \pm 0.18)$, low momentum v₂ in high multiplicity events is of geometric origin

(Greif, Greiner, Schenke, SS, Xu arXiv:1708.02076)

Evolution of azimuthal correlations

t~0 - 0.2 fm: Dominated by initial state t~0.2 - 0.5 fm: Scatterings partially destroy initial state correlation.

t~0.5 - 1.0 fm: New correlations build up in response to geometry



Even though geometric response ultimately dominates at low p_T , there are still sizable effects of initial state correlations even on the p_T integrated v_2 (~25 % for high.mult. and ~50% for low mult.)



Sensitivity to pre-equilibrium dynamics

(Greif, Greiner, Schenke, SS, Xu work in progress)

Quantitative features of evolution is quite sensitive to pre-equilibrium dynamics — initial state correlations never washed out completely



Clear discrepancy between 2 < ->2 and 2 < ->3 pQCD processes and naive toy model with const. isotropic cross-section (at lest at high p_T)

Prove of principle that azimuthal correlations in small systems can provide sensitivity to pre-equilibrium dynamics

const. isotropic cross-section

Collective behavior?

Higher order cumulants (m>2) provide clear evidence that (some of) correlations are between many particles

Clearly geometric correlations with the event geometry carry over to all (low p_T) particles

-> naturally expect sizable v_n{m} (m>2) in microscopic non-equilibrium framework

Genuine multi particle correlations also present in initial state

Dusling, Mace, Venugopalan arXiv:1705.00745

Should expect contributions from both; important to clarify dominant effects within combined framework



Dusling, Mace, Venugopalan arXiv:1705.00745

Conclusions & Perspectives

Observation of long. range azimuthal correlations in small systems are challenging us to develop a unified picture of the space-time evolution of hadronic collisions

-> Exciting connections with unresolved problems in A+A (equilibration, intermediate p_T physics)

Showed first calculation including both initial state and final state effects, based on weak-coupling description (class. YM + pQCD transport)

-> Clear demonstration that both initial state & final state effects are important with relative strength dependent on multiplicity & transverse momentum

Change of paradigm:

Consistent theoretical description across experimental range of multiplicities and transverse momenta requires both initial state and final state effects

Still lots of work to be done concerning in particular interplay soft physics <-> high-p_T/jets

Backup

Initial state correlations in high-multiplicity events

Intuitive picture at small x:

Bose enhancement of small x gluons in wave function allows treatment as a classical color field

Scattering amplitude of projectile parton $V_{x} = \mathcal{P} \ e^{-ig \int dx^{-}A^{+}}$

Distribution of scattered partons

$$\frac{dN_{q/g}}{d^2\mathbf{k}_T} = \int_{\mathbf{p}_T, \mathbf{b}_T, \mathbf{r}_T} W_{q/g}(\mathbf{p}_T, \mathbf{b}_T) \ e^{-i(\mathbf{k}_T - \mathbf{p}_T)\mathbf{r}_T} \quad \mathrm{tr}_{f/a} V(\mathbf{b}_T + \mathbf{r}_T/2) V^{\dagger}(\mathbf{b}_T - \mathbf{r}_T/2)$$

Short-distance expansion: Each parton receives a momentum kick in the direction of the light-cone electric field $E^i(\mathbf{b}_T) = \frac{i}{a}V(\mathbf{b}_T)\partial^i V^{\dagger}(\mathbf{b}_T)$



25

Initial state correlations in high-multiplicity events

Intuitive picture at small x:

Each parton scattering off the same domain receives a kick in the direction of the chromoelectric field which leads to a correlation in azimuthal angle

$$\left\langle \frac{dN_{q/g}}{d^2 \mathbf{k}_1 d^2 \mathbf{k}_2} \right\rangle = \int_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{r}_1}^{\mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{b}_2, \mathbf{r}_2} W_{q/g}(\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{b}_1) \ e^{-i(\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{p}_1)\mathbf{r}_1} \ W_{q/g}(\mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{b}_2) \ e^{-i(\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{p}_2)\mathbf{r}_2} \\ \left\langle \operatorname{tr}_{f/a} V(\mathbf{b}_1 + \mathbf{r}_1/2) V^{\dagger}(\mathbf{b}_1 - \mathbf{r}_1/2) \operatorname{tr}_{f/a} V(\mathbf{b}_2 + \mathbf{r}_2/2) V^{\dagger}(\mathbf{b}_2 - \mathbf{r}_2/2) \right\rangle$$



-> Near-side ($\Delta \phi \sim 0$) azimuthal correlation ~1/(N_c² Q_s² S_T)

Since the decoration of color fields inside nucleus is slow ($\Delta \eta_{corr} \sim 1/\alpha_s$) correlations are naturally long range in rapidity

(Kovner,Lublinsky PRD 83 (2011) 034017; Dumitru, Giannini NPA 933 (2014) 212-228; Dumitru, Skokov PRD 91 (2015) 7, 074006; Lappi, Schenke, SS, Venugopalan 1509.03499)